Brassai

With an introduction
by Lawrence Durrell

Brassai is perhaps the best-known photographer of Paris now living, probing with his camera its streets and cafés and scribbled walls, recording the faces of its artists and common people. As Lawrence Durrell puts it in his perceptive introduction, "One senses two strong flavors which complement each other. Firstly, that of his sharply selective yet tender treatment of light and dark; secondly, the flavor of the capital city which he adopted as a muse." Henry Miller has called him simply "the eye of Paris."

This book, identical in format with monographs on Harry Callahan and Dorothea Lange, contains a retrospective sampling of Brassai's finest work, ranging over some four decades. There are portraits of famous artists and of unknown workmen and cocottes, a selection of his famous series on the streets of Paris by night, and his sensitive renderings of wall graffiti. In all of them appears the seemingly paradoxical combination of painstaking craftsmanship and romantic sensitivity that makes his work so appealing and so moving.

Lawrence Durrell is the author of such bestselling works of fiction as The Alexandria Quartet and Tunc.
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The photography of continental Europe during the past thirty-five years has been polarized by the work of two dominant figures; one is Henri Cartier-Bresson, the other Brassai. Between them they seem almost to have pre-empted the possibilities of the art in their time and place. Cartier-Bresson has been the classicist, imbued with the French love of measure, valuing balance and clarity and the sophistication of the great tradition—as though he too had decided to do Poussin over again, this time with photography.

Brassai, the Parisian from Transylvania, seems in contrast an angel of darkness. His sensibility dates from an earlier age, and delights in the primal, the fantastic, the ambiguous, even the bizarre. Yet the most distinguishing characteristic of Brassai’s work is its profound poise and naturalness, its sense of easy permanence. Looking at his pictures, one is not aware of the act of photographing; it is rather as though the subject, through some agency of its own, reproduced itself. This unchallengeable authority is the measure of Brassai’s genius—of his ability to recognize primordial form, and to present his vision with a simplicity that depends on a brilliant and wholly functional technique.

Brassai was first exhibited at The Museum of Modern Art in 1937, in Beaumont Newhall’s pioneering survey Photography 1839–1937. Since then, his work has been seen in many Museum exhibitions, most extensively in 1956 in a one-man exhibition of his Graffiti.

For their contributions to the current exhibition, and to this book, the Museum gratefully acknowledges its debt, first, to Brassai himself, for graciously making his own collection available for study and loan; to Charles Rado and Frank Dobo, for assistance with research and bibliographical problems; to Andre Kertesz, for permission to reproduce his portrait of Brassai (frontispiece); and to Josephine Bush, for editorial assistance. Finally, the Museum’s special gratitude is due David H. McAlpin, whose generosity made it possible to acquire for the Museum collection a substantial portion of the work here reproduced.

John Szarkowski
Director, Department of Photography
He is far from being an enigmatic figure in his life, this man who "owns more than two eyes" (to quote Jean Paulhan), who is the "eye of Paris" itself (Henry Miller). There is no great mystery behind the famous pseudonym, unless it be the incidental mystery which surrounds the act of creation: the mystery of its genesis. In the case of Brassai the photographer, one senses two strong lines of force thrown out by his work—two strong flavors which complement each other. Firstly, that of his sharply selective yet tender treatment of light and dark; secondly, the flavor of the capital city which he adopted as a muse—Paris. He is very much a child of Paris, and in some way the city's most faithful biographer.

In 1923 (he was still called Gyula Halász then) he arrived in Paris as a young painter, fresh from his studies in the art academies of Budapest and Berlin. He was just twenty-four years of age, and like so many other young artists could have described himself as gifted, handsome, and—poor. But poverty is not the end of the world when one is twenty-four; like everyone else he set about making a career for himself. Paris then was very much more of a village than it is today, which perhaps explains the smooth inevitability of Brassai's conquest of it; he fell among the poets and painters of Montmartre and Montparnasse—young men with names like Picasso and Dali and Braque. The warmth of his personality soon attached them to him and he made friendships then which have lasted until today without a shadow of misunderstanding or rupture. But more important still, from our point of view, was the fact that the possibilities of the camera as a creative instrument were only then being thoroughly explored; Man Ray of course had forged a link with painting by his work, while many another painter was experimenting with film, both still and moving. Picabia, Dali, Cocteau, Buñuel, René Clair.... He had arrived at a time when all kinds of experiments were afoot.

