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FOREWORD

In its eighteenth loan exhibition The Museum of Modern Art has endeavored to bring together works of the best quality produced by American painters and sculptors during the last seventy years. Certain of the finest works which it was hoped might be included in the exhibition unfortunately were not obtainable—for example, Ryder’s Jonah and the Whale, in the Gellatly Collection; Whistler’s Miss Cicely Alexander in the Tate Gallery; Eakins’ Gross Clinic in the Jefferson Medical College; and John Quincy Adams Ward’s Washington. But even with these masterpieces missing we have been able to assemble works by which their producers may be fairly measured.

The artists of the period who are no longer living are more fully presented than the artists of today. Of living artists there has been space to show no more than one or two works each. It is obviously impossible to include all of the artists who might be deemed worthy of inclusion. Our aim here has been to offer a fair measure of the value of American art today.

This is the Museum’s seventh American exhibition.

A. Conger Goodyear
This exhibition covers a period of change and development in American art. At the beginning of the period American art was provincial. It is true that the country had already produced good artists, such men as Copley, Earl, and Stuart. These painters have high claims to distinction but they bear unmistakably the marks of the English portrait school, and in their total production fall short of the work of their English peers. After their time our portrait school declined, and American art, seeking its bearings in an age of profound economic and social change, lapsed into a provincialism deeper than before.

This was the situation at the opening of the Civil War. Within fifteen years from that date American art had been lifted out of the provincial stage by a remarkable group of painters—Whistler, Inness, Martin, Eakins, Homer, and Ryder. By 1865 Whistler was working on his series of nocturnes, and his career was well under weigh. By the middle 1870's Inness had found his stride; Homer Martin had seen the work of Constable and was broadening his style; Winslow Homer was developing in his scenes from common life the power and the breadth with which he later depicted the epic of America out-of-doors; Eakins had settled in Philadelphia and was working on his sporting series with a mastery of means and a realistic probity which have not been surpassed in this country; and Ryder was sending pictures to the National Academy exhibitions and discovering the first intimations of his genius in brooding upon the mystery of man's destiny and the great moods of the sea.

In the work of these men American art enters a national and cosmopolitan phase. All of them, with the exception of Whistler, came out of a peculiarly American provincialism which was dominated by classes with little tradition of art patronage. The esthetic interests of these classes were conditioned by great social and economic forces. The mechanical inventions of the nineteenth century stimulated art in one direction and thwarted it in another. Photography put an end to the American portrait and miniature schools. The perfection of new processes in printing brought out hundreds of publications which demanded illustrators who could depict the American scene and its racial and social types. To the early settlers nature had been hostile, but in the early nineteenth century the local scene of the East had been domesticated and the wilder aspects of the un-
conquered West could be sentimentalized. The unfolding of the frontier, the growth of population under the stimulus of industrialism, the rise of the common man in the era of Jacksonian democracy, and the development of photography and printing both created and supplied a public interest in the scenery of the American land empire and in homely incidents from everyday life. This interest in nature and in the life of the common man was nourished from various literary sources—from the philosophy of Rousseau which ran through the romantic and revolutionary upheavals of the time, from the poetry of Wordsworth, and the writings of Washington Irving and James Fenimore Cooper.

In this environment developed the early genre painters, the Hudson River School, and the school of heroic landscape. There is a certain homely honesty in American genre painting, and in Winslow Homer and Thomas Eakins it produced work of sterling quality. Not much can be said for the painters of heroic landscape. In the work of the Hudson River School there is sincerity and a real love for the American landscape, a sense of panorama, and a direct response to the inspiration of the country. Its practitioners, many of whom were engravers, ranged from a pedestrian realism which insisted upon the last leaf and fold, to a rather insipid sentimentalizing of the grander aspects of untamed nature. Still there is a good deal of charm in Asher Durand’s smaller landscapes, for all their plodding literalism, and a real sense of panorama in Cole and Kensett.

Inness, Martin, and Wyant are the direct heirs of Cole and Durand, but on the esthetic and technical side the French and English landscape painters were of more importance in forming their mature expression. Reacting from the analytical realism of the earlier landscape painters these men went in the direction of a synthesis achieved through tonalism and atmospheric treatment, and a looser handling, which they derived from the work of Corot, Diaz, and Rousseau, and from John Constable.

The work of George Inness developed steadily in this direction. Landscape painting with him, after his early period, was balanced between an interest in nature as a fact to be represented and as a mood to be expressed. Lake Albano (No. 55) painted in Italy in the 1860’s has a largeness and a unity not to be discovered in the Hudson River panoramas. In this painting Inness was working for monumental composition but this was only a stage of his development. The further stages are represented by such pictures as The Coming Storm (No. 56) which illustrates the transition from the solidly painted pictures of his earlier period to the later atmospheric treatment; Early Autumn, Montclair (No. 57),
in which he achieved tonal harmony without reducing the intensity of his local
tones, and a balance between his interest in landscape as fact and as mood; and
Moonlight on Passamaquoddy Bay (No. 58), which is in his all-overish later man-
ner. These pictures illustrate the high quality of his achievement and suggest its
weakness. Inness was a mystic lost in a century when art was coquetting with
the more romantic phases of science. Like most artists of the nineteenth century,
he was not quite sure of his intention and this accounts for much of the uneven-
ness in his production. He is often vague and sometimes flimsy, but at his best his
work has a certain splendor.

Homer Martin and Alexander H. Wyant never followed Inness all the way.
Martin does not have the range and power of Inness but he is more certain of his
intention as a painter. His earlier manner is in the panoramic tradition of Thomas
Cole, but after a visit to England and several years' residence in France he pro-
duced such pictures as Harp of the Winds (No. 73) and Westchester Hills (No.
74) in which there are both breadth and intimacy, greater luminosity, and an
adequate though not distinguished color sense. Homer Martin is a painter of
moods who does not lose sight of the actual. His position in American painting is
secure. Alexander H. Wyant has a narrower range than Inness or Martin, but
within his range, in such pictures as Landscape (No. 118) and A Glimpse of the
Sea (No. 117), he is a landscape poet, minor but authentic. Like his Hudson
River predecessors, he responded directly to the inspiration of the country.

The Hudson River men and the painters of heroic landscape did not rise above
the level of their age. They were in harmony with its interests and they were
popular, some of them, like Kensett, immensely so. Inness, Martin, and Wyant
did more than reflect the spirit of their age. They gave to that spirit the distinc-
tion and vitality which are the expression not only of a deeper culture, but also
of a quality of personality. For this very reason they were not popular. It was
not simply that they were above the level of contemporary taste. The level of
contemporary taste was shifting. The great expansion of industrialism in the
second half of the nineteenth century made for the dominance of classes with
little interest in art and no tradition of art patronage. It was an arid period for
the American artist.

