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The artist painting the portrait of Justice Owen J. Roberts, 1947
Watkins is a painter. All his life he has courted paint to its advantage and to his. He draws with paint; he thinks, feels, even smiles with paint. By nature warm and sensitive, he has a poetic imagination that abstracts a compelling image from the merest suggestion and the most unlikely material: death in an orchard, a fire eater at a circus, a man playing solitaire, a Supreme Court judge.

He is a serious painter, much concerned with the nature of his materials. He is a modern painter with a healthy respect for the traditions of his craft. He is above all a colorist who has derived inspiration unashamedly from many sources. As he has said to his students: “Influence is inevitable, so best find good company for a little while — you’ll be alone soon enough.” He has been “alone” for many years now and he has made his own distinctive contribution to American painting. With elegance and a characteristic fancifulness and humor he has uncovered a particular strain of the American temperament and one that has never been so well expressed before.

Born in New York, he was brought up in North Carolina, educated in part in Virginia and finally in Philadelphia where he has lived most of his working life. He was a pupil at the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts in the latter city, a venerable institution that has given us many fine painters, among them Eakins, Demuth and Marin. But most of all he is an adopted son of Philadelphia itself, a city with perhaps a longer history of artistic production than any other in America.

His training at the Academy was severely academic and, as he tells us himself, the shadow of Eakins’ pictorial precepts hung like a pall over him and his fellow students. As students will, they rebelled from the strict discipline in anatomy that was Eakins’ legacy to the school, and determined to follow instead the new freedom of color and form, discovered by post-impressionists like Gauguin, Lautree and Cézanne, which was carried to a higher pitch of intensity by Matisse and his followers. Following this revolt of his student
days, Watkins, having won two travelling fellowships, studied abroad in Spain, France and Italy. The Venetians, Giorgione and Tintoretto in particular, and the Venetian-trained El Greco, seem to have impressed him most. The seductiveness of their color, the mannerist or expressionist qualities of their figure drawing and the opulent pictorial architecture of their compositions left a lasting imprint upon his own style.

Watkins has never been a prolific painter, and the first few years in the '20s, after he returned from abroad, were something of a strain. His family suffered financial reverses when he was a student, which forced him to seek work for a time in an advertising agency to earn a living. The few canvases he was able to produce, and the fewer still he was able to sell in those early years might have discouraged a less determined artist. His first portraits and figure compositions, as one might expect, find him searching for a style, trying now one, now another direction until he finds himself securely on his own feet in the early '30s. The portrait of Paul Cret (p. 11), painted in 1922, and the Still Life (p. 12) from the following year have a solidity of modelling and an assurance of brushwork that reflect his sound academy training. Despite Watkins' student resentment of the Eakins discipline, the older master has had a hand, one suspects, in the Cret portrait — in its forthrightness of characterization and in the strength of its draughtsmanship. (And Eakins, I feel, remained with him as a perhaps unconscious inspiration for most of his best portraits. Watkins, in fact, came to admire him tremendously in later years.) The Still Life has a somewhat academic flavor to it with a suggestion of Cézanne in the brushwork. The Picnic (p. 12) of 1924 is an ambitious work, carefully composed and owing a good deal to the inspiration of Gauguin and Picasso.

In The Musician of 1925 and The Return of 1927 (p. 13) one can detect for the first time the emergence of a distinct artistic personality. The strong emphasis on diagonals in both compositions, the large sweeping rhythms, the easy command of forms in space, the expressionistic distortions or exaggerations of feature or gesture, the humorous mood of one picture and the romantic implications of the other — all these are to become characteristic of Watkins' paintings henceforth.

In 1931, at the age of 37, he was suddenly catapulted into the national limelight when he won first prize at the Carnegie International Exhibition with his Suicide in Costume (p. 15). The picture provoked a storm of criticism from the public and from many of the critics. Even those who approved the choice of an unknown young American for this international distinction were moderate in their praise of the painting itself. Conservative critics called it an example of ugly modernism. Some progressives felt it was somewhat derivative. It is difficult to understand today why such a picture created so great a furor. Perhaps in the depths of the depression the public was more repelled than usual by the morbid subject of suicide. However that may be,
I think we must now admit that while the painting shows great technical dexterity, the subject is theatrical and the exaggerated pose of the figure appears contrived for purposes of foreshortening and is somewhat unconvincing emotionally.

