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Foreword

We are delighted that the Tate Gallery and The Museum of Modern Art have been able to collaborate over this major retrospective exhibition of Robert Ryman’s work. We are pleased too that there will be a tour to three further places, Museo Nacional Centro de Arte Reina Sofia, Madrid, San Francisco Museum of Modern Art and the Walker Art Center, Minneapolis, thus introducing this important artist’s work to a far wider audience.

A project of this importance depends on the generosity and efforts of many people. Our first and deepest thanks go to Robert Ryman who has been so helpful at every stage and who has, in addition, submitted himself to many hours of interviews providing much new material for this publication. We should also like to express our appreciation to his wife, Merrill Wagner, for her constant support. In addition there are numerous colleagues in various departments in both our institutions who have helped to realize the exhibition. We are most grateful to them all.

We should like also to thank many others who have helped us, especially Urs Raussmüller, Christel Sauer and Cornelia Wolf at the Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen, Bruce McAllister in London, Renato Danese at the Pace Gallery in New York, and Naomi Spector at the Greenwich Collection Ltd in New York.

For their preparation of the catalogue entries, we thank Catherine Kinley and Lynn Zelevansky. And special thanks go to Linda Norden who is working on the Ryman catalogue raisonné and who has generously shared the results of her research. We are so grateful to her and to those others named in the catalogue note.

The exhibition at the Tate Gallery is being mounted with assistance from the Horace W. Goldsmith Foundation and the Patrons of New Art. In the United States the exhibition is supported in part by grants from the Lannan Foundation and The Andy Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Inc.

We are delighted, too, to have had this opportunity of working with our colleagues in the other museums to which the exhibition is touring, with Maria Corral and Marta Gonzalez at the Reina Sofia, Madrid, with John Lane and John Caldwell at San Francisco Museum of Modern Art, and with Kathy Halbreich and Gary Garrels at the Walker Art Center, Minneapolis. We would also like to thank Richard E. Oldenburg, Director of The Museum of Modern Art.

As always, we do rely heavily on the generosity of lenders whether public institutions or private collectors, and especially those museums with important collections of Ryman’s work, the Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam, the Crex Collection, Schaffhausen and the Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, New York. We are particularly indebted to collectors on this occasion for agreeing to lend for such a long period so that their paintings could be seen not only in London and New York, but also in Madrid, San Francisco and Minneapolis.

Nicholas Serota, Director, Tate Gallery, London
Robert Storr, Curator, Department of Painting and Sculpture, The Museum of Modern Art, New York

FOREWORD [7]
I

On a wall of his downtown Manhattan studio, Robert Ryman has assembled a mural of eight by ten inch black and white photographs. It is a good place to begin, since it is to this wall that the artist himself regularly returns to add a new image or to consult old ones. The three hundred or more photographs that compose this still expanding grid document the majority of Ryman’s production from his first acknowledged canvas of the 1950s to his most recent oil on fibreglass works. Pictures of paintings, their grey tonalities average out manifold subtleties at which the viewer must guess. The casual impulse to wonder what one is missing grows with the realization that those tantalizingly imperceptible nuances are the vital substance of the complex entity these glossies wanly describe. Colourless, and with textures in many cases only faintly recorded – or in others exaggerated by harsh studio lighting – the photographs give the overall impression of continuous variations on a basic square format, punctuated at intervals by anomalous vertical or horizontal rectangles, a scored circle, or strutted panels mounted at right angles to the wall. The forward flow of these variations is soon interrupted, however, by the viewer’s scanning reflexes. Rather than reading the photo sequence left to right and first to last in descending rows, one’s glance automatically skips forward and back, up, down and across, remarking on correlations and distinctions while remaining essentially indifferent to their chronological incidence, which, in reality, is approximate at best. Perceived in this manner the wall seems less like the autobiography of the painter and more like a genetic diagram showing how certain dominant and recessive traits have asserted themselves in a species of paintings whose apparent inbreeding has – counter to the rule – enriched rather than diminished the stock, and whose aberrant strains possess an unmistakable family resemblance as well as a singular beauty.

Academic habits of looking and of thought are undone by this hopscotch arrangement. The ensemble’s essential cohesion relieves the anxious compulsion to impose provisional order on fragmentary evidence. And so, routine questions about technical or stylistic evolution lag harmlessly behind the darting eye and unburdened mind pleasurably awakened to signs of heterogeneity among these superficially homogeneous images. Chief among the rewards of surrendering to that fascination are the patience it teaches and the calm it instils. Meanwhile, the promise these pictured paintings hold of future change is manifest in their sheer proliferation. Rather than speculating on the ‘next’ based on the ‘last’, one is content to wait and see. To wait, that is, the better to see.

Intuitive at its source, Ryman’s work respects one strict rule: what is present is what matters and what came before or after matters only insofar as it too makes a unique claim for our attention. Consequently, approaching Ryman’s work in terms of his contribution to the advancement of the modernist cause is a mistake, just as it is a mistake to assign importance to given works in terms of their contribution to the painter’s progress or to painting’s progress. Although Ryman has added much
to our visual experience and is de facto a modern artist, his work hasn’t progressed so much as elaborated itself under the artist’s close watch. This occurs not by means of ever more complex rearrangements of his signature devices, but by an ever-increasing number of similarly concise statements about what paint can do. It is the growing sum of these statements that describe Ryman’s widening field of possibility.

Returning to Ryman’s photo log, let us change from the genetic model to a mathematical one, always bearing in mind that we are dealing in metaphor applied to the work of a visual artist and not with the laws of logic. Elementary geometry has it that there are two infinities: one is arrived at by perpetual addition, the other by perpetual division. In the modern era concepts of artistic development have been predicated on the first notion. Accordingly, prospects for aesthetic growth exist in an already historicized future and that future exists to the degree that the present can be added to in units of innovation and achievement comparable to those of the past. Failure to match or follow apace with these hope-giving precedents is taken as a sign of diminishing returns, if not imminent exhaustion. Hence, in our day, the incessant talk about the end of art, and the consequent restlessness of those who seek to postpone the event or distract themselves from its approach by a headlong chase after novelty. More hopeless still are those who renounce such a flight forward as futile, opting instead for angry inertia.

Yet contained within the simple mathematical paradigm of step by step linear development is another infinity represented by the unlimited subdivision of any given point on that line. This, in effect, is the hypothetical opportunity Ryman has seized. For him the imagination schedules its own necessities. Simple ideas may at any moment brake ideological or stylistic ‘development’ to make time for their realization. I say ‘brake’ not ‘break’, since the result is an alert pause rather than the dramatic aesthetic rupture of the kind celebrated in modernist myth. By contrast with conservative demurrals however, such pauses are active rather than passive. Wherever an artist like Ryman comes to a halt and fully focuses in this manner, art-making starts rather than ceases – even when ‘less’ appears to be definitively ‘less’.

How many ways, Ryman has repeatedly and pragmatically asked, can one take the most reductive kind of painting – the apparently one-colour-one-format work – and generate from it a complete, indeed protean world. Each painting the artist makes is a partial response to that question. Viewed together, their photo surrogates articulate the larger answer. Like a series of open parentheses, each component image and those neighbouring it on the wall suggest other paintings as yet unmade. Indeed, photos of newer paintings that Ryman has tacked at the end of the line-up might just as well have been inserted at numerous intervals within it. Moreover, quite a few prints within the mural grid include several paintings on one eight by ten inch sheet, as if a single image equivalent to a single point in the sequence had been split up further to show the subset of variants that could be directly derived from it.

This said, the linear model is still restrictive. However, the operating principle of endless, non-redundant division holds true in a two-dimensional as well as a one-dimensional framework. Fractal calculations thus allow one to take a simple geometric shape and dilate its contour, revealing myriad previously imperceptible facets, like the magnified dots that trace a form on a video-screen matrix. Applied to geography, for example, an evenly unfolding coastline can be broken into increasingly smaller crenulations – from promontory to rock to grain of sand – thereby
creating a marvelously detailed and eccentric boundary around a previously well-defined territory. That in graphic terms is the procedure Ryman has followed as he has taken painting's measure. His studio mural — inwardly divisible and outwardly expanding — is a composite overview of the aesthetic continent he has discovered where many thought there was only a tiny desert island.

II

The biographical details customarily mentioned in Ryman's exhibition catalogues are so brief as to deter further inquiry. Seldom has less been said or generally known about the background of a major contemporary painter. In America, this is especially striking given the role — most often distracting and distorting — that personality cults have played in the estimation of comparably important artists. The paucity of information about Ryman's life reflects his desire to forestall such mythmaking and follows from his insistence that we focus exclusively on the painting as painting. It also results from the fact that Ryman's life has been uneventful. Contrary to romantic tradition, art is far less conditioned by crisis than it is by hunches and chances pursued in cycles of activity whose duration and productivity reveal the artist's nature and will. For anyone making anything over the long haul or the short, getting from thought to thing is difficult and suspenseful enough. Decisiveness is character, and genuine creative drama unfolds in the order that artistic choices are made in service of one's intuitions and in the context of one's opportunities. In Ryman's case, the crucial decisions were forthright and firm from the outset but they were precipitated by a casual experiment.

Born in 1930, Ryman grew up in a middle-class family in Nashville, Tennessee. Such artistic leanings as he had were musical; his mother was an amateur pianist and as a boy Ryman took piano lessons but, as often happens at that age, he hated practising and soon quit. In high school, he started listening to late-night jazz broadcasts from New York, and on entering college in 1948 at the Tennessee Polytechnic Institute, he hung around the music department, made contacts among the students, and soon after took up the saxophone. Switching to the George Peabody College for Teachers because it had a better music school, he concentrated on jazz despite the lack of local opportunities to hear or play it. The Korean War interrupted his education. In anticipation of being drafted for active military service, Ryman joined the Army Reserve Band, in which he spent his tour of duty (1950–2) travelling around the South performing for parades, concerts, and service clubs.

Impatient after this frustrating two-year stint playing marches, pop standards and dance numbers, Ryman abandoned plans to finish college and headed straight for New York to study with a working jazz pianist about whom he had heard from Army friends. Arriving with $250, an untested ambition, and few expectations, Ryman greeted what he found with the unabashed enthusiasm of a Frank Capra provincial on the town. He certainly felt none of the bohemian alienation of 1950’s legend. A string of temporary jobs — mail-room clerk on Wall Street, a stock
manager in a chinaware importing firm – earned him the minimum necessary to pay for food, lodging, music lessons, and the freedom to roam the city. By his own account, he saw 'everything, just like a tourist'.2 'Everything' included the major art museums, where, except for the odd landscape in a Nashville home, he encountered paintings for the first time.

Meanwhile, Ryman studied his instrument. Bebop was his model. 'They played something you never heard. It was different, it wasn’t predictable. [But] I was never interested in free jazz. I was interested in jazz with a structure. It definitely had to have structure.'3 With lessons once or twice a week and the occasional chance to sit in on sessions at small bars around town, Ryman’s musical life was active but only intermittently so, and there was plenty of time left over. During this period he rented a single room in a brownstone facing the loading dock of Bloomingdale’s department store, an out-of-the-way address he shared with a cellist and a movie orchestra clarinetist. Down the block on the corner of 60th Street and Lexington Avenue was an art-supply store.

'I went in,' he recalled ‘and bought some oil paint and canvas board and some brushes – they didn’t have acrylic at that time – and some turpentine. I was just seeing how the paint worked, and how the brushes worked. I was just using the paint, putting it on a canvas board, putting it on thinly with turpentine, and thicker to see what that was like, and trying to make something happen without any specific idea what I was painting.’4

The experience took immediate hold. And the nearly aimless decisions first taken by this drop-in have ever since prevailed, modified only by the acute concentration that he has directed to that amateur impulse to see ‘how paint worked’. Those powers of concentration were paired with an equally stubborn determination to find out ‘how’ on his own. Aside from a few classes spent drawing from plaster casts, ‘Very boring, they had numbers for different shadings’, and a brief adult course at The Museum of Modern Art, ‘it was a little drawing with the model, sometimes it was working with collage’, Ryman shunned art schools.5 Soon music took a back seat to painting while painting showed him what was basic to its practice. At this crucial point, Ryman’s remedial aesthetic education was his employment, or rather the choice of the latter became a function of the former. Attracted by the possibility of spending all his days around art and by a late-morning starting time and short hours conducive to his painting schedule, Ryman signed on as a guard at The Museum of Modern Art in June 1953, a position he kept until May 1960.

As he had on his first excursions around New York, Ryman took everything in this new setting pretty much as it came. ‘I was very open, I accepted anything I saw. I mean I didn’t reject things, I looked at hundreds of painters. Of course the giants of the time I looked at more, Matisse, Cézanne, Picasso, all of that. But I guess I was very naive. I felt that anything in a museum was worthy of being there. So I looked at everything and got something from everything.’6 In due course – and making good use of the restricted movement that is typical of a guard’s routine – Ryman learned to schedule his looking. A week or so was spent concentrating on one major artist, then another week on the next. Cézanne enthralled him ‘because you wouldn’t know how he did it, the building up, the structure, the complicated composition’.7 Matisse, whom he prized above all, fascinated him for almost the opposite reason: his outward simplicity and calm. The appearance of just such confident and unconflicted command of the medium was Matisse’s stated ambi-
tion. Writing in 1948, Matisse had said, 'I have always tried to hide my own efforts and wanted my work to have the lightness and joyousness of Springtime, which never lets anyone suspect the labor it has cost'. Ryman has voiced the same intention in much the same language. Matisse, he observed, 'could see more than others could see— he managed to get at problems and solve them in a very straightforward and clear way. Then there was his technical mastery, the way he could handle paint. When he worked, there was no fussing around. He was always direct. There was a sureness about what he did.' Among classic modernists Ryman admired Monet and Klee as well—a Klee picture, for example, inspired him briefly to try mixing wax into his pigments. However, Ryman's far deeper involvement with and debt to Matisse has nothing to do with stylistic influence but instead represents his discovery of an art that is exquisitely controlled without awareness of the skill or authority behind that control detracting from the viewer's direct sensation. However stressful their genesis or seemingly careless their detail, Matisse's works in their final form appear wholly deliberate, economical, and fresh. These qualities, Ryman came to understand, were equally essential to non-figurative painting.

They were qualities Ryman simultaneously found in the work of Mark Rothko. Significantly, the period of Ryman's service at The Museum of Modern Art coincided with that institution's recognition of Rothko and his contemporaries. In those eight years, a series of exhibitions featured Abstract Expressionist works, and in 1958 and 1959 the museum assembled and toured The New American Painting, its influential survey of that tendency. During the same period, many of the first examples of New York School paintings entered the museum's holdings. Prior to 1950, only Jackson Pollock, Willem de Kooning, and Arshile Gorky had been properly represented. With the start of the decade, however, Abstract Expressionist works began to be actively acquired and regularly hung in the galleries dedicated to the permanent collection where Ryman was stationed most of the time, although at any moment only one or two works by a given artist would be on view. 'Number 10', 1950, the first Rothko Ryman ever saw, was accessioned by the museum in 1952—the very year Ryman was hired—as was Franz Kline's 'Chief', 1950, de Kooning's 'Woman II', 1952, and Pollock's 'Full Fathom Five', 1947, and 'Number 12', 1949. By the time Ryman left The Museum of Modern Art one could see examples of work by virtually all the significant artists of that generation, along with additional works by artists already mentioned; Clyfford Still's 'Painting', 1951 was acquired in 1954, Philip Guston's 'Painting', 1954 entered the collection in 1956, Barnett Newman's 'Abraham', 1949 and a second Rothko, 'Red, Brown, and Black', 1958 followed in 1959.

Among the Abstract Expressionists, Ryman respected de Kooning, whose way of putting a painting together impressed him, and Pollock, whose all-over drip painting he thought compellingly strange—though he was 'shocked' by Pollock's late reintroduction of imagery. Ryman also had high regard for Guston and his early light-suffused and oil-encrusted abstractions—"They were very mysterious and simple and I really liked the way they were painted"—and for Bradley Walker Tomlin, whose gracefully gestural canvases still enthrall him. Ryman's enthusiasm for Kline was even greater. 'Although I wasn't all that influenced by Kline, he was really important. In fact he's underrated... He was a magnificent painter.' 'He had the same quality that Matisse had, in a sense of that sureness. It was so open and compositionally so right.' Rothko's comparable assurance and clarity struck a still deeper chord in Ryman. 'When I saw this Rothko, I thought'"Wow, what is this? I don't know what's going on but I like it."' What was radical with Rothko, of course,
was that there was no reference to any representational influence. There was color, there was form, there was structure, the surface, the light – the nakedness of it, just there. There weren’t any paintings like that.13 Consistent with that frontal ‘naked-ness’, Rothko’s work was unframed and painted around the edge of the stretcher, so that each canvas offered itself to view as an object rather than as a conventionally flat screen onto which the artist projected light or shapes or marks.

Of the purely abstract paintings and reliefs by Dutch, Russian or German artists of the early modernist period to which Ryman had ready access at The Museum of Modern Art, none, surprisingly, struck him in this way. Thus it was Rothko, not the Neo-Plasticists, the Constructivists or the Bauhaus artists who taught him that paintings must be treated as integrated physical entities. If the other ‘field’ painters of Rothko’s generation have so far gone unmentioned that is because they played little part in Ryman’s experience or thinking despite what would seem to be the aptness of their work to his development. Although Ad Reinhardt had been a ubiquitous and combative presence on the New York scene for years, his polemics did not engage Ryman, nor did the increasingly close-valued monochrome paintings Reinhardt showed at Betty Parsons Gallery – red or blue scales in 1952 and 1953, all-black scales after 1955. Incidentally, in 1960, when Ryman left the museum, no Reinhardt was yet in its collection. As for Barnett Newman, despite his occasional participation in group shows at Betty Parsons, his work was unseen in quantity between 1951 and 1959, the year of his career-launching one-person show at French & Co. and the year his ‘Abraham’, 1949 was acquired by the museum. Agnes Martin was likewise unrepresented in one-person shows until 1958, when she exhibited works still containing symbolic shapes akin to those of Adolph Gottlieb. Back from Paris in 1953, Ellsworth Kelly was more visible during Ryman’s early New York years, exhibiting at Parsons in 1956, 1957 and 1960, as well as in the Whitney Museum of Art’s Young Americans show of 1957, and The Museum of Modern Art’s Sixteen Americans in the winter of 1959–60. Even so, Ryman doesn’t recall being aware of Kelly until much later, and in any case Kelly’s unin- fected, highly saturated chromatic compositions had nothing in common with Ryman’s intensely brushed, tonally muted and largely white work – except for the very different type of connection to Matisse each separate body of work expressed.

Familiarity with the museum’s collection and keeping abreast of current gallery exhibitions immersed Ryman in the painting culture of the New York School, but only to the extent that the work attracted his interest. He was entirely detached from its social and philosophical milieus. Unlike many young artists who hastened to hang out with the first-generation Abstract Expressionists, Ryman hung back. ‘I was shy. I remember going to the Cedar Bar in the late ’50s, and it was so depressing because I didn’t know very many people in New York. I felt also that, well, I wasn’t much of a painter. I couldn’t really talk to painters because I felt I wasn’t worthy ... It was a very lonely time for me because I didn’t know any painters who were working in an approach like mine.’14 Nor did he concern himself with the critical discourse around Abstract Expressionism. Ryman thus ignored the existentialist literature of creation, even when his earliest paintings superficially resembled work to which such rationales were commonly applied. For example, the dramatic erasures characteristic of much Abstract Expressionist studio procedure were widely interpreted at the time as evidence of the imaginative struggle to discover or disengage an image. Although Ryman too was finding his form, he eschewed such readings and followed a different process. De Kooning, Guston and others alternately added and subtracted pigment from their canvases developing a
whole vocabulary of smears and scrape-backs, whereas Ryman added but did not visibly subtract paint. Furthermore, the similarities one can find between Kline’s taut achromatic paintings and Ryman’s small caseins and oils of 1957–9, in which dark blocks invade a white ground, also derive from fundamentally dissimilar painterly methods and motivations. Ryman’s are the product of the fingers and hand, not the arm. Gesture, for him, served paint rather than the painter; painting was a question of application rather than of ‘action’. Contrary, then, to Harold Rosenberg’s view of abstraction as an exercise in the rhetoric of self-affirmation, Ryman understood it even at that formative stage as a problem of material syntax. What paint had to say was its own name, and it said it best in measured tones.

'It was never a rejection', Ryman maintains. 'Just a different approach to painting. Artists don’t think in the way of rejecting something. Abstract Expressionism was fine. I mean they’re very good paintings. You can’t throw that away. I just couldn’t see that much challenge in it. You want to discover something else... a new way of seeing.' Ryman’s indifference to critical debate is characteristic of his autodidactic and unswerving visual course. While at the Modern, he made regular use of the library and after leaving the museum in 1960 worked for a time in the Art Division of the New York Public Library where he perused artists’ files, periodicals and books — all in pursuit of new things to look at rather than verbal explanations for them.

Fundamentally, though, Ryman’s education consisted of direct and protracted scrutiny of individual paintings. Such a formation is increasingly exceptional at a time when more and more young artists have been trained to see painting through photographic means, and consequently handle or mishandle the medium in anticipation of the same altered transmission of their output. This change in aesthetic context was the predicate for much of post-modern or appropriation-based art made in the 1980s. Yet, at this advanced stage in what the Marxist critic Walter Benjamin in the 1930s dubbed the ‘Age of Mechanical Reproduction’, Ryman’s work distinguishes itself by being all but irreproducible. Hardly due to any ideological intent on the artist’s part, this fact nevertheless puts the lie to theoretical canard that the individual handmade image has been superseded or can be entirely assimilated by photo-printing processes. Informed by his own habits of looking at classic modern art, Ryman insists example by example on contemporary painting’s equal demand and capacity to exist and be experienced as an irreducibly physical and aesthetic presence.

The aesthetic constants that permitted his ‘new way of seeing’ were all evident in the artist’s initial essays, though his full appreciation of them awaited two basic clarifications. From the outset, Ryman’s painterly ‘approach’ — a favourite word — simply consisted of seeing how his tools and raw materials would behave. Each mark, area, or textural incident tested the characteristics of the pigment, the surface, the brush, or all three simultaneously. Cancellation of one result by another edited these experiments in favour of painterly gestures whose consistency, placement, or sheer unexpectedness merited lasting attention. Ryman’s first experimental painting was predominantly green. Started around 1955 and worked on until 1959, the first painting he acknowledged as a fulfilled work (no.1) is predominantly orange. Since then, white has replaced or largely obliterated all other hues.
Like everything about Ryman’s procedure, this decision was arrived at through trial and error, yet like much else about his work, the constant—though wonderfully inconsistent—use of white has been misconstrued as the expression of a concept or symbol. Inevitably an artist’s exclusive use of one colour leads to a discussion of modernism’s reductionist penchant, and the most extreme statement of that tendency in its binary form. Critic Lucy Lippard, who was married to Ryman during the early 1960s, offers a useful summary of this dichotomy: ‘The ultimate in monotone painting is the black or white canvas. As the two extremes, the so-called no-colors, white and black are associated with pure and impure, open and closed. The white painting is a “blank” canvas, where all is potential; the black painting has obviously been painted, but painted out, hidden, destroyed.’

Ryman’s recourse to white is paradoxical insofar as he has used it to conceal as well as to disclose his cumulative structure; that is, he has hidden his empirical painterly process under a blanket of white in order to reveal the painting as a whole. Instead of referring to or resolving into virgin blankness, therefore, white brought to light his work’s intense surface activity and its underlying preparation. ‘As I worked and developed the painting’, he recalled, ‘I found that I was eliminating a lot. I would put the color down, then paint over the color, trying to get down to a few crucial elements. It was like erasing something to put white over it.’ This he already had accomplished with sharply contrasting hues; white especially recommended itself by what it didn’t do as well as what it did. ‘The use of white in my paintings came about when I realized that it doesn’t interfere. It’s a neutral color that allows for a clarification of nuances in painting. It makes other aspects of painting visible that would not be so clear with the use of other colors.’

A part of his palette from the beginning, black was disqualified as an overall substitute for or alternative to white by two factors. The first was what seemed to the artist to be its ineluctable symbolism: ‘Black is difficult,’ Ryman reasoned, ‘there was no mysticism involved, nothing like that with white.’ The greater liability, though, was how black isolated the painted object from its setting. ‘I did an etching once printed in black to see how it would be’, he remembered. ‘If you use black or any specific color, it makes the structure less visible. You see the color and it makes it look like a shape.’ This description nicely defines the difference between Ryman’s concerns and those of Reinhardt—whose subtly hued ‘monochrome’ paintings flicker at the extreme limit of perceptibility—and Kelly, who fuses colour, area and shape into vibrant silhouettes. Reinhardt confined his attention to the darkened interior of the canvas which was set off by that darkness from the wall, while Kelly takes the space around the work very much into account by emphasizing the dynamic contrast between the ordinarily subdued exhibition space and his painted form’s saturated colour and often startling axial pitch.

For his part, Ryman treats the immaculate walls of the modern gallery as a given against which he plays with a wide range of substances and tonalities. These have been given the generic name ‘white’, but each is as distinct from the others as they are from the decorator’s white of their intended surroundings. The closer Ryman’s choice comes to those utilitarian whites, the more exactly such distinctions are perceived. When he actually shifts from artists’ materials to housepainters’, the matched pigments correspondingly focus one’s eye on nuances in his vehicle’s density, method of application, and support. Like a Bedouin who can make out the subllest shades of sand or an Inuit who can read with precision a comparably narrow spectrum of snow and ice, Ryman has catalogued white’s actual variety, thus ironically demonstrating its latent non-neutrality when seen in relation to itself.
Fed up with inattentive observers who collapsed this set of differences into a word which they then used as a homogenizing description of all of his work, Ryman protested: 'I’m not really interested in white as a color, although I have at times used different whites for different purposes. Sometimes I used warm white because I wanted to have a warm absorbing light. At other times I’ve used colder white... it has do to with light — softness, hardiness, reflection and movement — all these things.' White is used instrumentally and for itself; but 'whiteness' as such is not the work's subject or essence. 'I don’t think of myself as making white paintings. I make paintings; I’m a painter. White paint is my medium.'

Stripped of metaphysical connotations, white’s emphatic physical plainness registered whatever was done to or near it. Ryman’s reliance on the square as his basic format followed the same principle of opting for maximum neutrality. Altogether only a handful of mature paintings are differently proportioned, and of these several, including the horizontal ‘Zenith’, 1974 (Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, New York) and the verticals ‘Credential’ and ‘Express’, both 1985 (nos.73–4) are compositionally anchored by interior squares. The most direct benefit to Ryman was that the square obviated the problems of compositional balance entailed by the rectangle. Universally symmetrical, a square is inherently ‘composed.’ As a corollary, the obvious focal point implied by the coordinates running between the four equal sides or four equal corners, allowed him to operate around and especially off the centre without ever requiring him to fix it in any explicit way. Lastly, the square’s unassimilated artificiality was an asset: 'It possibly contrasts with the environment more. Rectangles always were more used in painting, particularly with pictures, because they were more familiar. Windows are rectangles, doors, most of what you see.'

Ryman, of course, had no desire to mimic the format of and thereby trigger the visual responses called for by classical picture-window painting. Nor did he wish to court comparison with traditional easel painting, abstract or figurative. Consequently his paintings have, on the whole, been either quite small or quite large, with those in between setting themselves apart from conventional midsized paintings by virtue of pronounced structural or formal characteristics of other kinds. The fact that his earliest paintings on paper or canvas generally measure between seven by seven inches and twelve by twelve inches has as much to do with their basic aesthetics as with the confinement of the living quarters in which they were executed. Throughout his career the artist has continued to work on this scale, regarding the results as commensurate in importance with paintings whose dimensions may reach upwards of twelve by twelve feet. Never have these small paintings been undertaken as mere warm-ups or sketches. Indeed, preparatory studies — when Ryman makes them, which is seldom — are usually the same size as the final version of the piece, and are not preserved after they have served their purpose.

Factors other than the size of the support also help to decide a painting’s scale. Brushes are spatial callipers of equal importance. A small surface may be covered by one or more broad strokes or a large surface by a flurry of small strokes: either of these procedural options, and all gradations that lie between them, lead to variously expanding or contracting results. The consistency of the paint also affects one’s sense of scale by determining the flow of the brush, the load the brush can carry (hence the length it can travel), and the graphic and light-reflecting imprint the brush leaves behind. Everything that contributes to a painting’s creation qualifies its aesthetic make-up, and is in turn qualified by the manner in which the
particular element or material is handled. 'Bigness' can occupy the tiniest piece of paper if painted in a 'big' — that is immediate, open — way. Conversely, a painting twice the height of a viewer can be intimate rather than overwhelming because of the closeness of inspection its luminous and tactiley modulated surface invites.

These considerations — type of white paint and its specific means of application, the square support and its exact disposition and scale — are Ryman's crucial variables. Few in number, they represent a potential range of permutations that it is easy to underestimate. All along, however, modern art has largely been a response to the limitations artists have willingly imposed upon themselves. A significant number of viewers unsympathetic to, or frankly puzzled by such strictures and resistant to argument by artistic precedent, will nevertheless ask: 'Why would anybody make a thing like that?' It is a fair question, and textbook answers are of little avail against the scepticism it bespeaks. Moreover, discounting such a response implies that only those inclined to aesthetic or art-historical discourse need concern themselves with the work — a conclusion directly at odds with the artist's own persistent belief that his paintings are there for the eye — tutored or untutored — to examine and enjoy. Turned around, however, the challenge 'What could anybody make out of things like that?' invites a speculative involvement that may bring such viewers closer to Ryman's problem and so to his actual achievement. Instead of dismissing his endeavour as absurd, dubious members of the public are, like the artist, called upon to imagine what visual riches might be coaxed from such slender means, and how many ways it is possible to materially rephrase a working premise and so extract fresh meaning from it.

All this may strike some people as a woefully unsophisticated way of addressing such a refined body of work. Yet the truth is that Ryman's starting point was, by his own admission, 'naive'. As a practising artist, Ryman remains the equal, not the all-knowing teacher, of the curious spectator. His extraordinary ability to renew his art depends not upon his outgrowing that naivete but upon heeding its dictates. The near tautological principles he has offered in explanation of his work express that single-minded devotion to 'elementary' pursuits. 'I wanted to paint the paint, you might say', he once told an interviewer. An early formal statement of this aesthetic published is scarcely more complicated, but resolute and sufficient. 'There is never a question of what to paint, but only how to paint. The how of painting has always been the image.'

Ryman's paintings from the mid-1950s to the very early 1960s contain shapes that sometimes appear to have the status of pictorial images. In 'Untitled', 1957 (no. 2) a contoured white area at the centre is attached to the top of the roughly cut canvas by a looping pencil incision in the casein paint, while the bottom margin is loosely hung, puckered by previously stapling and unprimed, prefiguring the artist's unstretched but for the most part 'all-over' canvases of the early 1960s. In 'Untitled', 1958 (no.7) a dark green wedge is fitted inside the semi-organic black mass that juts down from above into the painting's tiny field. Most spacious and spontaneous of the works into which such shapes intrude or occupy the pictorial middle ground is a work on paper named 'To Gertrud Mellon', 1958 (no.9) after the collector who purchased it from an exhibition of work by museum personnel that was assembled outside the Members' Dining Room of the Modern.
Abstract Expressionist figure/ground dynamics of this kind are relatively rare, even at this early stage of Ryman's development. In 'Untitled', 1957 (no. 3) and related works on paper or cloth squares, these intrusive block or bars have seemingly retracted from the centre to the margins, indenting the edge of the picture plane at irregular intervals and visually pinning down the painting's surface like the tabs or brackets that come into play after 1976. In stretched canvases such as 'Untitled', 1959 (no. 14), those painted notches wrap around the work's sides.

Ryman's use of letters and numbers serves much the same compositional purpose. These graphic embellishments he judged appropriate to his otherwise stripped-down vocabulary on the grounds that the inclusion of the artist's signature and the date of a work's completion are traditional in painting. From the point of view of strict modernist doctrine regarding the genre's 'essential' properties, this reasoning may border on the Jesuitical, but Ryman is more whimsical than that, and at the same time more direct in claiming poetic licence. Compared with Newman's rough scrawl on flat colour fields, or de Kooning's and Guston's stylish script over rolling painterly grounds, Ryman's signature is completely integrated into the structure of his compositions. In this way he neutralized its sign value, to the benefit of the linear variety it affords him and the impact its placement has on other aspects of the painting.

