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It is with great pleasure that we present this new volume surveying the paintings and sculptures housed in The Museum of Modern Art. The book includes works made from the late nineteenth century to the present day, tracing the full span of our collection. Having marked its eighty-fifth anniversary in 2014, the Museum is no longer the young upstart it was during its first decades. But the development of the collection continues, at its best, with the same spirit of discerning openness that characterized its founding.

The paintings and sculptures illustrated herein represent just one aspect of the collecting activities of The Museum of Modern Art. The Museum’s holdings also include drawings, prints, photography, architecture, design, media, performance, and film. Within the Museum’s galleries, the displays resonate across the respective fields, providing visitors the opportunity to study, question, and enjoy the achievements of one of the most extraordinary eras within the history of Western culture.

We extend profound thanks to Ann Temkin, The Marie-Josée and Henry Kravis Chief Curator of Painting and Sculpture, who has written the essay that follows, selected the works to be included, and arranged the sequence of plates. In so doing, she profited from the generous advice of her curatorial colleagues in the Department of Painting and Sculpture, with special assistance from Talia Kwartler, Cara Manes, and Paulina Pobocha. We are grateful to our colleagues in the Department of Publications, in particular Marc Sapir, who oversaw the production of this book, and to Miko McGinty, its designer.

Most important, we acknowledge the remarkable generosity of the members of our Board of Trustees and the many other donors who are responsible for the ongoing development of this collection. The entirety of our holdings comes as gifts, either through works of art given directly or endowments and donations of funds enabling the purchases. It is only due to our Trustees’ and donors’ unparalleled efforts on behalf of the Museum that this collection has come into being, and that it continues its vigorous growth into the twenty-first century.

—Glenn D. Lowry
Director, The Museum of Modern Art
Introduction
Ann Temkin

This book offers an overview of The Museum of Modern Art’s collection of painting and sculpture as it stands in 2014. Our present holdings are the result of nearly ninety years of choices accumulating only gradually to form what constitutes the collection today. The small portion represented in this book—about five percent of more than four thousand paintings and sculptures—ample makes the case, as the Museum’s founders believed in 1929, that the art of our time rivals in its greatness that of any previous era.

Perhaps the key distinction that sets modern art apart from that of earlier periods is the sheer multiplicity of visual languages that fall within it. The common goal of modern artists has been to invent individual styles unique to themselves: creative originality takes precedence over faithfulness to external reality or to tradition. Artistic selfhood emerges through innovation. Thus, the diversity of artistic styles and vocabularies within the pages of this book—even among works made in the same year, in the same city—defies generalization, beyond the collective vow of each successive generation not to repeat the achievements of the former.

Many of the works of art in this book are now fixtures of any textbook of modern art history. Every day at the Museum, visitors arrive and grapple with the realization that they are in front of The Starry Night, or the Gold Marilyn Monroe (pp. 23 and 135). There are no other such objects anywhere in the world, although infinite numbers of reproductions of them are available everywhere. Other works that we have chosen to include are much less familiar and represent artists who are far from household names. For most visitors, seeing these in the Museum’s galleries is an experience of discovery rather than confirmation and may incite more puzzlement than awe. Nevertheless, all are works of art that have played key roles in the evolution of painting and sculpture over the last 125 years, and that join together to form the character of MoMA’s holdings.

Is this a book of favorites? In a way, yes—although hardly comprehensively so. Some of the favorites here are objects for which that term is almost beside the point, given their iconic status. Would someone say that Pablo Picasso’s Les Demoiselles d’Avignon (p. 34) is his or her favorite picture? Perhaps not, but Les Demoiselles is surely essential, as is any painting or sculpture that profoundly changed the course of the artist who made it and that of countless

Oil on canvas, 8’ 5” x 6’ 11 3/4” (245.1 x 212.7 cm). Mrs. Simon Guggenheim Fund, 1946
others. Alfred H. Barr, Jr., the Museum’s founding director, aptly described Les Demoiselles as a “battlefield,” and, more than a hundred years after its making, it may well strike viewers as ugly rather than beautiful. Picasso left visible in the finished painting the harsh stylistic disjunctions among its different areas, leaving it as a vivid document of the conflict between the nineteenth-century legacy he was abandoning and the soon-to-be revolution that would be called Cubism. The artist kept the painting in his studio until finally selling it to the couturier Jacques Doucet in 1924. The Museum managed to purchase Les Demoiselles in 1939 and to showcase it that same year in the galleries of its brand-new International Style building.