Whistler escaped to Europe, but the England in which he settled was very
much like the America he had left. There was one difference. Taste in England
was not altogether dominated by the classes which dominated it in America,
and Whistler could find there what his spirit needed, a few loyal friends and patrons and a host of enemies. In America Inness, Martin, Wyant, Homer, Eakins, and Ryder found little more than indifference until late in life, save for the devotion of a few friends and pupils. Whistler and Mary Cassatt were expatriates.

It was an era of expatriates and solitaries. The artist was outside society. Isolation is not good for the artist. Without work to do for the community he is thrown back into the exploitation of personal peculiarities, into bohemianism, and defiance. He is a member of the social body cut off from the general circulation. No profession can maintain its health under such conditions. The realization that this is so has led to many attempts in our time to bridge the gap between the artist and the community. It is at the bottom of the recent agitation for mural painting. In the so-called Gilded Age the split between the artist and the public was nearly complete. The interests of the age were in the practical life, and in that field its greatest efforts were expended. Still the age was not without its constructive side as far as the arts are concerned. The founding of museums and of art schools, the inclusion of art in the curriculum of the public schools, and the gift of rich men’s collections to the public—all these are genuine contributions, and America today is richer for them.

From the third quarter of the nineteenth century up to the last few years the American artist and the American collector turned increasingly to Europe, especially to France, the clearing house for cosmopolitan tendencies during the period. On the whole, the influence of France has been beneficial to American art. The question of influences has always been a difficult one in any discussion of the American situation. American art was transplanted from Europe and throughout its history has reacted to European influences. Nevertheless, from the time of Inness to our own, American artists have been scolded for accepting hints from the contemporary practice of Europe. The curious part of this business is that each generation of critics and collectors has approved the European influence as long as it was that of artists who had been dead long enough. The men who criticized Inness thought the Düsseldorf influence quite all right, but could say nothing too severe about Corot. The American followers of the Barbizon painters could see little in Impressionism, though both Inness and Martin in their later manner were affected by it. Impressionists and academicians both turned on the advance guard of Post-Impressionism and Cubism. If there is a moral to be
drawn from all this, it is that art has always responded to outside influences and that champions of the status quo have always objected. Strong personalities like Whistler, Inness, Eakins, Homer, and Twachtman took what they wanted from Europe and made it their own. They had ancestors but no analogues in European art.

No painter of the nineteenth century was more cosmopolitan than Whistler and none was more intensely personal. His cosmopolitanism makes his connection with American art rather tenuous, but he does not fit in the French tradition and he is certainly not English. Though his eclecticism led Meier-Graefe to call him a case of multiple personality, yet he made his eclecticism into something uniquely his own. Whistler has suffered a temporary eclipse because art today is not much concerned with the problems which interested him. His impressionistic handling, which he got from Velasquez, his rendering of tone organization, and his modification of the Japanese mode of line and local tone, have ceased to be of importance to contemporary painters. And his critics would add that he is often an indifferent craftsman, and inclined to preciosity. Still, there is much in Whistler that constitutes a usable past for contemporary painting. His distinguished decorative sense, his austere selection and his concentration upon design without losing his power of sympathetic characterization (Portrait of the Artist's Mother, No. 112) give his work permanent value. Whistler is an important figure in nineteenth century painting. His influence upon English art was deep and lasting, and he was not without influence on the Continent.

A contemporary of Whistler's and like him an eclectic of remarkable range was John LaFarge. His concern with the effect of light on local color anticipated to some extent the discoveries of the Impressionists, but he was more the traditionalist than the innovator, except in the making of stained glass. LaFarge knew the arts of the Far East and of Europe, and founded upon the great European tradition an art that is decorative, thoughtful, and refined, but lacking the power of his great originals (Still Life—Fish, No. 65). His most important work was in mural painting, and his greatest influence was in his insistence on going back to the sources of art in the work of the masters.

Thomas Eakins is more thoroughly schooled in the European tradition than Whistler and LaFarge, but his sense of fact and his direct response to the average environment of his time make him as American as Currier and Ives. He is the sourest portrait and figure painter of his generation (The Pathetic Song, No. 30, Letitia Wilson Jordan Bacon, No. 32, Salutat, No. 31). In Eakins at his
best the obdurate and ineluctable fact has been looked at so steadily and penen- 
trated so deeply that pure form is achieved through a representational approach. 
His best pictures, especially the heads, have this quality, but it does not always 
hold throughout the canvas. Eakins was complete master of his means, but not 
absolutely certain of his intention, save for the acceptance of representation as 
an end. This accounts for the minus quality in Eakins’ work, the unassimilated 
fact, the statement of his matter in its own terms and not completely in the 
terms of art. However, there is in all his painting an integrity, an unwavering 
objectivity, and an iron sense of fact which make it a vital and powerful contri- 
bution to the American realistic tradition.

Winslow Homer has the American sense of fact, but not in the same degree as 
Eakins. With Homer the fact is not so deeply penetrated as with Eakins but it is 
handled with more sweep and breadth. Homer is always an illustrator, but the 
very intensity of his absorption in the subject, and the dramatic force of his pre- 
sentation, whether in a picture of army camps, of negro life, of the surf pounding 
on the rocks of Maine, or of the life of the sea as in Eight Bells (No. 47) and The 
Gulf Stream (No. 48), give his work its special quality. Winslow Homer was a 
forceful draughtsman and constructed with a large boldness based on thorough 
observeration. He knew how to suggest mass and movement with the utmost 
economy of means. This is especially true of his watercolors, a medium which he 
handled with an ease and mastery not vouchsafed to him in the oil technique, 
and it is in these pictures that his color is best (The Portage, No. 50, Storm, 
Bahamas, No. 51). If his color, especially in his oils, sometimes seems a little hard, 
it must be said that he handled it with great daring, and in this respect was far 
ahead of contemporary practice.

Winslow Homer, Thomas Eakins, and Albert Pinkham Ryder run the gamut 
of the American spirit in art, its dramatic realism, its sober sense of the actual, 
and its romantic idealism which ranges to mysticism and vision. Ryder was the 
visionary of American art, but his vision was crystal clear. There is in Ryder’s 
work nothing of unassimilated fact: the fact has been fused until all its acciden- 
tals have disappeared. Ryder was sure of his intention but never quite sure of 
his means. He always had difficulty with what may be called the penmanship of 
art and his pictures were worked over, painfully, for years. But he was right 
about fundamentals. Ryder always kept his design large and simple. He knew 
how to simplify landscape forms and the dramatic cloud and moonlight patterns 
of night skies, and he could use distortion when it suited his purpose. In tone
organization he was sure. Like Inness, Ryder was a painter of emotion and idea, and he makes us believe in the reality of a dream with purely plastic means. The dream quality in his pictures and their poetic titles have led some writers to call him a literary painter. Literature is the minus quality in Ryder, but it subtracts little, if anything, from his work (Macbeth and the Witches, No. 85, Toilers of the Sea, No. 84, Forest of Arden, No. 86).