The size of the letters employed by Ryman changes dramatically. Sometimes minute, sometimes spidery but blown way beyond the proportions of normal handwriting, they are drawing motifs. Repetition renders these inscriptions even more abstract. When his patterned inscriptions copy or are copied by the repetition of other elements the result is like a musical riff traded back and forth between ensemble instruments. Numerals and letters thus bridge space, tapping out visual rhythms in response to each other. Chance has helped. The dates 1961 and 1991 have, for example, occasioned the symmetrical reiteration and mirroring of 1s, 6s and 9s. Meanwhile, both the artist's first and last names begin with r, and doubling the initial to rr soon led to tripling the same letter and then to the doubling and tripling of the final n of his surname and so to compressed letter blocks like R Y R M A N N N that stutter across a painting or down its edge. Or the artist may sign R Y M A N twice at the lower right corner of the square, as in 'Untitled', 1959 (no.10) and then answer that beat with three hatched lines in the upper left. Or, as in 'Untitled', 1961 (see no.21), he may vertically align a dozen or so short chalky paint strokes and at the bottom inscribe his name three times in tiered succession as if this delicate flourish were the Corinthian capital of an inverted column, while without them the stubby stripes suggest a painterly prototype of Donald Judd's sculptural wall stacks. Or Ryman may simply fuse date and name into a single unit and run it out like a low entablature relief or, thinning his gesture and emphasizing the bowed rs, ms and ns, transform it into a tracery arcade.

Such architectural analogies are, to be sure, the furthest thing from Ryman's thoughts. Structural more than ornamental, these texts, like their bar and block counterparts, are points of reference within the painting field, hugging the perimeter in one instance, jutting upward or inward from an edge in others. Generically speaking, geometric coordinates in nonobjective painting have been straight, hard-edged and plumb. Even when a pencil matrix undergirds subsequent coats of paint, the spatial marking Ryman superimposes tends to float or optically shiver; signatures slide away from the rigid axis; the square or rectangular notches along the outside of the picture plane are unevenly ruled. Neither locked in like Mondrian's, or quasi-aerodynamic like Malevich's, Ryman's spatial constructs
accommodate a gentle flux akin to Guston’s but with less ambivalence and greater objectivity.

Much of Ryman’s work of the 1950s and early 1960s is on paper. The paper often had a yellowish tint or soon acquired one, so that the gaps between shapes, or the broad unpainted areas, create a warm edge around the cooler whites, while the paper’s smooth flatness contrasts with the pigment’s impasto relief. In addition to the gallery-announcement card-stock he frequently recycled into his paintings, Ryman also purchased higher-quality Bristol board. Nearly all the papers he used had ‘fast’ untextured surfaces, on which even the slightest variation in the paint’s viscosity or the painter’s manual energy were evident. Around 1959, Ryman also made a series of collages, ‘Untitled’ (no.10) and ‘Untitled’ (no.11) among them. Combining cutout examples of his usual repertoire of marks and shapes with directly drawn lines and painted patches, Ryman was able to compose and recompose these spare designs without relying on the process of ‘painting out’ preliminary or tentative steps. The transparency of the sheets and scraps of tracing paper in these collages helps to conflate the various layers while emphasizing that all of them ultimately relate to the implicit plane behind them, which in their original, unframed state was the wall.

Ryman’s early paintings on canvas make use of the soft tints of unpainted fabric in ways comparable to his exploitation of bare paper. Nearly half of the atypically vertical ‘Untitled’, 1958 (no.4) is given over to a zone of sized cotton duck on which the only ‘painting’ is a white signature and date. Just a small triangle of untouched canvas appears in ‘Untitled’, 1959 (no.12), framing an ochre ryman that protrudes into the choppy white around it like a breakwater banked by a sandbar. In the latter example the light tan of the canvas picks up, but also diffuses, the intense glow of the letters, whereas in the former the blanched lettering and the honey-coloured cloth contrast sharply. In both instances the ageing of materials has altered the colour relationships between applied pigment and the natural pigmentation of the support, with the yellowing of the glue primers reinforcing the normal darkening of the cotton. Furthermore, oil paint itself changes over time, particularly the whites. And yet, these inevitable tonal or chromatic mutations are of only relative consequence. Even if we are no longer looking at these works in their original state, we are nevertheless viewing works that have evolved as coherent wholes and are defined by the chromatic and textural interplay between the assertive presence and the intentional absence of paint.

Inspired by Rothko’s truly ‘all-over’ and all-around canvases, Ryman attended to every facet of his own paintings. Hence the untouched sides of a work were as much part of the whole as those sides that were whitened or accented by colour blocks and letters. To ensure that these easily overlooked passages are taken into account, Ryman has often photographed such works obliquely as well as head-on – for example ‘Untitled’, 1959 (no.14). Something of the opposite happens in another canvas of the same year, ‘Untitled’ (no.13), where Ryman sliced into a seam and peeled off a layer of canvas around the upper left-hand corner of the stretcher so that the sides came to meet the painting’s face. The resulting ridge creates an aggressive element of relief on the edge where we expect the painting to stop. At a distance, the flayed and rolled-back fabric is easily mistaken for a lip of pigment, and the confusion is encouraged by the raw underpainting its retraction exposes. Fissures and cavities filled with saturated colour are common in his works of this period, providing unexpected depths and highlights to the vibrant white crusts. Far from being a monochrome painter, Ryman in the early years almost
always fleshed out his paintings over a polychrome bed of terracotta browns, blood reds, golden ochres, putty-like Naples yellows, deep leafy or acidic greens, and cool cobalt and cerulean blues. In short, Ryman’s palette encompassed a modified but full spectrum of primaries. Before he ever introduced white, the artist laid in these rich hues, and in the finished painting their presence is palpable even when they were not actually exposed. In paintings like ‘Untitled’, 1961 (no.19) a soft green and blue aura can thus be seen at the littoral where washed whites dissolve into buff linen. Peeking through the pitted white terrain of other paintings are flashes of brilliant colour. Where these substrata are most pronounced, the welts of underpainting exert pressure on their white mantle such that one begins to feel the temperature of the buried colour like a pulse or sinuous movement beneath the skin. Submerged colours seem to irradiate and be subsumed by the bleached plane that confronts the viewer, as if one were witnessing white light being created, as it theoretically is, by the chromatic fusion of the total spectrum.

During the period 1955–65, Ryman stuck to traditional media: casein which dries quickly and has finishes from semigloss to glossy; gouache, another water-based paint that dries matt; and oil, which dries slowly, can be applied either thinly like the others or very thickly, and depending on the quality of tube pigment and use of diluting additives leaves a dull or shiny skin. Thus, for example, ‘Untitled’, 1959 (no.14) is as crusty as ‘Wedding Picture’, 1961 (no.22) is luscious, while the single pasty swipe of the palette knife in ‘Untitled’, 1961 (no.24) anticipates in its unrevised application the continuous pulled brushstrokes of a few years later. Altogether, the range of the effects achieved with these means is astonishing. Gritty or silky, feathery or caked, tight-woven or unravelling, each work’s surface, like its particular cast of white and particular chromatic undertones or accents, is unique, and each is immensely sensuous. Even at their most arid, Ryman’s surfaces recall his affinity to Matisse, who often applied his colours in brittle turpentine-thinned washes. Matisse’s manner of letting these fragile surfaces breathe visually by leaving irregular blank gaps between filled-in areas and around lines, also found its way into Ryman’s approach. Attention to his more severe works of the 1970s, at the expense of what came before, accounts in part for an all-too-pervasive belief that Ryman, preoccupied by intellectual concerns, has actively denied or is simply insensitive to aesthetic pleasures. One critic thus uncomprehendingly disparaged his later systematic paintings as the ‘polemical’ exercises of an artist bereft of ‘natural’ talent for the medium. The austere, fine-tuned techniques of Ryman’s mid-career all have their source, however, in this original unleashing of painterly instinct.

Around 1961, Ryman began to separate the various layers and physical properties combined in his early paintings. The greyish gesso washes that had been his first step after sealing the raw canvas became the space-defining clouds of tone already mentioned in reference to ‘A painting of twelve strokes . . .’, 1961 (no.21). There, white hyphens sit starkly on the pale ground, with the lack of transition between the unctuous paste and the desiccated gesso giving extra definition to each. All but obliterating the gesso in ‘An all white painting . . .’, 1961 (no.25), a smooth oil coat of a type new to his work lends the interior of a linen sheet the uniformity of a porcelain tile, while drawing attention to the hatch-like fringe of threads on its left, and the selvage on the right.

Of the smaller works of the early 1960s, many are on unstretched canvas. It is common for artists to do studies on canvas scraps, but in making these paintings – which are not studies – Ryman considered the weave, the part of the roll from
which they were cut and the various ways they responded to pigment as factors crucial to the paintings' composition. Like the frayed and sewn edges previously noted, the double red thread that often binds yard goods is an integral part of the work; a linear element made permissible to Ryman by being inherent in the materials. Coagulating paint buckles the surface of 'Untitled', 1962 (no.28) and irregularly contracts the fabric square, scalloping a bottom edge that is in effect overscored by the 'found drawing' of two red threads. The precedent for this 'stitching' in Ryman's work can be seen in 'Untitled', 1961 (no.20), an oil on Bristol board where the whole bottom and left margins have been dotted with paint squeezed directly from an uncapped tube. In the years 1960–2 Agnes Martin made a series of comparably sized paintings on linen in which she too left an unpainted canvas margin framed by thin bars or lines. Martin's works were stretched, however, and had an ethereal touch quite at odds with the bluntness of Ryman's; hers were intimations of a more perfect order, his the product of physical interactions. Extrapolating from these paintings, Ryman made a number of drawings of which 'Stretched Drawing', 1963 (no.31) is an example. For these he drew with different tools on various stretched textiles — in this case with charcoal on cotton — unstretched them on completing the drawing, and then restretched them until the original regularity of the matrix was restored, in the process demonstrating the pliability and graphic fragility of the 'rigid' image.

The last full burst of painterly colour in Ryman's work occurred in 1962. Beneath the buttery white curls that pattern the expanse of 'Untitled' (no.29) and 'Untitled' (no.30), and several similar works, are equally dense flourishes of saturated reds, blues, violets, ochres, and greens. For the next few years Ryman unsuccessfully attempted a series of gridded variants on this approach; he emerged at the end with a body of work cleansed of the decorative qualities that obscured his primary engagement with systematic mark-making, overtly structured formats and the tactility as well as optically determined distribution of paint.

Before the present exhibition, few people in the United States have been in a position to directly evaluate Ryman's work, particularly its early stages. Europeans have fared better, as they generally have with minimalist and post-minimalist artists of his generation. Over the last twenty years, mid-career shows in Holland, England, France and Switzerland have offered more coherent summaries than anything attempted in the United States. As a result Ryman is far better known and more highly regarded abroad than in his own country. Another consequence of this obscurity is the tendency, even among some supporters, to downgrade Ryman's pre-1965 work. The artist himself dates his maturity from mid-decade, recalling that 'one day in 1965 I felt I had just finished being a student. I felt very confident. I felt I knew exactly what to do. There was no hesitation, no more doubt.' Little hesitancy can be found in his first decade's output, however. Instead, between 1955 and 1962 one witnesses a steady production distinguished by clear formal intent and spontaneous facture. Whatever doubts he entertained — and self-doubt is the occupational hazard of any serious artist, young or old — Ryman's hands-on confidence protected the work against them. Doubt was never the content of his work, as it was in the case of so many first and second generation Abstract Expressionists.
For Ryman the first half of the 1960s were difficult times for other reasons. 'Pop was certainly the dominant avant-garde movement in painting in the early '60s', he once explained. 'All other approaches to painting were not considered. In fact painting was pronounced dead several times. A lot of lesser-known Abstract Expressionists, and there were a lot, did not know what to do. It was a shock thing. Many painters stopped painting and turned to sculpture because they felt they could not continue with the approach that they had been involved with. They felt that sculpture offered more of a way to further the problems that they had been involved with. I felt very much alone in those years. Even though some people may have liked my painting, they didn’t know what to do with it because it was not really the correct thing – it didn’t fit. Sometimes I myself couldn’t see where it was going to lead...’ In short, pictorial painting had returned as the vanguard style, temporarily shoving abstract art aside, and driving some of its practitioners to other media.

The supposed influence of Jasper Johns and Robert Rauschenberg on Ryman warrants mentioning at this point only because there was none. On more than one occasion, however, Rauschenberg’s one-colour paintings of 1951–2 have been cited as precedents for Ryman’s ‘all white’ paintings of several years later. This assumption is born of twenty-twenty critical hindsight and the curious belief that working artists are omniscient spectators of art history, as well as its busily preoccupied creators. In general, though, artists only remember the things they can use at a given moment, and Ryman, who was then still a musician, does not recall having seen Rauschenberg’s black and white paintings when they were shown at the Stable Gallery in 1953. (Since Ryman is absolutely candid about his early experience of and admiration for other artists there is no reason to suspect a selective memory in this or any other instance.) Furthermore, Rauschenberg’s involvement with monochrome was brief and inspired by contingency: the black paintings represent an urban archeology of surfaces; the white paintings hold up an opaque mirror to human movement. Concepts projected onto canvas, Rauschenberg’s experiments roughly correspond in that regard to the white ‘achrome’ reliefs Pietro Manzoni made between 1957 and 1963 and the monochrome paintings Yves Klein made between 1950 and 1962. Ryman was a stranger to all such neo-Dada stratagems.

Parallels between Ryman and Johns are more interesting, despite the lack of actual interchange. Once again, critical comparisons have tended to be superficially ‘stylistic’, and are usually based on a crude correlation between Ryman’s generally even patching of strokes and Johns’s patterned gesture, the latter being suggested as the ‘obvious’ source for the former. Although Johns’s earliest distinctive work dates – like Ryman’s – to 1955, Johns didn’t begin to show until 1957, by which time Ryman’s fundamental vocabulary had already expressed itself in small caseins and oils. Notably, the use of bold lettering as a key compositional element appears in Ryman’s work of 1957, just a year after it does in Johns’s ‘Gray Alphabets’ of 1956. Indeed the most striking thing about Ryman’s and Johns’s independent development is their congruence. Both men were born in 1930; both were raised in the South without significant youthful exposure to art; both settled in New York in the early 1950s, just as Abstract Expressionism became dominant. And, in that context, both looked at painting as something to be started from scratch. John’s famous dictum ‘Take an object, do something to it. Do something else to it’ even accords in some basic ways with Ryman’s step-by-step simplification of painterly craft and syntax. Johns’s disciplined ‘I do this, I do that’ sensibility and Ryman’s ‘take a
brush, take a canvas, take some paint' procedure in turn anticipate an artistic sea
change exemplified in the 1970s by Richard Serra's list of the sculptural verbs he
intended to put into practice, 'to roll, to crease, to fold, to store'.35 Between
Ryman's attitudes and Johns's there is a crucial disparity, however. Whereas the
'something' Johns initially took was almost always an image or thing-as-image,
the 'something' Ryman took was art supplies. And whereas Johns explored the
discrepancy between symbol and expressive means, Ryman asserted the literal
identity of sign and substance by insisting that the image of painting is first and
finally paint itself.

The advent of minimal and conceptual art in the mid-1960s created the climate in
which public attention finally fell on Ryman, but that circumstance has slanted
perceptions of his work. Minimalism never existed as a cohesive or self-conscious
movement. Nor did the alternative rubrics — Specific Objects, Primary Structures,
ABC Art, Systems Art — adequately define the phenomenon.36 Nevertheless, one can
speak of a pervasive tendency toward formal severity, serial production, and
impersonal facture if not outright manufacture. The primary spokespersons for this
tendency — which for want of a better label I will still call minimalism — were
theorist-practitioners Donald Judd, Robert Morris and Sol LeWitt. Insofar as all of
them were object-makers, minimal art was widely perceived as more of a three-
dimensional than two-dimensional project, even though LeWitt's frame of refer-
ence was primarily conceptual, hence fundamentally non-dimensional. By and
large, its advocates had little use for painting. In 1965, Judd asserted that 'half or
more of the best new work in the last few years has been neither painting nor
sculpture',37 thereby echoing Ryman's previously quoted description of the
dilemma painters then confronted. Neither then nor since has Ryman's own work
qualified for that 'in between' status, however: regardless of how much relief it has
taken on or how much hardware has gone into it, paint and its light-responsive
properties remain his principal concern.

Ryman's relation to LeWitt was personal and significant. Briefly Ryman's co-
worker at the Modern and for many years a close friend, LeWitt shared his belief in
the aesthetic promise of obvious propositions patiently articulated.38 They parted
company over the issue of realization. LeWitt was a literalist in his way, believing
that aesthetic concepts needn't necessarily be rendered physically. Nor did he think
it important whether the artist makes his or her own work or not.39 Ryman was also
a literalist, but in his way; painting, he affirmed, was a strictly visual art — everything
you could see mattered, nothing you couldn't see did — and the artist was the sole
agent for making the work 'visible'. Accordingly, he rejected the subcontracted
fabrication that was essential to minimalist sculpture, as he did LeWitt's notion that
ideas were machines for making art. These factors distinguish Ryman's aesthetic
from minimalism and conceptualism, although changes in taste brought about by
those currents opened his way professionally.40

This said, starting in 1965 Ryman did pursue avenues parallel to those travelled
by the minimalists, whose hallmarks are routinized handwork, modular formats
and programmatic production. The 'Winsor' paintings and related works of that
year inaugurate that series of series. All the 'Winsor' works were executed on sized
but unprimed linen with the same brand of cool white Winsor & Newton oil
pigment that lends its name to the group. The smaller, untitled paintings are nine to ten inches square, and the larger titled ones run to six and a half feet square. The structure of each results from the tiered addition of strokes pulled across the canvas in close-knit formation, leaving the warm brown of the canvas showing between the strokes, all around the margin, and wherever the paint skips. The size of brush employed varies, affecting the compositional density of the painting relative to the dimensions of its support. The 'Winsors' properly speaking were done with a two inch brush that could cover about six to ten inches before running out (no. 36). Dragged over the dry tooth of the fabric, the opaque white paste leaves a crackling or cotted edge, horizontal bristle tracks, and at the point where it overlaps with the next stroke there is often a thick crest. In 'Mayco' and 'Twin' (both of 1966, nos. 37, 38), Ryman followed the same procedure but employed a specially made twelve-inch-wide brush and thinner, smoother paint, so that the grain would be finer, and the white could be pulled all the way from one side to the other without reloading. The painterly field that results is extraordinarily active. Tripping on the lateral striations in the paint as it would in much magnified fashion off the louvres of a white venetian blind, light vibrates at an intense pitch. Where the paint is thickest, it creates reflective hot-spots and small contrasting shadows; where strokes merge in an upright seam an irregular counter-rhythm to the horizontal segments catches the eye so that the whole painting becomes a sliding grid of stiff white ribbons laid end to end.

Inevitably, the 'Winsors' summon to mind Frank Stella's 'Black Paintings' of 1959. Still a guard at The Museum of Modern Art in 1960 when the Stellas were first shown in Dorothy Miller's Sixteen Americans, Ryman was impressed by what he saw. 'A lot of the artists didn’t like those at all. They thought 'This is ridiculous'. I thought they were good paintings. I didn’t really know exactly what it was about, but I thought they were okay. '41 Ryman was already acutely conscious of making paint look as good on a painting as it did in the tube, to paraphrase Stella’s clever dictum.42 When, in 1965, Ryman adopted a method similar to the one employed in the 'Black Paintings', his choice of a different paint and application substantially altered the results. These differences in technical approach define their basic aesthetic differences as well. Stella is a theoretically inclined formalist; Ryman is a lyrical pragmatist. Ultimately, that is to say, all Stella’s efforts come down to the pursuit of pictorial invention; for him painting as an art exists in order to make form. That is its reason for being, and each individual painting is a demonstration of the spatial equations that govern painting as a historical endeavour. For Ryman, the art of painting is a search for particulars and distinctions; accordingly, composition is an experiment in the behavior of the medium and its sensory effect. Working hypotheses serve the painter but painting defies analysis, and its existence is defined only by the way of being of unique examples. Stella tries to make things happen to painting; Ryman paints in order to see things happen. In his 'Black Paintings' – and the later aluminium and copper ones – Stella adumbrated a total conformity of gesture and frame. There are neither subtleties of stroke, nor loose ends that matter, and each work in the series is obviously different in design. In the 'Winsors' Ryman sets his course, monitors the distribution of pigment and discovers in the brush tracks the structure of concentration and the fascination of random incident. All the works in the series have the same design; each depends for its identity on minor shifts in painterly emphasis.

Asked to explain his works' meaning, Stella replied 'What you see is what you see'.43 The 'Black Paintings' illustrate that principle.44 Ryman too has said that
painting is about what you can see, but in his case the act of seeing connects the eye to instinct and affect as well as intellect. At this point, Ryman’s other enthusiasms enter into play. Small paintings with the wide, waxing lozenges and large ones such as ‘Mayco’, 1966 (no. 37) are clearly informed by Ryman’s study of Rothko. Yet, rather than evoke a diffuse and remote ‘sublime’, the white lozenges in Ryman’s paintings mate hypnotic luminosity and tactile immediacy. Standing in front of them, the viewer is at once drawn toward and held in place by the surface of these paintings. Their radiance releases the spirit, but the spirit remembers its body and takes satisfaction in the tangible proportions the body registers.

The ‘Standards’ of 1967 mark a breakthrough for Ryman on two fronts. Departing from traditional canvas or paper supports for the first time, he painted them on metal, and their prompt exhibition at the Paul Bianchini Gallery in New York that year was his first one-person show. Ryman eventually recognized that a group of paintings he had originally conceived of as related but individual works was in reality a single multi-part entity. The misfortune of selling none of the panels during the show resulted in the good fortune of keeping them together. In its definitive presentation, the ‘Standards’ comprises 13 four by four foot squares of cold rolled steel loosely swabbed with enamel. To arrive at this number, Ryman painted some fifty such sheets, pulling a three inch brushstroke across them after chemically rinsing and preparing the surface of each so that the slippery paint would adhere. When errors occurred or the sweep of the brush lost its intensity, he destroyed the painting and started again, a reminder that the appearance of ease Ryman strives for in the finished work is far from easily achieved. One might compare the challenge he faced to that of a cellist, who in order to sustain a note, must maintain a constant pressure on the strings of the instrument as the bow arm moves back and forth across the bridge. Intended modulations of tone result from relaxing or increasing that pressure, while inadvertent ones happen when a string binds or the arm slackens its control. To the untrained ear, the difference in sound may be negligible, just as to the casual eye the proper spreading of enamel might be hard to distinguish stroke by stroke, but, as with the flow of musical notes, the overall feel of paintings like the ‘Standards’ depends upon such fluctuating exactitude. Even when the enamel is opaque as it is in related works on aluminium like ‘Untitled’, 1973 (no. 54), the one-time-only traversal of the surface is essential to the painting’s effect.

The corrugated-paper paintings such as ‘VII’, 1969 (no. 42) are similar in their fluidity to these works on metal, although the paint used was Enamelac, a flat white pigmented shellac primer, and the gesture was an oblique scumble extended in rows over several panels. Ryman then divided the five by five foot units into a number of subgroups composed of four, five, and seven parts displayed side by side. ‘I preferred the odd numbers,’ he said, ‘because you had a panel in the center with an odd number. The wall became the center with the even-numbered panels.’ For the generation-defining Anti-Illusion: Procedures/Materials exhibition at the Whitney Museum in 1969, which presented his work along with that of Philip Glass, Eva Hesse, Bruce Nauman, Richard Serra, and Richard Tuttle among others, Ryman assembled nine of these panels into a square. This format was subsequently broken back down into its horizontal layout and never reconstituted. This square
configuration had been used for another suite of multi-part paintings from the previous year, named the 'Classicos', after the brand of paper on which they were done. Typical of the series, 'Classico 5', 1968 (no. 41) is made of a dozen vertically orientated sheets taped to the wall three high and four across to create a horizontal composite that is partially covered with a synthetic polymer white. Once the paint dried, the masking tape was removed, exposing small rectangles of untouched paper beneath. This semi-regular pattern of notches reinforces the grid of the abutted pieces of paper and the sectional painted shape. In 'Lugano', 1968 (no. 40), named after another type of paper, these tape indentations have been omitted and the tilting white blocks spread loosely across the decal-edged matrix. The off-centre positioning of the blocks within the symmetrical framework of 'Lugano' and 'Classico 5', their oblong rectangularity (rare in Ryman's work), and the rain of white droplets that activates the blank bottom of the adjoined sheets (process traces rather than expressive drips), all give these works an internal expansiveness and painterliness unexpected in such a Spartan construct. The tendency of the semi-gloss white to flutter over and then drop back from the ivory surface of the paper gives these works an equally unexpected depth. As with most of Ryman's work, the recipe could not be more basic. Nor could the variations, involving the nonconcentric nesting of rectilinear elements and the wobble of brushed paint and laid-paper edges, be more vivid.

Rounding out this five-year period of work on regular formats are the 'Generals', 1970 (see no. 43). Although each of the fifteen paintings has slightly different dimensions, the standard measurement that distinguishes one in the series from the next is among their common characteristics. Thus the largest of the 'Generals' is fifty-five by fifty-five inches, and they decrease in size by approximately half-inch decrements, with the smallest being forty-four by forty-four inches. All feature a bright white square surrounded by a margin of dull exposed primer the width of the unbevelled three-and-a-half-inch wooden bars over which the cotton canvas is stretched. Applying one unsanded coat of enamel over four sanded ones, Ryman created this evenly glossy surface in the middle, framed by the 'matte, dry, kind of dead-looking' finish he valued in the Enamelac underpainting. Because they are fully symmetrical, although not precision-ruled, the paintings have neither tops nor bottoms, neither left nor right sides. Despite their minor differences in size, each canvas in the series may be substituted for any other — after their initial exhibition, the 'Generals' have never again been presented as a group — since every canvas incorporates the same contrast of absorbency and reflectiveness and thereby functions in the same efficient manner as a light-sensitive membrane.

Beginning with the 'Standard' series in 1967, Ryman increasingly experimented with unconventional materials in pursuit of new painting possibilities. Yet whenever the occasion has called for it, he has returned without hesitation to the more traditional means with which he started. The pigments and primer he has used include: oil, oil-based ink, Interference, casein, gouache, Lascaux acrylic, synthetic polymer, gesso, commercial enamels, baked ceramic enamels, Impervo enamel, Enamelac, Gripz, Elvacite, Varathane, vinyl acetate, rabbit skin glue, charcoal, chalk, india ink, ballpoint pen, graphite pencil, coloured pencil, pastel, and silverpoint. For surfaces and supports Ryman has employed newsprint, gauze,
Chemex coffee-filter paper, Kraft paper, wallpaper, wax paper, tracing paper, Bristol board, corrugated cardboard, handmade rag papers, cotton duck, linen, jute, Featherboard, plywood, hollow-core panels, polystyrene fabric, Plexiglas, Mylar, vinyl, Acrylivin, Gator board, fibreplate, fibreglass mesh, honeycomb fibreglass panels, Lumasite, anodized aluminium, cold-rolled steel, copper, plaster walls. For fasteners he has turned to masking tape, plastic straps, plastic stripping, staples, steel screws, steel flanges, steel pressure plates, aluminium tubing, and various other metal fixtures. The variety of substances and supports on this list is already astonishing and it is constantly being augmented.49 Given the fact that for some artists materials have expressive connotations or intrinsic virtues associated with either tradition or novelty, it is worth noting the unbiased deliberateness with which Ryman may choose between canvas and an industrial product like Gator board, ballpoint or silverpoint, the latter the preferred graphic tool of Renaissance draftsmen like Leonardo da Vinci and Michelangelo.

Every material, Ryman argues, has 'a built-in code', or way of 'reacting', that suits or ill-suits it for a given purpose.40 The choice of media thus preconditions aesthetic opportunity. Criteria for selection vary from the quality of light that bounces off a specific pigment or surface, to that surface's chromatic cast, to its pliability or that of the substance covering it. Charcoal pencil's particulate residue was appropriate to the coarse and relatively stiff weave of cotton used for 'Stretched Drawing', 1963 (no.31), but ballpoint-pen ink was the only graphic medium sufficiently elastic to adhere well to the polyester cloth used for another group of such drawings. Ryman occasionally savours the unlikeliness of a particular mix of ingredients, once confessing that he had set about making one piece because 'it would allow me to have paper and plastic and metal, which is kind of a strange combination for painting'.51 Exotic conjunctions of materials are rarely the trigger for ideas, however. Usually, expeditions to specialty hardware and paint stores come after a work has taken basic form in his imagination.

Just as the 'Classicos' were named after the stock on which they were painted, other works may have been called 'Allied' after a trucking firm, or 'Capitol' after a steel supplier, 'Acme' after a hardware store, or 'General' after a lumber company.52 Ryman prefers the concept of 'naming' to that of 'titling', since the former designates a work while the latter suggests a theme. (Seldom has he named a piece unless it was leaving the studio for exhibition, which explains why so many early works are still listed as 'Untitled'.) Some critics have misconstrued the names the artist has assigned paintings as proof of his latent mysticism. But the lofty names he has sometimes used are those commerce has given itself and Ryman's appropriation of them is evidence of his humour rather than of his hermeticism. At very least, Ryman is having fun with the ambiguity. Otherwise, these 'found' names are in keeping with an earlier method of identifying works by description — for example, two 1961 pieces called 'A painting of twelve strokes measuring 111/4" x 11 1/2" and signed at the bottom right-hand corner' and 'An all white painting measuring 9½" x 10" and signed twice on the left side in umber' (nos.21, 25) — and a way of insisting on the work's objective origins.53

A technical innovator, Ryman is not an art technician in the sense expressed by the kinetic gimmickry of the 1960s or, on a higher plane, by the machine-age aesthetics of the Constructivists. Reluctant to place undue emphasis on studio practice, he nonetheless views the options presently confronting painters as challenges to artistic invention on a par with the introduction of oil pigments in the Renaissance. 'A painting begins with materials [and] there are many more mat-
erials now available to painters compared to earlier times. He has to make decisions as to how to use them and what combination of materials to use depending on what result he wants to achieve. People are taught to see painting in a certain way, a way that seems correct. Although there is a basis for this correctness through the history of painting, each painter’s way of seeing is from a different perspective and it’s part of the painter’s task to expand our “way” of seeing to the furthest limits of the painter’s vision.54

Closer to the usual tenor of Ryman’s thinking on the subject is this deadpan recollection of his introduction to the European scene.

In the fall of 1968 I did my first show at Konrad Fischer’s Gallery in Düsseldorf. The exhibition consisted of six paintings on paper panels, nine panels to a painting. The panels were crated and shipped to Düsseldorf. In the process of getting them through customs (in order to avoid the duty that is paid on the ‘Art’ arriving in the country) Konrad had listed them as ‘paper’ and not as paintings. But the customs official said ‘But? It is expensive paper (handmade) so you will have to pay so much!’ ‘Yes, it is expensive paper,’ Konrad said, ‘but it has been used.’ The customs official agreed that it had been used. So the paintings arrived designated as ‘Used Paper.’ ‘Since that time I have wondered about the possibility of paintings being defined as ‘Used Paint’. Then there would be ‘Used Bronze,’ ‘Used Canvas, ‘Used Steel,’ ‘Used Lead’.55

Such a matter-of-fact attitude wonderfully demystifies art’s physical reality. Whether applied to a Matisse, a Rothko, a Ryman or a work by any other artist — thinking about a Velázquez as ‘used paint’ certainly sharpens the eye — the effect of this levelling adjective, far from diminishing mastery, makes the particular ‘use’ of paint by such artists all the more evidently individual and remarkable.

A primary impetus for Ryman’s recourse to metal plates was the desire for a self-supporting surface that would hug the wall. Having dealt extensively with the edge of stretched canvas, Ryman turned his attention to steel and aluminium because they all but removed the edge and collapsed the visual distance between the painted plane of the work and the painted plane that was its architectural foil. An alternative solution to the same problem of a similar date can be found in works such as ‘Adelphi’, 1967 (no.39). Enlarging upon his small unstretched canvases of 1961 and 1962, Ryman stapled unstretched canvas directly to the wall, and framed it with blue-chalked snap-lines, masking tape, and strips of wax paper. Pushing the issue a step further in the ‘Prototypes’ (1969–70), Ryman affixed thin plastic squares to the wall with four tape hinges and then painted the sheets with polymer white, allowing the stroke to spill over: when dry, the excess paint held the thin, almost weightless panel to the wall after the tape was torn away. Last in this procedural sequence were a number of impermanent paintings, one important group of which was made for Using Walls, an exhibition held at the Jewish Museum in New York in 1970. For that occasion, Ryman laid out a series of masking tape squares and applied his brush directly onto the wall inside them, making sure that it occasionally swiped the tape so as to activate the zone between the painted and unpainted wall, thus handling the once-virgin margin in these flat
walls much as he had the sides of stretched canvases. For the Rooms P.S. 1 show of 1976, Ryman pasted similarly scaled sheets of paper onto the chipped wall of a classroom in an abandoned public school turned Kunsthalle. It is a rare instance of his working in a ‘found space’ rather than a conventional studio or gallery.

