## Architecture in government housing

Date 1936

Publisher The Museum of Modern Art

Exhibition URL

## www.moma.org/calendar/exhibitions/2084

The Museum of Modern Art's exhibition history from our founding in 1929 to the present—is available online. It includes exhibition catalogues, primary documents, installation views, and an index of participating artists.

© 2017 The Museum of Modern Art



Carl Mackley Houses, Philadelphia. Designed by Kastner & Stonorov, executed under the direction of W. Pope Barney.

# **Architecture in Government Housing**

## The Museum of Modern Art, New York





Acknowledgements

1

The members of the Committee on Architecture and Industrial Art wish to acknowledge their appreciation of the generous cooperation they have received from the Housing Division of the Public Works Administration and the Resettlement Administration in making possible this exhibition.

Especial thanks are due the department heads and the individual architects who have given their time and energy in the preparation and assembling of the material. In addition the Committee wishes to thank Miss Catherine Bauer for contributing the foreword to this brief catalog.

to the ten unever this question yet. If the projects service above, one has buch occupied for more than a year and discust all the serpro in progress of construction (with the exception of drambback is densey, which was precently stopped by court order due to see ressive local opposition). These two temperary Fuderal agentic mays not about fifty similar projects inder iteratriction. but it

Committee on Architecture and Industrial Art

Philip Goodwin, Chairman Alfred H. Barr, Jr. Catherine Bauer John Coolidge Henry-Russell Hitchcock, Jr. George Howe Joseph Hudnut Philip Johnson Chauncey Stillman Ernestine M. Fantl, Curator

### PREPROMETERS & CR. POSTEMOTICES

Aby architeotural exhibition worth looking at provides material for broader judgments than the more rating of individual buildings. Inportant aspects of the social economic framework of the times are sure to be reflected there, and to invite analysis.

The tag of "stris" or "period" often means that the particular comebination of means and purposes which produced the buildings was dominant and official at the time. Or it may represent a robel movement, perhaps a prophetic one, running counter to the established order of things. In any case, there can be no real understanding or critical appreciation of an exhibited group of buildings without an ensure to the question of an exhibited group of buildthese structures and must

The housing professes shown here in drawings, photographs and models, were ordered and financed by two different agoncies of the Federal doverimment, the P.W.A. Housing Division and the Repottlement Australe stratton. They are intended to house low-income families who have always mithento been forced to live is outworm and unhealthy houses. This means that every one of these projects (except the Carl Mackley Houses) must receive a subsidy, and will thus be partially paid for by the tar-payore of the United States.

where of these developments will remain in public constraints, and all will be permanently removed from the private speculative market. Some are also clostened and reconstruction projects, while others are on charp land in outlying suburban areas, but they are all planed and constructed as complete neighborhood units. Parks and community service facilities are built in. Streets and building sites become an integral and functional pattern instand of a standardized "subdivided" gridires. The particular projects exhibited here are all of simple, modern arenticatern design, with no colectic tage or advertiging exercises.

In short, this housing is as different from our usual mode of rest-

But what dood it really mean? When he comen to his notes on these buildings, will the future historian of American crehitecture and environment start a new chapter? Or will be merciy say in a factnote that in the year 1936 certain strange experiments were temporrarily engaged in by the Federal Government?

No one can answer this quastion yet. Of the projects here shown, one has been cooupled for more than a year and almost all the othere are in process of construction (with the exception of Greenbrook in Her Jersoy, which was recently stopped by court order due to succassful local specifical). These two temperary Pederel agencies have now about fifty similar projects under construction. But the



work cannot continue much longer on a temporary opportunist basis. And the U. S. Housing Bill, which would remove many legal uncertainties and set up housing on a permanent basis, will probably fail of enactment at this session of Congress. It is not on the President's "must" list.

Likewise, although a serious attempt to develop modern planning techniques and standards of neighborhood design has been made by the P.W.A. and Resettlement, certain other Federal agencies are among the bitterest enemies of these experiments. One of the socalled "housing" agencies provides Federal assistance to promote the same old type of speculative subdivision which is responsible in large measure for present slums and blighted areas.

All we have to go on, in short, is a few more or less accidental housing projects. As yet there is no national housing policy or program.