The story in Ryder's work, as in the work of Ralph Blakelock and George Fuller, is not one that can be expressed in words. It requires the plastic medium. Fuller and Blakelock are close to Ryder in their concern with the abstract qualities of art in an age of realism. Like Ryder, they were uncertain and laborious craftsmen, but, unlike him, they were not clear about what they wanted to do. They were often uncertain both in means and intention, but when they found what they were after the result was good. Blakelock was interested in surfaces and was working for tone and composition, but he was not always successful and his work has a certain monotony. His best pictures (Moonlight, No. 5) are decorative, and have a muted splendor of tone. Fuller's wistful and shadowy pictures are hard to read at this date. His color has lost its freshness and his interest in envelopment has all but submerged his forms, but in such pictures as Ideal Head (No. 36) and Hannah (No. 38) there is genuine charm and a poetry which results not only from an attitude toward the subject but also from a method of handling tone and pattern.

In contrast with the rugged honesty of Homer, the sober objectivity of Eakins, and Ryder's clarity of vision is the work of three technicians, Duveneck, Chase, and Sargent. These men fascinated the America of their day with their brilliant use of the studio methods of Munich and Paris. Frank Duveneck is the soundest of the three, and as a figure and portrait painter (Whistling Boy, No. 28) he is second only to Eakins. William Merritt Chase was a virtuoso of the heavily loaded brush who seldom contented himself with the sobriety of such a portrait as Head of a Man (No. 15), or the straightforwardness of Landscape (No. 16). He was for many years a popular teacher and his greatest importance was probably in this field. John Singer Sargent was an extremely able technician, a kind of super Carolus-Duran. In such portraits as Miss Beatrice Townsend (No. 91) and Mrs. Charles Gifford Dyer (No. 90) there are sympathetic understanding, excellent characterization, and a sound use of his technique. In his more ambitious portraits he sacrificed a good deal to surface brilliance, and the looseness of his handling of detail often endangers his design. With these men may be
grouped the Paris-trained Abbott Henderson Thayer and Thomas Wilmer Dewing, known for their idealized visions of women. Thayer is the more rugged of the two, especially in men’s portraits like *Head of a Young Man* (No. 102). Dewing is master of a mellifluous stylism (*The Recitation*, No. 25), frail and elegant in its expression of New England refinement.

In the last quarter of the nineteenth century American art felt the full impact of the French influence in the work of the Impressionists, Twachtman, Robinson, Weir, Hassam, and Prendergast. Mary Cassatt, who is more European than either Whistler or Sargent, is usually included with the Impressionists, though she is closer to Manet in a picture like *Filleau Grand Chapeau* (No. 12). An able technician, she was one of the most straightforward interpreters of the mother and child theme, which she handled with charm and without sentimentality. The first American Impressionist was Theodore Robinson who had worked with Monet at Giverny (Spring in Giverny, No. 82, *Girl Sewing*, No. 83). Robinson accepted the principles of Impressionism, but as his style developed, modified its method of discontinuity in applying color. This practice has been followed by most of the other American Impressionists. John H. Twachtman is the most important member of the group. He handled the relation of tones in diffused light with great sensitiveness, but he was too good a draftsman to sacrifice form to illumination. His pictures *Hemlock Pool* (No. 103), *The Wild Cherry Tree* (No. 104), have a structural integrity, and a carrying power beyond that of much painting which is more obviously strong. J. Alden Weir is close to Twachtman and like him did not lose sight of contour and pattern in his adaptation of the French technique (*The Donkey Ride*, No. 110).

A more complete acceptance of Impressionist methods is evident in the work of its most famous contemporary American practitioner, Childe Hassam (*Church at Old Lyme*, No. 43). Hassam’s construction is not as firm as Weir’s or Twachtman’s, but his color has more warmth and brilliance. Maurice Prendergast (*Ponte della Paglia*, No. 80) is a decorative Impressionist who worked in broad areas of pure color and handled form with a summariness and freedom which give his style a distinctly personal note. With this group may be included Ernest Lawson, a lyrical landscape painter who is close to Twachtman (*Central Park, Winter*, No. 67), and William J. Glackens (*Chez Mouquin*, No. 39) who has a keen eye for the character of a scene, and who has developed from an early dark manner to the luminous color of the later Impressionists.
The dominant tendencies in American art today were set by two groups which came into prominence between 1908 and 1913. These groups were the "Eight" and the modernists. Of the "Eight" Robert Henri, Arthur B. Davies, John Sloan, George Luks, William J. Glackens, Maurice Prendergast, and Ernest Lawson are included in this exhibition. The group represented a diversity of aims. Prendergast, Glackens, and Lawson were Impressionists. Davies was an artist of wide culture and fine taste who responded to the major influences in the art of his time but remained always the romantic, steeped in the American tradition of seeing beautifully (Every Saturday, No. 21, Girl at Her Toilette, No. 18). What held the "Eight" together was their revolt against academism. They brought to America the lesson of Manet which was for them a point of departure more than a direct influence, and they stimulated a renewal of interest in the work of Goya, Hals, and Velasquez. The leading ideas of the group were a belief in the relevance of painting to life, especially to certain aspects which academic art neglected, and an insistence upon going back to the work of the masters. Henri’s fluent brush has left a voluminous record of his time from his earlier pictures painted in the dark manner of Manet (Seine at Twilight, No. 45) to his later swift and vivid notations of people (Indian Girl of Santa Clara, No. 44). George Luks is a versatile commentator on the human scene, and in his pictures of children (The Spielers, No. 68) there is a great deal of spontaneity, sympathy, and humor. John Sloan, a fine draughtsman and etcher, is close to the English social satirists, especially John Leech. He is one of the most admirable contemporary historians (McSorley’s Bar, No. 97).

The "Eight" have been important as artists and teachers, and as sponsors of the famous Armory Show of 1913, and of the Society of Independent Artists. They have been important too in forming the point of view of present-day painters who draw their inspiration directly from the American scene: the late George Bellows, with his frank absorption in subjects from everyday life and his interest in people (Elinor, Jean and Anna, No. 2, Hills of Dream, No. 3, Stag at Sharkey’s, No. 1); the late Glenn O. Coleman whose work constitutes one of the most complete pictorial records of the life of New York City; Edward Hopper, who depicts the bareness of the contemporary scene with a stark power and unemotional integrity; George Overbury Hart, whose realism is accented by the romance of the grotesque; Eugene Speicher, whose portraits and figures have a structural gravity and an understanding of character which is sober and clear; Charles Burchfield, a satirist of small town life who is working toward a more
decorative manner; Reginald Marsh, who registers the look and the movement of city crowds; and Rockwell Kent, who retains a good deal of the pioneer’s romantic attitude towards landscape.