Two other important series belong to this period. One, completed in 1973, consists of five suites of enamel on copper paintings. Unlike with previous works on metal, Ryman left large areas of the copper exposed and oxidized them, bringing out a range of hues from an almost black green to a richly mottled orange. 'Untitled', 1973 (no.52) is an example of the first kind, 'Untitled', 1973 (no.53), the unique instance of the second. The bleeding bluish-green edges of the glassy white square accent the colour of the ground, an effect resulting from baking the ceramic enamel at high temperatures. These paintings mark the first time in Ryman’s work that visual density is matched by a sensation of physical weight.

The last series of this period to be discussed was not the last to be undertaken. The 'Surface Veils' (1970–2) were painted at the midpoint between the 'Standards' and the later wall works. However, the importance of the series and the wide range of scale and different types of facture encompassed within it, account for speaking of them out of chronological order. But for their collective name, the 'Surface Veils' might be considered not a single group, but two related ones. The first consists of oils on fibreglass mesh (nos.44–8). A light, fragile material, the loose weave and amber hue of the fibreglass set off the white opacity of the paint, although the paint’s tendency to seep into its porous support engenders delicate halftones and textural nuances. Many of the small 'Surface Veils' have taped wax-paper underpinnings to prevent the paint from soaking through the mesh and sticking to the wall. To that extent they resemble 'Adelphi' (no.39) with its waxed-paper margins. But in the small 'Surface Veils', the waxed paper is less a framing device around the painting than a pictorial ground. The most complex of these, 'Surface Veil', 1970 (no.46) and 'Surface Veil 4', 1970–1 (no.48), feature overlapping wax-paper sheets and pattern of a torn lengths of tape that create a sequence of vertical spaces and tabs which one reads in relation to the centred fibreglass sheet and the white squarish shape tipped inside it. In their artfully economical fusion of structure and image, these are among the most beautiful paintings Ryman has ever made and may be compared with his friend Eva Hesse’s fibreglass, cheesecloth, and latex 'Contingent', 1969 (Australian National Gallery, Canberra). Compact without bulk or depth, tonally rich without spectral colour, each is a sandwich of superimposed and surrounding spaces, of the wafer-thin intervals separating their floating layers, and of lateral planar intervals between the edge of one surface and the next.

These smaller 'Surface Veils', photographed in clusters on Ryman’s studio wall just after their creation, were apparently conceived less as a formal series than as a constellation of unique albeit closely related pieces. By contrast, the four large 'Surface Veils' numbered I to IV are a series. Identical in their twelve by twelve foot size, all are painted in oil with short washy strokes (nos.49–51). Circumscribing them are traces of a blue chalk line the artist laid down to guide him as he filled in the unstretched canvas, since they were stretched only after completion. The overlapping or unpainted seams that define these works’ inner structure – like those that appear also in several smaller fibreglass versions – originate in the changes in direction of the artist’s gesture, which coincided with the beginning and end of successive working sessions. Traditional fresco also depends upon covering large areas over extended periods, and such divisions are part of the process, but in fresco they are disguised by the continuity of the forms depicted. In Ryman’s
Ryman was prompted to make the fibreglass 'Surface Veil' works by a desire to paint on something as close to nothing as possible, yet the tactility and tints of the filament only confirmed his conviction that nothing seen in a painting is extraneous to it. The artist's ambition just to 'paint the paint' has nonetheless persisted. 'I guess if you go into outer space,' he once speculated, 'and paint without gravity, it would be quite amazing. You could do something very clear without any problems — and it would stay together right where you put it, and it would float around and you could see the front and the back and the edge and it would all make sense.'

Beguiling as it is, this fantasy deviates from Ryman's belief that not only does everything that contributes to a painting count but that all that impinges on or responds to its presence matters too. In short, paint's inner space is always dependent on its 'outer space'. Otherwise a work of art reverts to being a mere coincidence of used materials instead of a full synthesis of them. Context does more than focus content, therefore; it completes it. 'My paintings don't really exist unless they're on the wall as a part of the wall, as a part of the room. Once it's down from the wall, the painting is not alive.'

Ryman is quick to point out, however, that 'I don't do site-specific paintings. A painting can go different places — in fact I like that.' Changes in location do not alter a painting's character so much as reveal it in all its essential detail and contingent attributes. (As do changes in vantage point. Whatever its depth or shallowness or relative size, a Ryman painting is meant be examined from every angle and every distance; no single view suffices to take it all in.) Only those situations that deprive a painting of sufficient illumination or breathing room hamper perception of its singular nature; in those cases it ceases to 'exist', in Ryman's terms, just as if it had been removed from the wall.

Usually Ryman's work has been hung in the standard type of modern gallery, known generically as the White Cube; often he has had a hand in designing the installation. During its brief history, the White Cube has come to stand for many things, and is consequently the topic of much debate as well as the target of angry denunciation. To some it represents a longed-for detachment from the world, and to others is damnable for precisely that reason. Its appropriateness to a given kind of...
work — and its inappropriateness as well — may, nevertheless, hinge on strictly practical artistic criteria. In Ryman’s case certainly, the attraction of the White Cube inheres in a straightforward extension of the principle of perceptual neutrality embodied in the white paint he uses. Of course, the kind of uncluttered space his paintings require is the exception to normal urban congestion. But if such emptiness is a contemporary luxury, it is a luxury Ryman democratically invites the public at large to experience. And he intends that space to be as unencumbered by specific metaphysical or political connotations as it is devoid of competing physical objects. Ryman’s work is therefore a conditioned but conscious response to a set of prevailing cultural conventions rather than an endorsement of any broad philosophical principle of exclusion. In another society with different conventions of design, the challenge of making paintings that fuse with and react to their environment would give rise to a very different set of answers. As intriguing a basis for speculation about new artistic forms as that prospect is, however, it is not a valid basis for second-guessing Ryman’s achievement in respect to what he has always treated as a working rather than symbolic context.

Far from contriving images of an ideal alternative to reality, Ryman is dedicated to making paintings that insist upon their own reality and on that of everything in their proximity. Dissatisfied with the various labels applied to the painterly genre he practices — Absolute, Non-Objective, Concrete, Aniconic — Ryman prefers to call himself a Realist. Realism, as he understands it, is equally distinct from representational art and abstraction. Representation is illusion, he argues. 'The aesthetic is an inward aesthetic, it’s as if the painting had its own little world and you look into it.' Although abstraction partially or wholly banishes figurative or spatial illusion, in Ryman’s view it nonetheless preserves representation’s flaw by confining itself to the ‘little world’ within the frame. In both instances, he observes, ‘the frame is there for good reason, to focus the eye into the picture.’ By abandoning the frame, painting opens itself up to its environment. ‘With Realism the aesthetic is an outward aesthetic instead of an inward aesthetic, since there’s no picture, there’s no story. And there’s no myth. And there’s no illusion, above all. So lines are real, the space is real, the surface is real, and there’s interaction between the painting and the wall plane unlike abstraction and representation.’ The artist’s preoccupation with that interaction has determined virtually everything he has done, and has distinguished him from all other painters of his day. Ryman’s oeuvre is the large result of that devotion to his own version of what Cézanne called ‘my little sensation’.

In his ingenious polemic against minimalism, ‘Art and Objecthood’, Michael Fried argued that formalist painting and sculpture were predicated on their self-containment — everything necessary to them was complete and constant within the painted or plastic framework — whereas minimalist art could only be experienced as a dramatic presence within an expanded and controlled context. By approaching the conventions of theatre, such art, Fried thought, betrayed its intrinsic nature as painting or sculpture. Ryman in essence agrees with Fried on his limited premise — formalist painting does depend on its enclosure — but disagrees with his conclusion that by acknowledging its situation, painting becomes something else. Quite the opposite; that acknowledgment releases painting from its pictorial bonds — if one were to turn the theatrical analogy around, it eliminates the proscenium arch of the frame — and allows painting to assert its own identity more fully. Writing in 1970, Mel Bochner spelled out the broader implications of the issue, linking Ryman’s concerns with those of process sculptors, environmental
artists, and practitioners of other post-minimalist modes:

Perception is geared to cancel out whatever is stray or unaccountable. 'Background' is characterized negatively as unclear, indistinct, and non-articulated. But background is neither margin nor fringe nor the implicit. It is only through the function of its 'opening out' that we are presented with a passage to the density of things.68

Articulate objects in clearly articulated settings, Ryman's paintings open up their inner space and 'open out' the background, even as that widening field of vision focuses back on their internal syntax.

The fasteners Ryman regularly incorporated into his work from 1976 are emphatically real points of contact between painting figure and environmental ground. Resembling the masking tape patches which they supplanted, these fasteners are a bridge to the wall — and back. Among the first works where they appear is 'Embassy I', 1976 (no.55) in which their dual function becomes explicit: besides attaching the work to the wall, they serve as spatial punctuation marks. In 'Untitled Drawing', 1976 (no.56) and 'Untitled', 1976 (no.58) they are connected by fine pencil lines that also block out areas in their immediate vicinity.

Strictly speaking these pastels and pencil on Plexiglas works are drawings. The deciding factor, for Ryman, is the presence of line rather than the media employed or the support — paintings on paper are paintings not drawings. With the exception of dates and signatures, graphic line never appears in his painting; where one is necessary to a composition it is supplied by the edge of another element. For instance, the abutting of the two panels of 'Untitled', 1960 (no.15) creates the hard vertical approached by the soft border of the painted area to the left. Comparison of this work with Newman's 'Stations of the Cross' (1958–66) is instructive. Whether clean or fuzzy, Newman's 'zips' are placed on the blank field in such a way that one must deny their sometimes perfunctory physicality in order to gain access to the optical space they bracket. To that extent, delineation in Newman still involves residual illusion or at least the expectation that one will agree to see things as they were meant to be seen rather than as they are. Ambiguity of this kind is incompatible with Ryman's 'Realism'. Instead of suggesting anything or reinforcing any other element, lines only serve their own end, which is to travel from here to there. They may be rigid as in 'Catalyst III', 1985 (no.68) or flowing as in 'Spectrum II', 1984 (no.67) or 'Courier I', 1985 (no.69). In either case, line is not a spatial artifice for defining the areas that fall on its either side, but an actual space within which a given material has been channelled.

The fasteners vary greatly from painting to painting in their physical prominence and hence in their compositional importance. Although jet black, the bolted pressure plates in 'Embassy I', 1976 (no.55) scarcely extend beyond the edge of the Plexiglas square they pin to the wall. 'Phoenix', 1979 (no.60), however, features four one and a half inch metal tabs that move the attaching screws well away from the work's handkerchief-size central plane. Cut from the same plate as the rest of the painting, these strips are visibly of a piece with it, despite their eccentric elongation and the sharp line created where the thick layer of paint covering the central square stops short of them. 'Advance', 1976 (no.57), by contrast, is held in
place by clear plastic straps that pass over the front of the painted white square. As thin as these paintings and their attachments are, the sides are active elements; thus the bluish edges of the vinyl straps in 'Advance' are a significant colour element, just as the bluish aura in the ceramic whites are in Ryman’s baked enamel on copper pieces, or the red, blue, and black tints of the Acrylivin support are in other works. Likewise, the wooden inlays, staining, or epoxy caulking that Ryman uses to edge his fibreglass panel works add important accents to the overall design, as does the shape of a screw’s head in other flush-mounted works, or the natural tint of metal used to make them, or the kind of paint with which they have been retouched.

Large canvases of this period were frequently cantilevered off the wall with bent steel plates or segments of boxy aluminium tubing. Usually these were mitre-cut at the end, introducing four diagonal grace notes into otherwise consistently squared-off compositions. By 1982 the industrial look of Ryman’s fixtures seem to have infused all other aspects of paintings such as 'Crown' 1982 (no.63), 'Access' (no.64) and 'Range' (no.65), both 1983. The bulk of these paintings, which may seem hard and overbuilt at first glance, is relieved by the shimmer of light on the brushed metal, the glaucous glow of the fibreglass and the wavering Enamalac ribbon that meanders along the squares’ outer edges, occasionally turning unexpected corners inward or doubling back over itself. In these paintings, as throughout Ryman’s work, extremes of strength and delicacy meet and are miraculously confounded. The thinnest of synthetic supports or most dilute of commercial paints may possess the greatest durability, and the heaviest of armatures scintillate with an evanescent light. Recognition of these latent properties contributes not only to our fascination with these paintings as formal inventions; it is also essential to their emotional aura, as is the unexpected and unifying discovery of any quality in its apparent opposite.

At the other extreme, Ryman’s metal and fibreglass plate paintings of 1985–6 are mounted with ordinary screws. Among the most elegant of these is ‘Administrator’, 1985 (no.71), with its blank white interior, thin black border, and asymmetrically spaced screw heads and perforations. As in many other works, the pairing and spacing of these points suggest lines that might but do not actually run between them. The accumulation of those imaginary lines creates a template, or grid. In the mind’s eye one can trace armatures over the blank interior of ‘Administrator’ and, by dropping this or that potential coordinate out of one’s mental picture, endlessly restructure the painting. ‘Administrator’ and similar paintings are like a Mondrian whose internal spatial divisions have been erased leaving only a series of marginal ellipses marking opposite ends of the bars which formed that structure.

Sparest of all the group is ‘Expander’, 1985 (no.70). Brushed with a suave milky white, this comparatively small work is bolted down at four points about halfway between the middle of the plate and its quadrilateral rim. Previously peripheral or subordinate to the field of Ryman’s paintings, in this case the fasteners have become the work’s focal point. Despite initial appearances, however, the screws are not in line with the square’s major coordinates, and the slight asymmetry of their positioning gently destabilizes this most stable of shapes. Pinioned and framed by the crisp black dots, the blank centre of ‘Expander’ holds, but also shifts its axis as it hovers in front of the contrasting white of the wall.

Around the same year as he made ‘Expander’, Ryman went back to vertical formats for virtually the first time since 1958. A group of these works were painted
on aluminium sheets screwed flush to the wall at top and bottom but folded at
eye-level, or just above, in such a way as to project a plane several inches out
toward the spectator. Like the thoroughly flat fibreglass variants such as 'Express',
1985 (no.74), these aluminium paintings were then horizontally divided into
painted and unpainted zones of unequal proportion. Hans Hofmann’s famous
concept of ‘push-pull’ acquires literal dimension in these works. Tension between
the various sections in works such as ‘Credential’, 1985 (no.73), does not result
from the advancing and retreating of saturated hues, as it does in Hofmann’s work,
but from the optical competition between polished metal surfaces that ‘whiten’
and come forward under glancing electric light, and painted white surfaces that jut
out, only to tuck back in, throwing a shadow that becomes integrated into the
composition. If the dynamics of Ryman’s pulsing blocks recall Hofmann, their aura
inevitably conjures up Rothko as well, although Ryman has seemingly bleached,
trimmed, and tucked down Rothko’s amorphous clouds of colour. ‘Charter’, 1985
(Art Institute of Chicago), another of the cantilevered aluminium paintings, subse-
quently became the key unit in a series that collectively bears its name. Unique in
Ryman’s production, the ‘Charters’ were commissioned to be installed together in
a single room. Even so they are not site-specific, nor, in the tradition of Mondrian’s
utopian ‘Salon de Madame B . . . Dresden’, 1926 (Staatliche Kunstmuseumngen Dres-
den, Gemäldegalerie Neue Meister) were they conceived as elements for a holistic
architectural environment. They are much closer to the suite of paintings Rothko
made for the Seagram Building, now in the Tate Gallery, or the group housed in the
Rothko Chapel in Houston. In both cases Rothko attempted a symphonic grandeur;
Ryman’s cycle is pure chamber music.

Not only has Ryman painted for and on walls, his paintings have on occasion
simulated them. Commissioned in 1975 to make a work for a villa in Italy, Ryman
discovered on arriving at the site, that moisture made it impossible to work with
the existing plaster surface. The solution in ‘Varese Wall’ was to create a free-
standing wooden partition safely removed from the seepage. In 1984, Ryman
returned to the problem with ‘Factor’, a strutted rectangle floating parallel to and
out from the gallery wall like a display panel. Two other works conceived along the
same structural lines were attached at right angles to the wall like buttressed ledges.
Hovering above the floor and supported at the front edge by two slender rods,
‘Pace’, 1984 (no.66) may strike one as an eccentric modern table. As always, the
source of its unusual orientation is forthright speculation — ‘I was thinking about
how it would be if a painting was horizontal to the wall rather than being hung
vertically, like most painting.’ Regardless of how closely his work may approach
the status or appearance of another order of physical or aesthetic objects, it remains
painting by virtue of the emphasis placed on the receptivity to light of the painted
surface, which in this case is a cool glossy white that looks up at the viewer instead
of the viewer looking ahead to it. The improbable rightness of this deft flipping of
the paradigms of easel-painting is altogether typical of Ryman’s work: the enjoy-
ment it brings is that of something obvious in its strangeness and strange in its
revealed obviousness.

Recently, Ryman’s flat supports have given way to experiments with bowed
surfaces. ‘Journal’, 1988 (no.76), for example, is composed of two sheets of pliant
Lumasite joined in the middle by moulding and pushed away from the wall at the
top and bottom by large steel clamps. The central plastic seam running between the
two halves bears his signature with the letters widely spaced, so that at a distance
they almost look like the separations between the knuckles of a hinge. In keeping
with Ryman’s basic aesthetic, that seam is simultaneously a functional and a formal element, a point of contact with the wall and a line covered with lines. As one advances toward the painting and the inscription on it becomes legible, one is made aware of the pronounced concavity of the whole structure. Once again, though, the particular form of this relief is dictated not by an interest in novel constructs or materials but by the play of light and shade over its gently enveloping arcs. Like many of his other paintings, ‘Journal’ reminds one of Ryman’s partial affinity with the ‘light and space’ aesthetic of Robert Irwin, but while Irwin’s glistening saucers flirt with optical illusion, Ryman’s squares and rectangles stick to the readily verifiable facts of their making and presentation.

Periodically, Ryman will return to previous ways of doing things to explore the ramifications of a change in procedure. As is normal for a painter of his age and long experience, the reciprocal influence of old ideas on new, and new practices on old has increasingly developed as the crucial dialectic of his work. In Ryman’s early work richly hued underpaintings were almost the rule, but they disappeared by the early 1960s and were only partially reintroduced later in the decade, for example in the ‘Prototypes’ (1969–70), where Ryman used a red primer on his support but then buried it beneath the white topcoat. After the mid-1970s, painted grounds became common again – and visible – but sombre colours replaced the deciduous greens, clay browns and mint blues of the past. Umber and earth-red primers were applied to stretched canvas works such as ‘Monitor’, 1978 (no. 59) and to steel-plate paintings such as ‘Archive’, 1980 (no. 61). In both cases, they accentuated the coolness of his whites, the tightness of their overall weave, and the graphic flare of individual strokes that strayed into corners or otherwise untouched areas.

Earlier compositional problems are also revisited in Ryman’s recent work. ‘Context’, 1989 (Claude Berri, Paris) is to some extent a reinvestigation of the planar divisions found in the first ‘Surface Veils’, but the fault lines that separate painted areas in the latter have widened greatly in the former, as if the brushed sections were tectonic plates moving further and further apart. This sense of internal realignments is heightened by the asymmetrical placement of the painting’s quite conspicuous wall mounts which gravitate to the left, while the painted areas open to the right. Poised on two wooden rectangles, ‘Initial’, 1989 (no. 77) has the same off-side support as ‘Context’, but only at the bottom. At the top, the Gator board square is flattened against the wall without visible attachments, placing further emphasis on paired blocks, and the precarious imbalance of the whole. ‘Locate’, 1989 (no. 78) meanwhile reincorporates the stacked bar strokes of Ryman’s small unstretched painting of 1961 (no. 21) into a larger, more heavily impasted, and now rigid ground.

Like the recent works such as ‘Context’, Ryman’s ‘Versions’ series of sixteen works involves spaces that seem to be at once opening up and filling in. Unlike so much of the work of the mid-1980s, however, the ‘Versions’ are suffused by an overall softness that derives from their pliant fibreglass support, the putty and tin casts of their underpainting, the fineness of the brads that affix them, and the curled, translucent border of wax paper that runs along their upper edge. Big or small – they measure from ninety-one by eighty-four inches to thirteen by thirteen inches – Ryman has treated their painted surface the same way, applying a few cottony tufts of white in several places and then adding to them without any predetermined plan until they swell into shapeless masses that gather and meld as they grow out from their scattered nuclei (nos. 79–81). Subly modulated internally, and mounted with eye-teasing attentiveness to the transition between paint-
ing and wall, 'Versions VII', 1991 (no.79) revises long-familiar aspects of Ryman’s work. Yet a new element, the undercoat of pearlescent paint called Interference, contributes not only to their softness but also to their spatial unpredictability. Under direct light, Interference takes on a metallic sheen: under indirect light it turns a pinkish tan. 'Versions XVI', 1992 (no.81), one of the small paintings prepared in this manner, shows the reasons for Ryman’s interest, for as the light rakes it and the surface gradually undergoes this tonal change, the fibreglass sheet, although flat, appears to curve inward like ‘Journal’ (no.76) and the woolly whites spread over it start to lift from their optically warping ground. One of several paintings made this way, and one of a great many more paintings made over the nearly forty years of Ryman’s artistic life to date, this work cannot be said to summarize his career. As punctuation to this exhibition and essay, it should therefore be read as a comma or ellipsis rather than a full stop. Still, it embodies the inherent characteristics of every other Ryman painting: no-nonsense intelligence, an appreciation of useful tradition, and a self-refreshing spirit of ‘what if’. These essential qualities along with a rare and diffident integrity inform one of the most sustained bodies of work in modern painting – and, for the viewer, one of the most sustaining as well.

III

Writing about Ryman is notoriously difficult. While words may point out formal and painterly subtleties, they can never fully render them. Transcription is not translation. Yet, making such distinctions is of paramount importance in discussing Ryman, given that generalizations about his painting – including those that are truly apt – tend to blur the details that express its life-force, making his work sound like an aesthetic exercise rather than the imaginative pursuit that it is. The first step toward appreciating Ryman, then, is to acknowledge the limits of the language at our disposal and so recognize painting’s essential independence from what can be said about it.

The second is to listen carefully to the artist. For that reason Ryman’s statements have played a large part in this account of his work. If I have taken him at his word, it is because his word is good, and, in its modest but insistent way, cautionary. In this, I am not unmindful of the dangers of the intentional fallacy; that is, the misleading identification of an artist’s conscious aim with his actual accomplishment. I am more wary, however, of a series of assumptions basic to much discourse on modern painting, assumptions that, when applied to Ryman, may inhibit the viewer’s first-hand experience of the work and direct their thinking into a maze of theoretical conundrums. Ryman’s art is especially vulnerable to these digressive intellectual habits which derive in part from criticism’s general incapacity to deal simply with simple things. At the extreme, one might even say that modern criticism hates the very clarity that so much modern art aspires to. Otherwise, those problematic assumptions originate in the crisis of formalist criticism in the 1970s.

Symptomatic of that crisis is the abiding obsession such critics have had with the idea of painting’s auto-extinction. The apocalyptic tendency to which they collectively belong has several sects, all of which seek to give positive meaning to the present by forecasting negative scenarios for the future. Justification for this practice has been found in the earliest phases of abstraction’s development. Emblematic
of the beginnings in which some have divined modernism’s end, is Kasimir Malevich’s ‘Suprematist Composition: White on White’, 1918 (The Museum of Modern Art, New York). To the majority of the lay public, this is painting at its lowest common denominator and lowest ebb, a reductio ad absurdum of vanguard pretensions, and a bad joke. To the more informed viewer, Malevich’s work is an artistic re plus ultra — or re minus ultra — only sublime rather than ridiculous in its economy. For some of its admirers schooled in the ideology of the period, moreover, ‘Suprematist Composition: White on White’ predicts the demise of easel painting and heralds a new postaesthetic age. Within this community of opinion, the fact that Malevich went back to figuration and so turned away from the glorious future his Suprematist paintings indicated lends the story of Soviet modernism a tragic dimension but does not alter its deterministic message. Meanwhile, all artists who have followed in his footsteps or broken a parallel path have been dogged by the expectation that sooner or later they will reach the end of the line implicit in his work — and stop. Paintings done since then are regarded by those convinced of this inevitable eventuality as poignant symbols of a momentarily cheated fate.

‘Suprematist Composition: White on White’ is cited as an influence on Ryman in virtually all the literature. In actuality, though, Ryman paid little attention to this particular work which hung at The Museum of Modern Art under his guardianship, and even less to the aesthetic doctrine of which it was a talisman. The problem from his point of view was precisely the ingrained symbolism of the piece. ‘I had seen Malevich’s paintings at the Museum of Modern Art,’ he once recalled, ‘but really he had no bearing on what I was doing, either in the ’50s or the ’60s. In fact he was more like a curiosity to me. I thought of him more in terms of Surrealism.’ The substitution of the label ‘Surrealism’ for ‘Suprematism’ amounts to a Freudian slip at the expense of Suprematism, since in the way Ryman meant it, Malevich’s art was basically pictographic, and involved a sur, or beyond, realist representation of ideal form in transcendental space.

Here, as in the case of Rauschenberg or Johns, it is obvious how gross formal comparisons between works can lead to false explanations of their genesis and meaning. The mere existence of a precedent says nothing about its effects, if any, and in this instance it seems that the example Malevich set Ryman concerned what not to think about or do. As Lucy Lippard observed, the myth of definitive monochrome abstraction has two versions; one white and one black. At one extreme, Malevich’s Suprematist paintings mark the birth of the cosmos of pure geometric abstraction. At the other extreme, Reinhardt’s late paintings seem like black holes belonging to the devolutionary stage of this process when energy totally implodes. Evacuating form from his grided formats while dimming the lights to a maddeningly low level, Reinhardt worked on canvas after slyly nuanced canvas, all the while proudly declaring ‘I’m merely making the last paintings which anyone can make’. For Reinhardt, painting was what was left over after you took everything away. When it came to what that meant in practice, Reinhardt’s litany of disallowals was long and absolute:

No lines or imaginings, no shapes or composing or representings, no visions or sensations or impulses, no symbols or signs or impastos, no decoratings or colorings or picturings, no pleasures or pains, no accidents or readymades, no things, no ideas, no relations, no attributes, no qualities — nothing that is not of the essence.

Ryman would concur with some of the items on this list but not the sentiment
behind it. An erudite iconoclast and dialectician, Reinhardt denounced those who refused to sacrifice all to their modernist faith, and so banish all vestiges of abstraction’s former compromises with representation. Ryman, on the other hand, approached the matter from an equally strict but affirmative point of view. Instead of concerning himself with an impossible Platonic perfection, he turned his sights on an achievable – and elegant – simplicity. At what point, he asked practically, did a painter have enough to work with – and nothing superfluous?

The positive emphasis of this formulation is significant to the extent that most other explanations of reductive painting emphasize what has been eliminated from the artist’s options. Even the use of adjectives like ‘reductive’ in this context is problematic, since it presupposes that painting has inherent qualities that painters of our day have removed from it, and that the history of modern art is an accounting of those subtractions. Or, as Thomas McEvilley recently argued in defence of this view, ‘No absence is simply absence; absence is already a kind of narration.’ Regardless of how that narrative is written, or how these subtractions have been justified in the name of purifying art’s spirit or form, so long as abstract painting is explained primarily in relation to its evolution away from its historical origins, its latent content will remain one version or another of loss, denial or heroic abstinence.

Reversing this perspective, Ryman has identified the elements sufficient to the medium in order to reveal the beauty of that sufficiency. What Ryman calls ‘Realism’ involves more than the actuality of the object, however; it entails jettisoning much of the philosophical and historical freight that modern art accumulated in the formative stages but now carries into maturity at ever greater cost to its vitality. Nevertheless, Ryman has been a favourite ‘contemporary’ painter among critics of an historicist bent and has been called the ‘last modernist’ by one of his most dedicated supporters from this camp, Yve-Alain Bois. Despite this, Ryman has explicitly rejected the idea that we are witnessing the finale of modernism. ‘Abstraction is a relatively recent approach to painting. I think abstract painting is just beginning. All possibilities are open to it in ways we can’t envision. I think painting is moving too slowly, but of course it is the nature of painting to evolve slowly. I feel that the possibilities of painting are so great, and that we’ve just scratched the surface.’ Why, then, should we not believe him when he is providing the proof? Furthermore, why not lend a receptive ear to his corollary admonition that ‘a painter is only limited by his degree of perception. Painting is only limited by the known.’ The known includes styles of thinking as well as styles of making, the academy of ‘whys’ as well as the academy of ‘whats’. Neither a vanguard artist nor a traditional one, Ryman wants to free painting both from its past and from antiquated visions of its future.

Although he has followed the protocols of essentialist painting, Ryman’s polite refusal to subscribe to its dogmas is grounded in his trust of aesthetic instinct. That trust completes his bond with Rothko.

[Rothko’s] work might have a similarity with mine in the sense they may both be kind of romantic, if you want it. I mean in the sense that Rothko is not a mathematician, his work has very much to do with feeling, with sensitivity. The word romantic can be taken in several ways, I guess. I mean in the good sense, in opposition to the mathematician, you know the theorist, the person who has everything worked out beforehand. Ryman’s identification of himself and Rothko as ‘romantic’ doesn’t tally with the
frequent impression of him as the perfectionist proponent of an impersonal aesthetic. But the discrepancy between his self-image and public perception may be explained by a basic cultural bias rooted in a traditional opposition of mind and body, intellect and feeling, measured articulation and expressive spontaneity.

Such a dichotomous view of human nature results in descriptions of Ryman’s painting that treat it as a product of a purely cerebral sensibility, neglecting the richness of paint in one particular work, or its luminosity in another. More incomprehensibly still, some feel compelled to condemn the work’s quietness as withholding. But Ryman is not playing hard-to-get. The presumption that he is, coupled with a frustrated insistence on categorical antitheses in art, are signs of puritanism in revolt against itself. The special irony of such frustration being vented on Ryman is that his work dissolves these bedevilling oppositions and offers release from a direction we seldom expect it – not, that is, from an anxious or brooding romanticism but from an utterly serene one.

While the radical spareness of Ryman’s work stirs resentment in some viewers, in others it provokes sympathetic but equally inappropriate metaphysical rumination. Typical of this reaction is the following from a recent article by Dan Cameron:

Suddenly [Ryman’s] paintings weren’t really paintings anymore; they were like pictures in the magnified sense of representing an ideal about painting which simply cannot be realized in any other way. It may seem simplistic but I have always imagined Ryman chose the format of the white painting in part because he knew that it would draw out the viewer’s inclination to project one’s desire across its surface. It is almost as if the artist were proferring us a vessel in which signification can be conveyed while asking us to go fill it ourselves.79

In his essay, McEvilley said much the same thing, adding a critique of Clement Greenberg’s formalist theories:

Greenberg’s doctrine of the purely optical had somehow allowed for concepts such as Newman’s ‘stretched red,’ in which ‘what you see’ is supposedly nothing less than the sublime. The ‘purely optical’ then becomes a vehicle for all sorts of metaphysical content to be supplied by the viewer, who would likely know from verbal supplements, however, what the artist intended by a particular abstract field. In this context, to say, ‘The painting is exactly what you see’ is merely to point to a blank that needs to be filled in. What the statement communicates powerfully, however, is the artist’s reluctance to fill it in himself. Indeed, in and of itself the work may suggest many things, sparking a train of associations that go far beyond raw perception. I don’t think Ryman can eliminate that aspect of his art merely by denying it.80

Both these statements persuade to the extent that they invoke the commonly held belief that art is ultimately validated by the references it makes to meaningful things outside itself – that is by its mimetic or symbolic content. Both convert Ryman’s self-sufficient ‘Realism’ back into an evocative ‘Abstraction,’ leaving the question of why he is so reticent to identify his works’ ‘hidden’ subject matter open to still more vexing guesswork.

Ryman is well aware that it is beyond his power to prevent people from reading extrinsic things into his work. ‘I have no control over what someone sees. You can
fantasy [sic] about things. You can look at clouds and see faces in the clouds, that
kind of thing. That’s not really there; it’s just the imagination of the viewer." As far
as Ryman is concerned, the mystical auras perceived by some in his paintings have
the same status as the faces seen hidden in clouds. And they are an impediment to
understanding in that they place greater value on looking for something that is not
there than on seeing what is.