We have achieved one thing in the past four years. We have begun to realize that "housing" is a very complex problem, which cuts across almost as many fields as there are special interests and viewpoints in our society. The economic fact that most families do not have enough income to pay a profitable rental for a decent new dwelling; the industrial fact that unemployment is still rife in the building; trades, while the housing shortage mounts; the social fact that slum living conditions are prevalent in cities and open country; the technical fact that we know how to build a better human environment; the cultural fact that a housing movement ture, as it already has done in many European countries; the political fact that an effective housing program can be put through only by organized pressure and vigilance . . . not one of these facets of the housing problem can be neglected.

But this exhibition is not a presumptious one. It does not aim to present the problem in toto, or analyse administrative solutions. The exphasis here is entirely on concrete examples of new construction which may be of vast significance in the future not only of our architecture, but of our entire environment. And it may well be worth while to interrupt the abstract arguments and political jockeying with this simple reminder that a housing movement must eventually be judged by its houses and not by its theories.

Catherine Bauer

work tannet continue much longer on a temperary opportunity t bala. And the U.S. Nouring Bill, which would remove many is gain uncorr tainties and set up housing on a permanent basif, will producely fall of ensetment at this secure of Congress. If is hat one will President's "murt" list.

Likewise, although a serious attempt to develop modern proving the techniques and standards of noi mborhood design has been and by the P.W.L. and Hensettlement, cortain other Foderal answeres and an attempt to an attempt to a the second sec

All we have to go on, in short, is a for more or less coeldontal housing projects. As yot there is no national housing policy on .

to realize that "housing" is a vory complex portion, which cuts nervess almost as many fields as there are special intervents and viewpoints in our saciety. The accounter that these most families do not have enough income to pay a profitable mental for a decarin the building, trades, while the housing mortage mounts; the social fast that size living conditions are provalent in still wire and one country; the technical fast that we mow how to build a better human anvironment; the cultural fast that we mow how to build a that one that alum living conditions are provalent in still wire better human anvironment; the cultural fast that a housing mount to the that align living conditions are provalent in stiles better human anvironment; the cultural fast that a housing mount that are that as offered in any fired and a start of a better human anvironment; the cultural fast that a housing mount that or a loss that align living conditions are provalent in stiles that are that an offered to basing program can be put through only then is the boasing program can be neglected.

But this exhibition is not a presumptious one. It does not aim to present the problem in toto, or analyse administrative solutions. The axphasis have is entirely on concrete examples of new construction which may be of vast significance in the fature not only of our architecture, but of our entire environment. And it may well be worth while to interrupt the states arguments and political jookeying with this simple reminder that a housing movement must eventually be judged by its bouses and not by its the theories.

Cathoring Bauer



Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, Housing Division

The Housing Division of the Public Works Administration was created in July 1930 and \$125,000,000 was set aside for slum clearance and low-cost housing. Limited dividend corporation applications were the first projects to be considered. Only a few of the hundreds submitted were found to satisfy the requirements of low rent, good planning and slum clearance, the objectives of the program. The Division then turned to the alternative of public projects.

By the end of 1934 the Division was proceeding with actual plans and commitments totaling \$143,728,000 officially allotted to projects when in December \$110,000,000 of this amount was impounded to finance direct relief. There remained only \$33,728,000 with which to carry out projects already planned to utilize the full amount. Uncertainty about appropriations continued until April 1935 when Congress passed the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act, allocating \$450,000,000 to urban housing. As this action did not constitute authority for immediate action, it was not until June that the Division was able to proceed. Again in 1935 its program was was cut because of relief policies from 144 projects totaling \$380,000,000 to 37 projects totaling \$100,200,000 in addition to 7 projects financed by the original FWA funds already under weigh.

After many readjustments and difficulties, the program now is being vigorously pushed ahead. At present a total of 49 projects in 35 cities in the United States and in two of its insular possessions are now in active construction. Approximately 25,000families of the low income group will be housed and direct employment provided for about 50,000 building workers. Seven housing developments erected by limited dividend corporations and operating on PWA loans and under Division supervision are now occupied.