While he wrote and painted he found himself becoming interested in the camera; luckily for us he turned it upon the personalities around him. Today there is hardly a poet or painter of that epoch whose mental image for us has not been touched by some characteristic Brassai portrait of him when young. It was not only Paris, then, which fired the imagination of this Transylvanian student but also (and almost by accident) the denizens of the capital as well. It was now that he selected his pseudonym, deeming his own name too difficult to spell. He signed his essays and articles with the new name he had coined from that of his native village Brasso in Transylvania. Thus was "Brassai" born.

Although much has been written about his work, and written with discernment
and penetration, he is still the best guide to it, for he is highly articulate and has subjected it to many small autocriticisms which throw into relief his creative attitudes and preoccupations. Yet I would like to describe at first hand a recent Brassai session because it seems to me to illustrate the ideas of this maître even better than his own sharply focused aphorisms.

I was lucky enough last year to receive word from him asking if he might photograph me for an American periodical. I had not seen him since about 1937—and that meeting was a fleeting one; at the time we printed one of his pictures in The Booster. But while I did not know him very well he was a close friend of Henry Miller, and indeed figures in Tropic of Cancer, as the photographer who showed Miller round Paris by night. Naturally I was delighted and honored to resume this old acquaintance with him; but owing to a muddle over dates Brassai arrived to find two young American press photographers standing over me, subjecting me to a fusillade of photography. They were both bright boys and industrious, but their idea seemed to be to shoot a million pictures before selecting one for printing. Moreover their equipment was fantastic, elaborate, enormously expensive; I remember a telephoto lens the length of a submarine periscope. Well, into this small cramped cottage wandered Brassai with his air of attentive vagueness. He had hardly any equipment at all, one very old camera with a cracked lens hood, a tripod which kept kneeling down like a camel—really amazing equipment, but as cherished as it was venerable.

"After twenty years you can begin to be sure of what a camera will do." Undeterred by honest competition, he sat and had a pastis while the young men prowled about, snapping and clicking, fussing about with the lights and swearing amiably. I do not know how they discovered who he was—perhaps his name came up by accident. But the change that came over them was quite extraordinary, for they were fans of his. Was it really him, they asked, feeling him all over? Then they shamefacedly hid all their equipment in the garage and made room for Brassai's venerable antique. They were men of tact and modesty. They asked humbly if they might watch him in action. Brassai of course was delighted; he maundered about scattering cigar ash from his white bone holder. After several attempts worthy of Laurel and Hardy we managed to get the tripod to stand up. Brassai found the light too harsh; we turned almost everything off. The camera, it appeared, was fond of shadow. Well, with this vexing business of light regulated, and his camera aimed at me in a corner of the sofa, Brassai sighed and sat down in his chair, for all the world as if he had finished the job and was relaxing for a smoke. But he had not begun. Quietly, absent
he started to talk to the Americans about photography in general, all the time keeping track of me with that hawk’s eye. While he talked he reflected. If I remember much of what he said it is because I had to translate it for him, as he speaks no English. The tenor of his discourse was roughly as follows: “Yes, I only take one or two or three pictures of a subject, unless I get carried away; I find it concentrates one more to shoot less. Of course it’s chancy; when you shoot a lot you stand a better chance, but then you are subjecting yourself to the law of accident—if accident has a law. I prefer to try and if necessary fail. When I succeed, however, I am much happier than I would be if I shot a million pictures on the off-chance. I feel that I have really made it myself, that picture, not won it in a lottery.”

All the time he was watching me, studying me in a vague and absent-minded fashion. He went on, with a motion to me to tell me to continue my running translation. “Another thing today is to try and trap your subject off his guard, in the erroneous belief that you will reveal something special about him if you do so. This leads to tricks in the end. I don’t do things that way. I can’t.”