Far from evading the question of his work’s meaning, Ryman has answered it on
numerous occasions. 'The poetry of painting has to do with feeling' he declared. 'It
should be kind of a revelation, even a reverent experience. If you can tune into the
frequency of what you are experiencing, you come away feeling very good, you
feel sustained." The conditions Ryman sets for the viewer are only stated so that
such a revelation can be made accessible on its proper terms — and those terms are
unequivocally actual. Pictorial or illusionistic art requires a suspension of disbelief;
Ryman’s ‘Realism’ requires a suspension of wanting — that is, a letting go of
conditioned need and conditioned responses. The reverence he is after is a rever-
ence for things of this world, and it is an exceptional experience to the degree that
we are so unaccustomed to the level of concentration it requires. Any considera-
tions of a formal, philosophical, or historical nature are secondary to that goal and
contrary to it when they preoccupy and distract the viewer’s mind. 'Whether it is
abstract or representational, that’s what [painting] is, that’s what it does. Every-
things else about it — the why of it, the what and the when — the technical aspect of it
is interesting and necessary for deeper understanding. But that’s not the purpose, or
the goal of painting. The primary experience is that experience you receive of
enlightenment."83

Enlightenment, in Ryman’s sense, consists of unhurried pleasure taken in the
here and now. And, by its nature, pleasure can never be made intellectually respec-
table. That is why it is so disconcerting when presented in its ‘pure’ form.84 Pure in
the sense of unalloyed, rather than in the sense of puritanically cleansed, for Ryman
is not among modernism’s ascetics. Turning the tables on a Protestant culture that
subordinates enjoyment to a higher good, Ryman is a gently subversive hedonist,
intending nothing more or less than to delight himself and others, with no strings
attached. His sympathy for Matisse resides in this above all — and his lack of
involvement with most of the geometric abstractionists with whom he has been
compared. To be sure, Ryman’s gentle craving for Matissean ‘luxe, calme et volupté’ is
satisfied in ways consistent with an American commitment to plainness. Devoid of
excess and radiantly balanced, Ryman’s paintings put one ‘in the place just right’,
to borrow a phrase from the Shaker hymn from which this essay also takes its title.
Nonetheless, his means of thus situating the viewer is as exquisite in its way as
Matisse’s — and as unapologetic in its direct appeal to the senses.

The idyllic longing Matisse’s work embodies is ultimately insatiable. Therein
also lies the bittersweet essence of Ryman’s work. His art’s poignancy doesn’t
depend on the imminent conclusion of the painterly tradition to which it belongs
but instead on the unrelenting impulse to which it responds as a part of that
tradition. Among Ryman’s early works — and among his favourites — is a casein on
paper of 1958 (no. 6). Unique in his oeuvre, it is inscribed with a text that reads:
‘THE PARADOXICAL ABSOLUTE’. When asked what he intended by that, the artist
answered that the idea had just come to him without warning. ‘I had been reading
some philosophy and I had been thinking about the word absolute and I was trying
to get at the meaning of it. It had to do with painting also. I was thinking about
painting in general and it just seemed paradoxical.”85 In the context of what Ryman
has made between then and now, the paradox appears obvious, but the clarity with which he rephrased it in paint is dazzling. Seemingly absolute in the moment of experience, true pleasure demands to be repeated as soon as that moment passes, and just as soon we know that the means of finding it again have already changed. The paradox of pleasure is that the feeling desired is ever the same in its totality, but the source of that feeling can never be the same twice. So it is with painting. Accordingly, Ryman has pursued the pleasures of proportion, light, touch, colour, and space in a completely intuitive manner - since intuition, not reason, is the only faculty capable of measuring its fulfilment. And he has been generous in this endeavour. Painting after painting, Ryman provides us an ecstatic tranquillity that is constant in its intensity because subtly but constantly surprising in its guise. We have only to accept that offer.
NOTES

Material for this essay came from a number of sources cited below, and was enriched by reviewing the writings of numerous critics not directly quoted or otherwise mentioned in my text. Excerpts from the work of some of these critics appear in the Chronology. Other than that, I drew heavily on information gathered by Catherine Kinley at the Tate Gallery, and Lynn Zeitelsky, Alison Palmer and Nortico Fuka at The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Linda Norden, who is currently compiling a catalogue raisonné of Ryman’s paintings, was also very generous in offering this project the benefit of her research. And, I should add, like anyone writing on Ryman, I owe a special debt to the detailed formal analysis of the artist’s oeuvre by Naomi Spector, published in several instalments during the 1970s. Finally I must thank Robert Ryman for his careful responses to my persistent questioning on several occasions over a period of several years.

1 The little that is known about Ryman has prompted some critics to draw unwarranted inferences about his character from his paintings. The image of the artist as a man driven by a compulsive fastidiousness is one of the more common of such misconceptions.

2 Robert Ryman in taped conversation with Robert Storr, June 1992. (Referred to as Ryman to Storr, 1992.)

3 Ibid.

4 Ibid.

5 Given current controversy about public funding of the arts, it is worthwhile noting that prior to the now much embattled National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) two major programmes were seriously considered but never implemented. The first was the so-called GI Bill which granted veterans financial support toward their post-service education. Just as many of the most important artists of the Abstract Expressionist generation were only able to survive and develop because of the GI Bill, many of the major figures of the next generation studied and supported themselves with assistance from the GI Bill. Ryman originally intended to use this benefit this way but gave up when he found the schools open to him to be too limiting, hence the necessity of self-financing his self-education.


7 Ryman to Storr, 1992.

8 Mattisse, ‘Touer to Henry Clifford’ in Jack D. Flam (ed.), Motifs en Art, New York 1978, p. 120.


10 For a more detailed listing of works shown at The Museum of Modern Art and in other New York galleries during this period, see the Chronology.

11 Ryman to Storr, 1992.


14 Ibid., p. 90.

15 Ibid., p. 89.


18 Ibid.

19 Achilles Benito Oliva, ‘Robert Ryman Interviewed’, Domus, Feb. 1973, p. 50. Ad Reinhardt wrote numerous texts on the symbolism of black, but he also relied upon it because of its virtue as a ‘non-colour’ much as Ryman chose white for its neutrality.


23 As the late critic Barbara Reise noted, circles are, like squares, entirely symmetrical. Thus they offered the opportunity to his usual format in a handful of works on paper. Their traditional use as symbols for centredness and the cosmos lends them connotations problematic to an art that is strictly non-referential and non-ironic.

24 Ibid.

25 His only other major American museum show, a retrospective on paintings of the 1980s and included just two works from before 1965. His only other major American museum show, at the Dia Art Foundation in 1988, concentrated on paintings of the 1980s and included just two works from before 1965.

26 Although a critical advocate of Ryman’s art, Robert Pincus-Witten dismissively describes it as the ‘pre-executive choice’ of strokes that he grudgingly acknowledges do remain within the definition of what might reasonably constitute the act of painting. By contrast Marden’s brushstrokes are a function of process; they rely on the very experience of painting. Before anything else his strokes are aesthetic gestures, despite the reductive orientation of his work. Ignoring for now the misrepresentation of Marden’s work, suffice it to say that what Pincus-Witten dismissively describes as the ‘pre-executive choice’ of strokes that he grudgingly acknowledges do remain within the definition of what might reasonably constitute the act of painting are, in fact, the considerate marks of an artist who had devoted years to intuitional ‘praxis’ of exactly the kind the critics ascribe to Marden. The paintings of 1951–65 undeniably prove the difference then and now between these two artists don’t hang upon the absolute dominance of ‘theoretical’ ideas over ‘natural’ sensibility or vice versa. It has to do with when and how accidents and process-derived discoveries, methodically pursued, transcend or are subordinated to style. In short, it is a matter of the dominance of ‘theoretical’ ideas over ‘natural’ sensibilities. It might reasonably constitute the act of painting. Thus it is a matter of the dominance of ‘theoretical’ ideas over ‘natural’ sensibilities. It might reasonably constitute the act of painting. It might reasonably constitute the act of painting. It might reasonably constitute the act of painting.
Twenty years later Thomas McEvilley makes a similar comparison, implicitly suggesting, without actually stating, that Ryman followed Johns's lead. 'But others see a slow evolution,' he writes in his 1979 book Art and Objectivity. In a later essay, McEvilley expands on this assertion, arguing that Ryman's work is not simply an extension of Johns's, but rather a distinct evolution of Johns's ideas. 

In his interview with David Zwirner, Ryman explains this habit of naming work for other companies that supply his materials, but the examples he offers do not always correspond to the actual materials in the works themselves. See the notes at the bottom of this page for more information on this practice.
In 1968 E.C. Goossen curated an exhibition for The Museum of Modern Art, New York, entitled The Art of the Real, which included works ranging from Georgia O’Keeffe through Robert Motherwell and Pollock to Johns, Kelly, Judd and Mangold. Surveying the artistic horizons in this way Goossen sought to identify an emerging sensibility in American art that took art out of the realm of transcendental expressionism’s feeling and relocated it in the ‘real’ world. ’American artists,’ Goossen wrote in the catalogue, ‘have taken a sudden interest in what they are about and the desire to confront experiences and objects we encounter every day with an exact equivalence in art… Today’s “real” makes no appeal to the emotions, nor is it involved in uplift. Indeed, it seems to have no desire at all to justify itself, but instead offers itself for whatever superficiality it is worth—in the form of the simple, irreducible, immediate object. ’Goossen’s use of the term ‘real’ constitutes another tentative definition of what has since generally come to be known as minimalism. To that extent, it counts as an incidental precursor to Ryman’s use of the term ‘realist’ except insofar as Ryman believes that such simple, irreducible, irredeemable, object[s] are indeed capable of expressing elevated emotion. See E.C. Goossen, Art of the Real 1968–1969, The Museum of Modern Art, New York 1968.

Anictonic is the term used by Marcia Hafif, who has been a cogent advocate of such painting and has begun to lay down the kinds of principles that Ryman, in a very particular sense, applies. See Marcia Hafif, ‘Robert Ryman: Another View’, Art in America, Sept. 1979, pp. 88–9; and ‘Getting on With Painting’, Art in America, April 1981, pp. 11–19. Bayly in her book about their desire to understand the direction by means of modernist discourse, to undermine it and exhaust it through excess. Since Bois has previously announced that he is writing his text ‘under sign of Karl Kraus’ and in his other essay on Ryman, ‘Surprise and Equanimity’ (Pace Gallery, New York 1990), he begins with a long digression on Barthes and Bobbe-Grillet, the reader has ample reason to wonder what he means when he says that Ryman has been ‘able graciously to maintain [his work] by means of modernist discourse.’ The discourse Bois cites is just another literary imposition on a body of work that never expressed a need for such attention. Nor is there any basis for Bois’s saying that the art has no meaning or content; neither is there any basis for him to cast an apocalyptic aura around the things he likes in order to legitimate his interest in context of a general dismissal of painting by his post-modernist colleagues. Douglas Crimp accused Frank Stella and Daniel Buren dual honours as the last abstractionists in his essay, ‘The End of Painting’, October, Spring 1981, pp. 69–86. Crimp’s essay is a prime example of formalist determinism at its most exaggerated. His concluding remarks reveal the clueless confusion between the involvement crisis of this camp have with painting and that of the printers they chose to support in the interest of their endgame aesthetics. ‘But Buren,’ Crimp wrote, ‘has always insisted specifically on the visibility of his work, the necessity for it is to be seen. For he knows only too well that when his stripes are seen as paint- ing, painting will rise again as the “pure idiocy” that it is. At that moment when Buren’s work becomes visible, the code of painting will have been abolished and Buren’s repetitions can stop: the end of painting will finally be acknowledged.’ Whether or not this is an accurate reading of Buren’s intent, it clearly states Crimp’s exclusive interest in revealing and abolishing the ‘codes of painting by means of excessive and exhaustive repetition. In short, where Crimp argues that Buren seeks to make painting’s undifferentiated malleability visible, Ryman seeks to the contrary to make visible painting’s enduring potential to illuminate difference. Crimp’s strangely distorted understanding of Structuralist thought thus led him to believe that the ultimate function of criticism—and of art itself—was to expose the art’s rhetoric, the quicker to have done with it. It is the classic response of the academic thinker who confuses language’s properties and mechanisms with its poetics. Bois is responsive to painting’s poetry, cannot bring himself to deal with itself outside the critical paradigms he has adopted. Neither does he explain how one is to view the ongoing work of Kelly, Marden, Stella, and others in light of his designation of Ryman as ‘the last modern painter’. In apparent agreement with Crimp that modernist painting is moribund, Bois thus ends up admiring Ryman’s painting for the manner of its ‘dying’. Meanwhile Ryman is busy making new work—confident, as Yankee baseball player and philosopher Yogi Berra said, that ‘it ain’t over till it’s over’.

NOTES [43]
Catalogue entries compiled by Catherine Kinley, Assistant Keeper, Modern Collection, Tate Gallery, and Lynn Zelevansky, Curatorial Assistant, The Museum of Modern Art, New York.

These entries could not have been compiled without the help and cooperation of Robert Ryman. Linda Norden's research for a catalogue raisonné formed the basis of the catalogue entries. Janice Vrana of the Pace Gallery was very helpful in allowing us access to the gallery's archive and unearthing from it crucial photographs and dates. Dan Flavin, John Krushenick, Leo Rabkin, Irving Sandler, and Michael Venezia clarified historical questions related to Ryman's life and work. Thanks are also due to Anne Plumb, Julian Pretto, Fiona Robertson, Gundula Schulze of Konrad Fischer Gallery, Diisseldorf, Naomi Spector, and the staff of the Leo Castelli Gallery, New York.

Explanations

Measurements are in centimetres, followed by inches in brackets; height before width.

Exhibition history ("Exh") is generally confined to the first showing and any subsequent major showing.

Literature ("Lit") lists the main sources only, including where possible the earliest known mention, and specific references the work.

Exhibition catalogues are not generally listed under "Lit" if the exhibitions in question have already been cited under "Exh".

Inscriptions are by the artist.

Abbreviations: General

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>col.</td>
<td>colour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exh.</td>
<td>exhibited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exh. cat.</td>
<td>exhibition catalogue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lit.</td>
<td>literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repr.</td>
<td>reproduced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Quotations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Quotation following is from Robert Ryman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR and CK</td>
<td>From an interview between Robert Ryman and Catherine Kinley held in the artist's studio on 11 April 1992.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR and LZ</td>
<td>From interviews between Robert Ryman and Lynn Zelevansky held in the artist's studio on 1 and 7 July 1992.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Exhibitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibition</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amsterdam 1974</td>
<td>Robert Ryman, Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam, Feb. – March 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaffhausen 1983</td>
<td>Robert Ryman, Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen, permanent installation since 1983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Untitled (Orange Painting) 1955 and 1959

Oil on canvas 71.4 x 71.4 (28 1/2 x 28 1/2"


John E. Ryman

This painting has not previously been exhibited. Ryman has always referred to this work as his first 'professional' painting or earliest 'exhibitable' work. It is inscribed '55' on the canvas overlap on the left edge, possibly in felt pen. Ryman remembers making this inscription in the early 1970s following the painting's return to him from conservation treatment. Until now he has dated the work 1955, because he remembers having worked on it in his studio in Spring Street, New York, where he lived early on. All previous literary references have given 1955 as the date of the work. When the painting was seen recently under a raking light, a signature and the date '1959' were discovered in the lower left-hand section.

Paint losses in the upper area of the painting appear to have been worked over in darker orange. An 'X' shaped crack on the upper right side of the canvas has been partially covered with a paint stroke of the same darker colour. These additions suggest that the canvas may have been executed during two or more distinct periods, with some time elapsing between them. Ryman's often brightly coloured underpainting can be as complex as his finished paint layers. In consequence, it has been suggested that this work was originally intended as the undercoat for another painting. Its colour could also indicate that it was begun before Ryman began to work predominantly in white, that is before 1959. However, the partly obscured signature and later date on the front of the canvas suggest that it was worked on in 1959. The artist does not believe that he worked on the painting after 1959.

About a year after he made this painting, having no plans to exhibit work, Ryman decided to give it to his brother.

RR: I liked this painting a lot, and my brother visited me about a year later and I didn't know what to do with it. No one was going to show anything . . . and so I gave him this painting and he took it back to Nashville and it stayed there for many years. In fact he unfortunately hung it over a radiator or something. The heat was not good for it and . . . it became a little warped. And some years later when I was visiting him I saw the painting there, and I said that we should do something about it. So I brought it back to New York [in May 1972] and had it taken to Orrin Riley the conservator, and we discussed what to do about it, and it was mounted then on a solid wood backing to give it strength. I liked the painting but I never put it in an exhibition because it was such an odd painting. Being orange, it's such a shocking color, it didn't really fit with most of the exhibitions. But I thought there would be a time to show it sometime and this is the time. Of course it's not all the same hue. There are many oranges in here, there are reds, light oranges and dark yellows . . . There is a composition on the edge . . .

I've always thought that if I ever wanted to paint a white painting it would be in the order of the way this painting was done, because this is definitely an orange painting but there are many nuances and many oranges (and black and green). And if I were doing a white painting I would approach it the same way, and there would be whites and warm-whites and cold areas and then you would have a white painting. As it is, the way I use white it's more as a neutral paint, in order to make other things in the painting visible, color for instance.

(Sauer 1980, p. 16)
2 Untitled 1957

Casein and graphite pencil on primed cotton canvas, on board on manila folder, on glass, on plywood 24.5 x 31.5 (9 7/8 x 12 1/8)
Signed on glass backing in black magic-marker 'RROYMAN 1957'
The artist

RR: That's a very early painting. It's casein on primed cotton. It also has glass. It's an odd [painting] because the way it's mounted is really almost part of the aesthetic, the concept . . . the glass is right to the edge. It's mounted on glass and the glass is on a quite thick plywood backing. It has a strainer to keep the glass off it, but then the glass is just taped onto it. And it's been like that ever since 1957; I don't know if it's been shown. I don't think so. I think I just didn't know what to do with it. I was trying to devise some way to hang it, and I had it pinned to the wall. Then I thought, because it was casein, it might not be good to have it that way. I felt it should be under glass to protect it, so I did it myself. I mounted it, put it on this board, and stapled a wire on the back. The matt board was attached to a plywood backing, where I could staple a wire.

(RR and LZ)
3 Untitled 1957

Gouache on paper mounted on board and plywood 19.9 x 20.6 (7 1/2 x 8 1/2)
Exh: Brata Gallery Group Show, New York, December 1958; Amsterdam 1974 (1, repr.);
London 1977 (1); Zurich 1980 (repr. 5); Schaffhausen 1983 (no number)
Lit: Naomi Spector, Amsterdam 1974, pp.2-4; Jeremy Gilbert-Rolf, 'Appreciating
Ryman' Art Magazine, vol.50, no.4, Dec. 1975, p.70; Naomi Spector, Robert Ryman: une
chronologie', in 'Dossier Robert Ryman', Moralia (Paris), no 3/4, Sept. 1978, pp.115-6,
The artist

At this time (1957-8), Ryman began to use
his signature and the date of the work as an
important element in the composition (see
also no.4). In this small painting, inscribed 'r
ryman 57' vertically in white paint along right
edge, the inscription is integral to the painted
field.

There is a gouache on paper painting from
1957 which shows a mastery of the elements
which continued to be of major importance
in paintings to the present time. The first of
these is the great variety of white
brushstrokes which activate practically the
entire surface leaving an irregular band of
manila colored paper exposed at the bottom
dge. There are strokes of different widths,
lengths, speeds, directions, shapes and
shades. Some look like gestures, some like a
means of covering the area, some are sharply
angled, some circular, some rough, some
smooth. Some have been applied gently and
relatively evenly, others with enough force to
leave behind ridges in relief, and there are…
dollops of paint which look splashed on. The
deliberateness with which these quickly
changing strokes are painted gives the work
power which belies its modest dimensions…
All these elements are related and all are
important.
(Spector 1974, p.3)

RR: I just taped it all together and stapled a
wire on the back and that was how it was
shown. Many of the small ones I did that
way, and I still have that same framing device
on some of the early ones. I didn't want any
kind of a heavy frame on them because it
distracted from the openness of the painting.
On the other hand it needed to be protected
because of the gouache paint, and some of
the casein also.

I don't know if it is the first time I used the
signature [incorporated into the painted
field]. It is the first time I used it turned on its
dge because I felt that I could use the
signature as an element in the composition,
that it was a traditional device that painters
always used; not necessarily as a
compositional element, but they generally
signed their paintings on the front. And I felt
if I turned the signature on its edge it would
become more abstract as line in itself,
because I rarely use line in my painting. So I
could use my signature as line, and that's
why I used it on the front and sometimes
prominently in white, and on the edge
because it was more abstract that way and
could be read more as line, as curves, rather
than simply as a signature. At that time
[c.1957-8] I signed 'R Ryman', later on I just
put 'Ryman'.
(RR and CK)
4 Untitled 1958

Oil on cotton canvas 35.4 x 83.2 (53 x 32^1)
Ex: Robert Ryman, Early Paintings, John Weber Gallery, New York, April 1972; Paris 1991 (repr. p.73 in col.)

The artist

This painting is inscribed 'RRyman 58' bottom centre in white paint, and is an early example of his use of signature and date in composition (see also no.3).

RR: In 'Untitled', 1958 the signature is very much a compositional device pushed all the way to the bottom, quite prominent but very much line and movement and opposing the square paint plane. Of course this is a rectangular painting which was unusual for me . . . Generally there is the square paint plane area. Even in my recent paintings, when the paintings are rectangular, the paint plane is square. I knew I was going to paint at the top, so the canvas was sized. Later, I decided to use the signature as line at the very bottom. I sized that part of the canvas so that the oil would be sitting on the size rather than on the cotton, and the size became a visible element, a very subtle band at the bottom. There are three [main] areas and then of course, what we don't see, is the left edge which has a considerable amount of activity going on which is seen when the painting is viewed from the side. The left-hand side is very similar to the orange painting ['Untitled', no.1] which has all the same kind of activity — except in the orange painting it's on the right.

[The importance given to the sides of the paintings] had to do with the feeling that always in painting you're confined to the space of the canvas, and of course in traditional picture painting you're confined to the very front space because of it being a picture. I felt that, this not being a picture, the painting did not necessarily have to be confined to the front plane. It could go around the edge, and the painting could open up in that way. And that gives you a slightly different dimension. When you look around, when you move around the painting, the painting changes. And I think it had to do, in later years, with the way the wall was used and working with the wall-plane. It was just a matter of opening up and expanding the painting away from the frontal paint plane. This relates to many of the paintings I was doing at that time in '57, '58, '59, when I used black shapes, usually coming in from the side.

(RR and CK)

'Untitled', 1958, carries the dark marks around the side, identifying the top left of the object with the top left of the rectangle, while at the same time distinguishing the two by not making the marks continuous with one another. 'Untitled' is also interesting in that, while it accommodates the edge of the object into the conventional grammar traditionally seen as the province of the surface alone, it nonetheless reserves for the latter a degree of material intensity denied to the sides. The sides retain, in other words, their function as areas of transition.

(This) is atypical of Ryman. His paintings aren't usually vertically oriented; most often they're square . . . Ryman's use of his signature at this time [1957-61] [14] used to introduce a linear element into the work. [Here] the signature is large, centred at the bottom of the piece. Its thickness makes it read as a re-emergence, and perhaps also as a definitive re-ordering of the thick surface of the top part of the work.

(Gilbert-Rolfe 1975, p.71)
5 Untitled 1958

Oil on cotton canvas 109.3 x 109.3 (43 x 43)
The artist

Inscribed ‘58’ bottom right.

In this painting, the date, ‘58’ is incorporated into the paint plane. This was one of a group of works from the period 1958–63, including nos.4, 12, that Ryman exhibited at the John Weber Gallery in New York in 1972, at the time of his exhibition at the Guggenheim Museum. Between 1958–61 he worked on a number of larger canvases, filling the surfaces with thick white strokes of paint, in all-over patterns, sometimes broken by date and signature. As in this painting, different grounds are allowed to show through. Before 1960, these grounds often revealed traces of red, yellow or black; after 1960, blues and greens predominated.

RR: Here are traces also of those shapes that were on the edge that came into the paint plane, into the front plane, but which in this case were pretty much taken out [see also nos.3,4]. This [top left edge] was a black area which I reduced; I took it out. You can see that there was something there.

(RR and CK)
6 The Paradoxical Absolute 1958

Casein on printed paper 18.2 × 18.5 (7/8 × 7/8)
Exh: Zurich 1980 (6, repr. p.29); New York 1988–9 (no number); Paris 1991 (no number)

The artist

Inscribed upside down twice, upper left and upper centre, dated ‘58’.

At around this time, Ryman made a number of small works on printed paper, using, for example, announcements sent to him from various galleries, because he liked the different paper qualities and textures provided by such found materials. For this work, Ryman used a printed gallery announcement as his ground.

The words ‘paintings and sculpture’ are printed near the bottom edge to the left of centre. Ryman painted the words ‘THE PARADOXICAL ABSOLUTE’ in white on black along the bottom of the painting, starting at the left edge. Compare with contemporary small works illustrated Zurich 1980, p.29 and Paris 1981, p.25.

This is probably the first example of Ryman inscribing anything other than his signature and the date on the front of a painting. (He has not done this often, but in 1986 he made a series of five paintings each with the word ‘test’ inscribed on it. According to Ryman, this was to indicate that they were not works but experiments, although he afterwards decided that they were finished paintings.)

RR: I didn’t have any money at that time. These mailings were something to paint on. I must have liked the color and texture too.
(RR and LZ)

RR: ‘The Paradoxical Absolute’ was painted in casein on a gallery announcement. It was a printed piece of paper. I don’t remember what it was, but it was a nice paper so I used it to paint on. ‘The Paradoxical Absolute’ didn’t come from the announcement. That just came from my head. I just liked it. I think I was thinking at the time about philosophy. And I didn’t read so much about art, I didn’t read the art magazines but I read other things. I was interested in the word ‘absolute’ and its meaning and how things were not exactly that way. Somehow I came up with that phrase and I thought it interesting and I wrote it on this painting. It was a matter of putting the title on the front of the painting. It was just something I did... mostly I didn’t title [the paintings]. I think mainly because they weren’t going anywhere. I mean they weren’t being shown and I thought that if they were ever shown then I would think of something to call them... Since I wasn’t exhibiting, the titles were not of importance at all because it was just the painting I was interested in, in doing the painting, and it wasn’t a picture of anything. The titles were totally unimportant.
(RR and CK)
7 Untitled 1958

Oil, casein and graphite pencil on wallpaper, 22.8 × 23 (9 × 9\(^{3}\)) including frame

The artist

Inscribed 'R. Ryman 58' vertically, upper left edge, this is mounted between glass sheets, held together with white tape.

This is one of a number of small works made in 1957–8, generally casein or gouache on newsprint or plain paper, but here, wallpaper (see nos.8, 9). Other examples are illustrated in Zurich 1980, pp.18–9 (nos.1–6).

RR: There was green underneath the central section as I remember, a very deep green, but it's predominantly black, a kind of greenish black. I don't remember what color the signature is... it was just a compositional device there, this shape was coming in and then the signature was the line with the curves, and the movement going opposite to the shape... I don't think that it has been shown anywhere... most [works made c.1958] were not shown (although a few have been), simply because there wasn't the right opportunity. I didn't show until 1967, so by then I had new paintings to show. I didn't want to show the 1958 paintings.

(RR and CK)
8 Untitled 1958

Casein and graphite pencil on tan paper on grey-white matt board 35.5 x 34.5 (14 x 13\frac{1}{2})
Exh: London 1977 (4, repr. p.10)
The artist

In this work the date ’58‘ appears on the right side of the composition. The artist’s signature, ’Ryman‘, written in pencil, runs along the bottom of the paper occupying about one-third of the image. Like no. 7 it is mounted behind non-reflective glass.

As in a number of Ryman’s small collages and unstretched canvas works of 1958 and 1959, this painting incorporates his signature and the date as an element in the composition.
9 To Gertrud Mellon 1958

Casein and graphite pencil on wallpaper
39.9 x 30.5 (1 1/2 x 12)
The artist

Inscribed 'To Gertrud Mellon' on the back, and 'Ryman58' bottom left, this is framed behind non-reflective glass.

This work was first exhibited at a Museum of Modern Art staff exhibition in 1958, while Ryman was employed as a guard at the museum. It was bought by Gertrud Mellon who recently sold it back to the artist. The title of the work, added by Ryman when he bought back the painting, is inscribed by him on the back of the work. The purchase price paid by Gertrud Mellon in 1958 ($70) is also inscribed on the backing board.
10 Untitled 1959

Pencil, casein and tracing paper on tracing paper 26 x 26.3 (10½ x 10½)
Exh.: Early Works by Gallery Artists, Dwan Gallery, New York, Nov. 1970; Amsterdam 1974 (6, repr.); London 1977 (8); Zurich 1980 (5, repr. p.37); Paris 1981 (5, repr. p.27);
Schaffhausen 1983–
The artist
Inscribed 'Ryman 59' twice on tracing paper collage, bottom right.

This fragile work on translucent paper, about 10 inches square, is important for it is among the earliest examples of work which relates to the wall. Here it practically incorporates it into its semi-translucent self. This collage is notable also for its delicate lines, which occur singly, in a series of three, as a rectangular frame around an area of white paint, and in a larger curving angle on the right which suggests a rectangle in the lower right corner of the work.
(Spector 1974, pp.4–5)

RR: This was one of the paintings with the double signature [see also no. 18]. It has some collage elements also, the signatures are pasted on. But this has so many nuances in it. There is this little red line, which was just in pencil, and then the black shape in the corner which I seem to have used a number of times, and then the opposite line. It just consists of a few simple devices that I felt seemed to work, seemed not to need anything more, and then this paint stroke, and then a curved line. So I had a curve and a straight line and shape and color in it. It had all of the compositional elements that any painting has. And then of course, it being on tracing paper the tracing paper becomes very translucent and soft... and very naked too, as the elements stand out because of the tracing paper.
(RR and CK)
Untitled 1959

Casein, graphite pencil, red crayon, red ballpoint pen and tracing paper on tracing paper on board, on wood 25.5 × 21.5 (10 × 8)


The artist

Inscribed and dated ‘RRYMAN59’ in red ballpoint, lower right centre, and framed with Plexiglas. The work consists of a rectangular collage with tracing paper at top centre, slightly overlapping the top edge; a horizontal white paint stroke beneath the collaged tracing paper, and three short pencil lines near the lower right corner of the collage, on the main sheet of paper. There are occasional pencil lines at various places throughout.

This work may have been included in Ryman’s 1972 exhibition at the John Weber Gallery in New York but the artist is unable to confirm this.
12 Untitled 1959
Oil on cotton canvas 110.6 x 110.6 (43.5 x 43.5)
Exh: Robert Ryman, Early Paintings, John Weber
Gallery, New York, April 1972; Amsterdam
1974 (4); London 1977 (6); Zurich 1980
(4, repr. p.31); Paris 1981 (4, repr. p.26);
Schaffhausen 1983—
Lit: Paris 1991, repr. p.79. Also repr.: 'Dossier
1978, p.118, repr. no.9 (dated 1961);
p.67
The artist
Dated '59' on side of stretcher, top left. Inscribed vertically 'rryman' bottom left, in
ochre on raw area of cotton support.
RR: I used the signature as a line, and I
generally put it up the side or on the end ... just to make it more abstract so that it would
read more as a line and not so much as my
name, necessarily. I used my name because
that was an accepted element of all painting
... If I just used line ... it would have been a
kind of symbol ... It would have been as if I
was painting something or trying to say
something.
(RR, unpublished interview with Robert
Storr, June 1992)
According to Ryman, his use of cotton as a
support in 1958–9 was governed by his
interest in the colour of the cotton fabric,
rather than cost:
RR: It wasn’t economics because there wasn’t
much of a difference. It had to do with the
color actually, because the cotton was always
this kind of Naples Yellow color, and of
course the linen was always dark brown and
could be prepared or gessoed in a traditional
manner so that they [the paintings] all looked
the same. But in certain ways I think cotton
was easier to work on at that time, because it
didn’t take quite the stretching and the
preparation that linen needed. With cotton
you could stretch it and wet it down to take
the wrinkles out and then coat it with rabbit
skin glue ... but with linen it took a little
more stretching, you had to restretch it
because linen was more difficult to work
with. This was a time when the
predominantly black shapes were being
eliminated. Sometimes only the traces on the
edge would remain. I would see how it was
working for a while and, if I didn’t like it,
take it out.
(RR and CK)
15 Untitled 1959
Oil on jute sacking 84 × 84 (33 × 33)
Exh: Paris 1991
The artist

Ryman used jute sacking as a support for this painting, and the seam became part of the image:

RR: I cut it open. That’s why there’s stitching here, because it was part of the way it was sewn together, and I thought it was a nice piece of material. It was very strong and similar to linen, maybe even stronger in certain ways, so I stretched it on a stretcher and it came out with those edges, with the stitching.