The most pervasive influence upon contemporary practice has been that of the modernists. The work of these artists was first seen in America at Alfred Stieglitz’ gallery at 291 Fifth Avenue, and in the Armory Show of 1913. The Armory Show, organized by a group of painters and sculptors under the presidency of Arthur B. Davies, acquainted the American public with the complex of tendencies which have been called post-Impressionism and Cubism. In the latter half of the nineteenth century art was predominantly representational and impressionistic. Its most exacting researches were made in perfecting techniques for recording momentary impressions of visual appearance. In all this there was more than a little influence from science and invention—from the research of the physicists and from the camera. By the end of the nineteenth century a reaction had set in, based largely on Cézanne’s endeavor to achieve through a broader application of Impressionism an order as solid and as satisfying as that in the works of the masters of the Renaissance. Cézanne’s return along the path of the European tradition was carried further by the late post-Impressionists and the Cubists who consulted the oracles of pre-Renaissance, Oriental, and primitive art. From Byzantine, Persian, Hindu and Chinese art, they learned greater boldness and freedom in the treatment of color areas, and from primitive and aboriginal art they derived methods for analyzing objects into design elements. The modernist movement followed many paths, but the center of its diverse and sometimes conflicting tendencies was emphasis upon a return to the sources of tradition, and upon structure. In the bewildering pace and chaos of the contemporary age the artist had to look for structure and this search is one of the most important contributions of the modernist group.

Several of the American modernist pioneers are represented in this exhibition: Max Weber, Samuel Halpert, Bernard Karfiol, William Zorach, John Marin and Thomas Benton. These men all studied in France and came into contact with the movements and ideas which stirred the art world in the first and second decades of this century. Max Weber is a colorist both subtle and daring who expresses a deeply emotional and racial strain; Bernard Karfiol’s paintings have monumentality and a tactile quality based on modelling with color; John Marin’s statement in his watercolors is both concise and inevitable, and his color is rich and emotionally expressive; Thomas Benton is the creator of a char-
acteristically American style in mural painting in which he shows a healthy absorption in contemporary subject matter; Samuel Halpert shows an authoritative handling of formal arrangement; William Zorach’s major expression is in sculpture, but he uses watercolor in a highly personal idiom.

Closely related to this group are Maurice Sterne, a painter and sculptor whose formal organization is both precise and firm; Walt Kuhn, a keen observer of contemporary types who achieves intensity of expression through simplification and emphasis; Alexander Brook, whose predominant characteristic is delight in the painter’s medium; Yasuo Kuniyoshi, one of the most consistently personal stylists in the younger American group; Henry Lee McFee, a painter whose art is predominantly intellectual without sacrificing emotional content or faithfulness to the facts of vision; Ernest Fiene, an accomplished technician who expresses the romance of the actual; Joseph Pollet, whose early exuberance and vitality are submitting to the restraints of tradition; Morris Kantor, a fertile inventor with a feeling for texture and surfaces; Henry Varnum Poor, an able technician and gifted colorist.

The modern search for structure is apparent in the work of a group of painters who interpret the American scene with an austere realism, amounting almost to a formal purism. The pioneers of this group are Charles Sheeler and Charles Demuth. Sheeler is the artist of the mechanical age, interpreting it with an objectivity and a precise spatial arrangement which rules out everything but the sheer elements of design. Demuth, one of the American masters of the watercolor medium, has elegance of formal pattern and depicts the human episode with piquant and subtle expressionism. Georgia O’Keeffe articulates her design with great clarity, and shows in her work a combination of delicacy and austerity which is essentially feminine. Other members of this group are the late Preston Dickinson who had an almost Oriental sense of spatial arrangement but less subtlety than the Orientals, and less severity in his selection of detail; Niles Spencer whose work has sound construction, good textural quality, and a firm sense of order; Stefan Hirsch, a clear and precise draughtsman with a fine decorative sense and a feeling for formal harmony; Stuart Davis who seeks a balance between realistic vision and the methods of abstraction and who expresses the spirit of the machine age in an angular calligraphy; Peter Blume who has a way of constructing a picture out of seeming irrelevancies related to each other through a remarkable craftsmanship in the handling of surfaces; and Arnold Friedman, a modern primitive, whose work has a decorative austerity.
American sculpture developed in the first half of the nineteenth century. During the colonial period there was little professional sculpture to speak of in this country. The most reasonable explanation of this fact appears to be that since there was practically no sculptural tradition in England her colonies could not be expected to create an art which was almost without existence in the mother country. The only thing that England had in the way of a sculptural tradition was in the shop practice of craftsmen who carved architectural ornaments, tombstones, and ships' figureheads. The tradition of these craftsmen was brought to America in the early Colonial period and evidences of it may still be found in the towns of the Atlantic seaboard. Among the Colonial craftsmen were some master carvers, the kind of men who have kept alive the spirit of sculpture in periods when there were no masters. These craftsmen might have been the source of a strong native tradition, but by the middle of the nineteenth century their influence had passed. North America also has a rich inheritance of primitive sculpture in the carvings of the Indians of the Pacific Coast and the Southwestern United States, and in the work of the ancient civilizations of Mexico. The carvings of the Northwest Coast Indians have directness and decorative form, and a figure like the Mayan Chac Mool has the simplicity and power of Egyptian sculpture. Until very recently our sculptors have remained untouched by this art.

In its origins American sculpture was cosmopolitan in tendency and provincial in spirit. It reacted in the main to a defunct European classicism and to an elegance which echoed but faintly its baroque ancestry. From the beginning of the nineteenth century to the close of the Civil War American sculpture went through a period of imitative classicism which discovered in the aemic formulas of Canova and Thorwaldsen a vehicle for the expression of Victorian refinement. The reaction against this appears in the illustrative realism of the Rogers groups and in the work of Frederick Remington, which is the sculptural equivalent of genre painting. The beginning of a sounder native tendency is to be found in the work of John Quincy Adams Ward. Ward's work is close to the Rogers groups, but shows a more solid appreciation for the qualities of realistic form, and something of the iron sense of fact which distinguished the painting of Thomas Eakins. He is the high point of nineteenth century realism in American sculpture (Simon Kenton, No. 147). Augustus Saint-Gaudens had Ward's appreciation for realistic form and he had in addition a better understanding of the classic than any of his American predecessors, and was a more accomplished
craftsman. Saint-Gaudens set a new standard for the sculptors of American
monuments, and his work in low relief has not been surpassed in this country
(Robert Louis Stevenson, No. 143, Bust of General Sherman, No. 142, Samuel
Gray Ward, No. 144).