There is perhaps a similar straining after effect in several small Crucifixions done in 1931 (p. 14). Here, the distortions of the forms, the tortured gestures of all the participants in the scene, echoed in the violent cloud formation in the background, express a morbid mood of almost hysterical proportions that is akin to the melodrama of Suicide in Costume. There can be no question that the artist in both these subjects is reacting, however sentimentally, to the despair induced by the depression following the financial crash of 1929. Speaking of these years and in connection with these Crucifixion pictures and Suicide in Costume, he has said: “I went through a rather morose period of spiking myself up by getting gloomy. Race and religious questions and ‘What a mess things are in and getting worse’ were fruitful trains of thought.”

Following the notoriety attendant upon the winning of the Carnegie prize, Watkins, shocked by the amount of publicity he had received, retired from public view for three years. In 1934 he had his first one-man exhibition at the Rehn Galleries in New York. This same year he designed sets and costumes for Transcendence, a ballet set to music by Liszt, with a plot inspired to some degree by the life of Paganini. During the years 1931 to 1934 he turned to subject matter more in keeping with his normal temperament. Gently poetic in feeling, with occasional humorous or whimsical overtones, such canvases as Soliloquy (p. 17), Negro Spiritual (p. 18) and The Fire Eater (p. 19), painted between 1932 and 1934, set the pattern for much of his later painting. In a recent letter he has explained to me how slight the suggestions were from which many of his picture subjects originated:

“I find,” he says, “that it is most difficult to probe to the promptings back of pictures. In a few cases people have asked me ‘How come?’ shortly after the picture was painted, and the brief time lapse has allowed me to trace back a bit. But what I can pick up is superficial — nothing valid about it — just paraphernalia — the props for the play, so to speak. Not the reason the play was written.

“The Fire Eater (p. 19). I was drawing in an evening class. No one knew me. It was peaceful and I remember comfort in finding none of my students about. A small group behind me started whispering during the pose. They were abuzz about a sight they’d seen on their way to class — a fire eater. Intrigued away from my drawing, I listened in. At the end of the pose I asked exactly where this sight might be seen. I started painting the thing the next morning, and went on with it, planning always to see the real thing and find help. But I never got around to seeing it. I think I was afraid to: the flame interested me, and the flame within (eaten) somehow seemed to suggest, if I remember correctly, a continuous movement through the core of
the figure. And then I believe I sort of thought of something difficult, perhaps painful, being done with the people who stood about gawking — kidding — indifferent.

"Death in the Orchard (p. 32). I was driving on a cement highway on a hot summer day. I passed an animal that had been run over. Its body had been flattened again and again and sun-baked for hours. Nothing left but a little fur and parchment. Too bad, I thought, this waste on cement. The poor field at the roadside could have used the nourishment of the carcass. This event and mind-wandering preceded Death in the Orchard. I want sometime to try and do better wings fluttering down through branches.

"Solitaire (p. 16). Just men mooning around about themselves (palm reading, fortune telling perhaps). Cards interested me briefly. Poison for the King was from a playing card. Maybe someone trumped my King.

"Negro Spiritual (p. 18). I heard a fine Negro choir at the Barnes Foundation sing ‘Dis ain’t the Preacher but it’s me, O Lord.’ It echoed in my head and the picture was the result. Springtime (p. 20) had something to do with an old model I used. I got more out of her thinking about her later than working from her. She had some kind of nervous regret that went into her bones. I didn’t actually use her for the picture."