So when I was painting it, I used the seam as part of the structure of the composition and that is why it looks the way it does, with that edge open, and it’s curved a little bit on the side.

(RR and CK)
14 Untitled 1959

Oil on pre-primed canvas 20.5 × 21 (8½ × 8½)
Lucy R. Lippard

Inscribed on top of stretcher verso in red ballpoint "BRYMAN".
A painting given by the artist to the critic Lucy Lippard. The artist does not think it has previously been exhibited.
15 Untitled 1960

Oil on two cotton canvas panels, left-hand panel 165.5 x 109 (65 1/2 x 43), right-hand panel 165.5 x 56 (65 1/2 x 22), overall size 165.5 x 164 (65 1/2 x 65)

Exh: Robert Ryman, Early Paintings, John Weber Gallery, New York, April 1972; Amsterdam 1974 (8, repr. in col.); Fundamental Painting, Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam, April–June 1975 (1)


Inscribed 'ryman60' at top centre left of panel.

One of a group of paintings from 1960–1 of roughly the same dimensions, with all-over patterns of white brushstrokes often concentrated away from the centre of the support. In the left panel of this two-part painting, densely applied white paint almost obscures yellow, green and blue underpainting. There is a narrow band of exposed canvas running the length of the right edge. In the right-hand panel, the surface is smoothly applied to cover the whole surface. This is an early example of a painting by Ryman made from more than one part.

RR: I did this painting in '59. It says '60 and it's dated '60 because that's really when I finished it. I did most of it in '59 and the reason I remember this is because I was living in a very little place at the time off Avenue A and Eleventh Street in New York, and this would not go out the door. So that's one reason why it was in two sections, so that I could get it out.

And of course one panel is a very smooth soft surface and the other is very articulated with a lot of movement. The paint is just the opposite. [The support] is cotton and it's left raw there, and so you see the soft yellow of the cotton itself and then this thing I am always using, the straight line and the curved line next to each other. I think also in this case there is a lot of color underneath...the color which was there was not considered that much. It was more whatever colors I had underneath the white, because I was aware I was going to cover it so it was just a matter of having some kind of a difference in light intensity that would be caused by the color underneath the paint. I wasn't that involved with the color itself. It was just part of the process of building up that surface.

(RR and CK)
16 Untitled 1960

Oil on linen canvas 133 × 133 (52½ × 52½)
Exh: Robert Ryman, Early Paintings, John Weber
Gallery, New York, April 1972; Zurich 1980
(10, repr. p. 45); Paris 1981 (10, repr. p. 51); Schaffhausen 1985
Crex Collection, Halle für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen

Inscribed 'R. Ryman' bottom left.
In addition to small paintings on canvas and paper, in 1960 Ryman was also working on larger canvases with accumulated all-over textures produced by a build-up of brush marks, the greater concentration of marks generally being off-centre (see also Paris 1991, repr. pp. 83, 85, 89).
Oil, gouache, casein, graphite pencil and red crayon on tracing paper on plain paper
33 × 33 (13 × 13)
Lit: Zurich 1980, p.47, repr. no.4

The artist
Inscribed 'rryman6o' centre right in pencil, mounted on cream matt board, on wood, covered with non-reflective glass.

Plain paper forms a quarter inch border around the tracing paper. A black square in the upper left corner is painted in casein and a white square area at top centre over the main white painted area is gouache. Under it, the remaining white and orange undercoat is oil. Near the centre at the bottom of the work are six red small vertical crayon marks. Pencil lines appear at intervals around the central form. Compare with nos.10, 11.
18 Untitled 1960

Pencil, oil, casein and tracing paper on tracing paper laid on opaque paper
25.4 x 36.6 (10 x 14 1/2)

Exh: Amsterdam 1974 (14); London 1977 (16); Zurich 1980 (14, repr. p.37); Paris 1981 (14, repr. p.35, b.r.)


The artist

This collage with its double signature at bottom right is closely related in technique and image to the earlier 'Untitled', no.10.
19 Untitled 1961

Oil on linen canvas 96.6 x 96.6 (38 x 38)
Exh: Amsterdam 1974 (17); London 1977 (19); Zurich 1980 (18, repr. p.55); Paris 1981 (20, repr. p.40); Schaffhausen 1983—
Lit: Naomi Spector, Amsterdam 1974, p.8

The artist
Inscribed 'Ryman 61' twice, bottom left.

As in the slightly earlier 'Untitled', 1960, not in this exhibition (repr. Paris 1991, p.81 in col.), here Ryman has introduced a flat rectangle against the edge of the canvas, in contrast to the remaining vigorously painted surface.

RR: It has the double signature... and this corner [top right] is actually the gesso, it wasn't over-painted and it was in a sense showing the individual elements of the painting so you saw the linen with the size and then you saw the gesso priming, which I simply made into this rectangular shape in the corner of the painting; there again [as in no. 15] with the straighter line against the curve and the different paint surface.

(RR and CK)
Untitled 1961

Oil on sized Bristol board 22.8 x 22.8 (9 x 9)
Exh: Amsterdam 1974 (26, repr.); London 1977 (28); Zurich 1980 (19, repr. p.51);
The artist
Inscribed 'Ryman' top left ('1961' near centre).
One of a number of paintings on Bristol board made between 1939–61. Ryman found
this heavy paper a good surface to work on. The paintings are characterized by complex
brushstrokes:
Along its bottom edge and up the otherwise unpainted right side is a row of round tufts
made by pressing the mouth of the tube of paint to the paper and lifting it directly up,
leaving little raised peaks in each of the white circles.
(Spector 1974, p.5)
A painting of twelve strokes measuring 11 1/2" x 11 1/2" signed at the bottom right-hand corner 1961

Oil and gesso on linen canvas 28.5 x 28.5
(1 1/2 x 1 1/2)

Exh: Amsterdam 1974 (23); Basel 1975 (2, repr.); London 1977 (25); Zurich 1980 (23, repr. p.37); Schaffhausen 1983–

The artist

Inscribed 'RYMAN 61' bottom right.

This is one of several small unstretched linen canvases, most measuring about twelve inches square, where Ryman arranged small dabs or bars of paint in vertical stacks, sometimes extending these by blocking in his signature. Here the signature appears once, but in another closely related and slightly larger painting, "Untitled", 1961 (Amsterdam 1974, 26, repr.) he incorporates it three times, below eleven strokes and, as Naomi Spector points out, lengthens the last two inscriptions to 'RYMAN 61' to match the proportions of the bars of paint above it. In another example, ten signatures replacing the painted bars are stacked vertically, one above the other, running the length of the canvas (repr. London 1977, p.9, fig.23). Other multiple signature works are illustrated in Paris 1981, p.35.

The significance of this group of paintings can hardly be overemphasised, for this is the first time the modular idea dominates the painting. Repetitions of similar strokes occur again and again in various ways ... up to the present time. Even the idea of whole panels being repeated as modular units in many-part paintings may be said to have originated here, with these firm elements rhythmically accumulating themselves.
(Spector 1974, p.6)
2.2 Wedding Picture 1961

Oil on Bristol board 30.5 × 30.5 (12 × 12)
Exh: Amsterdam 1974 (22); Basel 1975 (6, repr.); London 1977 (24); Zurich 1980 (24, repr. p.63); Paris 1981 (26, repr. p.43); Schaffhausen 1983—

The artist
Inscribed ‘RYMAN 61’ near centre.

In 1961 Ryman married the American critic, Lucy Lippard.

RR: This is an unusual painting. It’s titled ‘Wedding Picture’ and it is the only painting I ever did in Maine. I could never paint there you know, there was too much fog and too many trees. But I did do this there and it’s in a sense more picture-like than most of the paintings I was doing at that time. Simply because it had very defined elements within the space. It is a very open painting and it’s one of my favourites. I was married there in Maine but I don’t think it was made at that time. There was a little house there and we went up and stayed for a month or so in the summer, and that’s why it’s called ‘Wedding Picture’. I had no studio, not literally, because I was up there so little – a month maybe at the most. I would do a few small things at times, at least at the beginning I tried. Then later on I didn’t even attempt it because there was just not the right situation there. I remember because of the fog it took forever for the painting to dry. It is oil and it never seemed dry enough.

(RR and CK)
**23 Untitled 1961**

Oil and gesso on unstretched linen canvas

41.9 × 41.9 (16×16)

Exh: Amsterdam 1974 (19); London 1977 (21); Zurich 1980 (21, repr. p.61); Paris 1981 (20, repr. p.42); Schaffhausen 1983—


The artist

Inscribed ‘RYMAN’ top right in green.

RR: I’ve used this corner a number of times [see the much later ‘Context’, 1989, repr. Paris 1991, p.161], and I do not know why exactly. It’s an unconscious thing. It gives me an angle which I have used very seldom in my painting. Here, I felt it gave the painting an interesting, slightly different feeling than some of the others, because many times I was using a rectangle and a corner, or a square and a corner, but almost never a triangle. What happened was not planned. It was not planned to leave the corner but I was painting this area and I stopped and came back the next morning and there was definitely an area where the corner had been left. I thought, maybe I will not go any further with the main paint plane area. So I straightened it up a bit and then continued and added paint. … It didn’t begin with leaving that corner like that.

(RR and CK)
24 Untitled 1961

Oil and graphite on brown paper, mounted on board 23 × 23 (8 × 8)


The artist

Inscribed 'HAYMAN61' in pencil at lower left edge of large white rectangle.
25 An all white painting measuring 9 1/2" x 10" and signed twice on the left side inumber 1961.

Oil on linen canvas 24.1 x 25.4 (9 1/2 x 10)


Lit: Naomi Spector, Amsterdam 1974, p.6; Zurich 1980, repr. p.53

The artist

Inscribed 'Ryman 61' top and bottom left.


Naomi Spector compares this painting with the group of small works from the same period using series of units, sometimes with repeated signatures (see no.21).

From the same period [1961] is another group of paintings, also smooth in surface and severe in concept. On unstretched linen canvases about 10 inches square, oil paint has been stroked alternately in vertical and horizontal directions. The consequence is a rather smooth, hard 'woven' surface which, in spite of some roughness of paint around the edges, relates strongly to the 'Unfinished Painting' of 1965 [see no.32] and many others.

(Spector 1974, p.6)
26 Untitled 1961

Oil on Bristol board 25.5 x 25.5 (10 x 10)
Private collection

Inscribed on the reverse, 'a painting of six strokes in the lower right-hand corner, signed left centre in flesh orange and in neutral, measuring 10" x 10".' Inscribed on the front, 'R.Ryman', centre left, and 'R.Ryman 61', top centre. This has similarities with 'Wedding Picture', 1961 (no. 22) and the six strokes in the bottom right-hand corner relate to paintings like 'A painting of twelve strokes measuring 11 1/2" x 11 1/2" signed at the bottom right-hand corner' also made in 1961 (no. 21).
27 Untitled 1961

Oil on unstretched linen canvas 33 x 33
(13 x 13)

Lit: Contemporary Art, Sotheby's New York,
and on cover of cat.

Private collection, New York

Signed 'ryman' bottom right in green paint.
The composition is a square within a square
and the artist has incorporated his signature in
green at bottom right. Painted on unstretched
canvas, primed in flat white, with the inner
square area of overlapping, short, curving
strokes, this painting relates to other small
works of this time. Areas of primer, as well as
green, blue and black paint are visible beneath
the white. The paint surface is particularly lush.

This represents a body of work in large and
small formats that culminated in 1962, after
which Ryman's painting was more system-
atically conceived.
28 Untitled 1962

Oil on unstretched linen canvas 42 x 42 (16 x 16)

Lit: Naomi Spector, Amsterdam 1974, p.8; London 1977, p.11

The artist

This work compares with 'Untitled', 1960-1 (repr. in col. Paris 1991, p.91), now at Schaffhausen.

In 1960, 1961 and 1962 [Ryman] produced a number of small unstretched paintings on linen. These ... are dominated by an area of dynamic ... strokes of white oil paint between which particles of blue-green pigment glow. The area of paint stops short of some or all of the edges, revealing the thin gesso and the raw canvas ... One of the most representative of this group ... [shows] ... a border of the raw linen on all four sides, but a considerable amount of the blue-green underpainting all around the central area. The left and right sides of the fabric have been cut but are ragged. Their irregularity is increased by the scalloped effect which resulted from stretching the linen out further at certain points to staple it flat on a board for sizing. The bottom edge shows that it too has been stretched out further at intervals. But this edge is the selvage and has two narrow horizontal red stripes woven into it. They are like an honest part of the material and are like a found image.

(Spector 1974, pp.8–9)
29 Untitled 1962

Oil on linen canvas 176.5 x 176.5 (69 x 69)


The artist

This is one of four canvases painted in 1962 where Rymam has partially obscured his linen support and areas of intensely coloured underpainting with an all-over surface of wiggling one inch wide strokes of thick white paint. The other versions are 'Untitled', 1962, blue and rust red underpainting (Schaffhausen, repr. Paris 1991, p.95 in col.) and 'Untitled', 1962 green and red underpainting (see no. 30). A further version with violet, cobalt and red underpainting is in the artist's collection in New York.
30 Untitled 1962

Oil and vinyl on linen canvas 160.1 x 165.2 (63 x 65)
Exh: Zurich 1980 (27, repr. p.69); Paris 1981 (30, repr. p.46); Schaffhausen 1983–
Also repr. as postcard in col., Schaffhausen

The artist

As in no. 29, here Ryman has used white strokes over other colours, in this case rust-red, browns and greens. The right edge of the canvas has not been attached to the back of the stretcher but projects out flat against the wall to the right of the painting.

RR: It was a matter of working with the side of the painting and making the painting as naked and as open as possible, which I did on those earlier paintings with the shapes on the side [see nos. 3, 4, 8]. This one had a great deal of movement and color even on the front; then I brought it around on the side. When I was stapling the canvas onto the structure there was a strip of canvas that usually goes round the back and I thought maybe I’ll just leave this unattached. I wasn’t quite sure how it would be. There was a new paint out at the time... it was like an acrylic but it was made of a vinyl paint. It was almost like rubber when it dried so it could take a flex. I used that paint on this edge of the canvas where it came off of the stretcher, using the same colors that I had used with oil on the front and just essentially continued the front paint plane onto the back of the linen. And I had three sides of the painting which were very straight and then I had the one side which was jagged and it was directly next to the wall.

(RR and CK)
31 Stretched Drawing 1963

Charcoal on unprimed, stretched cotton canvas, stapled to wood stretcher 36.8 x 36.6 (14 1/4 x 14 2/3)

Exh: London 1977 (55, repr. p.10)

The artist

The canvas is covered with 5 x 5 square grid in charcoal, and signed on the back 'RYMAN63'.

This is one of two 'Stretched Drawings' made by Ryman at the time. He drew a grid on stretched canvas, unstretched it, and then stretched it again. He also made a series of 'Bent Line Drawings' in blue ballpoint pen on polyester. For each of these he drew a perfect square on a piece of unstretched fabric. Because the polyester was pliant, the squares became distorted when the work was stretched. For Ryman the interest lay in the contrast between the straight edge of the support and the curved drawn line.

This looks like a regular stretched canvas, but it has no paint. The cotton canvas has been marked with a charcoal pencil grid which wraps around the sides of the stretcher along with the canvas. But the presence of marked lines and the absence of paint in the drawings always makes the distinction clear.'

(Spector 1977, pp.9–10)
32 Untitled 1965
Enamel on linen canvas 158.5 x 158.5 (62 ½ x 62 ½)
Exh: New York 1972 (5, repr.); Zurich 1980 (30); Paris 1981 (35, repr. p.49);
Schaffhausen 1983–
Also repr: Paris 1991, p.50
Private collection

In 1965, Ryman made a series of totally flat monochrome white paintings, in oil, acrylic and enamel. This relates to a similar sized painting also exhibited at the Guggenheim in 1972, 'Unfinished Painting', 1963 (New York 1972, no.6).

RR: In 1965, I did two paintings. One became an 'Unfinished Painting' (that was the title of it). They were two related paintings. Both were the same size, five by five feet. One was done in enamel and one was done in oil because I wanted the reflection of the light with the enamel and I wanted the absorption of the light, very matt, with the oil in the second painting. They were both on the same linen.
(Tuchman 1971, p.53)

RR: Each paint has different properties, and you get different results . . . and that's why I use different paints. Enamel is such a fluid paint, and it dries relatively quickly. Certain types of enamel tend to give a highly reflective surface, which in this case is what I wanted. I wanted that very opposite feeling to the linen, which was brown, and I left the linen brown . . . it's natural color. And then the enamel, though it leaves a highly reflective surface, also has a very soft feeling. So there was that juxtaposition of the two elements. The enamel went right up to the edge of the canvas. The linen was seen obliquely from the side, and there was a soft edge where the enamel met the edge of the stretcher. The stretcher was very straight, with more of a hard feeling, and then you had the softness next to it. Before I applied the enamel, the canvas was sized . . . in '65 I probably used glue. I might have used vinyl acetate. But there again, it might have been rabbit skin glue. All the early paintings have rabbit skin glue as a size and later on I started using vinyl acetate, because I was told by Orrin Riley that it was more stable.
(RR and LZ)
untitled 1965

Enamel on Bristol board 19.7 x 20.6 (7 1/2 x 8 1/4)

The artist

Inscribed in pencil bottom left 'Ryman 65'.

One of the small paintings related to the 'Winsor' series (see no. 36), in that it has horizontal bands running across it. However, the artist has pointed out that the association is not a formal one. This is close in scale and composition to a number of small works on canvas exhibited by Ryman at the Guggenheim in 1972 (see New York 1972, cat. nos. 1–8). The relationship between the viscous enamel paint and the smooth Bristol board is particularly sensual.

RR: They were small paintings, and they were done with a small brush. They are not really titled 'Winsor'; the only 'Winsor' paintings are the bigger ones. The little ones are . . . untitled.

(RR and LZ)
**35 Untitled 1 1965**

Oil on stretched linen canvas 27.9 × 27.9

(11 × 11)

The artist

Signed 'Ryman 65'.

This work is related to 'Untitled No.2', in the artist's collection. It bears a resemblance to 'Mayco' (no. 37) in that it is composed of a few broad horizontal bands of paint, although it is very much smaller. Using a narrower brush, Ryman achieves approximately the same proportional relationship between the individual bands and the surface as a whole as exists in the larger painting. The paint application is related to that of 'Twin' (no. 38).
In 1963 Ryman's paintings began to develop in recognizable systematic groupings and to bear specific titles, both individually and as series. Also at this time, according to Naomi Spector, Ryman ceased to use paint to make... something that could be seen at all apart from the paint itself. Now the work was about the nature of paint: the paint was the content of the paintings, as well as the form. They had no meaning outside the paint and the supporting material and the history of the process of the application.

(Ryan 1974, p. 9)

Ryman titled his first series for the year 1963, comprising four paintings, 'Winsor', taken from Winsor & Newton, the British paint manufacturers whose products he uses. 'Winsor 34' consists of horizontal painted strips, each approximately two inches wide. Made at around the same time, although not technically 'Winsors', were a series of smaller untitled canvases, described by Naomi Spector as 'prophetic', in that Ryman used the same painting procedure as for the 'Winsors' (see 'Untitled', 1965, repr. Paris 1991, p. 99 and Zurich 1980, p. 79) and 'Winsor 6' (repr. opposite).

Ryman's recollection is that he numbered the 'Winsors' arbitrarily, to aid the identification of each work, without setting up a chronological sequence. (In the case of 'Signet 20', a 'Winsor'-like painting of 1965–6 which is over five feet square, the title refers to the brand and size of the brush Ryman used to make it. The artist remembers receiving a telephone call from Christie's when 'Signet 20' was to be auctioned. They were at a loss for information regarding what they assumed were nineteen other 'Signet' paintings, and were relieved to learn that no others existed.)

RR: The 'Winsor' paintings were actually just a group of paintings that I did at that time, 1965-6. And, technically, they were done pretty much with the same size brush and the same paint. The sizes varied and the canvas varied. Some were on cotton, most were on linen. And of course, when I approach paintings in that way, as a group, the feeling is very similar. But, even though the approach is similar, the result tends to be a little different. And that was the interest. I wanted to see how the scale would change the painting, and how different linen or maybe a slightly different paint consistency would affect it.

There were certain paintings from 1964–5 some on aluminum, all relatively small, that formed a series in a similar way that the 'Winsors' did. There is one in Paris and there might be one in Schaffhausen. [See 'Untitled', 1965, repr. Paris 1991.] But, as I say, I wasn't thinking of them that way.

I never titled a painting unless it went out to a show. Since the early works were never shown, most of them don't have titles. ... Later I started using titles because my work was being shown more. I thought it would be a good thing for identification ... And I tried to pick titles that one didn't associate with objects, things, people, places ... it was just for identification. But I wanted to use words that were simple, words that everybody knew, but that didn't carry too many associations. The 'Winsor' paintings were all done with the same brush ... the paint as it appears, is the same width the brush was.

(RR and LZ)

Compare also 'Winsor 1' and 'Winsor 20' (repr. Paris 1991, p. 99 and Zurich 1980, p. 79) and 'Winsor 6' (repr. opposite)
According to the artist, "Mayco", which he has said is one of his favourite paintings, is closely related to 'Twin' (no. 38), which is itself the twin of 'Allied', in the collection of the Wadsworth Atheneum in Hartford, Connecticut. The three paintings, all from 1966, were made with the same broad brush (approximately twelve inches wide). This was the first time Ryman had used a very wide brush, although he had used a similar approach to painting in the 'Winsor' series (see no. 36), pulling the paint across in horizontal rows; the difference here being that, unlike the 'Winsors', 'Mayco' does not obviously indicate, in each horizontal band, where the artist reloaded his brush.

RR: I guess you could say [Mayco] was related to 'Twin' and 'Allied'. They were all on canvas, some linen and some cotton, and done with broad brushes. You see, when you're working with oil paint particularly, and you use it with a certain heavy consistency, it leaves brush marks. And those marks reflect the light. And how the paint is brushed on will determine how the light works on the surface. With a big brush, I could make a large surface interact with light in a very similar way, overall. Of course, the size of the brush changes the scale of the painting, and the feeling, because if you're using a small brush, you have to make more strokes to cover a certain area, which gives you a different surface. Using the big brush was just a way to pull paint over a large area, while keeping the brushstroke. I wanted all the brush marks to go in the same direction, because that would allow the light to be absorbed evenly, and you wouldn't get certain areas that were reflecting, and certain areas not. And also, there was a matter of immediacy involved. I didn't want to -- and I called it fussing before -- I didn't want to work the paint too much. I wanted it to have the feeling of having gone on at one time, as if it were a single gesture. So there could be no covering of mistakes -- it goes on and that's the way it is. I like that feeling, and I like that approach. I did that with my small brushes too. I never wanted to scrape the paint off... that kind of thing. I hated that because it looked as if there was some kind of struggle involved, as if you weren't sure what you were doing. And I didn't like that feeling.

(RR and LZ)
38 Twin 1966

Oil on linen canvas 193.1 x 193.1 (76 x 76)


Inscribed on back, upper left in oil, 'ryman 66'; 'twin' in felt-tip pen; 'top' in oil.

In 'Twin' and its companion painting, 'Allied' (collection Wadsworth Atheneum, Hartford, Connecticut), Ryman further explored the possibilities of the broad brush he used to paint 'Mayco' (see no. 37). 'Twin' is 1.3cm in depth. The thickness of the stretchers affects the viewer's perception of the finely painted surface. It gives the work a particular visual weight and presence that would not exist if the stretchers were thinner.

According to Ryman, 'Adelphi' saw his first use of wax paper as a frame for one of his paintings:

RR: It was also part of a group which consists of 'Adelphi' and 'Impex'... there were about five of them altogether. They're in Europe, mostly; I don't have them.
(RR and LZ)

RR: Since that time I have been aware of wax paper. I know it's possibilities... it's a translucent surface. You can see through it, [and] it has a reflection... yet it's very simple. It's not like a plastic or something, that would also be shiny and translucent. The wax paper is such a simple thing - direct, and it can be replaced.
(Tuchman 1971, p. 48)

In 1968 Ryman continued his series of unstretched linen paintings attached directly to the wall, now using frames of masking tape, or, as in 'Impex' discussed above, a narrow blue chalk line drawn above the painting. Ryman provided hanging instructions for his early installations:

RR: Usually, no-one can find the instructions again. It's the same with the more recent paintings. I always give the owner a little folder with the title of the painting and all the information and it is invariably lost.... 'Adelphi' is oil on unstretched linen with waxed paper and tape. That is, it was stretched on the wall, not on stretchers. And of course it was primed and I think... it was sized with rabbit skin glue. I don't know where the name came from. It's just... a name you couldn't associate with too much. The wax paper is like a frame that goes around all four sides and crosses at the corners, and it's actually taped to the wall but the tape is glued, so it doesn't come loose. I can't remember now if the papers were stapled... the paper goes right behind the painting. [Every time it is installed] new paper goes on and then it's destroyed when the painting comes down... The paper is replaced all the time, so it's always new...

Actually, for '67 this was very radical... it was shown in Germany... there were several paintings like that, where the canvas was stapled to the wall, in fact there's one at the Guggenheim now... from the same period, 'Impex'. It has a blue line that runs from the ceiling down to one of the corners. And that angle changes wherever the painting is hung.
(RR and LZ)
Acrylic on twelve sheets of handmade Lugano paper, overall size 228.8 x 228.8 (90 x 90)


In 1967 Ryman started to use paper, cardboard or composite surfaces in large formats, fixed flush to the wall, glued to the wall or stapled. This is one of a group of multi-panelled paintings on paper exhibited at the Konrad Fischer Gallery in Düsseldorfl in 1968.

Like 'Classico 5' (no. 41), the title of 'Lugano' is taken from the make of paper used. While Ryman made only one 'Lugano' painting, there were six 'Classico' works. Numbers '1', '3', '4', and '5' each have twelve sheets of paper; 'Classico 2' has six sheets; 'Classico 10' has twenty sheets. All are dated 1968, except 'Classico 10', which is dated 1968–9. In addition to the 'Classico' paintings, Ryman remembers exhibiting a work from the 'Murillo' series and a painting called 'J. Green' at the Konrad Fischer Gallery. Also closely related to 'Lugano' is 'A. Millbourn' (repr. Paris 1991, p.105 in col.).

RR: 'Lugano' was very similar to the 'Classico' paintings. It was made up of several panels. ... The panels were originally taped to the wall, overlapping, and I painted them right on the wall. Then they were removed from the wall and separated. The traces of the tape were left, and it formed an important part of the composition, in that there would be this movement of these traces across the surface. And then, of course, there were the lines of individual panels which formed a grid. The pieces of paper were mounted on Featherboard panels, and then they were remounted to the wall. You don't see the Featherboard at all, because that's smaller than the paper. I think now some of those backs have been changed to different supports. But essentially it's the same.

(RR and LZ)
Classico 5 1968

Acrylic on twelve sheets of handmade Classico paper, overall size 234 x 224 (9 2/4 x 8 7/8)

Exh: Robert Ryman: Bilder, Konrad Fischer Gallery, Düsseldorf, Nov.–Dec. 1968; Op Late Scream, Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam 1969; Bilder, Objekte, Filme, Konzepte, Stadtische Galerie im Lenbachhaus, Munich, April–May 1973 (repr. no. 223, as 'Classico No.5'); Kunst der sechziger Jahre in der Neue Galerie Kassel, Neue Galerie, Kassel 1982


Staatliche Museen, Neue Galerie, Kassel (on loan from a private collection)

Ryman made a group of paintings without stretchers in 1964. With the 'Standard' series, shown in his first one-person exhibition held at the Bianchini Gallery, New York in 1967, he exhibited thirteen flat steel panels with white brushstrokes, hung flush to the wall. Like 'Adelphi' and 'Lugano' (no. 40) the 'Classico' paintings and the related 'A. Millbourn', extend Ryman's investigation of the flattest possible supports for his painting.

Named, like 'Lugano', after the brand of paper used, 'Classico 5' is one of six 'Classico' paintings on paper exhibited at the Konrad Fischer Gallery in Düsseldorf in 1968 (see no. 40).

RR: They were taped to the wall and they were painted and then removed from the wall; you had the traces where the tape was, which were compositional elements. They were then mounted on individual panels and there was a definite order they were to be hung in. In this case twelve panels and then there was one of twenty panels ['Classico 20', 1968–9, repr. Zurich 1980, p. 109] and there was one of six panels and so on [see 'Classico 1', 'Murillo' and 'J. Green', all 1968, repr. Das Bild einer Geschichte, Die Sammlung Panza di Biumo, Milan 1980, pp. 252–3]... there were smaller ones and also the size of the panels varied slightly. Like 'A. Millbourn' [repr. in col., Paris 1991, p. 103] and 'Lugano' [no. 40], the 'Classicos' were titled after the paper used.

(RR and CK)

RR: There again, it was a matter of solving a problem. I wanted to work with something thin, and I was thinking of paper. I had just finished the 'Standard' paintings, and they were very heavy. I liked the thinness and I liked the way the 'Standard' panels sat on the wall very softly. They were heavy and hard, but they didn't look that way. I wanted to continue in a sense, but I wasn't quite sure how to go about it. I came to paper, because there was the thinness and it was light. I started exploring thinner papers, and how I could work with them. Also I wanted to work on a larger scale. The 'Standards' were four feet. I experimented with different weights of paper and colors. And then it was a matter how to do them. It just seemed very direct to tape a lot of these sheets to the wall, which is what I did. And then I took them apart after I painted them, and the tape marks became part of the composition, as I realized they would. And you had the horizontal and vertical lines where the papers joined, though, of course, in the actual painting, there were no straight lines. I had to change my paint because of the paper: I used acrylic on all these papers. The painting was done very softly. For some, I used varnish in the paint, because I wanted the light to bounce. The paper was very matt and soft, and I wanted the contrast.

And then it came to be a matter of how to hang these on the wall. I just glued them to the Featherboard. I did some experiments with that. I used this hot melt glue, with which you can instantly glue them to the wall. And I found that, by using spots of it, I could reach back with a spatula and just knock them off the wall when I needed to take them down.

(RR and LZ)

Discussing his use of modular units in the 1960s Ryman has commented:

RR: At that time, my modular painting did not fit very well into the 'Minimal' aesthetic. The Minimalists wanted work to be more machine-like. They didn't like to see brush marks and the 'handmade' look of each panel being slightly different.

(RR and LZ)
Enamelac on corrugated paper, seven units, each 152.4 x 152.4 (60 x 60)


This is one of four multi-panelled works composed of three, four, five and seven separate and successive squares of five foot square corrugated cardboard, painted with a fast-drying paint. The panels were painted together, the artist working from left to right. They were exhibited together as four separate works at the Fischbach Gallery in New York in 1969 (see installation photograph in Zurich 1980, p. 113). The title of each work indicates the number of panels it contains. Closely related was 'Untitled Painting', 1969, consisting of nine corrugated cardboard panels, arranged to measure 15 x 15 ft, for Anti-Illusion: Procedures Materials at the Whitney Museum in 1969, but afterwards divided into three separate works, the 'Whitney Revision Paintings' (see p. 26 of this catalogue).

RR: [This is] Enamelac on corrugated paper, and there are seven panels. It's quite a large piece. Enamelac is a sealer; its actually pigmented shellac. Shellac comes from trees. It's a resin; its a natural substance that dissolves in alcohol. So, Enamelac is really alcohol-based. Enamelac is the brand name of a paint that is tough and non-porous. I used it because of the corrugated paper [in VII]. I thought it wouldn't affect the paper the way oil would. It would not cause it to deteriorate later, with the oil eating the paper. And also it sealed the paper so it was really the ideal medium for this situation.
Enamel and Enamelac on cotton canvas 123.7 x 123.7 (48 x 48)


Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, New York, Panza Collection, 1991

This is one of a series of fifteen paintings, first exhibited together as a group at the Fischbach Gallery in 1971. They look close in size but range from approximately four to five feet square. Each consists of a central square of brilliant gloss enamel, built up of six coats, each painted and sanded, surrounded by an exposed border of Enamelac underpainting. Enamelac is a primer-sealer. The artist used special flat stretchers for the series. The width of the unbevelled stretchers determined the width of the Enamelac border of each painting. He had used Enamelac previously on the corrugated paintings (no. 42).