American sculpture in the contemporary period has been turning away from
realism and the classic idealizing tendency and is concerned with the abstract and
architectural foundation of the art, with rhythm, spatial unity, mass and volume.
Among the older contemporaries the outstanding man is George Gray Barnard,
one of the most intelligent interpreters of the later classic periods and the Renais-
sance. The work of the late Charles Cary Rumsey is based on a simplified realism
and in a piece like Pagan Kin (No. 140) has massiveness and weight. Jo David-
son’s contribution is in the recording of leading personalities of his time. Paul
Manship shows a good understanding of formal relations and a feeling for the
decorative handling of the silhouette.

The sculptors in this exhibition who are primarily concerned with the ab-
stract and architectural fundamentals of sculpture are Zorach, Lachaise, Lau-
rent, Sterne, Diederich, Ben-Shmuel, and Noguchi. William Zorach’s work has a
deeply stirring emotional quality, a solid architecture, and a remarkable har-
mony between spontaneity and order; Gaston Lachaise achieves a certain splen-
dor of formal relation and an elevation and expansion of form; Robert Laurent
has a fine sense of linear continuity and is an accomplished carver; Maurice
Sterne achieves monumentality through a clear understanding of the interplay of
mass and space; Hunt Diederich’s work has a kind of decorative vitality and an
arbitrary simplification which is close to heraldic design; Ahron Ben-Shmuel is a
fine craftsman with a natural feeling for style; Isamu Noguchi is an experimenter
who is developing towards an interest in pure form.

The group which includes Epstein, Whitney, Cash, Glenny, and Williams is
concerned with expression and with the handling of surface. Jacob Epstein, an
expatriate who lives in England, is a talent of the romantic type which does not
yield easily to the demands of order and balance. There is about his art an alive-
ness and emotional power which is at times almost savage. Epstein is primarily
a modeller and his work has an autographic quality, a feeling of the process of
making and of the material in which he works. The sculpture of Anna Glenny
shares the expressionistic qualities of Epstein’s art, and its interest in character.
Gertrude V. Whitney has made many notable contributions in monumental and
historical sculpture. Harold Cash in his more recent works appears to be more
interested in decoration and design than in surface. Wheeler Williams has done
good decorative work, especially in garden sculpture.

A summary handling of the last seventy years of American art, such as this
one, can do little more than make note of main tendencies and touch the surface
of individual contributions. The whole period is still in need of evaluation. Cer-
tain phases of it were revolutionary and constructive, and have deeply affected
contemporary practice. Others are now forgotten. Whistler’s emphasis upon
design was constructive and his dictum that good painting leaves out all human
interest was a normal reaction against the naturalistic and literary painting of his
time. Today subject has been reinstated, but with it there is an appreciation of
the meaning of form, and of craft and technique as means to express American
experience.

Inness, Martin, and Wyant enriched the American landscape tradition and
opened the way for Impressionism. The Impressionists cleared the palette and
took landscape painting out-of-doors. The modernists took American art back to
the sources of tradition. Up to the close of the nineteenth century American art
was founded upon post-Renaissance academism, except for the work of the
craftsmen whose shop tradition preserved pre-Renaissance methods. When the
men of the nineteenth century talked of going back to the masters they rarely
thought of going beyond Velasquez. The modernists went further along the
path of the European tradition and explored its sources in the light of Oriental
and primitive art. They inaugurated a period of experiments which now appears
to be over. Some of their experiments and ideas, such as the attempt to imitate
primitive and naive expression, have proved of little permanent value. Others,
such as abstractionism and pure design, are passing into the decorative arts and
architecture, but there can be no doubt that the modernists have exerted a pow-
erful and vitalizing influence upon contemporary American art. They have given
American painting and sculpture a wider range of knowledge and a broader basis
in tradition.

Holger Cahill.
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GEORGE BELLOWS


1 STAG AT SHARKEY'S, 1909
Collection The Cleveland Museum of Art

*2 ELINOR, JEAN AND ANNA, 1920
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo

3 HILLS OF DREAM
Collection Adolph Lewisohn, New York

THOMAS BENTON


*4 AGGRESSION, 1920. One of five panels illustrating American history.
Collection the Artist

RALPH BLAKEYLOCK


*5 MOONLIGHT, 1889
Collection Horatio S. Rubens, New York

6 EARLY MORNING, 1891
Collection Horatio S. Rubens, New York

7 AT NATURE'S MIRROR
Collection The National Gallery of Art, Washington

25
PETER BLUME

*8 WHITE FACTORY
Collection Wolfgang S. Schwabacher, New York

ALEXANDER BROOK

*9 THE YELLOW FAN
Collection Mr. and Mrs. Edward W. Root, Clinton, New York

CHARLES BURCHFIELD
Born in Ashtabula, Ohio, 1893. Studied at the Cleveland School of Art. Lives at Gardenville, New York.

*10 PROMENADE, watercolor, 1928
Private Collection, New York

11 TILE ROOFS, watercolor, 1930
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

MARY CASSATT
Born in Pittsburgh, 1845; died in France, 1926. Studied at the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts and in Europe; associated with the group of Manet and Degas. Legion of Honor 1924; A. N. A. 1910. Lived in Paris.

12 FILLETTE AU GRAND CHAPEAU, 1901
Collection Messrs. Durand-Ruel, New York and Paris

*13 FILLETTE SE COIFFANT
The Chester Dale Collection, New York

14 LA LOGE
The Chester Dale Collection, New York
WILLIAM MERRITT CHASE


*15 HEAD OF A MAN
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo

16 LANDSCAPE, 1890-1900
Collection Grand Central Art Galleries, New York

GLENN O. COLEMAN


*17 THE HARBOR, about 1927
Collection The Estate of Glenn O. Coleman, New York

ARTHUR B. DAVIES

Born in Utica, New York, 1862; died in Italy, 1928. Studied at the Chicago Art Institute and in New York. President of the group of artists which sponsored the Armory Show of 1913.

*18 GIRL AT HER TOILETTE
Collection Miss E. A. Bliss, New York

19 ITALIAN LANDSCAPE
Bliss Collection, The Museum of Modern Art, New York

20 ALONG THE ERIE CANAL, 1890
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington

21 EVERY SATURDAY
Collection The Brooklyn Museum
STUART DAVIS

*22 PLACE PASDELOUP, 1928
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York

CHARLES DEMUTH

*23 MY EGYPT, 1925
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York

24 Illustration for "THE TURN OF THE SCREW" by Henry James, watercolor, 1918
Private Collection, New York

THOMAS WILMER DEWING

*25 THE RECITATION, 1891
Collection The Detroit Institute of Arts

PRESTON DICKINSON
Born in New York, 1891; died in Spain, 1930. Studied at the Art Students' League in New York.