Claude Monet’s Water Lilies paintings (p. 64) are favorites in the more usual sense. These became landmarks of the collection as soon as they were acquired at the end of the 1950s. Monet devoted the final decade of his long life to this series (he died in 1926 at age eighty-six). At that time, most people considered these vast paintings illegible nonsense, the woeful efforts of a once-great artist whose eyesight was failing. The richly textured and loosely articulated passages of blues, greens, and purples seemed to bear no relation to the plants, water, and sky they were meant to document. In a dramatic example of the evolution of taste, by midcentury the Water Lilies attracted the admiration of curators and collectors such as Barr and his colleague Dorothy Miller. By that time, large-scale abstractions by artists such as Jackson Pollock had prepared their eyes to see anew what Monet had dared to explore thirty years prior. The Water Lilies paintings have provided a beloved oasis in the Museum’s galleries ever since.

The eventual triumph of work that was initially scorned is one of the fundamental paradigms of modern art. The Museum of Modern Art itself embodies that premise: its founders in large part conceived their idea for the Museum in response to the cold shoulder shown to modern art uptown at the Metropolitan Museum of Art. The by-then mythic tragedy of Vincent van Gogh stood as a stark warning: without support, more modern artists might die without having been able to imagine their wildest dreams or to realize their ultimate renown. A museum to showcase their work could lead the way not only for scholars and collectors such as Barr and his colleague Dorothy Miller. By that time, large-scale abstractions by artists such as Jackson Pollock had prepared their eyes to see anew what Monet had dared to explore thirty years prior. The Water Lilies paintings have provided a beloved oasis in the Museum’s galleries ever since.

The eventual triumph of work that was initially scorned is one of the fundamental paradigms of modern art. The Museum of Modern Art itself embodies that premise: its founders in large part conceived their idea for the Museum in response to the cold shoulder shown to modern art uptown at the Metropolitan Museum of Art. The by-then mythic tragedy of Vincent van Gogh stood as a stark warning: without support, more modern artists might die without having been able to imagine their wildest dreams or to realize their ultimate renown. A museum to showcase their work could lead the way not only for scholars and collectors but also for general audiences—and, most important, for the artists of the future whose work would be generated by the art of the present.

Because in the 1920s modern art still was embattled, the roots of the Museum had a strongly evangelical tinge. During the early decades of its existence especially, the taste of Barr and his colleagues was often far ahead of the public’s. The Museum was founded as an educational institution and took as its mission the conversion of skeptics into believers. The challenge was considerable, as proven by a rich corpus of New Yorker cartoons over the decades that testify to the bafflement with which viewers responded to what they saw at The Museum of Modern Art. Whether it was the radical simplicity of a sculpture by Constantin Brancusi or the dense tangles of paint skeins in a work by Pollock, the Museum proved a reliable target of ridicule. The inscrutability of pure abstraction—Kazimir Malevich’s Suprematist Composition: White on White, a white square on a white background, for example (p. 59)—put to the harshest test the willingness of audiences to understand that, in modern art, naturalistic resemblance was rarely the artist’s goal, and that often an artist’s conceptual ideas or theoretical convictions motivated the visual image he or she produced. Conventional expectations regarding the demonstration of artistic skill were often thwarted.

Occasionally, Barr’s foresight outpaced even that of many of his Trustees. In these cases, he needed to take circuitous routes to bring into the collection the works he wanted. This was true on the occasion of the 1936 exhibition Fantastic Art, Dada, Surrealism. Barr successfully managed the purchase of signature works in the exhibition by artists such as Joan Miró, Max Ernst, and René Magritte (pp. 68, 69, and 70). But one proposal was firmly resisted by the Museum’s Trustees: the so-called Fur-covered Teacup by Meret Oppenheim, simply titled Object (p. 86). Barr was convinced of the Teacup’s merit, so he purchased it from the artist for the lofty sum of fifty dollars and placed it on extended loan to the Museum. Ten years later, he entered it in the study collection, a subsidiary category not subject to Trustee approval. Finally, in 1963, when Surrealism was more classic than incendiary (and a relevant ancestor to new works of Pop art), Object was voted into the Museum collection. It was designated a “purchase” thanks to Barr’s fifty-dollar check sent twenty-seven years earlier.