RR: The 'Generals' were all different sizes. Actually the size is part of the title. The sizes varied by about a half inch from painting to painting. A title might be 'General 48 x 48'. That distinguished it from the others. The Guggenheim has two. One is on exhibition now [in the opening of the Guggenheim Museum SoHo in New York in 1992]. I don't have one myself.

I don't remember now how big the smallest and largest were, but the smallest was probably close to four feet. Anyway, when they were shown at the Fischbach Gallery in 1970 they came into the gallery by track I believe, and the truckmen put them along the wall with the wrapping on them. There were fifteen all together, I think. I didn't want to show them from the smallest to the largest or vice versa. So, actually, I hung them exactly where they were sitting when they were taken from the truck and leaned against the wall. I didn't plan that. But, when it came to the hanging, I thought, how am I going to do this? And then I thought well, here they are. Why not just put them up? I felt it really didn't matter. Since they were different sizes, but only by a half inch, it would work all right, no matter how you put them together.

When the paintings were exhibited at the Fischbach Gallery in 1971, Ryman deliberately varied the intensity of the light and one bank of the gallery lights was not used:

RR: They were more peculiar, more sensitive than most because of the reflective surface of the paintings. They looked very different in daylight or under incandescent light or in the shadow light. They always looked different so I wanted to try and ... point that up if I could.

(Tuchman 1971, p. 48)

One of the fine things about Bob Ryman is the way he titles his work ... the word general ... means common, widespread, not specific. On the other hand of course, it means in military terms, the highest rank. Moreover, it approaches the high sense of 'universal' — one of the most complimentary things that can be said of any work of art.

(Spector 1973, p. 156)

RR: So I had the Enamelac, which is a very matt dry kind of dead looking surface. Then I reversed it ... you had the shiny enamel. The very glossy surface does reflect the light and the Enamelac which absorbs the light, I wanted that ... I wanted that reflection. I think it was more interesting to me, anyway, in the process of doing it.

I have done groups of paintings very much related to each other. For instance, there's a group on paper, there's a group on linen, unstretched; the group on cotton (the 'General' series); there are the 'Standard' paintings on steel. It has to do sometimes with a space that you have to work with; sometimes not a space at all. I didn't do the 'General' paintings for any place in particular.

(Tuchman 1971, p. 48)
Surface Veil 1970

Oil on fibreglass on Featherboard 50.5 x 50.5
(19' x 19')


Private collection, courtesy Lisson Gallery, London

Between 1970 and 1972, Ryman made eighteen related works, which he titled 'Surface Veils'. His aim, as Naomi Spector notes, was 'to realise a tendency which had long been evident in various efforts towards getting the paint close to the wall by making the supporting material either thinner or less visible' (Spector, Amsterdam 1974, p.21). The larger works were executed on fabric (cotton or linen canvas), and the smaller 'Surface Veils' on thin fibreglass called 'Surface Veil', which is the origin of the series' title, mounted on Featherboard, a foam core support.

This is one of a series of small 'Surface Veil' paintings exhibited at the Dwan Gallery, New York in 1971. All these were different sizes, ranging from twelve to twenty-six inches.

RR: At that time, that was part of the problem, working with different sizes, which made each painting more personal and a little bit more difficult for me. I had to switch brushes and I had to change my concept of how it was going to work — and the scale. Whereas, if you're doing all the same size, you don't have the problem because you know it right away, which brush and whatever.

(Phyllis Tuchman, 'An Interview with Robert Ryman', Artforum, vol.9, no.9, May 1971, P.47)

RR: The fiberglass was mounted on Featherboard instead of wax paper. Featherboard is very light. It's paper with a core of foam. The fiberglass was very thin; it was like canvas. It wasn't stiff like the fiberglass I am using now. It was a different type of material — actually, it was called 'Surface Veil', that's where the title came from. It had to be mounted on something that would give it some stability. Some of these smaller paintings were mounted on Featherboard, and some, not many, were on the wax paper.

(RR and LZ)
45 Surface Veil 1970
Oil on fibreglass on Featherboard 31.5 x 30.5
(12 1/4 x 12)
Exh: New York 1972 (22); Zurich 1980 (39, repr. pp. 131, 133); Paris 1981 (43);
Private collection
See also nos. 44, 47.
46 Surface Veil 1970

Oil on fibreglass with waxed paper frame and masking tape 33 × 33 (13 × 13)

Exh: Robert Ryman, Dwan Gallery, New York, Feb. 1971


A photograph of Ryman’s studio wall taken in 1970 shows this and other small ‘Surface Veil’ paintings (Zurich 1981, p. 133). For a discussion of the small ‘Surface Veils’ see nos. 44 and 47.
Surface Veil 1970–1

Oil on fibreglass, with wax paper frame and masking tape 33 x 33 (13 x 13); overall size as installed 55.9 x 48.3 (22 x 19)


Private collection

The smaller 'Surface Veils' were painted on fibreglass with a wax paper backing that had been taped to the wall.

The method of attaching this painting to the wall, using a frame of wax paper, compares with Ryman's method when making 'Adelphi' (see no.39). The overall dimensions of this work vary because the position of the securing tapes varies slightly with each installation. According to Ryman, these inevitable slight changes are quite acceptable:

RR: ... the paper being replaced every time, naturally it's going to be slightly different in dimension, but very slightly ... the tapes are put on more or less how you feel, to hold the paper, and incidentally the tape is glued. [The paper] is not really held by the tape, it's held by glue, because the tape would come loose after a bit. I don't have to be there for the installation ... One time this painting was installed and the tape was put in exactly the same places as in the photograph ... copied as exactly as they could, which was kind of curious ... because originally it was a very immediate, intuitive thing. All of the smaller 'Surface Veils' were done on a thin fiberglass which, in most cases was painted to the support (which was a foam core board) ... In other cases, it was painted directly onto wax paper, so that the works varied from the wax paper to the foam cored support.

(RR and CK)

Ryman has recounted that he bought this painting back from a collector in Minnesota:

RR: I had a note with the painting saying that if it was ever sold I would have first choice ... So they called me and said they had this little 'Surface Veil' and ... it was in a Plexiglas box when I found it. I took it out of the box so it could work with the wall plane as originally intended.

(RR and CK)
48 Surface Veil 4 1970–1

Oil on fibreglass on Featherboard 99.1 x 99.1
(39 x 39)
Exh: Dwan Gallery, New York 1971; New
(no number). Also repr: London 1977, p.25;
Zurich 1980, p.135
Emily and Jerry Spiegel

See note on smaller 'Surface Veil' paintings
(nos.44, 47).
49 Surface Veil I 1970

Oil and blue chalk on linen canvas
365.8 x 365.8 cm (144 x 144)

Exh: New York 1972 (19, repr.); Documenta 5, Kassel 1972; Amsterdam 1974 (57, repr.)


This is the first of four numbered paintings, 'Surface Veils' I-IV, each measuring twelve feet square. Numbers I and II are on linen and numbers III and IV on cotton. 'Surface Veils' I, II and III were originally in the collection of Count Giuseppe Panza di Biumo, at Varese, Italy. They were among twenty-four works by Ryman acquired by the Guggenheim Museum in 1991.

RR: All the 'Surface Veil' paintings are from the same source. Actually the big ones came from the little ones in terms of the configuration of paint. The way the paint is handled is very similar. It was generally a vertical brushstroke, and there was usually some kind of break in the surface, and there was an area that went in the opposite direction, horizontally.

(Tuchman 1971, p.53)

RR: I went out and found out where I could get 12 foot linen — which was kind of a problem actually, since they don’t have that too often. That's the way the materials come about. It's true, sometimes I will stumble on something by accident and I'll think that would be interesting to work with or, what could I do with this? That's not the usual case. Usually it's decided that I want either a smooth surface or a hard surface or a certain textured surface to work on at the moment. Then I go around and see what's available.

(Tuchman 1971, p.53)

RR: They were painted unstretched, and to mark my space, I used blue chalk. There is a blue line that runs down the edges that is partially painted over... it's not distinct. It's a kind of a nebulous line, which I knew would be there — I didn’t mind the blue on the edge. But it was also used to mark the edge of the canvas, for when it was stretched. Actually, I had the stretchers, I just didn’t have the ceiling height to assemble them. So I painted these works flat.

(RR and LZ)
**Surface Veil II** 1971

Oil and blue chalk on linen canvas

365.9 x 365.9 (144 x 144)

Exh: London 1977 (51); From Brancusi to Bourgeois: Aspects of the Guggenheim Collection, Guggenheim Museum, SoHo, New York, June 1992


This painting is closely related to 'Surface Veil I' (no.49) in that each carries an area of more opaque paint in the bottom right quarter. The dimensions of the large 'Surface Veils' were determined by the reach of the artist’s arm in relation to the lower right-hand corner. The near horizontal break in the paint surface, running from the left side into the opaque areas, denotes breaks in the artist’s working process. Nos.1, II and III have blue chalk borders.
Surface Veil III 1971

Oil and blue chalk on cotton canvas
366.4 x 366.4 (144 x 144)


Like 'Surface Veil IV', this was painted on cotton canvas. Both were exhibited in Ryman's exhibition at the Guggenheim Museum in 1972 (No. IV was cat.no. 26 but was not illustrated). See also nos.49 and 50. Count Panza di Biumo at one time owned the large 'Surface Veils', Nos. I, II and III.

RR: He didn't have them installed all the time ... One time he had a room and it was quite beautiful with the three big 'Surface Veils' in the room. That was exceptional to see but [it] was only for a short time and then he changed it ... He would do that kind of thing from time to time, do installations of certain paintings, but he never had them all together at once, because he didn't really have the space for it.

(RR and CK)
52 Untitled 1973

Baked enamel on copper, 5 panels, each 39 x 39 cm (15 x 15)


Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam

This work relates to nos.57, 58 in London 1977 and no.43 in Zurich 1980, repr. p.167.

In Germany in autumn 1973, Ryman made two groups of works on metal. This comes from a group of six five-panelled works of baked enamel on copper. In each set of five, an 'L' shape of copper remains exposed. In three of the six works, the copper has been oxidized. The works in the series, all 'Untitled', 1973, are identified by panel sizes: 20 x 22 cm; 37 x 37 cm; no. 52; 40 x 40 cm; no. 53; collection the artist, 20 x 20 cm.

Ryman went to Germany to make the enamel works. This was the first and only time he had worked with enamel on copper and he made prototypes to calculate the sizes for the panels. According to him the work was done in a 'very tiring' day:

RR: That's the only time I used enameling and I did, I think, five paintings using that medium. They were all done in Germany. I have one, one is in Schaffhausen, the Stedelijk has one. Most of them are five panels; one of them is three panels.

(RR and LZ)

RR: They were going to be shown at Konrad Fischer's in Düsseldorf and I talked to him about a place to make them because I needed special equipment. We arranged that and they were done there. I had them pretty much worked out before I went, but I worked out the details there in an industrial technical school. The oven had to be big enough to handle these pieces. You can get ovens for small things, but an oven to handle larger pieces was more difficult to find. I remember we had to work at night, or maybe on the weekend, because of the amount of electricity it took to heat the oven... I think at the time there was an oil shortage. You had to take care how much power was used... I did the work, the placing of the powder on the panels. I did the whole thing myself. The only thing I didn't do was put them in the oven...

I don't remember exactly how [the idea to work with enameling] came about. I think the process interested me, because I knew it was something that would not change - enameling is really forever. It doesn't yellow like paint; it doesn't crack or anything. Of course it breaks; it's glass. But otherwise, it's just indestructible. And then I simply wanted to see what it was like... It's just the challenge of doing something that I hadn't done before - to see what the result of it would be. I only wanted to work in that medium that one time. It was another way of preparing the pigment, and it was a different kind of surface. Also I had a color... brown - actually it wasn't so brown. It was almost red, the color of the copper. [It] was untreated so that the panels will turn green after a number of years, which is nice.

(RR and LZ)
**53 Untitled 1973**

Double-baked enamel on oxidized copper, 5 panels, each 24.1 x 26.7 (9½ x 10½)
Emily and Jerry Spiegel

See also note for no. 52.

RR: [The panels] were changed chemically and it'll stay permanently darker. In this piece, the panels are not square. It was the only one I think that had rectangular modules.
(RR and LZ)
**Untitled 1973**

Enamel on aluminium 99.5 x 99.5 (39.1 x 39.1)


Lit: Paris 1991, p. 170

Cres Collection, Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen

Ryman produced two series of works in Germany in the autumn of 1973. This work is one of a group of eight (six single and two multi-panel works), each executed in enamel on metal. One of the multi-panelled works is in five parts (enamel on aluminium, each panel 50 x 54 cm). The other consists of three parts (enamel on steel, each panel 22 x 25 cm).

For this painting, Ryman sealed the metal with automobile sealer before paint was applied. It consists of three coats of matt white enamel, the first two sanded, over a clear coat of lacquer applied to aluminium.

RR: It was painted directly on aluminum. First, it was taken into an auto body shop. The problem was, I wanted the aluminum sealed so it wouldn’t oxidize. So they sprayed it with something they use on cars to protect the metal. It was like a synthetic lacquer. When I got the panels back, I painted them in Germany.

(RR and LZ)
Oil and Elvacite on Plexiglas, black oxide fasteners and four-sided bolts 160 x 160 (63 x 63)
Crex Collection, Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen

Commenting in 1979 on his use of fasteners, Ryman said that he had the fasteners made specially for his paintings, taking into account the scale and shape, colour and light reflectiveness (some of the fasteners used were steel, some cadmium and some black oxide).

RR: But the thinking behind the fasteners has to do with the way a painting hangs on a wall; usually paintings, if they’re pictures, hang invisibly on a wall, because we’re not so interested in that. It’s the image we’re looking at in the confined space . . . My paintings don’t really exist unless they’re on the wall as part of the wall, as part of the room.
(Diamonstein 1979, p.334).

RR: Actually, the oil is mixed with Elvacite. Elvacite was new at that time. It was a plastic synthetic material that you could mix with oil. Orrin Riley told me about it. I used that because I wanted the paint to be stable on the Plexiglas. Usually oil on plastic is a little difficult, because it can chip off. And, of course, the Plexiglas was five feet, and it wasn’t absolutely rigid. I didn’t want the paint to pop off. Mixing it with this Elvacite gave it an elasticity that bonded it to the Plexiglas very well. But yet, the paint kept the characteristics of the oil. It worked quite well. The problem was, it took forever to dry. Elvacite is used in conservation still, as a varnish I believe . . .

That was the year [1976] I began using the visible fasteners. These are black oxide steel squares with oxide bolts. On the painting you had two squares at the top and two at the bottom which held it to the wall. And I remember I drilled the holes in the plastic, hoping that it wasn’t going to split . . .

The black oxide was really a kind of rustproofing. But I used it for a color. But I also used others — I used just a steel color, which I coated with a sealer, which, I think, was not all that permanent. But it could be cleaned.
(RR and LZ)
56Untitled Drawing 1976

Pastel and pencil on Plexiglas with black oxide steel plates and steel hex bolts
126 x 126 (49½ x 49½)


Crex Collection, Hellen fur neue Kunst, Schaffhausen

Robert Ryman made four drawings for Drawing Now, an exhibition organized by Bernice Rose and held at The Museum of Modern Art in New York in 1976. These were pastel and pencil, metal and Plexiglas. According to the artist, the metal plates and steel bolts securing this painting were covered in a transparent seal.

There is another drawing in the series in the collection of The Museum of Modern Art (‘Untitled’, 1976, see no.53).

RR: The Plexiglas was sandblasted to hold the pastel. The sandblasting gave a little tooth to the surface. And it also made it translucent; it wasn’t transparent anymore. The pastel would work right in, like on paper. In fact, I did those for a drawings show at the Modern, Drawing Now. I did those specially for that show. That’s the first time they were shown.

(RR and LZ)
57 Advance 1976

Oil on blue Acrylivin with vinyl, Elvacite and sanded Plexiglas fasteners with cadmium bolts 90.2 x 86.4 (35 x 34)

Franz Meyer

'Advance' is related to 'Embassy I' (no.55), and was made at about the same time when Ryman was working with several synthetic materials including Plexiglas and Acrylivin:

RR: I haven't seen 'Advance' in so many years. I think actually that it has oil and Elvacite also (see no.55). In this, the strap-like elements that hold the painting to the wall were a blue color, and made of Acrylivin, a very tough kind of plastic material, almost indestructible. It was much tougher than Plexiglas. Anyway, the Elvacite, there again, worked very well on that surface. The painting was not fastened directly to the wall. It was set on two little squares of steel, held to the wall with a bolt. The bolt also fastened the vinyl strips, which, as I said, had kind of a blue tinge when the light hit them. It was actually transparent, but it had a bluish color. The painting sat on the steel pieces, and the vinyl went across it, and was fastened at the top, also on the wall, by two more squares of steel. So the vinyl strips kept the painting from falling off the wall. And, compositionally you had the blue edge of the Acrylivin, and the blue edge of the vinyl going across the surface, which reflected light. And then of course the actual painted surface absorbed the light, and you had the steel bolts, two at the top, two at the bottom. So it was very active visually, this painting.

...the Elvacite I got from Orrin Riley, but I don't remember where I got the Acrylivin. It was a building material, used for things like subway cars. It was a very tough plastic, almost like a flooring material. It was used for baseboards and things like that in subway cars. It's flexible, but not like Plexiglas; it isn't breakable like Plexiglas. And it came in colors - that's what interested me. And also, it had a surface that would hold paint. So it was the color of it and the toughness of it that I liked. And of course the thinness, too. It was only one-eighth of an inch thick.

(RR and LZ)
58 Untitled 1976

Pastel and graphite pencil on sandblasted Plexiglas with black oxide steel bolts and fasteners 126.1 x 126.1 (49 4/9 x 49 4/9)


The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Fractional Gift of the Paine Webber Group Inc.

Inscribed 'Ryman' bottom left, in pencil.

This is closely related to no. 56 and one of the four drawings made for Drawing Now at The Museum of Modern Art, New York.

Most of the surface is covered with a painterly application of white pastel. Two square bolts at the top of the work are placed parallel to two at the bottom. Each is about six inches from the vertical edge closest to it. There is an area without pigment between the top two bolts and another between the bottom two bolts; both are the height of the bolts. These areas are further defined by ruled pencil lines. The shape of the top left bolt is echoed in a square of its size, without pigment and outlined in pencil, immediately below it. There is a more amorphous area without pastel above the bottom right bolt, and another such area to its right. The pastel ends in an uneven line that runs the length of the work's right side, about one to one and a half inches from the edge. Several ruled pencil lines appear, usually placed in relation to the bolts.
Monitor 1978
Oil on cotton canvas with metal fasteners
175.5 × 167.6 (69 × 66)
Exh: Robert Byman, Sidney Janis Gallery, New
York, Jan. 1979 (4, repr.)
Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam

This is one of a series of nine closely related
paintings, some oil on cotton, others oil on
linen with metal fasteners, exhibited together
at the Sidney Janis Gallery the year after their
completion. These varied in size from 'State'
(223.5 × 215.4 cm), to 'Center' (66 × 61.9 cm).
'Region', 'Pilot' and 'Center' are also repro-
duced in the Janis catalogue, and 'Summit' is
reproduced in colour in Paris 1991, p. 131. The
other works exhibited were 'Signal', 'Register'
and 'Canon':

RR: It's a pretty good size painting... that
was when I went back to canvas. I hadn't
worked on canvas for quite a while. And I
think that was also with oil, and the paint
was quite heavy. I do that from time to time. I
go back to canvas and working with paint in
a more immediate way... how to explain it? I
let the paint become more of an image of
itself than in certain other paintings. You see,
I use paint in different ways for different
problems. For example, with 'Advance'
(no. 57) it was different, because I was
working with the light and the soft surface
and... the blue material, Acrylivin. And so,
with a painting like 'Monitor', I was going
back to canvas and... working with the paint,
letting the paint become an image of itself.
Also, I was working with the color of the
canvas, which was probably a brown. But
sometimes I painted it grey... I guess
traditionally, when a painter approaches a
painting, the painter is not concerned so
much with the material, the canvas,
whatever. The painter is concerned with
putting the paint on the surface and making
the paint into an image. But I approach a
painting in a different way. I approach
painting beginning with the material. The
traditional painter would say that the canvas
was empty, and they would put the paint on
it and make an image with the paint. When I
approach a painting, I say the surface that I'm
using, whether it's canvas or whatever it is,
ain't empty; it's something in itself. It's up to
the paint to clarify it, in a sense. And also to
make an image, yes. But to make the surface
or the structure something to see. It's a
slightly different way of beginning a painting.
So sometimes I go back and work more with
just paint, to let the paint become an image
of its own. The curious thing is that, even
with all my other paintings, the paint is an
image on its own also. But the approach to it
has been different. It's been considered with
the material, with the structure and the paint,
not just with the paint.

In this work, I used metal fasteners to
make the painting project from the wall. All
my canvases at that time extended off the
wall a few inches. It seemed to make them
actually closer to the wall, curiously... be-
because you saw it attached, and you saw it
coming off the wall, but it was also very
much part of the wall. It was important that it
had an immediate relationship with the wall
plane, because this was not a picture of
anything. So I wanted it to really look like it
and the wall were together. They had to be
together for it to be complete. And so you
would see it attached. And when it came
away from the wall it looked more as if it
were a thing of its own, with the wall.

(RR and LZ)
Phoenix 1979

Varathane on steel 44.5 x 36.8 (17 x 14)
The artist

This was completed in March 1979. Eight paintings with the same title but varying dimensions were shown at the Sidney Janis Gallery in 1981, together with 'Sonnet', 'Chapter', 'Paramount' and 'Institute'.

RR: They were all relatively small and all cut from one piece of steel. However, the composition was quite different, one from another. And they were all painted with different paints... well, not entirely different paints. Some were very soft and matt and some had many coats; some had different bolts... sometimes different colored bolts. So there were a number of things that were working there compositionally: the color, the bolt size, and the paint, different surfaces. And the thinness of them: they are so thin that when they're on the wall, they just kind of melded into it. And I wanted to keep them together. Actually, it wasn't my original intent, but after I did them, I thought those really were different paintings. But, if someone were going to have them, they should have all of them. So I have all of them. None of them were ever sold, and they were only shown a couple of times I think. It would be interesting to see them in a relatively small room, maybe three on a wall, three on another wall, something like that.

(RR and LZ)
Archive  1980

Oil on steel 34.1 x 30.2 (13 × 12")
Exh: Ryman, Twombly, Tuttle, Blum Helman
Gallery, Sept.-Oct. 1972; La Grande Parade,
Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam, Dec. 1984-
April 1985 (repr. p. 301 in col.); New York
1988-9 (no number, listed); Paris 1991 (no
number, repr. p. 155 in col.)
Private collection

'Archive' combines traditional oil paint and an
'industrial' support. Here Ryman has used a
red rustproof paint as his ground. He has else-
where used a similar grey paint because of its
neutral quality as a ground. 'Archive' relates in
format, but not material, to 'Acme' (repr. Paris

RR: The structure that 'Archive' was done on
is a steel plate with flat protrusions where it’s
bolted to the wall. It’s all welded together.
Those plates were made originally as frames
for drawings that I was doing at the time in
Switzerland . . . The frames were made in
Switzerland, at a place that did metal
fabrication. I had these panels, so I painted on
some of them. And that’s how this one,
'Archive', came about. It was coated with rust
preventive paint, and then I painted them.
There were several others that I did at the
time, I remember, which were not
successful, but 'Archive' came out very well
. . . In fact it’s been in several shows, mainly
because I have it, so it was easy to show it.
[The drawings] exist. They’re in Europe,
most of them. They never came here. There
weren’t many, only about six or seven. They
were shown, originally in InK, in Zurich [in
1980].
(RR and LZ)
 Paramount 1981

Oil on linen canvas with metal fasteners
233.5 x 213.4 (88 x 84)


Courtesy Thomas Ammann, Zurich

RR: Here again, I was going back to the canvas, working with paint. It's actually very similar to 'Monitor' (no. 59) ... there were five or six, at least. I think those were shown at Janis, in '81.

(RR and LZ)
Enamelac on fibreglass panel with aluminium fasteners 102.9 x 96.5 (40 x 38)

Lit: Tate Gallery Illustrated Catalogue of Acquisitions 1984-86, p. 558

This is one of a group of about nine paintings from the same period, including 'Ledger', 1983 (Tate Gallery, repr. Tate Gallery Illustrated Catalogue of Acquisitions 1984-86, p. 558), exhibited at the Mayor Gallery in 1983.

Here Ryman increased the size of his fibreglass support by connecting a number of panels together. The joins between the panels became a compositional element (see also 'Charter' (discussed with no. 73) and the 'Versions' series, nos. 79-81. Ryman's construction method took precedence over design considerations.

RR: There were a number of those. They were on the fiberglass panels that I began working with at that time. These were different from the fiberglass that I'd used in the past. This was a sandwich of fiberglass sheets with an aluminum honeycomb core, which gave it a rigidity and strength. But also, you could get a relatively thin panel from it, and it was impervious to heat and moisture. You didn't have to worry about it warping. I did a number of paintings on these panels, various sizes and various paints, Enamelac and oil, even acrylic I think. The Modern has a painting which is similar... but the one they have is quite different in a particular way. [Attendant', 1984, listed in Ryman, peinture recente, Galerie Maeght Lelong, Paris, April-June 1984 (7).] It was the only one I ever did like that. It's supposed to be hung higher than most paintings, above eye level. I think I wrote that on the back, I don't remember. It was shown in Paris, originally, and then the Modern got it... I can't even remember how. Anyway, it was the only one I painted that was to hang above eye level.

Well, this opened up some possibilities that I hadn't worked with before: the fasteners became much more of a structural part of the painting. Aside from being visible, they developed in a surprising, quite radical way; they lengthened and came off the wall an inch or so. So, I had the color of the metal which was sometimes polished, sometimes brushed, depending on how the light was going to work with it; and then I had the fiberglass which was kind of greenish. I liked the color very much - kind of a light yellowish-green color. Sometimes it was totally covered, sometimes not. Then I had the edge which was the honeycomb, which I mostly covered, at that time, with redwood [see also 'Ledger', 1985]. So you had a red edge to the painting. It gave me a lot of elements to work with compositionally.

I had [the brackets] made. I would figure out the size and scale of them, do drawings, and have them made, usually out of aluminum because that was lighter, and also it was a nicer metal. Aluminum is really a very soft-feeling metal. They were made out of steel a few times, but mostly aluminum. In fact I have the drawings for all of those fasteners. They're not art drawings; they're just technical drawings. But a folder of them was shown at Matthew Marks Gallery. I think it was one of those opening shows he did. [Artists' Sketchbooks, Matthew Marks Gallery, New York, March-May 1991.] These brackets just opened up more possibilities, because there are so many things to work with. [The fiberglass] was made to size. They couldn't make one piece any larger than about 4 feet. If you went larger than that, it had to be in sections, which some of my paintings were. That always presented a problem because you had a seam. And of course in my work, a seam is a line. In fact, I used that in one of my paintings later. It's called 'Director' (repr: Art of Our Time, The Saatchi Collection, Vol. I, London/New York 1984, 110 in col.). It has a seam that runs right across the centre of the painting. Anyway, I painted up to the seam, and I left it. Because I knew if I painted it over, it would read as a construction line. But by leaving it open, it became literally a line, and so there was no problem, really. But to try and hide it was difficult. The painting was shown at Peder Bonnier when he first opened his public gallery in New York. It looked like a band was going across the centre of the painting, which was where the paint had stopped.

(RR and LZ)
64 Access 1983

Oil and Enamelac on fibreglass panel with steel fasteners 50.8 X 45.7 (20 X 18)
Private collection, The Netherlands

According to Ryman, this is related to 'Crown' (no.63) and 'Range' (no.65).

RR: They're all done around the same time in a similar manner... it gave me a lot of things to work with, a lot of different elements. There was redwood on the sides here, too (see no.63). Most of them [have redwood], though some may not. Although it might have been a little later, at some point I had an epoxy filler that was grey instead of red.

(RR and LZ)
65 Range 1983

Oil and Enamelac on fibreglass panel with aluminium 131.4 × 121.3 (51.4 × 47.9)

Exh: Paula Cooper, New York, 1983
Hannelore B. Schulhof

See note on 'Access' (no.64).
Lascaux acrylic on fiberglass panel with wood and aluminium; aluminium wall plugs varnished on underside 151.5 x 66 x 71.1 (59 x 26 x 28); panel size 66 x 66 x 67.6 (26 x 26 x 26)


This is one of two paintings where Ryman experimented in a more extreme way with the relationship between wall and painted plane. According to the artist, the outer edge of the work, which is at eye level, is natural unpainted redwood. The uppermost surface, which reflects light, was painted with a very hard, and therefore reflective enamel paint, whereas the underside is painted with a matt, soft surface that absorbs light. The painting is supported on the wall by fasteners and also on long aluminium rods that extend to the floor. The other closely related painting is ‘Pair Navigation’ described below. In ‘Factor’, 1983, which also relates to ‘Pace’ (repr. New York 1988—9, exh. cat., p. 14). Ryman projected the painted plane out parallel from the wall. Although these have been seen as sculptural, Ryman regards this interpretation as incidental.

RR: There were two of [these paintings], there was ‘Pace’ and there was ‘Pair Navigation’ [repr. in col., Paris 1991, p. 145], which came off the wall horizontally. Those are related works. I was just thinking how painting is always vertical, always against the wall. For good reason of course, because generally, they are pictures that need to be seen that way. I thought . . . since I’m not really making pictures, a work could possibly not be vertical. It could be just the opposite. I felt it was important that it be partly on the wall; the wall had to be in it. I thought I was a little crazy, but I thought, ‘I’ll try it; it’ll be interesting, a challenge.’ The painting is basically one of the panels with the redwood sides [see also ‘Access’, no. 64] that I mounted coming off of the wall at eye level. The front fasteners extend down to the floor, simply to keep it from falling, and the other fasteners went into the wall. At eye level, you pretty much saw the red line of the wood, but you could see the top a little bit, and that was very reflective. And the bottom was also painted, because, since it came off the wall, I could paint both planes. The bottom was soft, meaning it absorbed the light. And it wasn’t painted to the edge, as I remember. There was an edge of green, I believe (I can hardly remember what it looked like) and then the aluminium fasteners were brushed so that they were soft, also. So, there again, it was a challenge to see what would happen. I did another one, which was related but quite different, actually. It’s larger and closer to the floor. That’s the one in Paris [‘Pair Navigation’, 1984]. That one is concerned with gravity. The paint plane is not really attached. It’s just sitting on the surface which was, in that case steel, not fiberglass.

I didn’t do more of that type of work because I didn’t feel the need to pursue the problem.

(RR and LZ)
She [Bernice Rose] wanted the drawings in the catalog to be different to the drawings in the show, which was unusual, because she wanted the drawings in the catalog to be reproduced full size. She gave everyone who was in the show the size that the catalog was going to be, so we could do the drawings for the catalog that exact size. I did these the exact size of the catalog, which was small. But I did them on metal, not knowing that the title of the show was New Works on Paper. And because of the nature of the way I did them, they couldn't be reproduced full size, because you needed the wall. They came off the wall about a quarter of an inch. And it was very important how they worked with the wall. When they were reproduced full size you lost all of that. So we had to reduce them. Anyway, all of these were done at the time, for this catalog. Most of these were not shown in the exhibition. I did bigger drawings for the exhibition. I had the aluminum anodized. It's put in some kind of chemical bath that etches it slightly. It gives it a soft-feeling surface. In this case, I wanted to treat the metal so that it wouldn't oxidize, and so that I could put something on it without the metal coming through later on and without it's changing color. All of those drawings [in the series] were called 'Spectrum'.

It's a kind of basic theory of thinking about drawing that it has to do with line. I don't work with line in that way with my painting at all. When I draw, I'm usually thinking about line, and what kind of line it can be. Really, that's how I look at drawings. It's more involved than that, too. As with any painting, you do have a surface to put the line on – what is that? How's the light going to work on it? And so on, so forth. So the approach is very similar to painting, but the focus is on the line.

(RR and LZ)
Impervo enamel on aluminium with steel bolts 58.4 x 58.4 (23 x 23)
Private collection

This work is bolted to the wall by four bolts. The support is aluminium.

RR: It’s one of my favourite drawings. That was shown at the Modern, in Bernice’s show [The Museum of Modern Art, New York, listed]. It’s not very large, 23 by 23 inches, but it’s probably my favourite drawing. It’s just one of those things that is so seemingly simple, but it’s very complex and everything works . . . What you’re not seeing in the photograph is this line [the aluminium edge of the drawing]. It’s just the line of the metal, but it’s there and it’s important.