He made some minor alteration of stance to his tripod, still smoking and talking in his soft mellifluous voice—as if talking to himself. “On the contrary I want my subject to be as fully conscious as possible—fully aware that he is taking part in an artistic event, an act. Do you remember the old cameras that the village photographer used at the turn of the century? Large as an oak tree, with a lens cap the size of a cat’s face, and a billowing black hood? All the village came to have marriage and confirmation pictures taken. It was a solemn, almost holy event. You were obliged to sit still; with the old lens cap the exposure was sometimes four seconds. Moreover, you had to hold your breath, sit still, and stare ‘at the dicky bird.’ The fact that it was ritual did something to the sitter—you can see the souls looking out of their faces more easily than you can in our photographs of today. They were not off guard, but fully cooperative, sharing an act of innocent majesty—‘having a picture took.’ That is what I still try and hunt for.”

Suddenly, with a surprisingly agile movement across the room the maître came up to me and said: “There, just like that; just what I want. Now!” I had apparently moved into a position which suited his book. He sat down, focused, and told me to look dead into the camera and hold it. Only when he approved of the expression on my face did he fire. And that was all for the day.

I suggest that this is very much what he was trying to express when, in the course of an interview for Paris Radio, he said: “I don’t bother myself with psychology. I photograph everything—one doesn’t need psychology.... When I do a picture of
someone. "I like to render the immobility of the face—of the person thrown back on
his own inner solitude. The mobility of the face is always an accident. . . . But I hunt
for what is permanent." One feels the invisible quotation marks round the word
"psychology"; Brassai does not "interpret" but allows the subject to interpret itself
on his film. His only task is to open the door, so to speak, on the experience, to choose
his moment, and then to press the trigger.

In some notes he has put down about himself I find the following passages which
are interesting not only in the way they touch on his intentions, but also for the few
technical ideas buried in them. "I came late," he writes, "to photography; until my
thirtieth year I knew nothing about it—indeed, I rather despised it. And I never
had a camera in my hand. It all came about because I am a noctambulist (je mênais
une vie noctambule); and the aspects of the capital at night fired and excited me. How
on earth, I asked myself, could I capture and fix these powerful impressions—by
what medium? I had been haunted for years by these fugitive images. My friend
André Kertész broke the spell by lending me a camera; I followed his advice and
his example. So was Paris by Night put together. I transformed my hotel room into
a laboratory. I bought (à crédit) a really good camera—the Bergheil by Voigtlander,
6.5 by 9 cm., with the Heliar f/4.5 lens. For long months I only shot at night. By
the way, I have always been faithful to this camera. I have always done my own
developing and printing and enlarging. Of all printing papers I love the glossy—it's
the only type which tells you straight away that you have to do with a photograph
and nothing else. I think you will be able to judge for yourself about my favorite
subject-matter; it's self-evident from the work. But I only want to emphasize the
extremely practical considerations which provoked me to learn to photograph. On
the other hand I would like to add something else: I've always had a horror of
specialization in any one medium. That is why I have constantly changed my
medium of expression—photo, drawing, cinema, writing, theater decor, sculpture,
engraving. . . . And I've published about fifteen books, no two of which are alike."

He has to satisfy, then, a protean temperament, and he does so to the full; the
really remarkable thing is that he succeeds in fulfilling himself in all these media—
he is a powerful draftsman, sculptor, and so on. One would imagine that all these
secondary modes of expression would be hobbies like Sunday painting for a writer.
Not a bit of it. He contends with his peers in every field.

But with an artist as articulate as Brassai it is a crime not to let him speak for
himself as much as possible, and this I propose to do now with some selections from
his voluminous writings and commentaries on his own work. It is not merely that the data is interesting in itself, it is also the angle of vision. One can see why a Picasso and a Braque cherish a fellow artist of this caliber; when he does an interview the questions he puts are a joy to listen to—and to answer. That is why his Conversations with Picasso is such an invaluable guide to the painter's ideas about his art.