*26 PLUMS ON A PLATE, 1926
Collection The Museum of Modern Art, New York, Anonymous Gift

27 STILL LIFE WITH CANDLE, pastel, 1930
Collection Elmer Rice, New York
FRANK DUVENECK

Born at Covington, Kentucky, 1848; died in Cincinnati, 1919. Studied at the Royal Academy in Munich. Painter, etcher, sculptor. Taught in Europe and in this country. N. A. 1906.

*28 WHISTLING BOY (Munich, 1872)
Collection The Cincinnati Museum

29 NEAR SCHLEISSHEIM, BAVARIA, 1880
Collection The Milch Galleries, New York

THOMAS EAKINS


*30 THE PATHETIC SONG, 1881
Collection The Corcoran Gallery of Art, Washington

31 SALUTAT
Collection Addison Gallery of American Art, Phillips Academy, Andover, Massachusetts

32 LETITIA WILSON JORDAN BACON
Collection The Brooklyn Museum

33 PORTRAIT OF WILLIAM H. MACDOWELL, about 1886
Collection Babcock Galleries, New York

ERNEST FIENE


*34 HUDSON NAVIGATION BOAT, about 1927
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York
ARNOLD FRIEDMAN


*35 SNOWSCAPE, 1926
    Collection Mr. and Mrs. Samuel A. Lewisohn, New York

GEORGE FULLER

Born in Deerfield, Massachusetts, 1822; died in Boston, 1884. Studied with the sculptor H. K. Brown at Albany and at the National Academy of Design in New York, and travelled abroad. Worked chiefly at Deerfield. A. N. A. 1853.

36 IDEAL HEAD, 1882
    Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington

*37 LEADING THE CALF, 1882
    Collection Carl Tucker, New York

38 HANNAH, about 1880

WILLIAM J. GLACKENS


*39 CHEZ MOUQUIN, 1905
    Collection The Art Institute of Chicago

SAMUEL HALPERT

Born in Russia, 1884; died in Detroit, 1930. Studied at the National Academy of Design in New York and under Bonnat at the École des Beaux Arts in Paris.

*40 CHECKERED CLOTH, 1918
    Collection The Detroit Institute of Arts
GEORGE OVERBURY “POP” HART

*41 MERRY-GO-ROUND, Oaxaca, Mexico, watercolor, 1927
Private Collection, New York

42 THE MILL BOUSAADA, watercolor, 1930
Collection Miss E. A. Bliss, New York

CHILDE HASSAM

*43 CHURCH AT OLD LYME, watercolor, 1905
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo

ROBERT HENRI

*44 INDIAN GIRL OF SANTA CLARA, watercolor, 1917
Collection The Estate of Mrs. Robert Henri, New York

45 SEINE AT TWILIGHT, watercolor, 1907
Collection The Macbeth Galleries, New York

STEFAN HIRSCH

*46 NEW YORK, watercolor, 1920
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington
WINSLOW HOMER


*47 EIGHT BELLS, 1888
Collection Addison Gallery of American Art, Phillips Academy, Andover, Massachusetts

48 THE GULF STREAM, 1899
Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

49 CANNON ROCK, 1895.
Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

50 THE PORTAGE, watercolor, 1897
Collection Mr. and Mrs. Richard Brixey, New York

51 STORM, BAHAMAS, watercolor, 1885
Collection Mr. and Mrs. Richard Brixey, New York

52 ROWING HOME, watercolor, 1890
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington

EDWARD HOPPER


*53 LIGHTHOUSE, 1929
Collection Mrs. Samuel Tucker, New York

54 CAPE COD LANDSCAPE, watercolor, 1931
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

GEORGE INNESS


55 LAKE ALBANO, 1869
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington
56 THE COMING STORM, 1878
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo

57 EARLY AUTUMN, MONTCLAIR, 1888
Collection Howard Young Galleries, New York

58 MOONLIGHT ON PASSAMAQUODDY BAY, 1893
Collection The Art Institute of Chicago

MORRIS KANTOR

59 STONE CRUSHER, 1931
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

BERNARD KARFIOL

60 SEATED NUDE, 1929
Collection The Museum of Modern Art, New York, Anonymous Gift

ROCKWELL KENT

61 WINTER, 1907
Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

WALT KUHN

62 THE GUIDE, 1931
Private Collection, New York
YASUO KUNIYOSHI


*63 STILL LIFE, 1930
Private Collection, New York

JOHN LA FARGE


*64 THE WOLF CHARMER, 1907
Collection Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri

65 STILL LIFE—FISH
Collection Stephen C. Clark, New York

66 LANDSCAPE, TAHITI
Collection Robert Laurent, Brooklyn

ERNEST LAWSON


*67 CENTRAL PARK, WINTER, 1921
Collection F. Newlin Price, New York

GEORGE LUKS


*68 THE SPIELERS, 1905
Collection Addison Gallery of American Art, Phillips Academy, Andover, Massachusetts
HENRY LEE McFEE

*69 CORNER OF THE STUDIO, 1932
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

JOHN MARIN
Born in Rutherford, New Jersey, 1870. Studied at the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts, the Art Students' League in New York, and in Paris. Lives at Cliffside, New Jersey.

*70 MAINE ISLANDS, watercolor, 1922
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington

71 NEW MEXICAN LANDSCAPE, BLUE MOUNTAINS
Collection An American Place, New York

REGINALD MARSH

*72 THE HOLY NAME MISSION, 1931
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

HOMER DODGE MARTIN
Born in Albany, New York, 1836; died in St. Paul, Minnesota, 1897. Self-taught except for a few weeks' study under James M. Hart. N. A. 1874.

*73 HARP OF THE WINDS, 1895
Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

74 WESTCHESTER HILLS
Collection Mrs. Daniel Guggenheim, New York

75 STRAND AND WRECK, watercolor, 1883
Collection The Macbeth Galleries, New York
GEORGIA O'KEEFFE

Born at Sun Prairie, Wisconsin, 1887. Studied at the Chicago Art Institute under Vanderpoel, the Art Students' League in New York under Chase, and the Teachers College under Bement and Dow. Lives in New York.

*76 COW'S SKULL AND WHITE ROSES, 1931
Collection An American Place, New York

77 PINK DISH AND GREEN LEAVES, pastel, 1928
Collection An American Place, New York

JOSEPH POLLET


*78 PARLOR, BEDROOM AND BATH, about 1929
Collection The Downtown Gallery, New York.

HENRY VARNUM POOR


*79 THE DISAPPOINTED FISHERMAN, 1932
Collection the Artist

MAURICE PRENDERGAST

Born in Boston, 1861; died in New York, 1924. Studied in Paris at the Académie Julian and the École des Beaux Arts.

*80 PONTE DELLA PAGLIA
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington

81 PINCIAN HILL, ROME, watercolor
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington
THEODORE ROBINSON

Born at Irasburg, Vermont, 1852; died in New York, 1896. Studied with Carolus-Duran and Gérôme in Paris, but was deeply influenced by Monet, with whom he worked at Giverny. Pioneer of Impressionism in America.