On many occasions, the Museum staff has relied upon Trustees to partner with the Museum in a similar way. When Barr saw Jasper Johns’s painting Flag (p. 120) in 1958, he felt certain that the Museum should have it, together with three other paintings also on view in the twenty-seven-year-old artist’s first solo show. But while the three were approved, the Trustees could not bring themselves to accept a painting that appeared to be a mere “facsimile” of a flag. Its stars and stripes congruent with the full field of the painting, the flag seems to behave more as an object than a representation. Collaged sheets of newspaper show through the oil and wax surface, disrupting the purity of the symbol with the mess of current events. Disappointed, but not defeated, Barr persuaded the reliably adventurous Trustee Philip Johnson to purchase Flag with the understanding that it would become a gift several years later, once its importance was indisputable. The painting entered the collection in 1973. This elegant duet was
performed with Johnson several times over the years, to the great benefit of the Museum’s public.

Equivalent acts of generosity on the part of untold numbers of prescient individuals are responsible for the existence of the painting and sculpture collection. Among the more remarkable stories in this category is that of collector Ben Heller, a staunch champion of the Abstract Expressionist generation of artists since its beginnings. In 1968, Chief Curator William S. Rubin arranged with Heller the purchase of a group of stellar paintings from his collection that would vastly improve the Museum’s holdings of Abstract Expressionism. After the acquisitions meeting at which the proposal was presented, Rubin had to inform Heller that the Trustees had approved the works by Arshile Gorky, but had refused funds for the eighteen-months the Museum.

responded to Rubin’s news by making Newman’s painting an outright gift to work of a housepainter than an artist. But Heller’s passion was such that he of color, interrupted only by four vertical “zips,” appeared to skeptics more the extremities on the acquisitions spectrum: Claes Oldenburg’s Two Cheeseburgers, with Everything (Dual Hamburgers) and Yayoi Kusama’s Accumulation No. 1 (pp. 138 and 139). Oldenburg remembers learning that Barr had come to the 1962 exhibition of his sculpture at the Green Gallery on West Fifty-seventh Street and had happily walked out the door with the newly created Two Cheeseburgers in his arms, personally courting them four blocks south to the Museum. What could be more deliciously Pop than America’s favorite food, enhanced by cheerfully erotic overtones? The eroticism is far more explicit, and unsettling, in Accumulation by Kusama (Oldenburg’s neighbor in an East Fourteenth Street loft). That work was also first shown by Richard Bellamy of the Green Gallery, but was bought by another bold gallerist, Beatrice Perry, who proudly displayed it in her home for nearly a half-century. By the time Kusama achieved worldwide acclaim, and a later generation of curators decided that the Museum should own Accumulation No. 1, decades had elapsed; despite repeated entreaties, Perry would not part with her treasure. Only after she had passed away and left the sculpture to her son was the Museum finally able to accumulate it, fifty years after the work was made. Such delayed gratification is a staple at the Museum and provides rewards no less exhilarating than the instances of love at first sight.

While it is difficult to generalize, consensus on the art of a given moment seems to take shape after a period of two or three decades. Thus, much of the Museum’s acquisition program seems to have operated on such a schedule, whether focusing on Cubism in the 1930s and 1940s or Abstract Expressionism in the 1960s and 1970s. The 1990s brought a critical eye to the Museum’s holdings of the 1960s in American Pop art and Minimalism, as well as parallel tendencies internationally. Varnedoe championed in 1993 the purchase of pronounced: looking back at previous decades, on the one hand, and tackling the present moment, on the other. In general, curators and Trustees have understood that most objects acquired after a considerable time lag—for example, the breathtaking parade of works from the century’s beginnings purchased in the 1930s and 1940s—would be lasting treasures. Works bought at the moment of their making are far less certain propositions. The institutional assumption has been that although scores of works would enter the collection but fail to hold long-term interest, these mistakes would be justified by brilliant choices that would have been missed if such adventurousness on the part of the curators and Trustees were not encouraged. This dual track requires of those involved the ability to accept a certain level of cognitive dissonance as they simultaneously usher into the collection untested discoveries and validated icons.