"For me the photograph must suggest rather than insist or explain; just as a novelist offers his readers only a part of his creation—in leaving certain aspects unexpressed—so I think the photograph shouldn’t provide superfluous explanations of its subject. I’m thinking for example of certain façades of old houses, pierced with windows which no human presence could ever bring alive. Without knowing more about it I can imagine the sort of existence human beings lead behind walls like these. But better still, I should be able, by photographing it in a certain way to render completely tangible the hidden life behind."

"The photograph has a double destiny…. It is the daughter of the world of externals, of the living second, and as such will always keep something of the historic or scientific document about it; but it is also the daughter of the rectangle, a child of the beaux-arts, which requires one to fill up the space agreeably or harmoniously with black-and-white spots or colors. In this sense the photograph will always have one foot in the camp of the graphic arts, and will never be able to escape the fact. Indeed in every photo you will find the accent placed either on the side of document or of the graphic arts. It’s inescapable. At the beginning, of course, photography began to imitate the various schools of painting but at the time when I started work it had already begun to shake off the shackles of the purely pictorial. In each country we saw a reaction set in with the work of one man—Stieglitz in the U. S. A., Emerson in England, Atget in France…. With them the document yielded up its place, likewise the preoccupation with painting; photography became purely itself, neither less nor more. That is to say that people began to produce images in this medium which could not be produced in any other way whatsoever. As for those who asked (and still ask) in what the photograph differs from every other medium I reply by referring them to the scrap of conversation with Picasso which I have quoted in my book."

In the conversation referred to Picasso said: "When one sees what you manage to express by a picture one suddenly realizes just how much is no longer a concern of painting…. Why should an artist obstinately keep on trying to render something which can be rendered so well by the lens? It would be silly, no? Photography has come to its present state in order to free painting from all literature, all anecdote,
and even subject matter.... The painters should profit by their recovered liberty to do something else.”

In these passages Brassai is very much the explicit artist, conscious of what he is attempting; but in others he is not above launching an epigram with a double take embedded in it. I am thinking of his declaration: “Je n’invente rien, j’imagine tout” (I invent nothing, I imagine everything). Such a boutade underlines the need for intuition marching hand in hand with sympathy—not merely the powers of a frigid intelligence. In other words he lays himself open always to the creative accident; his mind may organize, but it is his intuition which pierces the carapace of the material object to reveal its symbolic properties.

Yet when all is said and done Brassai remains with us, in life; even when he does a still life the object echoes on, vibrates with the warmth of its human association. His little park chair is empty, yes; but the man or woman who sat there all afternoon has suddenly got up and left, so recently that if you put your hand on the tin seat you could feel the fugitive warmth of the body. If Brassai photographs a branch of plum blossom it is still vibrating from the weight of the bird which has at this very moment elected to disappear. The human association makes him a human biographer, whether he is dealing with Paris, or with a subject like graffiti, or with “those empresses of the night,” cats. He is a totally besotted catomane, Brassai, though mysteriously enough he does not seem to own one of his own. At least I have found no trace of it in the two Paris studios where he works. Perhaps (knowing him) he prefers one of the terrifying temple cats in stone from the museum. I can imagine him standing before it for hours, drinking it in.

Even an abstract subject like graffiti, the subject of his best book (in my opinion) does not render his work objective, withdrawn, cold. Despite the absence of a human subject the pictures vibrate with warmth and coherence. Especially if one has stumbled upon a chance remark of his from the catalogue of a Paris exhibition: “Le mur m’attire aussi par ses graffitis parce que, dans notre civilisation, il remplace la nature” (Walls attract me by their graffiti, because, in our civilization, they replace nature). An astonishing way of looking at things! But for the men of the cities, how true!