*82 SPRING IN GIVERNY, 1895
Collection The Milch Galleries, New York

83 GIRL SEWING
Collection The Corcoran Gallery of Art, Washington

ALBERT PINKHAM RYDER


*84 TOILERS OF THE SEA, completed about 1900.
Collection Addison Gallery of American Art, Phillips Academy, Andover, Massachusetts

85 MACBETH AND THE WITCHES, 1890–1908
Collection Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania

86 FOREST OF ARDEN, completed about 1902
Collection Miss A. M. Dodsworth, New York

87 MOONLIT COVE, 1890–1900
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington

88 MOONLIGHT MARINE
Collection N. E. Montross, New York

89 DEAD BIRD, 1890–1900
Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington

JOHN SINGER SARGENT


90 MRS. CHARLES GIFFORD DYER, 1886
Collection The Art Institute of Chicago
*91 MISS BEATRICE TOWNSEND
Collection Carroll Carstairs, New York

92 JOSEPH PULITZER, 1905
Lent by Ralph Pulitzer, New York

93 THE GARDEN WALL, watercolor
Collection Museum of Fine Arts, Boston

94 AT THE TOP, watercolor
Collection Museum of Fine Arts, Boston

CHARLES SHEELER

*95 CLASSIC LANDSCAPE
Collection Edsel B. Ford, Dearborn, Michigan

96 BUCKS COUNTY BARN, watercolor with tinsel, 1923
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York

JOHN SLOAN

*97 McSORLEY’S BAR, 1912
Collection The Detroit Institute of Arts

EUGENE SPEICHER

*98 PORTRAIT OF KATHARINE CORNELL
Collection Miss Katharine Cornell, New York
NILES SPENCER

*99 ORDNANCE ISLAND, BERMUDA, 1928
Collection Mr. and Mrs. Samuel A. Lewisohn, New York

JOSEPH STELLA
Born in Italy, 1880. Came to America when about sixteen years old. Self-taught. Has spent some time in North Africa. At present divides his time between Paris and Italy.

*100 PORT OF NEW YORK, end panel of group of five, 1923
Collection the Artist

MAURICE STERNE
Born in Latvia, 1877. Painter and sculptor. Studied at Cooper Union and the National Academy of Design in New York. Travelled in Europe and lived for several years on the island of Bali, Dutch East Indies. Has divided his recent years between Anticoli in Italy and New York.

*101 STILL LIFE
Collection Mr. and Mrs. Samuel A. Lewisohn, New York

ABBOTT HENDERSON THAYER

*102 HEAD OF A YOUNG MAN
Collection The Brooklyn Museum

JOHN H. TWACHTMAN
Born in Cincinnati, 1853; died at Gloucester, Massachusetts, 1902. Studied under Duveneck at the Cincinnati School of Design, in Munich, and in Paris under Boulanger and Lefebvre at the Académie Julian.

*103 HEMLOCK POOL, about 1902
Collection Addison Gallery of American Art, Phillips Academy, Andover, Massachusetts

104 THE WILD CHERRY TREE
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo
105 LANDSCAPE
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York

106 MARJORIE AND ELSIE, pastel
Lent by Mrs. J. H. Twachtman, Greenwich, Conn.

107 LANDSCAPE, watercolor, probably about 1880
Collection Arthur S. Dayton, Charleston, West Virginia

MAX WEBER

*108 BEAUTIFICATION, 1932
Collection The Downtown Gallery, New York

109 MAINE, pastel, 1919
Collection Mr. and Mrs. Richard Brixey, New York

J. ALDEN WEIR

*110 THE DONKEY RIDE
Collection The Estate of J. Alden Weir, New York

111 TWYFORD BRIDGE, watercolor
Collection Mrs. G. Page Ely, New York

JAMES ABBOTT McNEILL WHISTLER
Born in Lowell, Massachusetts, 1834; died in London, 1903. Studied under Gleyre in Paris, but was largely self-trained. Influenced passingly by Courbet and the Pre-Raphaelites, and more deeply by Velasquez and the Japanese. Royal Society of British Artists 1884; Legion of Honor 1889; and many other honors.

*112 PORTRAIT OF THE ARTIST'S MOTHER, about 1871
Collection The Louvre Museum, Paris
113 WOMAN IN WHITE, 1862
Collection Miss Gertrude B. Whittemore, Naugatuck, Connecticut

114 WAPPING DOCKS, LONDON
Collection John Hay Whitney, New York

115 BATTERSEA—A SYMPHONY IN BLUE, pastel, 1895
Collection Mrs. James S. Watson, Rochester, New York

116 BEAD STRINGER, pastel
Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

ALEXANDER H. WYANT
Born in Port Washington, Ohio, 1836; died in New York, 1892. Influenced as a young man by Inness. Pupil of Hans Gude at Karlsruhe, and influenced by the works of Turner and Constable. N. A. 1869.

*117 A GLIMPSE OF THE SEA
Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

118 LANDSCAPE, about 1885
Collection Edward Coykendall, Kingston, New York

WILLIAM ZORACH
See "Sculpture" for other works of this artist

Born in Russia, 1887. Came to America, 1891. Studied in Cleveland, Ohio, at the National Academy of Design in New York and in Paris. Lives in New York.

119 MAINE LANDSCAPE, watercolor
Collection The Downtown Gallery, New York
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GEORGE GRAY BARNARD


*120 THE REFUGEE, marble
Collection Stephen C. Clark, New York

AHRON BEN-SHMUEL


121 GROUP OF WRESTLERS, Quincy granite, 1932
Collection the Artist

*122 HEAD OF A YOUNG MAN, Barre granite, 1932
Collection the Artist

HAROLD CASH


*123 D’A-LAL, bronze, 1928
Collection the Artist

JO DAVIDSON


*124 PORTRAIT OF JOHN D. ROCKEFELLER, marble, 1924
Lent by John D. Rockefeller, New York

125 WALT WHITMAN, bronze, 1926, project for a monument
Collection the Artist
HUNT DIEDERICH
Born in Nuremberg, Germany, 1884. Studied in America and Europe. Lives abroad.

*126 THE JOCKEY, bronze, 1924
Collection The Newark Museum

JACOB EPSTEIN

127 LUCY MARTIN DONNELLY, bronze, 1931
Private Collection

*128 SENEGALESE WOMAN, bronze
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo

129 MASK OF MRS. EPSTEIN, bronze
Private Collection, New York

ANNA GLENNY

*130 PORTRAIT OF MRS. WOLCOTT, bronze, 1930
Collection the Artist

GASTON LACHAISE

*131 FIGURE OF A WOMAN, bronze, 1927
Collection Mr. and Mrs. John A. Dunbar, New York

132 PORTRAIT, bronze, 1932
Lent Anonymously

133 HEAD, bronze
Collection Adolph Lewisohn, New York

43
ROBERT LAURENT
Born at Concarneau, France, 1890. Studied with Hamilton Easter Field and Maurice Sterne, and at the British Academy in Rome. Lives in Brooklyn.