> The information above was drawn from the December 1935 Bulletin of the National Association of Housing Officials and the report of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, Housing Division, 1936

Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, Rousing Division

The Housing Division of the Public Marks Administration was areated in July 1950 and \$125,000,000 was set anthe for slum bisarance and low-cost housing. Limited dividend corporation applications were the first projects to be considered. Only a few of the hundreds submitted were found to satisfy the requirements of low root, good planning and slum clearance, the objectives of the program. The Division them turned to the sitemative of public projects.

by the and of 1964 the Division was proceeding with actual plana and cound twents totaling \$14%, 788,000 officiality allotted to projects when in December \$117,000,000 of this amount was impounded to finance direct relief. There remained only \$55,728,000 with which to cerry out projects alroady planned to utilize the full amount. Congress passed the insergency helief Apprepriation Act 1955 when Congress passed the insergency helief Apprepriation Act allocating \$450,000,000 to urban housing. As this action did not that the Division was able to proceed. Actin in 1055 its program wa that the Division was able to proceed. Actin in 1055 its program wa allocating \$450,000,000 to urban housing. As this action did not that the Division was able to proceed. Actin in 1055 its program wa that the Division was able to taling \$100,200,000 in addition to \$300,000;000 to 37 projects totaling \$100,200,000 in addition to

After many readjustments and difficulties, the program now is being vigorously punked aheads At present a total of 45 prejects in 35 olties in the United States and in two of its insular postenations are now in active construction. Approximately 25,000 amilies of the low income group will be boused and direct employment provided for about 50,000 building conterts. Seven bousing developments erected by limited dividend corporations and operating on FWA leans and under Division supervision are now occupied.

> The Information above was drawn from the December 1958 Bullettn of the Mational Association of Houaing Officials and the report of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works, Housing Diviston, 1956



1. Langston Terrace, Washington, D.C. Robinson, Porter and Williams, architects.

A project to house 317 Negro families of low income. The site was chosen for its proximity to market, school, recreation facilities and hospital. The buildings will be two story flats, group houses and three story apartments.

Exhibits Model of development Perspective view Typical living units and social rooms Map showing location of site

2. Cedar Central, Cleveland, Ohio. W. R. McCornack, architect.

A development of three story houses to house 654 low income families. The previous density of population was 67 persons per acre. The new buildings will house 120 persons per acre but by orderly planning will provide increased light, air and recreation grounds.

Exhibits Site before demolition vs. site as planned Night view of facade

3. Williamsburg Housing Development, New York. R. H. Shreve, Chief architect; James F. Bly, N. W. del Gaudio, Arthur L. Holden, William Lescaze, Samuel Gardstein, John W. Ingle, Jr., G. Harmon Gurney, Paul Trapani and Harry Leslie Walker, associated architects.

> Foundations are being laid for this low cost housing development which will cover an area of approximately 10 city blocks. The development will consist of 4 super-blocks, each of which will be surrounded by a park area. Each super-block will include a social room, nursery school and two play grounds. Neighborhood stores are also included in the plan. 1614 families will be housed in two, three, four and five room apartments.

Exhibits Perspective rendering Facade Details Plan of typical building: basement, first floor and typical floor Site plan Photographs of site before and after Map showing Williamsburg in relation to New York 1. Langston Terrace, Washington, D.C. Robinson, Perter and

2. Gedar Central, Cleveland, Ohio. W. H. McCornack, architect.

income families. The provious density of population was 67 persons per sers. The new buildings will bound 120 persons per acre but by dracity planning will pro-

the behave demolition va. alte an planned

3. Williamsburg Housing Development, New Yorks M. H. Shrove, Chief architect: James F. Bly, N. del Gaudio, Arthur L. Holden, William Loscance, Samuel Gardatein, John W. Ingle, Jr., G. Harmon Gurney, Paul Trepani and Herry Leelle

10 dity blocks. The development will consist of 4 super-blocks, sach of which will be surrounded by a park area. Each super-block will include a social room, nursery school and two play grounds. Neighborhood stores are also included in the plan. 1614 families will be boused in two, three, four and five room aparts.

Plan of typical building; basement, first floor and "



4. Carl Mackley Houses, Juniata Park, Philadelphia. Designed by Kastner & Stonorov, executed under the direction of W. Pope Barney.

The first project started by the Housing Division. it houses 284 families in two and one half, four and five room apartments. It is a limited dividend corporation organized by the American Federation of Hosiery Workers. Rentals are not, however, limited to hosiery workers only and the aim of the management is that the majority of tenants form a cross section of the industrial population of Northeast Philadelphia. Rental includes electric light and refrigeration, heat and use of laundry. For the kindergarten and swimming pool nominal fees of 5¢ are charged. The average ren-tal is \$9.35 per room per month. It was ready for occupancy January 1, 1935.