I cannot bring this short essay to a close without giving the reader Brassai’s own account of his first venture into moving film. It begins like a fairy story: “One day, deciding to try out my capacity as a cinéaste I bought a camera and took it along to the zoo.” The result of this excursion was a great success, winning a prize at Cannes, and getting itself distributed in about seven countries. But what he has to
say about the adventure is, as always, of paramount interest in its relation to his work: “I wanted to track down the fundamental difference between the photograph and the film. Contrary to what one imagined the photograph doesn’t express movement; on the contrary, it arrests it. And the more one increases the speed of the shutter the more definitive is the stoppage. It’s here that photography pushes us out of the ordinary range of human perception towards the scientific domain. I’ve often thought that photography was closer to sculpture than to music, because sculpture also arrests movement. On the other hand the cinema is movement itself; no picture subsists all by itself, but as a function of the one just before and the one behind. In this sense the greatest enemy of the real cinema is the ability to make marvelous photographs as, say, the Mexicans do. My own little film is all movement, and in order to underline the sovereignty of movement, I cut out all words, all commentary. A little music to accompany the movement of the animals, that was all. I even went so far as to prevent myself consciously from trying to ‘compose’ beautiful photographs; I snuffed out the still photographer in myself in remembering that the cinema is movement.”

This, then, is the creative history of a singular artist of our times, whose work excites and warms us by its humanity and insight. Yet the story is far from ended, for Brassai has vast plans for the future. In the last few years he has begun to devote a great deal more time to sculpture, and this new aspect of his work has won him new admirers and the promise of a series of exhibitions. As for photography, here also we have been promised further work to come—work of which a mountain still awaits publication among his files. From those laborious years ’32, ’33, and ’34 a very small selection was made to illustrate Paris by Night; the great bulk of his work of this period remains unprinted. Now, in a totally changed Paris of 1968, these pictures have taken their place in history and merit a much larger audience than the original book had. Brassai plans to give them to us soon. It must be a strange feeling to be still alive and yet to feel so sure that one is part of the history of one’s epoch. Brassai, like his friends, can draw comfort from the thought that the effort was worth it, that the game is won.

Lawrence Durrell
GATE OF THE JARDIN DU LUXEMBOURG, 1932
OPPOSITE: GALA SOIRÉE AT MAXIM'S, 1946-1947

ABOVE: GROUP IN A DANCE HALL, 1932
ABOVE: AVENUE DE L'OBSERVATOIRE, 1932
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ABOVE: MAN SLEEPING, 1932
JEAN GENET, 1955
TWO HOODLUMS, 1932
VALLAURIS, 1948
BALEARIC ISLANDS, 1953
GERMAINE RICHIER, 1958
ABOVE: GRAFFITI, n.d.

OPPOSITE: PICASSO, RUE DE LA BOÊTIE, 1932
MARKET PORTER, LES HALLES, 1939
GIRL PLAYING SNOOKER, MONTMARTRE, 1933
KIKI SINGING IN A MONTPARNASSE CABARET, 1933
STREETWALKER, 1932
BROTHEL, RUE QUINQUEMPOIX, 1933
AVENUE DE L'OBSERVATOIRE, 1934
PLACE DE LA CONCORDE, 1945
GIACOMETTI, 1934
BELOW: VINE STOCK IN A WINE CELLAR, 1955

OPPOSITE: WOMAN AT LE MONOCLE, MONTPIRNASSE, 1933
ABOVE: FOLIES-BERGÈRE, 1932

OPPOSITE: CHARTRES IN WINTER, 1946
ABOVE: BAL TABARIN, 1932

OPPOSITE: PONT DES ARTS, 1934
THE ROYAL SHOW, ENGLAND, 1959
"PÈRE LA FLÛTE" IN THE METRO, 1938
BUTTRESS OF THE ELEVATED, 1938
PONT NEUF, 1949
GRAFFITI, n.d.
OPPOSITE: RUE DE RIVOLI, 1937

BElOW: THE POET LÉON-PAUL FARGUE, 1933
PRISON WALL OF LA SANTÉ, 1932
PARC MONTSOURIS, 1931
CHRISTMAS EVE MASS, LES BAUX, PROVENCE, 1945
ABOVE: RUE QUINQUEMPOIX, 1932

OPPOSITE: QUARREL, 1932
GRAFFITI, n.d.
GRAFFITI, n.d.
GRAFFITI, n.d.
PIMP AND GIRL, 1933
GRAFFITI, n.d.
HENRY MILLER, 1932
GRAFFITI, n.d.
MADAME MARIANNE D.-B., 1936
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