134 TORSO, bronze, 1932
Collection the Artist

*135 MIMI, bronze, 1928
Collection the Artist

PAUL MANSHEP

*136 DANCER AND GAZELLES, bronze
Collection the Artist

ISAMU NOGUCHI

*137 PORTRAIT OF MY UNCLE, terra cotta, 1931
Collection the Artist

138 DRAPED TORSO, metal, 1932
Collection the Artist

FREDERICK REMINGTON

*139 BRONCHO BUSTER, bronze

CHARLES CARY RUMSEY

*140 PAGAN KIN, plaster, 1922
Collection Mrs. Charles Cary Rumsey, New York

141 THE LAST OF HIS RACE, bronze, 1915
Collection Mrs. Charles Cary Rumsey, New York
AUGUSTUS SAINT-GAUDENS


142 BUST OF GENERAL SHERMAN, bronze, 1888
Lent by The Augustus Saint-Gaudens Memorial, Cornish, New Hampshire

*143 ROBERT LOUIS STEVENSON, bronze relief, 1887
Lent by The Augustus Saint-Gaudens Memorial, Cornish, New Hampshire

144 SAMUEL GRAY WARD, bronze relief, 1881
Lent by The Augustus Saint-Gaudens Memorial, Cornish, New Hampshire

MAURICE STERNE

SEE “PAINTINGS” FOR OTHER WORKS OF THIS ARTIST

Born in Latvia, 1877. Painter and sculptor. Studied at Cooper Union and the National Academy of Design in New York. Travelled in Europe and lived for several years on the island of Bali, Dutch East Indies. Has divided his recent years between Anticoli in Italy and New York.

*145 HEAD OF A BOMB THROWER, bronze
Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

146 WOMAN’S HEAD, bronze
Collection Adolph Lewisohn, New York

JOHN QUINCY ADAMS WARD

Born at Urbana, Ohio, 1830; died in New York, 1910. Pupil of H. K. Brown at Albany; no European training, N. A. 1863, and its President in 1874.

*147 SIMON KENTON, bronze, 1884
Collection The American Academy of Arts and Letters, New York

GERTRUDE VANDERBILT WHITNEY


*148 HEAD FOR TITANIC MEMORIAL, marble
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York
WHEELER WILLIAMS


*149 DAWN, bronze, 1927
Collection Ferargil Galleries, New York

WILLIAM ZORACH

SEE "PAINTINGS" FOR OTHER WORKS OF THIS ARTIST

Born in Russia, 1887. Came to America, 1891. Studied in Cleveland, Ohio, at the National Academy of Design in New York and in Paris. Lives in New York.

*150 THE EMBRACE, plaster, 1932
Collection The Down Town Gallery, New York
BELLOWS 2

ELINOR, JEAN AND ANNA, 1920. 59 x 66 inches
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo
4 BENTON

Aggression, 1920. One of five panels illustrating American history, 72 x 36 inches
Collection the Artist
BLAKELOCK 5

MOONLIGHT, 1889.  25 x 30 inches
Collection Horatio S. Rubens, New York
S BLUME

White Factory. 20 3/4 x 29 3/4 inches
Collection Wolfgang S. Schwabacher, New York
THE YELLOW FAN. 30 x 36 inches
Collection Mr. and Mrs. Edward W. Root, Clinton, New York
10 BURCHFIELD

Promenade, 1928. Watercolor, 32 x 42 inches
Private Collection, New York
Fillette Se Coiffant. 29 x 24 3/4 inches
The Chester Dale Collection, New York
15 CHASE

Head of a Man. 25 x 20\( \frac{3}{2} \) inches
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo
COLEMAN 17

The Harbor, about 1927. 30 x 25 inches
Collection The Estate of Glenn O. Coleman, New York
18 DAVIES

Girl at Her Toilette, 16⅜ x 11¾ inches
Collection Miss E. A. Bliss, New York
DAVIS 22

Place Pasdeloup, 1928. 36\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 28\(\frac{3}{8}\) inches
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York
23 DEMUTH

My Egypt, 1925. 35 5/8 x 29 5/8 inches
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York
DEWING 25

The Recitation, 1891. 30 x 55 inches
Collection The Detroit Institute of Arts
26 DICKINSON

Plums on a Plate, 1926. 14 x 20 1/4 inches
Collection The Museum of Modern Art, New York
DUVENECK 28

WHISTLING BOY (Munich, 1872). 28 x 21 inches

Collection The Cincinnati Museum
30 EAKINS

The Pathetic Song, 1881. 45 x 32½ inches
Collection The Corcoran Gallery of Art, Washington
Hudson Navigation Boat, about 1927. 38 x 40 inches
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York
35 FRIEDMAN

Snowscape, 1926. 36 x 42 inches

Collection Mr. and Mrs. Samuel A. Lewisohn, New York
Leading the Calf, 1882. 36 x 50 inches
Collection Carll Tucker, New York
39 GLACKENS

Chez Mouquin, 1905. 48 x 39 inches
Collection The Art Institute of Chicago
HALP ERT 40

C H E C K E R E D  C L O T H, 1918.  36 x 28\(\frac{3}{4}\) inches
Collection The Detroit Institute of Arts
41 HART

Merry-go-round (Oaxaca, Mexico), 1927. Watercolor, 17 x 23 inches
Private Collection, New York
Church at Old Lyme, 1905. 30 x 31 inches
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo
44 HENRI

Indian Girl of Santa Clara, 1917. 32 x 26 inches
Collection The Estate of Mrs. Robert Henri, New York
New York, 1921. 29 x 34 inches

Collection Phillips Memorial Gallery, Washington
Eight Bells, 1888. 24½ x 30½ inches
Collection Addison Gallery of American Art, Phillips Academy, Andover, Massachusetts
HOPPER 53

LIGHTHOUSE, 1929.  29 x 43 inches
Collection Mrs. Samuel Tucker, New York
57 INNESS

Early Autumn, Montclair, 1888. 30 x 45 inches
Collection Howard Young Galleries, New York
KANTOR 59

STONE CRUSHER, 1931. 26 1/4 x 30 inches
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York
60 KARFIOL

Seated Nude, 1929. 40 x 30 inches
Collection The Museum of Modern Art, New York
Winter, 1907. 33 7/8 x 44 inches

Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York
62 KUHN

The Guide, 1931. 24 x 20 inches
Private Collection, New York
KUNIYOSHI 63

Still Life, 1930. 49 x 72 3/4 inches
Private Collection, New York
64 LA FARGE

The Wolf Charmer, 1927. 78 x 54 inches
Collection Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri
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