A particular duo in the pages of this book concisely illustrates these two extremes on the acquisitions spectrum: Claes Oldenburg’s Two Cheeseburgers, with Everything (Dual Hamburgers) and Yayoi Kusama’s Accumulation No. 1 (pp. 138 and 139). Oldenburg remembers learning that Barr had come to the 1962 exhibition of his sculpture at the Green Gallery on West Fifty-seventh Street and had happily walked out the door with the newly created Two Cheeseburgers in his arms, personally courting them four blocks south to the Museum. What could be more deliciously Pop than America’s favorite food, enhanced by cheerfully erotic overtones? The eroticism is far more explicit, and unsettling, in Accumulation by Kusama (Oldenburg’s neighbor in an East Fourteenth Street loft). That work was also first shown by Richard Bellamy of the Green Gallery, but was bought by another bold gallerist, Beatrice Perry, who proudly displayed it in her home for nearly a half-century. By the time Kusama achieved worldwide acclaim, and a later generation of curators decided that the Museum should own Accumulation No. 1, decades had elapsed; despite repeated entreaties, Perry would not part with her treasure. Only after she had passed away and left the sculpture to her son was the Museum finally able to accumulate it, fifty years after the work was made. Such delayed gratification is a staple at the Museum and provides rewards no less exhilarating than the instances of love at first sight.

While it is difficult to generalize, consensus on the art of a given moment seems to take shape after a period of two or three decades. Thus, much of the Museum’s acquisition program seems to have operated on such a schedule, whether focusing on Cubism in the 1930s and 1940s or Abstract Expressionism in the 1960s and 1970s. The 1990s brought a critical eye to the Museum’s holdings of the 1960s in American Pop art and Minimalism, as well as parallel tendencies internationally. Varnedoe championed in 1993 the purchase of
James Rosenquist’s F-111 (p. 142), an eighty-six-foot-long painting created to fill the four walls of Leo Castelli Gallery in 1965. At that time it would have been virtually unthinkable for the Museum to purchase an entire gallery’s worth of art by a thirty-one-year-old artist (that was done by the collector Robert ScULL); by 1993, not only was the landmark status of the painting self-evident, but the concept of a single work of art consuming an entire room was a commonplace of what by then was called “installation art.” Today, we are casting a critical eye on the Museum’s collection of the art of the 1980s and 1990s, which still lacks the work of several artists who should and will be collected in depth. The 2013 acquisition of Mike Kelley’s Deodorized Central Mass with Satellites (p. 224), for example, again demonstrates a retrospective admiration for an artist whose works we had acquired only tentatively during his too-short lifetime. While Kelley’s choice of secondhand animal plush toys as a sculptural medium may once have seemed suspect, today it prompts scholarly analysis and the attentive care of conservators.

Although the prospect of a collection was merely notional at the time of the Museum’s founding, it was brought to reality by the bequest of cofounder Lillie P. Bliss, who upon her death in 1931 left the Museum thirty-three paintings and more than seventy works on paper. The collection centered on work from the late nineteenth century, including The Bather by Paul Cézanne (p. 21), the artist whom both Picasso and Henri Matisse acknowledged as the father of their generation. For many decades, The Bather has greeted visitors at the entrance to the Painting and Sculpture Galleries, almost as a guardian figure for the scores of artworks to follow. Bliss made her bequest conditional on the raising of the funds necessary for the professional maintenance of a collection, and was also farsighted enough to make it an engine for further growth: she stipulated that less-essential works could be sold in order to finance new purchases. The first such use of her bequest was the sale of a painting by Hilaire-Germain-Edgar Degas for the purchase of Les Demoiselles d’Avignon. Bliss’s provision for what is called “deaccession” has guided acquisition procedures ever since: works that are eventually judged of lesser importance relative to comparable objects in the collection may be sold in order to provide funds for new purchases. Such decisions are among those to which curators and Trustees give the most serious thought, and although sometimes contentious, they have been instrumental to the progressive growth of the collection.1