Carden Mark Carlos And Carden Cardon Mark Carlos Anna Anna A

Exhibits View from swimming pool Detail of houses View toward pool General view Bath house Site plan Plans

5. Westfield Acres, Camden, New Jersey. Associated architects of Camden, New Jersey. Joseph N. Hettel, Chief ar-chitect. Designed by Oscar G. Stonorov.

Westfield Acres is a Federal Housing Development financod entirely by the Federal Government. It is sponsored by the Camden Laber Housing Committee, a committee in which all organized labor, i.e. the American Federation of Labor and Industrial Unions are represented. It will house 598 families in three, four and five room apartments.

Exhibits Facade renderings Plans Model of development Plans integrate both the presided plans can the spontales of

4. Carl Mnokley Houses, Juniota Park, Philadelphia. Dosigned by Kasthor & Stondrov, executed under the elrection of W. Pope Barney.

The first project started by the Housing Division it houses hit families in two and one half, four and five room appriments. It is a limited dividend corporation organized by the American Faderetion of Boalery Workers. Hantals are not, however, limited to hostery workers only and the aim of the management is that the majority of tonants form a croas section of the industrial population of Northeast fulladolphia. Rental includes clostric light and points, host and use of laundry. For the kindergarten and swimming pool tric light and reirigeration, host and use of laundry. For the kindergarten and swimming pool tails is \$0.55 per room por south. It was roady for tails is \$0.55 per room por south. It was roady for

> Vios from swimming pool Dotall of houses Viow toward pool General view Sath house Site plan

5. Hestfield Acres. Camden, New Jersey, Associated architects of Camion, New Jersey, Joseph N. Hettal, Chief architect, Designed by Occar G. Stonorov.

Nestinals Acres is a Poderal housing Davelopment flownood entirely by the Pederal Government. It is sponsored by the Canden Laber Housing Committee a committee in which all organized labor, i.e. the American Pederation of Labor and Industrial Unions are represented. It will house 598 fumilies in three, four and five room apartments.

~

Finderinga Flans Flans Model of development



The Museum of Modern Art

SUBURBAN RESETTLEMENT

Suburban Resettlement is a division of the Resettlement Administration. This Administration, like the Public Works Administration, was created under the Emergency Relief Act. Unlike the Housing Division, however, Resettlement is set up to do its own building and has a construction division. Its objectives as stated by J. S. Lansill, Director, are:

- (a) to secure a large tract of land and thus avoid the complications ordinarily due to diverse ownerships;
- (b) in this tract to create a community, protected by an encircling green belt upon which there will be no building;
- (c) to design a community for people of predominatly low income, and so arranged and administered as to encourage that kind of community and family life which will be better than they now enjoy;
- (d) the dwellings and the land upon which they are located to be held in one ownership, preferably a corporation to which the Federal Government will transfer title, which corporation will rent the dwellings and not sell them;
- (e) to set up a municipal government in character with such governments now existing or possible in that region;
- (f) to provide those public services of educational and other character which the community will require;
- (g) to accomplish these purposes in such a way that the community may be a tax paying participant in the region, that extravagant outlays from the individual family income will not be a necessity, and that the rents will be suitable to families of modest income; and,
- (h) concurrently with these efforts to develop a land use plan for the entire tract, and under the direction of the Administrator. to devise a system of rural economy coordinated with the land use plan for the rural portions of the tract surrounding the Suburban community, - and to integrate both the physical plans and the economies of the rural area and the Suburban community.

Four complete towns, each with its protective greenbelt of small farms, parks and gardens, are being built with relief labor by the Resettlement Administration. They are near Cincinnati, Milwaukee, Washington, D.C., and Bound Brook, New Jersey.