(RR and LZ)
69 Courier 1 1985

Impervo enamel on aluminium with aluminium fasteners 121.5 x 114 (47.5 x 44.5)
F AE Mus e d’Art Contemporain, Pully/Lausanne

This was exhibited at The Museum of Modern Art in 1985 with no. 68 and is part of the same series of drawings.

RR: This was one of those that sat on the wall. It wasn’t actually bolted to the wall, but it was sitting on these brackets that kept it from falling over . . . like the vinyl I’d used before. But this was different.

(RR and LZ)
Robert Storr notes that, with a few exceptions, Ryman's paintings of 1985 divide into three main categories: flat white painted fields on wood and fibreglass laminated panels, mounted on aluminium bars; large vertical paintings also on fibreglass, screwed to the wall, such as 'Accord', 1985 (repr. in col. Paris 1991, p.149); smaller works consisting of square aluminium and Lumasite plates, screwed to the wall with small bolts, e.g. 'Expander', and 'Administrator' (no.71).

RR: It is on aluminum, but on a thin piece – it's not on one of the sandwiched sheets. It has four bolts which are more or less toward the center of the painting, not at the edges as they usually would be. They became very much a focal point of the composition. They were small, but from a distance they look like four black circles. They aren't actually perfectly in the center, so they would seem like they were in the center. Curious. If you actually put them in the center, they look wrong. The paint surface was very soft and extremely thin next to the wall plane. So it just melted into the wall. Then you saw that it was attached by these four black spots. I would never paint four black spots but it's okay if they're bolts, holders. It's just a different thing ... by painting them, you would be painting an image of four spots, whereas here they're real. They're bolts that are holding the painting. I know how it's going to look, how it's going to be. It's just a different approach.

I used [black bolts] off and on [see also 'Embassy I', no.55]. The black is just a rust preventative, an industrial material. It isn't the best rust preventative either. But I used it because I wanted the bolts to be black. The paint on 'Expander' is flat; it has a very soft feeling.

(RR and LZ)
Lascaux acrylic on Lumasite with round black oxide bolts 121.8 x 121.8 (48 x 48)
Crex Collection, Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen

RR: Lumasite is another plastic material that is very similar to the Acrylivin I used earlier. It came in colors, and it was strong. I think 'Administrator' is on black. You can see the color around the edge of the painting. In this case, I used fasteners more compositionally. They were black points which also held the work to the wall. The surface is very similar to 'Expander' [cat. 70] — in approach that is. It's very soft and quiet and absorbing and light.
(RR and LZ)

Robert Storr remarks on the holes that Ryman has drilled along the bottom edge of this painting, which "establish the co-ordinated systems which implicitly divide the basic quadrilateral shape of the painting into the irregular grids which apportion the space within them". He relates these 'complementary' holes to the exposed canvas hemline Ryman incorporated into earlier works (Paris 1991, pp.37-8).
71 Transport 1985

Oil and Enamelac on fibreglass panel with polished redwood edge and non-anodized aluminium fasteners: 28.6 × 120 (51 1/4 × 47 1/2)


Private collection, Paris

'Transport' relates to other works with a redwood edge but was made a year or so later. See note for 'Credential' (no. 73).
73 Credential 1985

Oil on aluminium with four round-faced steel bolts 152.4 x 55.9 x 5.1 (60 x 22 x 2)


Ralph and Helyn Goldenberg

'Credential' is one of a series of paintings made in 1983, a number of which involved the projection of a paint plane some inches off the wall and into space. Other works in the series were 'Converter', 1984, 'Charter', 'Issue', 'Century', 'Appointment', 'Instructor', 'Transport' and 'Accord', all from 1983. These were exhibited at the Rhona Hoffman Gallery, Chicago in 1985. The works differed in construction. Paint planes projected to varying degrees; some works had aluminium supports, while others used fibreglass; some had redwood edges, and others had edges of aluminium. 'Charter' later became the basis of another series. Ryman has compared the double paint plane to that of 'Pace' (no. 66).

The 'Charter' paintings, to which Ryman refers below, are a series conceived in May 1985, when the Chicago collector, Gerald S. Elliot, asked him to paint a series of works that could be exhibited in a single room. The point of departure for the series was an existing work, 'Charter', 1985, a vertical rectangular painting composed of two evenly painted white panels, with the upper panel overhanging (projecting some inches) over the lower one. The two areas are divided by a thin strip of aluminium. There are also bands of aluminium at the top and bottom of the work. Ryman added four more paintings ('Charter II–V, 1987) to make up the series, but these have a square, rather than a vertical rectangular format.

RR: This is one of the rectangular paintings. There were several of those. Generally I used two paint planes. One came off of the wall about three inches. What interested me about this was the material. The aluminium was so thin, and here was the paint plane coming off the walls. It was almost like a sheet of paint that came forward about three inches. And then the second one was very close to the wall, and generally repeated in size, though sometimes not. But, compositionally, the planes were arranged in different ways. The aspect of the paint coming off and being close to the wall at the same time was what interested me; but there was more to it than that, and it had to do with the light on the metal and on the paint. One is in a room in the Art Institute of Chicago that has five paintings. It was the only time I ever actually made a room. It's called the Charter Room, after one of the works. [see Lit.]. It has the five paintings, which are always to be kept together in one room. They don't have to be in the same room, or even in the same configuration, as long as they're together. The room has been shown in Chicago and in San Francisco, and also in Pittsburgh at the Carnegie International. Gerald Elliot came to me and wanted to know if I would consider making a room for him, a meditating room. I had never done that. I thought about it, and I thought it might be an interesting thing to do, once. He already owned this one painting, 'Charter', which was part of this series. So I did four other paintings; actually, I worked on them here [in Ryman's studio in New York]. They were quite different from this ['Credential'] in a sense, but of a similar aesthetic. They didn't come off the wall like this, but the way they were painted was similar. And the material was different. So, he had the paintings, but he didn't have a room. He was going to make a room at his home, and meanwhile he lent the room to different places, San Francisco, Pittsburgh. Then he ended up giving them [the paintings] to the Art Institute [of Chicago]. He never really had the room.

(RR and LZ)
74 Express 1985

Oil and Enamelac on fibreglass with black oxide octagonal steel bolts and fasteners
273.7 x 120.7 (107 1/4 x 47 3/4)
Exh: Robert Ryman, Recent Paintings, Galerie Lelong, New York, Jan.–Feb. 1986
Cres Collection, Hallen fiir neue Kunst, Schaffhausen

Ryman has described this painting as solving the same problem as 'Credential' (no.73) but in a different way:

RR: There again, there's the double paint plane. But the approach is totally different. It's on fibreglass. The band is the fibreglass; it's kind of a greenish-yellow color. I left that part unpainted. Well, actually I think this has Enamelac on it, but very thin. It's soft and then the two black squares, which again hold it to the wall... the whole structure is only about a quarter inch thick. The two painted areas are flat.
(RR and LZ)
75 Constant 1987

Lascaux acrylic on Gator board 43.2 x 42.6
(17 x 16)
Exh: Robert Ryman: New Paintings, Konrad Fischer
Gallery, Düsseldorf, Oct. 1987; New York
1988–9 (repr. p.45 in col.)
Barbara Gladstone

RR: This was a little painting that was on red.
You can see it at the edges. Actually I painted
[the board] red. Gator board is like
Featherboard, except, instead of paper, it has
a wood veneer with a sandwich of foam in
between. I seal the edges with paint, so the
air doesn’t get in. It was in the Dia show
[New York 1988–9] . . . there were a number
of those. They were shown in Germany, at
Fischer. ['Constant', 1987; 'Leader', 1987;
'Receiver', 1987; 'Pledge', 1987].

And they weren’t all done on the Gator
board. Some were on fiberglass. They were all
painted with a very similar approach . . .
there’s the red edge to this one. Actually this
painting isn’t square [one side is a little
shorter than the other]. When you hang it,
you can’t do it using a level. In fact, I put
instructions on the back to explain that. There
are two paintings I did which are not square,
which are very interesting when they’re on
the wall, because they look straight but they
have a certain kind of energy to them which
is hard to pinpoint, because they’re not quite
straight. But you see, the painted line is
straight. So, if you hung it by that line, it
would be straight . . . But, it really wouldn’t be
straight if you put a level on it. I own the
other painting. ['Transfer', 1987, exh. New
York, 1988–9]
(RR and LZ)
Lascaux acrylic on Lumasite and plastic with steel 243.8 × 243.8 (96 × 96)
Bonnefantenmuseum, Maastricht, The Netherlands
Inscribed 'Ryman88' across centre.

The artist signed his name in block letters because he couldn’t just paint black squares. This relates back to the idea that he would not, on an earlier work, have painted black spots, though he found it acceptable to have the bolts serve the same visual purpose (see no. 71). He had used a concave surface before for 'Resource', 1984. He had tried to work with several curved surfaces, but there was a problem with how to hang them. 'Resource' is held by long bolts attached to the top and bottom corners which fit into plates and are held by screws. The bolts are larger at the top because the smaller ones didn’t hold it.

RR: That was in the Dia show (New York 1988–9). . . . It’s a painting that sits on metal flanges that come out from the wall. They have a little curve; the lip kind of goes back; it’s not straight up at a ninety degree angle. And then the surface of the painting curves. It sits on the flanges, then it curves back and touches the wall, then it curves out again and the top is held by a similar device. (RR and LZ)

RR: ['Journal'] is very complicated . . . It came about because I wanted to work on a curved surface, and I wanted it to lean against the wall without being actually attached to the wall. A curved surface was challenging because of the way the line was touching the wall. It was so thin, but then it was . . . away from the wall too . . . It was difficult to engineer . . . I tried leaning the panels and then putting them together, but they weren’t fitting right because of the built-in code. [All materials, wood plastic, metal, etc., have a specific way of acting because of the way they are made. They cannot be forced to act in a way that is different from that. They have a built-in code that must be considered] . . . Once the basic structure was done, then the painting began. That didn’t happen right away. The band in the center was always a problem. It had to be there visually because it’s part of the structure, but it was hard to work that in with the composition. Visually, I raised the center above that central bar. Then came the problem with the bar. I couldn’t get rid of it and I needed something visual, some reason for it. So I signed the painting across the center, which was a little radical. . . . It’s not fastened to the wall, it’s just leaning.

(Gary Garrels 1988, pp. 33–7, revised by the artist, 1992)
77 Initial 1989

Oil on Gator board with wood 60.3 x 58.4
(23 1/2 x 23)
Exh: Robert Ryman, New Paintings, Pace Gallery,
New York, April-May 1990 (8, repr. in col.)
Private collection

This painting, which relates to 'Constant'
(no.76) and 'Locate' (no.78) rests on two
asymmetrically positioned wood blocks that
protrude from the wall, to which they are
attached with Elmer's glue. The painting itself
is fixed to the wall with the same glue, so that it
lies flat. From the 'Classico' series of 1968
onwards (see no.41) Ryman has used glue to
install his lighter works. This method of fixing
allows the paintings to be 'naked', that is, with
out visible means of support. 'Initial', like
earlier works similarly fastened, may be
removed from the wall with the aid of a
spatula. It is one of several works from
1989-90 where Ryman has addressed the
problem of asymmetry in his work.
78 Locate 1989

Oil on Gator board and aluminium with painted steel 50.8 x 48.3 (20 x 19)
Exh: Robert Ryman, New Paintings, Pace Gallery, New York, April-May 1990 (4, repr. in col.)

Linda and Harry Macklowe

Byman told Lynn Zelevansky that in this painting, a lip of aluminium makes a line next to the wall and the metal plates are fastened to the aluminium. The paint is heavy and brushed in all directions except at the sides. The paint is applied in horizontal strokes on the left side and vertical strokes on the right. The painting is part of a series with 'Condition', 'Match', and 'Roll'. 'Converse' is also somewhat similar; it uses the same materials and has the aluminium line. All these works were exhibited with 'Locate' at the Pace Gallery in 1990 (nos. 3, 6, 5, 10). As with 'Context' (repr. Paris 1991, p. 161), the thicker, or more textured brushwork and formal elements in the composition link this recent painting to paintings of the early 1960s (for example the stacked horizontal lines in no. 21).
Oil on fibreglass with wax paper
112.4 x 104.2 (44 x 41)

Lit: Christel Sauер, pp. 7–11; Urs Raussmüller, 'A Talk in Robert Ryman’s Studio, 4 April 1992', pp. 13–33, in Robert Ryman: Versions, exh. cat., Schaffhausen 1992
Constance R. Caplan, Baltimore, Maryland

This is one of a group of sixteen paintings made between summer 1991 and spring 1992 and first exhibited together in Schaffhausen at the Hallen für neue Kunst. These are all titled 'Versions' (in the plural) and distinguished by Roman numerals. 'Versions' I–IV are big paintings made in 1992. 'Versions VII' is from a group of three medium-sized works ('Versions' V–VII). The smaller works are divided into two sub-groups, 'Versions' VIII to XIII (all 1991) and Nos. XIV to XVI (1992). Ryman used Roman numerals for this group of paintings so as not to imply a chronology.

For two of the 'Versions' paintings, Ryman used an interference paint which is opalescent and changes as the light changes. He is also interested in the way the waxed paper appears soft and fragile against the solid surface of the wall. In a telephone conversation with Lynn Zelevansky (24 November 1992), the artist said that when he began the 'Versions' series, he wanted to create a very thin work with a visually 'soft' surface. He made a number of paintings on handmade paper bonded to extremely thin sheets of fibreglass, along with others that were mounted on one-eighth inch fibreglass panels with an aluminium core. He was not satisfied with the results and decided not to use the paper but to work directly on the thinner fibreglass sheets. He began by making works that were forty-five inches square, which he nailed directly to the wall. He liked the 'softness' of the surfaces and the way the fibreglass draped itself against the wall. Since the size of the fibreglass was limited, he devised a way of making larger panels from smaller ones, creating different linear patterns by varying the position and quantity of the joins between sheets. He used the joins as a grid and, in some cases, added a grid in pencil because it, acting with the straight edges of the fibreglass, gave the works a kind of visual stability. He framed the tops and bottoms of the works with waxed paper, but ultimately restricted this element to the top edges, where it extends the paintings visually and gives an uplifting feeling to them.

RR: In these recent paintings, the paint plane itself and the structure, being so thin, are large parts of the aesthetic. And then there is the very thin wax paper and the way that works with the light and the paint plane and the space of the environment that the painting is in. All this has to do with the way the painting reacts with the wall plane.

The wax paper, being very soft and impermanent, creates an aspect of fragility, the opposite of strength. Of course, the paper can be replaced, and the paper is very different from all the other aspects of the painting. Not only because of the reflected light but...[because it extends] the structure...[of the paintings]...There are also the nails that hold the paintings, and make them an essential part of the wall plane itself. I used the nails simply because it seemed the most direct way to put the paintings on the wall...

In the 'Versions' I wanted to let the paint itself have more importance in terms of how it functioned as opposed to ways I had used paint in the past.

These paintings...are not done at one time, they are worked on over a period of time, sometimes quite a number of weeks or even a few months. And the surface is built up slowly and can expand through the space of the structure. On certain areas it can become more opaque through the addition of more paint. It is hard to say exactly how I do that, because it develops on its own.

(Raussmüller 1992, pp. 25–35)
80 Versions XII 1991

Oil on fibreglass with wax paper 47.6 x 43.2
(18.1 x 17)
Cres Collection, Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen
See no.79.
Oil and graphite pencil on fibreglass with wax paper 36.2 x 33 (14 x 13)

Cres Collection, Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen

Inscribed 'Ryman 92' vertically on right. See no. 79.
Chronology

COMPILED BY LYNN ZELEVANSKY

All galleries and museums referred to are in New York unless otherwise stated.

1930
30 May: Robert Tracy Ryman born Nashville, Tennessee. Father is in the insurance business; mother, a grade school teacher, is musical.

1948
Enters Tennessee Polytechnic Institute, Cookeville, Tennessee.

1949
Transfers to George Peabody College for Teachers in Nashville, Tennessee, where he studies music.

1950
12 September: Enlists in the United States Army Reserve Corps, assigned to an Army Reserve Band. Is activated shortly thereafter and, during the Korean conflict, serves in the Southern United States. Plays the tenor saxophone.

1952
17 May: Is discharged from active service.
June: Moves to New York City with the intention of becoming a jazz musician. Studies with jazz pianist Lenny Tristano.

March–June: 15 Americans, exhibition at The Museum of Modern Art (MoMA), includes William Baziotes, Herbert Ferber, Frederick Kiesler, Jackson Pollock, Mark Rothko, Clifford Still, and Bradley Walker Tomlin.

June: Ad Reinhardt has one-person exhibition at Betty Parsons Gallery. Shows almost annually with Parsons throughout the 1950s and more intermittently in the 1960s.

December: Harold Rosenberg publishes 'The American Action Painters' in Art News. The author defines the practitioners of the new American painting not as a school, but rather as a group of individuals to whom 'at a certain moment the canvas began to appear ... as an arena in which to act — rather than as a space in which to reproduce, redesign, analyze or "express" an object, real or imagined.'

1932-3
Ryman works at odd jobs in New York. Lives at 171 East 60th Street: 'I had no money and I was working ... little funky jobs, occasionally making forty dollars a week or something like that ... I usually only kept a job maybe eight or nine months. Then I would go somewhere else.' (Robert Ryman in taped conversation with Robert Storr, June 1992)

1953
January–February: Roy Lichtenstein has one-person exhibition at John Heller Gallery, where he shows until 1959. In 1962, joins Leo Castelli Gallery.
April: Willem de Kooning has one-person exhibition at Janis Gallery. Shows every few years throughout the 1950s and 1960s with Janis, Martha Jackson Gallery, and M. Knoedler & Co.

11 May: Bradley Walker Tomlin dies of a heart attack aged 54, one month after his second one-person exhibition at Parsons Gallery.

30 June: Ryman begins temporary employment as a vacation relief guard at MoMA. He stays on as a guard for seven years.

In 1953, MoMA has an already rich collection of works by Henri Matisse, as well as the following works by American Abstract Expressionists:

Willem de Kooning: 'Painting', 1948; 'Woman I', 1950-1; 'Woman II', 1952.
Robert Motherwell: 'Puncho Villa, Dead and Alive', 1943; 'Western Air', 1946-7.
Jackson Pollock: 'She Wolf', 1943; 'Full Fathom Five', 1947; 'Number 1', 1948; 'Number 12', 1949.
Mark Rothko: 'Number 10', 1950.
1934
February: Jackson Pollock has one-person exhibition at Janis Gallery. Janis shows Pollock’s work annually until 1958.

16 June: Painter (Elliott) Budd Hopkins begins work at MoMA’s front desk. Continues in this job until September 1955. He and Ryman become friends.

1 July–10 September: Al Held works at MoMA as an art handler. However, Ryman gets to know him better some years later, through the artist-run Brata Gallery on East 10th Street, where Held is a founding member.

April–May: Franz Kline has one-person exhibition at Charles Egan Gallery. Beginning in 1956, Kline shows his work annually until 1962.


3 November: Matisse dies in Nice.

Sometime in 1954, Ryman quits music and begins painting in earnest.


1955

April–May: Rothko has one-person exhibition at Janis Gallery. Shows again with Janis in 1958; between 1958 and his death in 1970, his solo exhibitions in New York are at museums.

May–August: The New Decade: 35 American Painters and Sculptors at the Whitney Museum includes work by Baziotes, Brooks, Gottlieb, Kline, de Kooning, Motherwell, Pollock, Reinhardt, and Tomlin.


Ryman begins the largely monochrome ‘Orange Painting’ (no.1), which he considers his earliest professional work.

1956
May–June: Ellsworth Kelly has his first one-person exhibition in New York at Parsons Gallery. Kelly shows every few years at Par- sons until 1967, when he joins Janis Gallery. Shows with Castelli, beginning in 1975, and at Castelli and Blum-Helman Galleries in the 1980s.

May–September: 12 Americans, exhibition at MoMA, includes work by Ernest Briggs, Brooks, Sam Francis, Fritz Glarner, Guston, Rauschenberg, Grace Hartigan, Kline, Ibram Lassaw, Seymour Lipton, José de Rivera, and Larry Rivers.

11 August: Pollock is killed in a car accident in the Springs, New York aged 44.

December–February: Jackson Pollock, Memorial exhibition at MoMA.


1957


March–April: Artists of the New York School: Second Generation, at the Jewish Museum, includes work by 23 artists, among them Helen Frankenthaler, Grace Hartigan, Jasper Johns, Allan Kaprow, Alfred Leslie, Joan Mitchell, Rauschenberg, and George Segal. The exhibition is curated by Meyer Schapiro, with an introduction by Leo Steinberg.

October–November: Bradley Walker Tomlin, retrospective exhibition at the Whitney Museum.

Agnes Martin returns to New York, where she lived from 1941-6 and from 1951-2. She settles on Coenties Strip. The community includes Robert Indiana, Johns, Kelly, Larry Poons, James Rosenquist, Rauschenberg, and Jack Youngerman.

Around 1975-8, Ryman and co-workers meet Rothko at the MoMA Cafeteria. Practical issues such as conservation and studio accommodation are discussed.

Between 1957 and 1961, Ryman makes small works on paper, or tracing paper, in pencil, casein, and/or gouache, or in oil. He also produces oil paintings on pre-primed cotton. These works are predominantly white, but underpainting in vibrant colour is, to varying degrees, visible. He has already begun to include his signature as a compositional element.

1958


March: Rauschenberg shows ‘Combines’ in first exhibition at Castelli Gallery.

June-July: Donald Judd has his first one-person exhibition at Panoras Gallery. Shows at Green Gallery in 1963 and 1964, and almost annually with Castelli from 1966 to the mid-1980s.

September-November: New Images of Man at MoMA includes works by Karel Appel, Francis Bacon, Diebenkorn, Dubuffet, Golub, de Kooning, Pollock, and others. Catalogue essay claims for the artists a shared form of figurative Expressionism that owes a debt to earlier twentieth-century movements, while it manifests a contemporary approach to materials, to ‘color as pigment’ and ‘surface as surface’.

November-December: Martin has first one-person exhibition at Section 11 Gallery. Shows with Parsons and Robert Elkon Galleries almost yearly into the mid-1970s. Shows with Pace Gallery from 1975.

Sometime in 1958, Ryman participates in a staff exhibition at MoMA. This is the first public showing of his work; it takes place in the gallery used by MoMA’s art lending service, located in the museum’s penthouse, outside the Members’ Dining Room. Ryman contributes one small painting to the exhibition, and it is sold to Gertrud A. Mellon, a member of the museum’s Painting and Sculpture committee.

December: Ryman’s work is probably included in the Christmas group exhibition at Brata Gallery, run by brothers John and Nicholas Krushenick. Ryman had become friendly with Nicholas Krushenick at MoMA where, from September 1953 to May 1957, Krushenick worked in the frame shop.


1959


March: Claes Oldenburg has first one-person exhibition in New York at the library of the Cooper Union Museum. He shows at the Judson Gallery, Reuben Gallery, Martha Jackson Gallery, Green Gallery, Sidney Janis Gallery, and, beginning in 1974, at Castelli Gallery.

25 April: Dan Flavin begins working at MoMA as a guard. Continues in the job until August 1960. He and Ryman become friends.

22 June: Michael Venezia begins work at MoMA as mailroom clerk. Continues in the job until September 1960. He and Ryman become friends, but lose contact when Venezia moves first to Europe and then to Rochester, New York. They meet up again when Venezia returns to New York City in the late 1970s.


Autumn: Allan Kaprow, artist and student of John Cage, organizes 18 Happenings in 6 Parts at the Reuben Gallery, one of the earliest opportunities for a somewhat wider audience to attend live ‘events’ that had previously been performed more privately.

December: Ryman’s work is probably included at the Brata Christmas group exhibition.


1960

January–February: Held has first one-person exhibition in New York at Pointexter Gallery. He shows with them almost yearly until 1965, when he joins André Emmerich Gallery.

17 March: Jean Tinguely’s ‘Homage to New York’, motorized assemblage, set in motion and destroyed in sculpture garden of MoMA.

18 March: Sol LeWitt begins work at MoMA as a desk assistant. Works at the museum continuously until October 1965. He and Ryman become good friends a year or so later, when they are neighbours on the Lower East Side.

15 May: Ryman resigns from staff of MoMA. On 17 June begins work as a clerical assistant at the New York Public Library, in the Art Division: ‘I shied away from teaching for a long time because I was afraid it would take too much from my painting. I felt I would become too immersed in the education aspect, so I would pick up jobs that left my mind free. Working in a library or as a museum guard were the kinds of jobs that seemed ideal.’ (Maurice Polier and Jane Nicol, ‘The ’60s in Abstract: 13 Statements and an Essay’, Art in America, Oct. 1983, pp. 123–4)

19 June: Flavin has his first one-person exhibition at Judson Gallery. Shows through-out the 1960s and 1970s at Kaymar, Green, Kornblee, Dwan, and Castelli Galleries.

19 August: Ryman marries critic Lucy Lippard. They remain together until 1966. Wedding is in Maine, where her parents have a house. They live on Avenue D on the Lower East Side, and Ryman takes a small studio at 163 Bowery. Artists Robert and Sylvia Mangold are his upstairs neighbours. At Ryman’s request, Sylvia Mangold gives him her last abstract painting, a small work with the word ‘Cancel’ written across it. LeWitt also lives nearby, on Hester Street.

1961

January–March: Mark Rothko, at MoMA, includes 54 works by the artist, who is 58 years old. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum.

April: Yves Klein has first one-person exhibition in New York at Castelli Gallery. Visits the United States.

15 May: Ryman quits his job at the Public Library to devote himself to painting full-time.

May–June: Flavin has his first one-person exhibition at Judson Gallery. Shows throughout the 1960s and 1970s at Kaymar, Green, Kornblee, Dwan, and Castelli Galleries.


September–October: Frank Stella has one-person exhibition at Castelli Gallery. Shows almost biannually with Castelli through the mid-1970s. Later, shows at Castelli and M. Knoedler & Co.


October–November: Twenty-five Years of Abstract Painting. Reinhardt mounts his own retrospective at Parsons and Section 11 Galleries.

1961

January–March: Mark Rothko, at MoMA, includes 54 works by the artist, who is 58 years old. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum.

April: Yves Klein has first one-person exhibition in New York at Castelli Gallery. Visits the United States.

15 May: Ryman quits his job at the Public Library to devote himself to painting full-time.

May–June: Flavin has his first one-person exhibition at Judson Gallery. Shows throughout the 1960s and 1970s at Kaymar, Green, Kornblee, Dwan, and Castelli Galleries.

19 August: Ryman marries critic Lucy Lippard. They remain together until 1966. Wedding is in Maine, where her parents have a house. They live on Avenue D on the Lower East Side, and Ryman takes a small studio at 163 Bowery. Artists Robert and Sylvia Mangold are his upstairs neighbours. At Ryman’s request, Sylvia Mangold gives him her last abstract painting, a small work with the word ‘Cancel’ written across it. LeWitt also lives nearby, on Hester Street.

October–November: Art of Assemblage at MoMA, a survey including art from Marcel Duchamp to de Kooning, Rauschenberg, and Johns.


Greenberg publishes 'Modernist Painting' in Arts Yearbook 4. (It is reprinted, with some changes, in the Spring 1965 issue of Art and Literature). He sees the history of painting as an evolution toward flatness, which he defines as the single property exclusive to that medium. Although he does not mention them in this essay, his argument serves as an historical justification for the work of such contemporary artists as Noland and Olitski, whose painting he endorses.

Ryman begins a series of small paintings in oil on unstretched canvas squares.

1962
March-May: Geometric Abstraction in America at the Whitney Museum includes 70 artists, among them Gorky, Held, Hofmann, Kelly, Martin, Noland, Reinhardt, David Smith, Polk Smith, and Stella.

6 June: Yves Klein dies of heart failure in his Paris apartment aged 34.

May–July: Philip Guston: Recent Paintings and Drawings at the Guggenheim Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 49 years old.

November: Andy Warhol has first one-person exhibition at Stable Gallery, New York. Shows at Stable again in 1964 and thereafter at Castelli Gallery.

November–December: The New Realists at Janis Gallery includes the work of artists from England, France, Italy, Sweden, and the United States. Among them are John Latham, Arman, Christo, Yves Klein, Daniel Spoerri, Tinguely, Oyvind Fahlstrom, Jan Dine, Indiana, Lichtenstein, Oldenburg, Rosenquist, Segal, Warhol, and Tom Wesselmann. The exhibition marks a shift in emphasis for the gallery – and the art world – from Abstract Expressionism to what will become known as Pop Art. Janis notes in the catalogue, 'As the Abstract Expressionist became the world recognized painter of the 50s, the new Factual artist (referred to as the Pop Artist in England, the Polymaterialist artist in Italy, and here as in France, as the New Realist) may already have proved to be the pacemaker of the 60s.'

Ryman makes a series of paintings in which short, curved, white brushstrokes cover similar colored strokes visible beneath.

1963
6 February: Piero Manzoni dies of liver disease and exposure in his studio in Milan aged 30.

Spring: Eva Hesse and Tom Doyle move to the Bowery, and it is around this time that Hesse, Doyle, Ryman, and Lippard become friends. Ryman shows Hesse 'how to frame her drawings cheaply with glass and tape'. (Lucy Lippard, Eva Hesse, New York 1976, p.67)


March-May: Robert Rauschenberg, at Jewish Museum, includes works from 1949-62. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 38 years old.

April-June: Five Mural Panels Executed for Harvard University by Mark Rothko at the Guggenheim Museum.

June: Three-hour programme at the Judson Church leads to the formation of the Judson Dance Group, which includes Trisha Brown, Lucinda Childs, Sally Cross, Carolee Schneemann, John McDowell, Philip Corner, Deborah Hay, Yvonne Rainer and others. By 1963 artists such as Robert Morris are participating in their concerts.

May-August: Americans 1963, the last of curator Dorothy Miller’s shows of contemporary American art at MoMA, includes work by Richard Anuszkiewicz, Lee Bontecou, Christy, Sally Hazelet Drummond, Edward Higgins, Indiana, Gabriel Kohn, Michael Lekakis, Richard Lindner, Markol, Oldenburg, Rosenquist, Reinhardt, Jason Seley, and David Simpson.


December-February: Black and White at the Jewish Museum includes work by 20 artists, among them Albers, de Kooning, Gorky, Johns, Kelly, Kline, Motherwell, Newman, Pollock, Rauschenberg, Stella, Tomlin, and Youngerman.

1964
January: Ryman shows as a guest in the American Abstract Artists 18th Annual Exhibition at New York University’s Loeb Student Center. Joins the organization later that year. He remains a member until 1981. In 1965, the annual exhibition, held at the Riverside Museum, includes, along
with Ryman, George Rickey, Salvatore Scarpitta, and George Sugarman. In 1966, guests include Hesse, Will Insley, LeWitt, and Robert Smithson. ‘It was a very laid back organization. We would talk about where we would show that year and someone would find a place.’ (Ryman to Storr, 1991).

January: Robert Mangold has first one-person exhibition at Thibaud Gallery. Throughout the 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s shows annually or biannually at Fischbach, John Weber, and Paula Cooper Galleries.

February–April: Jasper Johns, exhibition at the Jewish Museum. The artist is 34 years old.

March–April: Ryman participates in Eleven Artists, held at Kaymar Gallery and curated by Flavin. Other artists include Jo Baer, Walter Darby Bannard, Flavin, Irwin Flaming, Ward Jackson, Donald Judd, LeWitt, Poons, Stella, Leo Valledor. The exhibition runs only two weeks, from 31 March to 14 April.

4 December: Son born to Ryman and Lip-pard, Ethan Isham Ryman.

In 1964, Ryman makes his first paintings on metal (vinyl polymer on aluminium).

1965

February–March: Kenneth Noland, exhibition at the Jewish Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 41 years old.

February–April: The Responsive Eye at MoMA includes the work of Albers, Kelly, Louis, Noland, Reinhardt, and Stella. The exhibition attempts to understand contemporary abstraction through art that explores the extremes of optical situations, from work that is almost invisible to work that is intensely visually active.

March–April: Reinhardt has three simultaneous one-person exhibitions, showing red paintings at Graham Gallery, blue paintings at Stable Gallery, and black paintings at Parsons Gallery.

April–May: Three Americans, curated by Michael Fried at the Fogg Art Museum, Harvard University, contains the work of Noland, Olitski, and Stella. Lengthy catalogue text by Fried is a defence and elaboration of Greenberg’s Formalist criticism. Fried adopts Greenberg’s term, ‘modernist painting’.