The list of Bliss’s peers and successors is long and inspiring. Many of the early acquisitions were the gifts of cofounder Mrs. John D. (Abby Aldrich) Rockefeller, Jr. Besides donating 185 works in 1935, the following year Rockefeller provided Barr with his first purchase funds: an (at that time) far-reaching one thousand dollars to spend during a summer research trip in Europe. Rockefeller’s firsthand support focused particularly on American art. It is she who facilitated Mexican artist Diego Rivera’s visit to New York for his 1931 exhibition at the Museum, and funded the acquisition of one of the eight frescoes he painted on-site for the show (p. 78). Blanchette Hooker Rockefeller, Abby’s daughter-in-law and a later president of the Museum, also provided support to important American art. Her gifts include Romare Bearden’s Patchwork Quilt (p. 167), a painting that features the artist’s signature technique of collage. With this choice of subject, Bearden linked modern collage, with its sophisticated European roots, to a venerable American folk art.

Abby’s sons Nelson and David continued the family tradition with long service as Museum officers and magnificent gifts that include, respectively, Henri Roussseau’s The Dream (p. 37) and Paul Signac’s Opus 217. Against the Enamel of a Background Rhythmic with Beats and Angles, Tones and Tints, Portrait of M. Félix Fénéon in 1890 (p. 25). In both cases, these were paintings that the curators (Barr, in the former instance, and Rubin in the latter) identified as musts for the Museum. The Dream, which sets a female nude on a sofa in a lush jungle, is one of the last and greatest works by the self-taught customs agent who was celebrated as a hero by the artists of the Paris avant-garde. Opus 217 is the portrait of an art critic who eloquently championed the Post-Impressionists. Like its overwrought title, the painting’s astonishing background both invokes the contemporary color theories that guided those artists’ work and instantly conveys the flamboyant persona of the sitter.

The ranks of greatest benefactors of the collection also must include Mrs. Simon (Olga) Guggenheim. Her name is far less well known than that of her brother-in-law Solomon R. Guggenheim, founder of the eponymous museum, but her contribution to The Museum of Modern Art was comparably fundamental. Guggenheim provided ongoing financial support that enabled the Museum to purchase a dazzling array of some seventy paintings and sculptures between the years 1935 and 1973. This book includes no fewer than fifteen of her gifts, among them Marc Chagall’s I and the Village (p. 38), Matisse’s The Red Studio (p. 39), and Picasso’s Girl before a Mirror (p. 77). She also donated funds for American works such as Pavel Tchelitchew’s Hide-and-Seek (p. 89), a hallucinatory image of children enmeshed in a mighty tree that has fascinated visitors to the Museum since its acquisition in 1942.

Bliss’s formative bequest has inspired many instances of an entire collection, or a majority of it, coming into the Museum. Such gifts are especially crucial in the area of contemporary art: whereas the Museum is often quick to recognize
the work of a young artist, it has seldom made relatively speculative commit-
ments in deep quantity. Private collectors—with no encumbrances of committee
votes or inhibitions of historical accountability—have let their passions fuel
purchases with more acrality than that of the Museum. Exemplary among sev-
eral such transformative gifts within the last twenty years is the 1996 bequest of
Elaine and Werner Dannheisser, which instantly brought to the Museum a group
of landmark works of the 1980s and 1990s by artists such as Jeff Koons, Robert
Gober, and Felix Gonzalez-Torres (pp. 191, 209, and 210).

In many cases, artists themselves have made gifts to the Museum’s collect-
ion, as donors of their own works and those of their peers. One such instance
is Ellsworth Kelly’s painting Colors for a Large Wall (p. 107), created in Paris
in 1951. Composed of sixty-four square panels, each a simple monochrome,
Colors possessed none of the gestural energy typical of contemporary works
made in New York. But Kelly, at the time a young, unknown artist, felt an imme-
diate and concrete conviction that this was a painting destined for The Museum
of Modern Art. In 1969, as a respected figure with two paintings, one sculpture,
and many works on paper already in the collection, he took it upon himself to
ensure that his dream of its being here was realized.