## Suburban Resottlement is a division of the Resottlement Administration. This Administration, like the Fublic Works Administration, was created under the Amergency Relfer Act. Unlike the Housing Division, however, Resettlement is set up to do its own building and has a construction division. Its objectives as stated by J. S. Landill, Director, are:

- (a) to secure a large treet of land and thus avoid the complications ordinarily due to diverse onnerships;
- (a) in this tract to create a community, protected by an en-
- (e) to design a community for people of predominatly low in-. come, and so arranged and administered as to encourage that kind of community and family life which will be better then they now enjoy:
- (d) the dwellings and the land upon which they are located to be held in one concerning, preferably a corporation to which the Federal Government will transfer title, which corporation will rent the dwellings and not sell them.
  - governments now existing or possible in that resions
  - the to provide those public services of educational and other character which the community will require
- g) to accomplish these purposes in such a way that the community may be a tax paying participant in the region, that extrevegant outlays from the individual family income will not be a necessity, and that the rents will be subable to families of modest incomes and.
  - b) concurrently with these efforts to develop a land use plan for the entire tract, and under the direction of the Administrator to device a system of rural secondry ocordinated with the land use plan for the rural portions of the tract surrounding the Suburban community. - and to integrate both the physical plans and the economies of the rural area and the Suburban community.

Four complete towns, each with its protective greenbolt of smail, farms, purks and gerdens, are being built with relief labor by the Resettlement Administration. They are near Cincinneti, Milweuker, Kashington, D.C. and Bound Brook, New Jersey.



1. Hightstown, New Jersey. Alfred Kastner, principal architect, Louis I. Kahn, assistant architect and co-designer.

> A town for garment workers to be completed September 1, 1936. A cooperative holding corporation will purchase the project from the Government and through supervised management will operate the community until the full amount is amortized. Several subsidiary cooperatives are already being organized.

## Exhibits

Map showing location of project in relation to marketing centers and transportation facilities Map of town site, farm area and woodland Town plan Rendering: one story house, foreground study Rendering: one story house, background study Rendering: two story house, in perspective Rendering: Swimming pool Rendering: Community Center Plans Model of development

2. Greenbrook, New Jersey. Henry Churchill and Albert Mayer, architects.

> The total area contemplated for this project was 3,900 acres for the town site and agricultural belt. Of this 125 acros was the approximate area of the first unit to be built for 750 families, but planned for an ultimate population of 5,000. The dwelling units are one, two, three and four bedroom houses in groups of two to six, a few single family one story houses, a small percentage of flats and one three story apartment house. Orientation was given great consideration so that in general all major bodrooms and living rooms face park areas rather than streets and receive as much sunlight as possible. The population was to be drawn from the surrounding industrial towns, the income range being probably between \$900 and \$1500 a year. A town center for the first unit was planned to take care of eventual growth. The final use of the agricultural belt would probably have included a cooperative farming system.

## Exhibits

Map showing relation to adjacent centers Map showing relation to New York Panorama Business center Renderings and plans of one story house Model of double house by Henry Churchill Plans Model of double house by Albert Mayer Plans stichaters, How Street. Altrod Statuer, principal covilities, toots 1. Kons, getlet at ant cooltteet and co-designer.

A town for cornent solvers to be completed September 1, 1936. A concentive belding completellon will purchase the project from the development and through supervised management will operate the computity until the full securit is smortized. Several subsidiary computatives

### and be frence

asp aboutog location of project is relation to marketing convers and bransportation facilities Map of town site. form area and woodland form plan Ronderingt one story house. foreground study Ronderingt une story house, foreground study Anderingt Swimming pool Ronderingt Swimming pool Ronderingt Community Conter Flans

Submioloved to Tenon

taotas teracy. Henry Churchill and Albert Mayer, archi

The total area contemplated for this project was 5,800 nores for the town site and actionitoral bait. Of this 125 sames was the approximate miss of the first unit to be built for v50 familian, but planned for an ultimate oppulation of 5,000. The dwelling units are one, two. three and four bedreen houses in groups of two to six. a first static family one story apertant house. Orientstion was given great sensition as that in general a of firsts and one times story apertant house. Orientstion was given great sensition as that in general a the population was to be dress from the surrounding inthe population are to be dress from the surrounding inthe population was to be dress from the surrounding industrial towns, the income range boing probably between the state and store take town renter for the first and \$1500 a year. A town renter for the first and a cooperative familing agains. The final use of the same take the boing probably between final use of the state take one of eventual groups in the final use of the same to be the state for the first and a cooperative familing system.

61201423

ap showing relation to hey york ounters anorama

Houderings and plans of one story bouse Model of double house. by Henry Churchill Plans

adol of double house by Albert Mayer