Aside from such subject pictures, a few still lifes (pp. 12, 27) and a rare landscape, Autumn Recollections, Watkins has produced from time to time some of the finest portraits painted today in America. This can be said, I think, without qualification. Most modern painters have avoided the commissioned portrait because they refused to be bound by conventional portrait requirements of a good likeness or a flattering pose. Watkins, without sacrificing his independence of expression or giving in to conventions, has succeeded in dignifying his sitters by going beyond mere likeness and studio pose and painting a picture first of all. His sensitive perception of personality, often conveyed through a casual gesture, and by relating the sitter to his own intimate surroundings (pp. 21, 24 and 26), is the most outstanding feature of his work. And even in a more traditional vein, and probably owing some of its solidity and force to Eakins’ example, his monumental Justice Owen J. Roberts (p. 30) is a vital and authoritative characterization in contrast to the insipid emptiness of most official portraits. On occasion, also, he has painted one or two genre portraits which are related in imaginative temper and in their whimsical air of reverie to a number of his subject pieces. Fanciful Meeting Long Ago (p. 29), for example, was painted, I believe, from daguerreotypes of the two children, and Remember Me (p. 31) is a souvenir portrait of an English girl who lived for a time with an American family during the last war.

In recent years Watkins has returned to paintings of a religious nature and in fact has produced in this category his major pictures to date: the large Death and Resurrection canvases in the McIlhenny collection (p. 38 and
Previous to this commission he had hopes of getting one from a church, but this project did not materialize. He had painted, without commission, a Resurrection in 1943 (p. 33). Mr. Mcllhenny had seen and liked it, and with this picture as a point of departure the artist proceeded to work for two and a half years until the final panels were completed in 1948. To fully appreciate their significance from an emotional and compositional standpoint, they should be studied in relation to the first Resurrection, the Death in the Orchard of 1938, and to the many studies which led up to the final pictures.

The latter make use of a minimum of traditional imagery or symbolism. The Death is slightly indebted to traditional depictions of the Entombment and the Women at the Sepulchre. The cross is barely suggested in the dark opening of the tomb to the left. But in the main this is a very personal interpretation of the subject. The sober color harmonies, browns, greens and violets, and the broad elegiac rhythms of the composition tell their own "story" without recourse to obvious symbolic properties. The impressive restraint and simplicity of this conception of death was arrived at only after considerable thought and preparation. This becomes clear if one follows the genesis of the composition step by step. In his first two studies, Descent No. 1 (p. 35) and Descent No. 2, Watkins attempted to convey the idea of death through the traditional representation of the Descent from the Cross. He abandoned this conception, perhaps because he was forced to use too many conventional properties whose significance had insufficient meaning for him. The first suggestion for the final composition is contained in To the Tomb (p. 35). This study is developed further in The Beloved Dead (p. 36). The latter sketch has a number of traditional features later discarded or considerably altered: the cross prominently displayed behind the mourning women, the architectural tomb to the left, the mourner with a lamp illuminating the shrouded body. In the next study (p. 37, top) the cross has been removed; the tomb has been extended to give a strong horizontal accent to the composition; the pose of the angel holding the lamp has been changed; and the mourners formerly around the cross have been grouped to the extreme right. The final study (p. 37, bottom) contains all the essentials of the finished picture. Here hovering angels have been introduced, recalling those in Death in the Orchard and the 1943 Resurrection, but where, in the earlier pictures, their flight is precipitate, in Death, their progress is reduced to a slow, horizontal motion, the long rhythms of their movements more in keeping with the funereal subject. The sepulchre has given place to a rock-like tomb, and two powerful vertical columns now divide the composition triptych-wise. In the finished canvas he places these dividing pillars in different planes thus giving a greater depth to the composition.

Watkins tells me that, despite the changes indicated in these various studies, the Death canvas was completed fairly quickly. The Resurrection occu-
pied him for a much longer time, partly, he says, because the subject gave him more enjoyment. In contrast to the *Death*, however, the *Resurrection* took almost final shape from the beginning (p. 40, top). The motif of the three angels before a curtain, behind which rise the flames symbolizing rebirth, is obviously derived from a similar arrangement in the 1943 *Resurrection*. In later studies (pp. 40 and 41) these angels undergo several slight changes and one important rearrangement. The angel holding the left-hand corner of the curtain receives several changes of costume, first a hoop-skirted garment, then a toga-like cloak and lastly a Martian-like jerkin. The angel to right of center who first carries a key is deprived of this symbol of life in all further studies and is ultimately given another resurrection symbol, a budding branch. And finally the third angel to the right is raised up into the branches of a tree, a device reminiscent of figures in a mediaeval Tree of Life. The crown of thorns in the foreground, one of the more obvious traditional symbols, is in the end linked with the lance, another instrument of Christ's Passion. In three studies of angels Watkins toyed with the idea of giving them more individualized features (p. 39, right) but, he has told me, the result tended to emphasize the importance of the angels too much and to detract from the overall mood of buoyancy and life he wished to convey. In one study the central angel is shown standing before a grove of trees (p. 39, left), but in the final picture the trees are moved to the right and the angel returns to his former position before the curtain.