Artists also partner with the Museum in refining our holdings of their work. In
2014, Richard Serra gave the Museum Circuit (p. 171), a sculpture he had cre-
ated in 1972 for Documenta 5, an exhibition in Kassel, Germany. The Museum
owned a second version of that sculpture, Circuit II, made for a solo exhibition
of Serra’s work at MoMA in 1986; its dimensions differed from those of Circuit
because the original work could not fit inside the Museum’s elevators. Nearly
thirty years later, Serra’s desire for the sculpture to be seen in its initial form led
him to purchase Circuit from its owner and donate it to the Museum (now with
ample elevator space) to replace Circuit II.

Ultimately the cumulative efforts of successive generations of collection
builders enables the tremendous depth that defines the painting and sculpture
collection. A book such as this one cannot represent the Museum’s commit-
ment to tracing important careers from beginning to end in all mediums, or
demonstrate the fact that for many artists we can mount concise retrospectives
from our holdings alone. We have included here several objects by Picasso and
Matisse, as their magistral bodies of work form what can be considered the
spine of the collection. But otherwise we have chosen to include a maximum
number of artists by representing most with just one painting or sculpture, even
if our holdings include ten, twenty, or more. In most cases we have selected
a work from the transformative moment in the artist’s career when his or her
mature vocabulary first emerged in full form. But it needs to be said that many
artists have so deeply taken to heart the modern mantra of originality that they
strive even to reinvent their own work and to adopt entirely new approaches more
than once during the course of their lives. Thus, especially during the modern
era, one work of art can scarcely sum up an artist’s full corpus.

For that reason and many others, a volume such as this represents a mere
fraction of what one experiences at the Museum. Indeed, it may well be that your
favorite painting or sculpture at MoMA is not reproduced in this book. A visit
to the Museum is the ideal tonic for that, whether one revisits that object or is
captivated by a new one. One thing we can promise: that on no two occasions
will a visitor find the contents of the Painting and Sculpture Galleries entirely
the same. Today’s fluid program of gallery reinstallations constantly balances
the presence of works that are rarely or never off view with fresh presentations
that explore the collection’s depth and variety. The display of the collection is
a perpetual work in progress, not only because new works enter at a rate of
more than fifty per year but because a contemporary perspective continually
reshapes our view of works of art made decades ago.

Inevitably, objects from the most recent decades do not yet—and some may
never—possess the same iconic status as those in earlier pages of the book,
already tested by time. A chronicler of the Museum’s collection understands
above all that the future course of art history is nothing we can anticipate. At the
time of acquisition, works now considered classic or authoritative may well have
been courageous choices, or even ambivalent ones. Let us therefore conclude
with the thought of a work of art that is already in the collection but absent
from this book. Recently acquired, it is something we do not yet suspect will be
considered a milestone twenty or fifty years from now. But as times change, and
eyes adjust, the work’s beauty and intelligence will come to light. As is often the
case, we will have been prescient enough to select it without fully understanding
or appreciating the historical import it would one day come to have.

NOTES
2. To discover whether a certain acquisition was the former or the latter, notice the final piece of informa-
tion in the work’s caption—the year of its acquisition—and compare it to the date the work was made.
3. The credit line accompanying a work of art purchased with deaccession funds cites the donor of the
work sold to enable its purchase, followed by the phrase “by exchange” in parentheses.
Plates
Paul Gauguin (French, 1848–1903). The Seed of the Areoi. 1892. Oil on burlap, 36 1/4 x 28 3/8" (92.1 x 72.1 cm). The William S. Paley Collection, 1990

Vincent van Gogh (Dutch, 1853–1890). The Starry Night. 1889. Oil on canvas, 29 x 36 1/2" (73.7 x 92.1 cm). Acquired through the Lillie P. Bliss Bequest, 1941

Pablo Picasso (Spanish, 1881–1973). Les Demoiselles d’Avignon. 1907. Oil on canvas, 8’ x 7’ 8” (243.9 x 233.7 cm). Acquired through the Lillie P. Bliss Bequest, 1939.
Marcel Duchamp (American, born France, 1887–1968). Bicycle Wheel. 1951 (third version, after lost original of 1913). Metal wheel mounted on painted wood stool, 51 x 25 x 18½" (129.5 x 63.5 x 41.9 cm). The Sidney and Harriet Janis Collection, 1967.