September: Richard Tuttle has first one-person exhibition at Parsons Gallery. Shows with Parsons until 1984, when he is represented by Blum-Helman Gallery. Shows with Mary Boone Gallery in 1992.

Judd publishes ‘Specific Objects’ in Arts Yearbook. Essay posits the existence of a new art that is neither painting nor sculpture. Judd notes that the new three-dimensional work does not constitute a movement or school. Article reproduces work by Morris, Stella, Flavin, Lucas Samaras, Yayoi Kusama, Judd, and others.

There is a major shift in Ryman’s painting during 1965. The work begins to develop more systematically. From now on works are titled as a means of identification. He starts the ‘Whor’ series (see no.56).

1966

February: European Drawing at the Guggenheim Museum includes work by Lucio Fontana, Klein, and Manzoni. This is the first time Manzoni’s work is seen in New York.

February: Robert Morris publishes Part i of ‘Notes on Sculpture’ in Artforum. Parts ii and iii appear in the October 1966 and June 1967 issues of the magazine. The artist sees the concerns of sculpture as ‘not only distinct from but hostile to those of painting’. (Sculpture has generally not been involved with illusionism, so the evolution of modern painting can have little relevance to it. He speaks of the lack of an image and the literal use of materials as essential conditions of contemporary sculpture.)


April–June: Primary Structures: Younger American and British Sculptors at the Jewish Museum, curated by Kynaston McShine, includes work by 42 artists, among them Andre, Larry Bell, Ronald Bladen, Robert Gросenick, Judd, Kelly, LeWitt, Walter de Maria, Morris, Smithson, Anne Truitt, and William Tucker. This is the first major exhibition to include a comprehensive view of what will come to be known as Minimalism.

September–November: Ryman shows work in Systemic Painting, curated by Lawrence Alloway, at the Guggenheim Museum. Exhibition includes 18 artists, among them Baer, Held, Kelly, Nicholas Krushenick, Mangold, Martin, Noland, Poons, Polk Smith, Stella, and Youngerman. Ryman is one of only two artists for whom the catalogue has no exhibition history or bibliography.
November—December: Brice Marden has first one-person exhibition in New York at Bykert Gallery. Shows annually or biannually at Bykert, Sperone Westwater Fischer, Mary Boone, and Pace Galleries throughout the 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s.

November—January: Ad Reinhardt: Paintings, retrospective exhibition at the Jewish Museum emphasizing the late black paintings, curated by Sam Hunter with Reinhardt and Lucy Lippard. Catalogue essay by Lippard. Reinhardt is 53 years old.

Ryman paints 'Winsor 20', 'Mayco' (no.37), 'Twin' (no.58), and 'Delta I'.

1967

January: Tony Smith has first exhibition in New York, sponsored by the Office of Cultural Affairs, New York City Department of Parks; co-sponsored by the Bryant Park Committee of the Avenue of the Americas. Sculptures are shown in Bryant Park, behind the New York Public Library. Smith subsequently shows at Fischbach, Knoedler, Fourcade-Droll, and Pace. Following his death in 1980, there are exhibitions at Pace, Fourcade-Droll, and Paula Cooper Galleries.

January—March: Yves Klein, exhibition at the Jewish Museum.

April—May: Ryman has first one-person exhibition at Paul Bianchini Gallery. He shows the 'Standard' series, 13 paintings on rolled steel. 'My first show was at Paul Bianchini's gallery in 1967. It turned out nothing was sold, even though the paintings were very inexpensive. [An acquaintance of Ryman's visits the exhibition and buys a Rembrandt drawing from Bianchini. Delighted, the dealer gives Ryman $100. Gallery closes shortly thereafter] ... he gave me $100. So something came from the show ... and at that time $100 meant a lot. I had no money at all. I was kind of living by my wits. (Maurice Poirier and Jane Nocol, 'The 60's in Abstract: 13 Statements and an Essay' Art in America, Oct. 1983, pp.123-4)

April—June: Jackson Pollock, exhibition at MoMA.

June: Michael Fried publishes 'Art and Objecthood', a critique of Minimalism that begins with artist Tony Smith, in Artforum.

Summer: LeWitt publishes a personal manifesto, 'Paragraphs on Conceptual Art', in Artforum.

31 August: Reinhardt dies in his studio, probably of a heart attack, aged 53.

December: Robert Smithson publishes 'The Monuments of Passaic' in Artforum, returning to the town of his birth with an instamatic camera, not to record objects of civic pride, but industrial and other relatively prosaic sites. Painting is increasingly marginalized in the New York art world, displaced by various forms of Minimalism and Conceptual art.

Ryman produces his first works combining canvas with wax paper that is masking taped to the wall (e.g. 'Adelphi'). He also produces his first works incorporating elements drawn directly on the wall.

German dealers Konrad Fischer and Heiner Friedrich visit Ryman's studio and each buys a work. These are Ryman's first major sales. 'That was the time I felt there was beginning to be some interest ... I had a show in both galleries in Germany, and then shortly after there were shows in Italy and Paris with Yvonne Lambert.' (Ryman to Storr, 1992)

1968

January—February: Bruce Nauman has first one-person exhibition in New York at Castelli Gallery. Continues to show annually or biannually in New York at Sperone-Westwater Gallery, as well as at Castelli.

October—November: Ryman has first one-person exhibition in Europe at Galerie Heiner Friedrich, Munich. Shows six similar-sized paintings on linen that are stapled directly to the wall. 'Adelphi' (no.67) has a waxed paper frame.

November—December: Ryman has one-person exhibition at Galerie Konrad Fischer, Düsseldorf. Shows modular works on paper executed that year.

In 1968, in addition to modular works on paper (the 'Classico' series (no.41), 'Lugano' (no.40)), Ryman does works on canvas that are stapled to the wall. 'Essex' has a bright yellow border painted directly on the wall, while 'Impex' incorporates a narrow blue chalk line drawn above the painting (see no.39).

1969

31 January: Ryman marries artist Merrill Wagner, whom he met in 1968, when he attempted to acquire a dog from her for his son.

March—April: Ryman participates in When Attitudes Become Form: Works, Concepts, Processes, Situations, Information, curated by Harald Szeemann at the Kunstmuseum Berne. Exhibition includes 70 artists, among them Andre, Richard Artschwager, Joseph Beuys, Mel Bochner, Hanne Dar-
Ryman's studio wall, New York, early 1970s, showing works from his 'Surface Veil' series (photograph by John Weber Gallery)


March–April: Willem de Kooning, retrospective exhibition of 147 works organized by the Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam, travels to MoMA. First one-person exhibition in New York. The artist is 65 years old.

April–May: The Sculpture of Jules Olitski. Olitski becomes the first living artist to have a one-person exhibition at The Metropolitan Museum of Art. He is 47 years old.

May: LeWitt publishes 'Sentences on Conceptual Art' in Artforum.

May–July: Ryman is included in Anti-Illusion: Procedures/ Materials at the Whitney Museum, curated by Marcia Tucker. Also included are Andre, Michael Asher, Lynda Benglis, William Bollinger, John Duфф, Ferrer, Robert Fiore, Philip Glass, Hesse, Jenny, Barry La Va, Robert Lobe, Morris, Nauman, Serra, Joel Shapiro, Michael Snow, and Tuttle. Post-Minimalism is defined in terms of an emphasis on process.

September–November: Claes Oldenburg, exhibition at MoMA. First one-person museum exhibition in New York. The artist is 40 years old.

September–November: Roy Lichtenstein, exhibition at the Metropolitan Museum of Art. First one-person exhibition in New York. The artist is 46 years old.

October, November, December: Kosuth publishes his manifesto, 'Art after Philosophy Parts 1, 2, and 3' in successive issues of Studio International.

28 December: Son born to Ryman and Wagner, William Tracy Ryman.

December–January: Serra has first one-person exhibition in New York at Castelli Warehouse. Shows with Casselli every few years thereafter.

Vito Acconci records simple body movements with a camera in pieces such as 'Toe Touch'.

Ryman makes first paintings on corrugated board as well as on fibreglass.

1970

25 February: Rothko takes his own life aged 66.

March–April: Dan Flavin, exhibition at the Jewish Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 37 years old.

March–May: Frank Stella, retrospective exhibition at MoMA. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 39 years old.

April–May: Robert Morris, exhibition at the Whitney Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 39 years old.


29 May: Hesse dies of a brain tumour at New York Hospital. She is 34 years old.

4 July: Newman dies aged 65, following a heart attack.

1971

October–January: Barnett Newman, retrospective exhibition at MoMA.

November–January: Tony Smith: 81 More, exhibition at MoMA. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 59 years old.

Chris Burden does his first body-art pieces.

28 December: Second son born to Ryman and Wagner, George Cordyon Ryman.

Ryman buys a building on Greenwich Street previously used for painting theatre sets, which still serves as his three-floor studio.

'Before its dissolution Abstract Expressionism was also on the verge of dealing seriously with the theme of Nothing. In this sense, Ryman, along with Jasper Johns, might be the last functional member of the third generation of Abstract Expression-
ism. That is to say, he has focused his attention on coaxing paint as a natural material with a life of its own to express that life.

(Willis Domingo, 'Robert Ryman', Arts Magazine, March 1971, p.17)

'...Ryman's white paintings seem to make more sense of the idea of serial work than almost anyone else's do ... Whether their order is arbitrary or in some way necessary one simply cannot tell; all one can be sure of is their interdependence. Most striking perhaps is the way they energize the gallery space after a while by being exterior to themselves. Watching them differentiate themselves from mere white is like seeing entropy reversed.'

(Kenneth Baker, 'Ryman at Fischbach', Artforum, April 1971, p.79)

1972

March-April: Robert Ryman, curated by Diane Waldman, at the Guggenheim Museum. Exhibition includes 18 works from 1965-72. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. Ryman also has exhibition of earlier work at John Weber Gallery. He is 42 years old.

'Although Ryman is aligned with the Minimalist movement, he has more recently been claimed for both Process and Conceptual Art. These shifting classifications, if somewhat arbitrary, do nonetheless point out the fact that he eludes categorization.'

(Diane Waldman, Robert Ryman, exh. cat., Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, New York 1971 [np])


Summer: Ryman is included in Documenta 5, Kassel, Germany. He shows 'Aacon', 1968, 'Surface Veil 1', 1970 (no.49), and 'General', 1970 (see no.45). Other American artists include Acconci, Artschwager, Chuck Close, Hesse, Johns, LeWitt, Mangold, Marden, Martin, Malcolm Morley, Nauman, Oldenburg, Edward Ruscha, Tuttle, and Wegman.

December-February: Eva Hesse: A Memorial Exhibition at the Guggenheim Museum.

Ryman makes a series of paintings in oil on canvas composed of horizontal lines made with many small, vertical brushstrokes (e.g. 'Paragon').

'...Ryman's work, and artists who otherwise have no use for painting make his paintings an exception.'
October–November: Jackie Winsor has first one-person exhibition in New York at Paula Cooper gallery.

Ryman is awarded a fellowship by the John Simon Guggenheim Foundation. Uses money for general living and working expenses.

1974

January–March: Robert Ryman, retrospective exhibition, Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam. Exhibition and catalogue organized by Naomi Spector, E. de Wilde, and Geert van Beijeren in close cooperation with the artist.

Light reflection and absorption, opaque and translucent, shiny and matte, smooth and rough, thick and thin: these words keep coming up regardless of the material referent in Ryman’s work. This is neither a simple matter of chance nor because such qualities are properties of all physical matter. It is because Ryman’s use of materials is very much in terms of these qualities. As such, it is important to note that these qualities share certain characteristics; they are essentially physical rather than cultural, inviting direct phenomenological rather than conceptual reaction; as physical qualities they are primarily pictorial, inviting visual before tactile exploration and virtually cancelling significance of other sense explorations... In each case the chosen materials and their accentuated qualities are few to an extreme; such nakedness makes their choice and manipulation a high risk activity... For Ryman’s art is not at all simply a matter of compatibility of materials; it is also a matter of congruity with their usage; and finally of the particularity of Ryman’s “used materials” among that of contemporary artists and of painters throughout time.’


October–December: Al Held, exhibition at the Whitney Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 46 years old.

Ryman does oil paintings on wood (‘Midway’, ‘Zenith’). During this period (1972–5) he is also working with a variety of printmaking processes.

1975

March–April: Brice Marden, exhibition at Guggenheim Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 37 years old.

June–August: Robert Ryman, one-person exhibition at the Kunsthalle, Basel. Curated by Carlo Huber.


Ryman paints ‘Vector’ series, 11 panel paintings of vinyl acetate on wood, each 95 x 95 cm.

‘Ryman’s paintings remind one that a painting is a space hung on a wall, a void interpenetrating a void. The transition from one to the other is the passage from the determined to the indeterminate, from the identifiable to the imponderable, from an institution, replete with a historically accumulated body of terms and customs, to ourselves’.


1976

January–February: Richard Tuttle, exhibition at the Whitney Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 35 years old.

June: Ryman is included in Rooms P.S. 1, the inaugural exhibition at P.S. 1, Institute for Art and Urban Resources, Long Island City. Curated by Alanna Heiss.


November: Elizabeth Murray has first one-person exhibition in New York at Paula Cooper Gallery.

Ryman introduces visible fasteners of his own design which connect his paintings directly to the wall (‘Embassy’, ‘Concord’, ‘Criterion’). ‘Concord’ is the first Ryman work in low relief. Also uses vinyl strips as fasteners (‘Advance’, ‘Tower I’, and ‘Tower II’), and draws in pastel on sandblasted Plexiglas.
1977

January-February: Robert Ryman: Paintings 1976, exhibition at P.S. 1 includes 17 recent works and inaugurates P.S. 1’s more formal exhibition wing. Curated by Alanna Heiss. Ryman shows paintings with visible fasteners. He coats gallery windows with spray-on frosting material to soften the light and enclose the space.


'...the Ryman show becomes two things to the spectator. First it is a concentration on the mechanics of painting with its queer resonant beauties following form and function. The paintings, prints and drawings have to be stared at. They become vehicles for contemplation, and the mind skitters off them as the eye remains mesmerized. The paintings are simultaneously summations and suggestions.'


October-January: Jasper Johns: A Retrospective at the Whitney Museum.

1978

February-April: Sol LeWitt, retrospective exhibition at MoMA. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 50 years old.


December-January: New Image Painting, exhibition at the Whitney Museum includes work by Nicholas Africano, Jennifer Bartlett, Jenny, Lois Lane, Rothenberg, and others. Brings together figuration of a less overtly emotional nature than that of European and American painting associated with the early to mid-1980s.

Ryman makes oil on linen paintings that are raised slightly off the wall and attached to it with steel bolts, like ‘Monitor’ and ‘Summit’.

1979

January-March: Jackie Winzer, exhibition at MoMA. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 38 years old.

February-March and November-December: Julian Schnabel has two exhibitions at Mary Boone Gallery in one year, signalling the re-emergence of bravura gestural painting as a central concern for the New York art world. This is the American manifestation of ‘Neo-Expressionist’ which, in Europe, involves artists such as Anselm Kiefer, Georg Baselitz, Sigmar Polke, Enzo Cucchi, Francesco Clemente, and Sandro Chia. Their work begins to be seen in New York in 1980 and 1981.

Ryman does the ‘Phoenix’ series, relatively small works, each with surface and fasteners cut from a single piece of steel.

'I don’t know. I must have been crazy. I thought when I got the white canvas, you know, I put fifteen coats of web-sanded gesso oil on a canvas; and said if I were Bob Ryman I’d be done already.'

(Chuck Close, interviewed by Barbaralee Diamonstein in Inside New York’s Art World, New York 1979, p.77)

1980

7 June: Guston dies of a heart attack shortly before his 67th birthday.

Spring: Ryman travels to Switzerland, works on surfaces fabricated to his specifications that were originally designed as frames for drawings. Paints in his hotel room, using a rust preventative as underpainting. Works are shown in Zurich retrospective that begins in June.


26 December: Tony Smith dies of heart failure aged 68.

1981

Spring: Douglas Crimp publishes ‘The End of Painting’ in October. Sees painting as politically conservative, debased, and, after artists like Daniel Buren, fundamentally pointless. According to Crimp, Buren’s art poses as painting in order to subvert the medium and the institutions that support it: Buren ‘knows only too well that when his stripes are seen as painting, painting will be understood as the “pure idiocy” that it is’.

October: Thomas Lawson publishes ‘Last Exit: Painting’ in Artforum. In part a response to Crimp, the article posits painting as ‘a matter of faith’, the only alternative to despair for the radical artist. Interestingly, Lawson endorses the work of, among others, Jack Goldstein and Troy Brauntuch, who were included in About Pictures, Crimp’s fall 1977 exhibition at Artists’ Space in New York.

Ryman returns to oil on canvas (‘Paramount’ (no.62)). Creates an oil on aluminium series where fasteners are bands that stretch the length of the top and bottom surfaces, overlapping them (‘Media’, ‘Department’, ‘Post’).

‘Even now, when some eyes see only standardized, robotic Rymans he signs his own presence into being on the surfaces of his art . . . Monochrome is what allows Ryman’s idea of himself, which seems to be about as far from universal as an idea can be, to lodge itself in a series of facts – his paintings, which are always cool, but always aggressive in their particularity. Ryman has made the bluntness of all-white painting the vehicle of his exceedingly complex will to be distinctly himself’.

(Carter Ratcliff, ‘Mostly Monochrome’, Art in America, April 1981, pp.123–4)

1983

Summer: Permanent retrospective exhibition of Ryman’s work installed at the Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen.

1984

Ryman does his most sculptural works to date (‘Pace’ (no.66), ‘Pair Navigation’) and a series of enamel drawings on anodized aluminium. Creates ‘Resource’, his first work with a concave surface.

1985

Ryman does series of paintings on relief surfaces (‘Credential’ (no.73), ‘Accord’, ‘Charter’), as well as a series in acrylic on Lumasite.

It is around this time that an interest in geometric painting recurs with the emergence of artists like Ross Blechner, Peter Halley, Peter Schuyff, and Philip Taft.

1986

February–May: Richard Serra: Sculpture, exhibition at MoMA. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 47 years old.

1987

January–March: David Salle, exhibition comes to Whitney Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 33 years old.

October–January: Frank Stella: 1970–1987 at MoMA. Ryman is awarded the Skowhegan School of Painting and Sculpture Medal for painting.

Ryman designs a room for Chicago collector Gerald Elliot around the 1983 work, ‘Charter’, already in Elliot’s collection. Following a tour, Elliot donates the room to the Art Institute of Chicago. Ryman also does paintings on Gator board (e.g. ‘Constant’, no.73).

1988

April–June: Elizabeth Murray: Paintings and Drawings comes to the Whitney Museum. First one-person exhibition at a New York museum. The artist is 48 years old.

CHRONOLOGY [221]
October–June: Robert Ryman, one-person exhibition at Dia Art Foundation includes 33 works, mostly from the 1980s. Ryman makes 'Journal' (no.76), his second work with a concave surface and works with no visible fasteners (e.g. 'Summary'). He also does works on linen or linen over fibre-glass with redwood edges ('Duration', 'Convert').

'Together these paintings form a show — and a visual experience — that is as luminous as it is rigorous, as materialist as it is religious. The religion is high modernism, but the means are so straightforward that you don't have to be a true believer to be touched by its spirit ... Mr Ryman has kept the modernist faith more strictly than Frank Stella and also more personally. And he meets the critic Clement Greenberg's requirements about reducing paintings to its essence more successfully than any of the color field artists whom Mr Greenberg continues to endorse.'


1989

Ryman does asymmetrical works (e.g. 'Context'), as well as some symmetrical paintings with heavy impasto at their centers and a flatter paint application along the borders ('Locate', 'Press'). 'Initial' (no.77) sits on two small, assymetrically placed wooden blocks that are attached to the wall.

'Not for the first time I can't decide if his single-mindedness, undeterred by inner promptings of expression and by outward imperatives of style, is mainly exemplary or mainly bizarre. It makes for a very particular beauty, in any case ... However this show does give me a distinct feeling of being called to account, somehow, as if by some personal religious authority who knows my soul's waywardness and has reason to be concerned about me. He may be the last of the great modern artists who, believing that human endeavours partake of pure essences, once strove to put art on a philosophically equal footing with science. Certainly he is the only current painter who can turn my reminiscences of that perishing idealism into a specific ache rather than just a vague and global disillusionment. It's an effect of his radiant modesty, craftmansly virtues and incredible steadiness.'

(Peter Schjeldahl, 'White-out', 7 Days, 15 Feb. 1989, pp.55–6)

'The rhetorical center of gravity of this work, then, rests on the literal fulcrum between a collection of facts and its poetics.'

(Pat McCoy, 'Robert Ryman', Arts Magazine, April 1989, p.77)

1991


'Ryman's work defies ideological exegesis and disdains the melodrama of stylistic rupture upon which so much criticism thrives ... the infinite nuances of which his work avails itself can only be arrived at by total concentration on sensory fact.'


Ryman begins a series of paintings titled 'Versions'. Works vary in size, but all are painted on very thin fibreglass. All but one have wax-paper borders along the upper edge (see nos.79–81).

'In fact, contrary to historical belief about the nature of avant garde innovation, Ryman is clearly one of the few figures working today for whom one feels that it wouldn't really make a great difference had he been the first to do what he's doing ... or not'.

(Dan Cameron, 'Robert Ryman: Ode to a Clean Slate', Flash Art, Summer 1991, p.90)

'Light is another important element, since light with realism acts differently. It acts in a real sense, whereas with representational painting and abstraction, the light is primarily used in order to see the painting. With realistic painting, the light becomes literally a real element in the painting reflecting on surfaces or being absorbed into surfaces of the painting and into the wall itself'

(Speech by Ryman delivered at Dannheiser Foundation, New York, Jan. 1991)

1992

May–October: Ryman shows 'Versions I–XVI' at Hallen fur neue Kunst, Schaffhausen.

'Whenever we installed your works, we always saw how tremendously important it was to know exactly how the painting was conceived and how it was made. Some
works are extremely quiet and, in fact, absorb everything. Others can be virulent and work outwards a lot. They can be small in size but big in force of action. Every time we worked with them we experienced again how differently each painting works under the unique circumstances of space and light. And only when we allowed each painting to display its character and strength by not restricting its possibilities, did it really come alive and become active.

It is quite amazing to see how the different steps involving the paintings relate to one another. First and above all is the creation of a painting which, in itself, is a compression of different steps and decisions. But as you said in your text, the painting is not yet complete then. It has to be presented “in the world in a way that makes the aesthetic clear”. This is the next step. This is where another person comes into play, as I did with our common installations. And this person has to know exactly what he or she is dealing with in order to present the painting in an appropriate way. Then the painting starts to live its own life, interacting with its surroundings. This is the final and decisive step, where the painting works as an independent object in relation to a space. And this, I think, is actually an opening to new possibilities in painting. It allows the paintings to become active in a way that is excluded in representational painting.

(Ryman and Urs Rausmüller in Robert Ryman: Versions, 1992).

November–January: Agnes Martin, retrospective exhibition at the Whitney Museum. It is her first one-person exhibition of paintings at a New York Museum. She is 80 years old.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Paul Bianchini Gallery, New York, April - May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Editions, Seattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Galerie Heiner Friedrich, Cologne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Galleria Gian Enzo Sperone, Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Institut fur Neue Kunst, Zurich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Robert Ryman, Sidney Janis Gallery, New York, May - June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Robert Ryman: Paintings, Young Hoffman Gallery, Chicago, March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Ryman, Recent Paintings, Mayor Gallery, London, Nov. - Dec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Robert Ryman, Bonnier Gallery, New York, March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daniel Weinberg Gallery, Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Robert Ryman: New Paintings, Pace Gallery, New York, April - May</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Select bibliography, compiled by Clare Storey from information supplied by the Pace Gallery and The Museum of Modern Art, New York.
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Glossary of Technical Terms

ACRYLIC: Acrylic resins are a group of clear, thermoplastic polymers and co-polymers made from the polymerisation of acrylic and methacrylic acid esters. Acrylic polymer resins dispersed in water form the binder for many artists' acrylic paints such as the Lascaux acrylic used by Ryman. When dry, these paints form flexible, water-resistant and non-yellowing films. Ryman has also used acrylic in sheet form. See: Acrylivin; Lumasite; Plexiglas.

ACRYLIVIN: Brand name for a flexible, tough plastic sheet used as a building material.

ANOXIDED ALUMINIUM: Aluminium alloy treated by an electrolytic process to produce a protective oxide coating.

BAKED ENAMEL: An enamel paint which is cured by heating after application.

BLACK OXIDE STEEL PLATES/BOLTS: Ryman selects steel coated with black oxide for its appearance rather than its anti-corrosion properties.

BLUE CHALK: A mixture of chalk, plaster of Paris and blue pigment. Ryman applies it using a 'snap line', a stretched string coated with chalk used by builders to mark a long, straight line.

BRISTOL BOARD: A rigid paper board manufactured for artwork. It may be a homogeneous board or a wood pulp core faced with a good quality paper.

CASEIN: A protein derived from skimmed milk used as a binder for artists' paints and as an adhesive.

CHARCOAL: Charcoal for artists' use is usually made from carbonised willow or vine sticks.

COLOURED PENCILS: The 'lead' in coloured drawing pencils is composed of compressed pigments and clays with various binders.

CORRUGATED BOARD: A corrugated sheet of paper faced on one or both sides with a flat, strong paper such as kraft paper. It is extensively used in carton making.

COTTON DUCK: A plain weave, cotton canvas extensively adopted by artists as a painting support during the second half of the twentieth century.

ELMER'S GLUE: A white woodworking glue based on a polyvinyl acetate (PVA) emulsion.

ELVACIITE: Brand name for a range of acrylic polymers. The grade used by Ryman can be mixed with oil paint to improve its stability when applied to a plastic support.

ENAMELAC: Brand name for a pigmented, shellac lacquer.

FEATHERBOARD: Brand name for a light-weight board made of a foam core faced with paper.

FIBREPLATE: Brand name for polyester-coated fibreglass sheeting. Developed to line a mural at the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, it is strong, pliable and manufactured in large sheets.

FIBREGGLASS MESH: Strands of glass formed into a mesh commonly used as the basis for making glass-reinforced plastics.

GATOR BOARD: Brand name for a range of lightweight, rigid boards made of an expanded polystyrene foam core faced with paper.

GESSO: Traditional gessos are absorbent paintings and gilding grounds made from white pigments such as chalk or plaster of Paris bound with an animal glue. In his 1961 paintings, Ryman uses a traditional gesso probably of the ready prepared 'cold water gesso' type.

GOUACHE: Opaque watercolour paint bound with gums.

GRAPHITE PENCILS: In most modern drawing pencils the 'lead' is composed of compressed and extruded mixtures of graphite, a form of carbon, and clays which are treated with oils and waxes to produce a range of hardnesses.

GRIPZ: Brand name for a pigmented shellac sealer similar to Enamelac.

HONEYCOMB FIBREGGLASS PANEL: Rigid panels made from a core of aluminium honeycomb faced on both sides with glass reinforced plastic sheeting and edged with inset redwood battens.

HOUSEHOLD ENAMELS: Trade paints of high gloss manufactured for domestic use. They are made from a wide range of air-drying media including oils, synthetic resins and alkyds.

IMPERVO ENAMEL: Brand name for a high quality, enamel paint.

INDIA INK: A traditional writing and drawing ink made from lamp black, a fine carbon black, bound with animal glue and scented. Modern waterproof varieties contain resins to render them insoluble in water on drying.

INTERFERENCE COLOURS: These paints contain pigments made from mica platelets coated with many thin layers of titanium dioxide. Different angles of light striking them produce a changing and iridescent appearance.

JUTE: A plant fibre used for making robust, coarse woven fabrics such as sacking.

KRAFT PAPER: A strong packing paper, originally made from old ropes; in the twentieth century it is manufactured from sulphate wood pulp, often with a glazed finish.

LASCAUX ACRYLIC: Brand name for a range of artists' acrylic paints made in Switzerland.

LINEN: Linen yarn is made from the fibres of the flax plant and woven into linen canvas, which has been the most common support for oil painting for several centuries.

LUMASITE: Brand name for a tough plastic similar to Acrylivin.

MASKING TAPE: A cream-coloured, pressure-sensitive tape. It is not durable. Ryman has used masking tape on a number of his 'Surface Veil' paintings of 1970-2 and in framing a number of his early works.

MYLAR: Brand name for colourless plastic film made from polyethylene terephthalate.

NEWSPRINT: Paper made from variously treated mechanical wood pulps.

OIL PAINTS: Traditional artists' oil paints are based on a binding medium of vegetable drying oil such as linseed, poppy, walnut or safflower oils.

OXIDIZED COPPER: Copper will oxidize naturally to a green or brown colour, or oxidation can be chemically induced, which usually produces a darker colour.

PASTELS: Artists' pastels are made from pigment mixed with a filler and the minimum of binder, such as gum or starch. They are produced in a range of hardnesses. Oil pastels have waxes and oils as binders.
PLEXIGLAS: Brand name for rigid acrylic (polymethyl methacrylate) sheeting, commonly used for glazing.

POLYESTER FABRIC: A wide range of woven fabrics are available made from fibres formed from polymerized polyethylene terephthalate.

POLYMER: Polymer is a term for any organic molecule made by combining two to thousands of identical monomers. Co-polymers are formed by combining two or more types of monomer units to form the larger molecule. Synthetic polymer resins such as polyvinyl acetate and acrylics form the basis for many modern adhesives, paint binders and painting media used by artists.

SILVERPOINT: A metal point of silver which is used for drawing onto a slightly abrasive ground.

TRACING PAPER: Strong, thin papers treated with gums, resins and oils to render them transparent, with suitable surfaces to accept pencil or ink.

VARATHANE: Brand name for an air-drying polyurethane coating material.

VINYL: Vinyl resins are a group of clear, Thermoplastic synthetic polymers used to produce liquids, flexible films and hard solids.

VINYL ACETATE/POLYVINYL ACETATE: These are used in the formulation of adhesives, binders for paints and varnishes. Polyvinyl acetate emulsion, which can be thinned with water, but dries to a water-resistant film, is used in the manufacture of some artists' polymer paints and 'white' adhesives of the type used by Ryman to size canvas.

WAX PAPER: Wax impregnated paper is commonly used in the packaging industry. Ryman uses Cut-Rite brand wax paper for the soft reflective quality of its surface and translucency.
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All works belong to the artist except the following:

Thomas Amman Fine Art, Zurich 37, 62
Bonnefantenmuseum Maastricht, The Netherlands 76
Constance R. Caplan, Baltimore, Maryland 79
Crex Collection, Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen 16, 40, 54, 55, 56, 71, 74, 80, 81
Musée d'Art Contemporain, Pully/Lausanne 69
Museum für Moderne Kunst, Frankfurt-am-Main 39
Barbara Gladstone 75
Ralph and Helyn Goldenberg 73
The Greenwich Collection Ltd 36
Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, New York 43, 49, 50, 51

Staatliche Museen, Neue Galerie, Kassel (on loan from a private collection) 41
Galerie Lelong 66
Lucy R. Lippard 14
Linda and Harry Macklowe 78
Franz Meyer 57
The Museum of Modern Art, New York 38, 46, 58
Private collections 26, 27, 32, 44, 45, 47, 61, 64, 68, 70, 72, 77
John E. Ryman 1
Hannelore B. Schulhof 63
Emily and Jerry Spiegel 48, 53
Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam 15, 42, 52, 59, 67

The following works were not shown in London:
Nos. 31, 46, 49, 58, 66, 77

Photographic Credits

Bill Jacobson 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 17, 24, 27, 31, 33, 34, 35, 38, 46, 47, 48, 53, 58, 60, 61, 68, 70, 75, 77, 78, 81. All details and 1992 studio shots.
Jean-Pierre Kuhn (for the Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen) 6, 10, 13, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 28, 29, 31, 74, 79, 80
Paolo Mussat (for the Hallen für neue Kunst, Schaffhausen) 3, 4, 5, 12, 16, 30, 36, 40, 45, 54, 55, 56, 61, 71, 72
Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam 15, 42, 52, 59, 63, 67
David Heald (Copyright: Guggenheim Museum) 43, 49, 50, 51

Thomas Ammann Fine Art, Zurich 36, 37, 62
Alex Saunderson 26
Deimel & Wittmar 39
Staatliche Museen, Neue Galerie, Kassel 41
Lisson Gallery 44
Franz Meyer, Switzerland 57
Tom Haarsen 64
Galerie Lelong 66
Musée d'Art Contemporain, Pully/Lausanne 69
Michael Tropea 73
Bonnefantenmuseum Maastricht 76
The Pace Gallery All installation views in the Chronology