Returning to the finished pictures, I think what impresses one most about them is not merely their great size (9' x 14' 4''), but the dignity and simplicity of their conception. That such convincing directness of emotional expression has been achieved by a very subtle balance of forms and rhythms in the compositions and by a most sensitive series of color harmonies, without any obvious parade of technical virtuosity, is a mark of Watkins' character as an artist and his sincerity and honesty as a man.

A.C.R.
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CHRONOLOGY

1894  Born New York City, December 30. Childhood spent in Rye, N.Y., Louisville, Ky. and Winston Salem, N.C.

1911-12  University of Virginia.

1912-13  University of Pennsylvania.

1913  Entered The Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts.

1914  Left the Academy to work in New York City.

1916  Returned to The Pennsylvania Academy.

1917  Received Cresson Travelling Fellowship — withheld owing to war conditions. Enlisted in Naval Camouflage.

1918  Returned to The Pennsylvania Academy. Received second Cresson Travelling Scholarship.

1918-23  Worked with advertising agency in New York City.

1923  Exercised both travelling scholarships. Travelled for a year in France, Spain and Italy. Studied particularly the work of El Greco, Goya, Piero della Francesca, Andrea del Castagno, Tintoretto and Giorgione.

1926  Returned to Europe for nine months travel; visited Sicily, North Africa and France.

1927  Exhibited with six other Philadelphia painters at the Wildenstein Gallery, New York.

1931  Won first prize at Carnegie International Exhibition, Pittsburgh, with Suicide in Costume.

1934  First one-man exhibition at Frank K. M. Rehn Galleries, New York.

1935 to present  Has taught painting at Stella Elkins Tyler School of Fine Arts in Philadelphia and, more recently, at The Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts.
ADVICE TO STUDENTS*
BY FRANKLIN C. WATKINS

The following notes are from comments prompted by particular paintings or drawings examined in the classroom.

If our urges are proper, the sources of our material supply are at hand in our surroundings.

There is surely something inconsistent and superficial in the approach which for variety’s sake, poses the model with infinite care to twist the torso, differ each arm and leg, from the other; but then, if it happens to be in full face, paints a repetition on either side of the head’s center line. Variety, if it is to be consistent and coincident throughout, comes with the painted thing. Since the variety is in the painting itself and not in the posed object why waste so much ingenuity on the pose? The same must be true with other elements of design. A composition cannot be posed in advance any more than one can find a balanced novel or short story ready-made in a news item.

Don’t fake an agility and cleverness to your outline that you don’t possess. Let your natural writing form itself. If it trembles and falters it may be your way and time may improve your touch. A writer’s character and thought is more legible in engraver’s script, but it is separate from it. Your thought and character is in the script itself.

Think of black not as darkness but as a color; black velvet is less black in shadow. Black like other colors lives in light.

Too much light bleaches color, too little fails to reveal.

Some colors seem to have more power than others to expand if surrounded properly by a neutral or breathing zone or a zone in which the insistence of its own color is not too stifling. These zones themselves often add up in the whole picture to an indefinite and indefinable bouquet which we take away with us and recollect as thoughts of lavender or thoughts of blue, or brown, or pink; but, on returning, we cannot find from where it came, nor quite explain the color in our memory.

Equal quantities of complementary colors do not make a maximum contrast — they repeat equal powers.

*Excerpts from a longer text first published in the Magazine of Art, December, 1941, under the title, A Painter Talks to his Students.
This applies to other shape repetitions, ears, cheeks, nostrils, etc. If a two-beat gets too much of a start one's imagination may keep it going, seeing it where it does not even exist.