Francis Picabia (French, 1879–1953). I See Again in Memory My Dear Udnie. 1914, possibly begun 1913. Oil on canvas, 8' 2½" x 6' 6½" (250.2 x 198.8 cm). Hillman Periodicals Fund, 1954.
Claude Monet (French, 1840–1926). *Water Lilies*. 1914–26. Oil on canvas, three panels, each 6' 6 1/4" x 13' 1 3/4" (200 x 424.8 cm); overall 6' 6 1/4" x 41' 10 3/8" (200 x 1,276 cm). Mrs. Simon Guggenheim Fund, 1959
René Magritte (Belgian, 1898–1967). *The False Mirror*. 1929. Oil on canvas, 22¼ x 33¼” (56 x 84.9 cm). Purchase, 1936.

Edward Hopper (American, 1882–1967). *House by the Railroad*. 1925. Oil on canvas, 24 x 30” (61 x 73.7 cm). Given anonymously, 1930

Georgia O’Keeffe (American, 1887–1986). *Abstraction Blue*. 1927. Oil on canvas, 40 x 30” (102.1 x 76 cm). Acquired through the Helen Acheson Bequest, 1979
Meret Oppenheim (Swiss, 1913–1985). Object. 1936. Fur-covered cup, 4⅜” (10.9 cm) diam.; saucer, 9⅛” (23.7 cm) diam.; and spoon, 8” (20.2 cm) long; overall 2⅝” (7.3 cm) high. Purchase, 1946

Joseph Cornell (American, 1903–1972). Untitled (Bébé Marie). Early 1940s. Papered and painted wood box with painted corrugated-cardboard bottom, containing doll in cloth dress and straw hat with cloth flowers, dried flowers, and twigs flecked with paint, 23½” x 12⅛” x 5½” (59.7 x 31.5 x 13.3 cm). Acquired through the Lila P. Bliss Bequest, 1980

Andrew Wyeth (American, 1917–2009). *Christina’s World*. 1948. Tempera on panel, 32 1/4 x 47 3/4" (81.9 x 121.3 cm). Purchase, 1949
Stuart Davis (American, 1892–1964). Visa. 1951. Oil on canvas, 40 x 52" (101.6 x 132.1 cm). Gift of Mrs. Gertrude A. Mellon, 1953

Ellsworth Kelly (American, born 1923). Colors for a Large Wall. 1951. Oil on canvas, sixty-four panels, overall 7' 10 1/2" x 7' 10 1/2" (240 x 240 cm). Gift of the artist, 1969
Jackson Pollock (American, 1912–1956). One: Number 31, 1950. 1950. Oil and enamel paint on canvas, 8' 10" x 17' 5 5/8" (269.5 x 530.8 cm). Sidney and Harriet Janis Collection Fund (by exchange), 1968.
Frank Stella (American, born 1936). The Marriage of Reason and Squalor, II. 1959. Enamel on canvas, 7' 8½" x 11' 5¾" (230.5 x 357.2 cm). Larry Aldrich Foundation Fund, 1959

Lee Bontecou (American, born 1931). Untitled. 1961. Welded steel, canvas, black fabric, rawhide, copper wire, and soot, 6' 8½" x 7' 5" x 3’4½” (203.6 x 226 x 88 cm). Kay Sage Tangyuy Fund, 1963
Oil, cellulose, and collage on panel, 53 3/4 x 37 3/4 x 3" (136.5 x 95.8 x 7.6 cm).
Including frame. Enid A. Haupt Fund and an anonymous fund, 1996

Claes Oldenburg (American, born Sweden, 1929). *Two Cheeseburgers, with Everything (Dual Hamburgers)*. 1962. Burlap soaked in plaster, painted with enamel, 7 x 14 1/4 x 8 7/8" (17.8 x 37.5 x 21.8 cm). Philip Johnson Fund, 1962

Roy Lichtenstein (American, 1923–1997), Drowning Girl. 1963. Oil and synthetic polymer paint on canvas, 67 1/8 x 66 3/4” (171.6 x 169.5 cm). Philip Johnson Fund (by exchange) and gift of Mr. and Mrs. Bagley Wright, 1971

Donald Judd (American, 1928–1994). Untitled (Stack). 1967. Lacquer on galvanized iron, twelve units, each 9" x 40 x 31" (22.8 x 101.6 x 78.7 cm), installed vertically with 9" (22.8 cm) intervals. Helen Acheson Bequest (by exchange) and gift of Joseph Helman, 1997.