Take care that eyebrows or other accents like curls or pockets in the hair don't steal from the power of the eyes. Eyes usually demand dominance; man has always acknowledged this – made them too large, jewelled them, etc. A closed eye or even a blind eye has an expression – or we see an expression in it.

Avoid a literary solution to the problem of facial expression. Mona Lisa's expression is completely neutral and so becomes all things to all men, for they make of it what they please or can imagine.

A painted head must add up to something. It must arrive at a climax in paint, to a climax in seen detail, and to a general summing up of the character. This involves many departures from the look of the thing. Sometimes in a large composition where there are many heads, and to avoid redundancy, some of the heads may be broken up through shadows, lighting, etc., into small sizes; but in a portrait the head should be complete.

Shapes act powerfully on one another if their intrinsic forms are separated and contrasted, such as the egg form of a head and the squareness of the shoulders. In some characters, underlying shock is important together with little shocks. In other characters harmonizing may be important.

I remember a portrait painter saying to me: “You should try to make your models look as if they were breathing in, not breathing out.”

Sometimes a big movement or spin may be created by having forms advance on one side of a head and recede on the other. Hair forward on one side, back on the other; not so far around the collar on one side as on the other, etc. Sculptors sometimes emphasize the convex on one side, the concave on the other.

The manner and degree of a painter's departure from the actual look of things may measure his meaning, and our photograph of objects may act subconsciously as a stepping stone for us into his emotionalities, or as a yardstick; which is sacrificed in extreme abstraction where no resemblance to the actual object is retained.

A man distorts familiar objects with assurance, for he knows his rights. He is uncertain in a strange environment or in a foreign country.

In connection with taking liberties with the look of things: A lithographer in selecting colors for lithographic inks asked Toulouse-Lautrec, “What color should I make the floor?”

Lautrec answered, “Purple or brown.”

But when the lithographer asked him what color to make the roast beef, Lautrec answered, “Why roast beef color, of course.”

Whether this is a true story or not, it illustrates the point that certain objects will allow greater liberties and more distortion than others. When you stop to think of it, gas light can be green and still be light, but turn roast beef any other color, and what roast heftiness remains? What happens to roast beef through distorting its color may also happen to some things in ill-considered distortion to other forms.

Aerial perspective teaches that colors and objects dim in the distance. If you paint them this way the inducement to recede into the picture and experience the picture's depth becomes too mild. It is necessary, sometimes, to force the experience of depth by accents and powers in the picture's distance and at the same time silence intensities in the foreground.

Checking and re-checking one's own ideas of success may squelch ridicule of a proper failure. I think it was Bette Davis who said: “My idea of a failure is a woman whose idea of success is a mink coat.”

In paint, the means cannot justify an end; they are inseparable from it, and little deceptions, little cover-ups, may jeopardize the integrity of the whole. Good painters know it is not easy and they are more lenient than amateurs towards fumbling in the right direction, so don't try too hard to conceal it. Please yourself first, then address yourself to good painters; then just to people, last to amateurs.

It seems to me that only the strong painters can be tender without going sappy, and I doubt those who are continually pointing in their paint to their virility. Disagreeable sensations may promote impulses as active as agreeable sensations. Should we then leave them to sour in our belly?

Great painters, with all their findings, have always found the wherewithal to seek again.

And a great painting, expanding ever beyond our understanding, induces growth, and growth is life.

Therefore, if a painter says to you, “I know,” ask him if he also knows that he is dead on his feet.
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16 Transcendence: 14 watercolor designs for the ballet produced by the American Ballet Company, 1934. 11 designs for costume, various sizes, 13 1/2 x 9 3/8" to 14 5/8 x 19 7/8"; 3 designs for scenery, 4 1/4 x 7 1/4"; 16 x 24 1/2"; 12 x 18 1/2". The Museum of Modern Art, acquired through the Lillie P. Bliss Bequest

17 Gabriel. c. 1935. Oil on canvas, 36 x 40". Lent by the Frank K. M. Rehn Galleries, New York

*18 Springtime. 1936. Oil on canvas, 54 3/4 x 35 3/4". Lent by the Santa Barbara Museum of Art, Santa Barbara, Calif. Ill. p. 20

*19 Solitaire. 1937. Oil on canvas, 27 x 30 1/2". Lent by the Smith College Museum of Art, Northampton, Mass. Ill. p. 16
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This bibliography, with a few exceptions, does not include references to items which have appeared in newspapers. Omitted also are some references to minor exhibition notices, listed in the Art Index 1929-1949. In the list of exhibition catalogs only those which have been accessible to the compiler are included. They have been arranged chronologically, as have the references to statements by the artist. References to writings on the artist, however, are listed alphabetically under the author's name, or under the title in case of unsigned articles.

All material has been examined by the compiler. Items marked with an asterisk (*) are in the Museum Library.

ANE BOLLMANN

Abbreviations: Ag August, Ap April, bibl bibliography, col colored, D December, il illustration(s), Ja January, Je June, Mr March, My May, N November, no number(s), O October, p page(s), por portrait, S September.

Sample entry for magazine article: Pitz, Henry C. Franklin C. Watkins, a painter who walks alone, il (1 col) American Artist (New York) 10:20-4 S 1946.

Explanation: An article by Henry C. Pitz, entitled "Franklin C. Watkins, a painter who walks alone" accompanied by illustrations (one of which is in color), will be found in American Artist (published in New York), volume 10, pages 20-24, the September 1946 issue.

STATEMENTS BY THE ARTIST

*1 A Painter Talks to His Students, il Magazine of Art (Washington D.C.) 34:504-11 D 1941.
   Reprinted (with addition of a few paragraphs) under title: "On the teaching of art" in Painters and sculptors of modern America; introduction by Monroe Wheeler, pp2-60 il New York, Thomas Y. Crowell, 1942.

   Article written by Franklin C. Watkins and Henri Marceau.

*3 Eakins; Philadelphia's Centenary of its Leading XIX Century Artist; a painter's appreciation of the tradition he once combatted, il Art News (New York) 43:10-13 Ap 1944.


   On the occasion of The Fourth Annual Area Exhibition, addressed "to those whose work was not accepted."
   See also bibl. 23.

BOOKS, ARTICLES, EXHIBITION REVIEWS


9 Bear, Donald. Watkins paintings, now at museum, are discussed, il Santa Barbara News Press, Sunday morning Ag 21 1949.
   Review of exhibition, Santa Barbara Museum of Art.


   Breuning, Margaret. See bibl. 14.


   —— See also bibl. 37.

   Includes excerpts from criticism by Margaret Breuning, Albert Franz Cochrane, Dorothy Grafly, Edward Alden Jewell.

15 Critics Pronounce Watkins a Romanticist, il Art Digest (New York) 8:9 My 1 1934.

   Watkins, one of three comprising the jury of

  Review of exhibition, Rehn Galleries, New York.

  Review of exhibition, Rehn Galleries, New York.

  Review of exhibition, Rehn Galleries, New York.

  Review of exhibition.

  Including excerpts from Watkins’ classroom criticisms.

*22 The Prize Picture Shocked. *il Literary Digest* (New York) 3:16-17 N 7 1931.

  Including excerpts from Watkins’ classroom criticisms.

  Review of exhibition, Rehn Galleries, New York.


  Review of exhibition.

  The book is a “product of an exhibition” at the Institute of Contemporary Art, January 20-March 1, 1949.

  Review of exhibition, Philadelphia Museum.


EXHIBITION CATALOGS

  Biographical note; reproduction of 3 studies and 1 large panel.


  Lists 13 works by Watkins.


  Lists 22 works. Includes biographical note.

  Lists 18 works plus “a group of drawings for the ballet ‘Transcendence.’” Reviewed in bibl. 17,18.

  Lists 16 works. Includes biographical note.

  Lists 33 works by Watkins. Includes prefatory note by Henry Clifford, and foreword by R. Sturgis Ingersoll. Reviewed in bibl. 8,13,18.


  Lists 10 works by Watkins. Reviewed in bibl. 9.
  Exhibition also held at San Francisco, M.H. de Young Memorial Museum, September 8 to October 9, and at Portland, Oregon, Art Museum, October 15 to November 20, with same catalog.