Mark di Suvero (American, born 1933). For Roebling. 1971. Steel, 9’ 1” x 8’ 6” x 9’ 11¼” (276.9 x 259.1 x 303.5 cm). Gift of Mr. and Mrs. S. I. Newhouse, Jr., 1991

Chuck Close (American, born 1940). Robert/104.072. 1973–74. Synthetic polymer paint and ink with graphite on gessoed canvas, 9’ x 7’ (274.4 x 213.4 cm). Gift of J. Frederic Byers III and promised gift of an anonymous donor, 1976
Oil on canvas, 7’ 4” x 6’ 4½” (223.4 x 194.4 cm). Sidney and Harriet Janis Collection Fund, 1982

Encaustic on canvas, three panels, overall 6’ 6” x 10’ 6½” (183.2 x 321 cm). Gift of Agnes Gund, 1982

Cildo Meireles (Brazilian, born 1948). Thread. 1990–95. Forty-eight bales of hay, one 18-carat gold needle, 100 meters of gold thread, overall dimensions variable, approx. 7’1” x 8’1 ¼” x 6’ (215.9 x 185.5 x 182.9 cm). Gift of Patricia Phelps de Cisneros, 2001

Cai Guo-Qiang (Chinese, born 1957). Borrowing Your Enemy’s Arrows. 1998. Wooden boat, approx. 60” x 23’ 7” x 7’ 6 ½” (152.4 x 720 x 230 cm); arrows, approx. 24 ½” (62 cm); canvas sail, metal, rope, Chinese flag, and electric fan. Gift of Patricia Phelps de Cisneros in honor of Glenn D. Lowry, 1999

Plush toys sewn over wood and wire frames with styrofoam packing material, nylon rope, pulleys, steel hardware and hanging plates, fiberglass, car paint, and disinfectant, overall dimensions variable. Partial gift of Peter M. Brant, courtesy the Brant Foundation, Inc., and gift of The Sidney and Harriet Janis Collection (by exchange), Mary Sisler Bequest (by exchange), Mr. and Mrs. Eli Wallach (by exchange), The Jill and Peter Kraus Endowed Fund for Contemporary Acquisitions, Anne and Joel Ehrenkranz, Mimi Haas, Nina and Michael Lynne, and Maja Oeri and Hans Bodenmann, 2013.

Thomas Schütte (German, born 1954). United Enemies I. 2011. Bronze, two parts, 13' 3” x 6' 6” x 7’ 5” (406.4 x 203.2 x 226.1 cm) and 12’ 8” x 6’ 8 1/4” x 6’ 11” (391.2 x 205.1 x 210.8 cm). Purchase, 2014.
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- The MoMA Design Store, SoHo
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**MoMA Books**
The Museum of Modern Art, 2nd Floor

Independent, chain, and online bookstores offer MoMA titles worldwide. Contact your favorite bookstore to inquire about new and recent MoMA titles. If the title you are seeking is unavailable, please inform your bookstore that MoMA titles can be ordered from our trade distributors.

**Trade Orders**

Most MoMA publications are distributed to the trade in the United States and Canada by ARTBOOK | D.A.P. and outside the United States and Canada by Thames & Hudson, Ltd.

Bookstores, book distributors, and libraries should direct all orders, invoice questions, and title, price, and availability inquiries to:

**ARTBOOK | D.A.P.**
155 Sixth Avenue, 2nd Floor
New York, NY 10013-1507
Tel.: 800 338 2665
www.artbook.com

Thames & Hudson Distributors, Ltd.
Littlehampton Book Services
Faraday Close, Durnington, Worthing
West Sussex, BN13 2RB
Tel.: +44 (0) 1903 828501
www.thamesandhudson.co.uk