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EDWARD HOPPER

I—His Life

The story of Edward Hopper abounds in curious anomalies. He is now famous but for twenty years his career as an artist was obscure to the point of mystery. He is now famous as a painter but he first won wide recognition as an etcher. He is now famous as a painter of landscape and architecture but his student years were devoted exclusively to figure painting and illustration. He is now famous as a painter of emphatically American landscape and architecture but the landscape and architecture which first interested him were French. It is surprising that his art, having survived such reversals, should now appear so personal, so sure and so consistent.

Edward Hopper was born fifty-one years ago in Nyack, New York, of North European ancestry, principally English and Dutch, with minor strains of Danish and Welsh. His parents were moderately well off so that before he entered High School they could afford to send him to a private day school. Saturdays he spent in the Nyack shipyards where he studied the building and rigging of yachts with a boy's enthusiastic attention to detail. And, of course, he drew—and so continuously that his parents were persuaded to send him to a school for illustrators in New York after he had finished High School. They did not object to his becoming a painter yet they felt that commercial illustration offered a sounder career. But the commercial school proved unsatisfactory so the following year he tried the New York School of Art then known as the Chase School. There he studied for the following five years.

During these years at the Chase School, Robert Henri grew to be the most influential teacher. Later Hopper wrote about Henri: "Of his enthusiasm and his power to energize his students I had first-hand knowledge. Few teachers of art have gotten so much out of their pupils, or given them so great an initial impetus as Henri. If his persistent system of encouragement tended to throw the less understanding ones off their balance we can not quarrel with the method."

Half the importance of an art teacher lies in the kind of painting he induces his students to admire. Henri's taste was that of the advanced young artists in Paris fifty years before. Of modern painters he admired Manet above others and Manet had admired Velasquez and Goya and Hals. It was these painters whom

Henri commended to his students. As a natural result Hopper, working almost entirely from the figure, used at first Henri's sober palette and broad brushwork. Largely through Henri's guidance Hopper also learned to love the work of the French 19th century draughtsmen, Daumier and Gavarni.

Even more than Henri, Hopper admired and respected Kenneth Hayes Miller who also taught at the Chase School; and a little later he came to know John Sloan, George Luks, Arthur B. Davies, and other courageous spirits who did so much to change the aspect of American art during the period before the War.

Among Hopper's fellow students were some who were to be famous—George Bellows, Rockwell Kent, Glenn Coleman, Guy Pène du Bois, Gifford Beal, Walter Pach, Arnold Friedman, C. K. Chatterton, Vachel Lindsay; but there were many, many more who were to remain in obscurity.

For a long time Hopper was to be among the latter group. After leaving the Chase School where he was considered a very promising student he went abroad to spend a year in Paris. There he lived in a respectable French family studying French, reading extensively in French literature, and avoiding bohemia. The young American, Patrick Henry Bruce, introduced him to the work of the Impressionists, especially Sisley, Renoir, and Pissarro. His interest in their work, his avoidance of any school where a model might be had conveniently, and his discovery of the beauty of Paris, led him to try painting out of doors. In Hopper's studio one may still see paintings of streets and architecture done at this time.

In the fall of 1907 Hopper returned to America, leaving behind him Patrick Bruce who shortly after became an enthusiastic follower of Matisse. Hopper had not heard of Matisse, nor had he been in touch with any of the radical movements then current in Paris.

The following winter, however, provided New York with some excitement of its own. The Matisse show at Alfred Stieglitz was too extreme to command serious attention but in February the group of "Eight" held its first exhibition at the Macbeth Gallery. Davies, Prendergast, Luks, Sloan, Lawson, Glackens, Shinn, and Henri—they are now among the pillars of American art, but in 1908 they were called a "revolutionary black gang." Glackens was a follower of Renoir; Prendergast was influenced by the work of Cézanne and Seurat, so that the New York public was confronted with pictures which were only a decade behind the Paris advance guard. But it was their aggressive revolutionary activity even more than their art which during the following ten years dismayed the Academy and stirred resentment among the conservatives. In 1910 Henri or-
ganized a large exhibition which was independent both of official academies and dealers; two years later Davies together with Sloan, Prendergast, Henri and Kuhn put on the Armory Show, an explosion which rocked New York to its foundations; in 1917 Sloan organized the Society of Independents and thus consolidated the liberal—it was no longer radical—faction.

It was not Henri, however, but a group of Henri's pupils which in March, 1908, put on the first independent show in an old clubhouse. Coleman, Golz, and Friedman were the leaders, George Bellows the most conspicuous exhibitor. Edward Hopper showed several of his Paris street scenes; they passed almost unnoticed, though Henri said he disliked their blond Impressionist color.

The next fifteen years of Hopper's life were filled with disappointment and discouragement. He made enough money as an illustrator to pay for two summer trips to Europe. But he was too uncompromising to make a successful illustrator. He fell gradually into the life of a recluse so that his friends would lose sight of him for years at a time. Often he sent pictures to the Academy jury but always in vain. He exhibited at the MacDowell Club and in the independent shows and once, at the Armory Exhibition, he sold a picture.

Since his first Paris trip he had continued to paint architecture and landscape, working in the summers at Gloucester and along the Maine coast; but he was hampered by a growing sense of failure caused in part by lack of recognition. After a mediocre summer's work in 1915 he began to devote most of his time to pot boiling illustration. In his spare time he learned to etch. Once he came out of his seclusion when in 1919 his loyal friend, Guy Pène du Bois, arranged an exhibition at the Whitney Studio Club. There he showed a group of Paris oils with only one or two American pictures about which he felt doubtful.

Meanwhile he had developed as an etcher and during the next few years his reputation as a master of this medium grew with great rapidity. He even won prizes, which encouraged him in the summer of 1923 to try some watercolors, one of which was bought by the Brooklyn Museum, the second painting he had sold in twenty-three years. Diffidently he brought in a sheaf of his new watercolors to the dealer, Frank K. M. Rehn. Rehn had scarcely heard of Hopper but was so enthusiastic that he gave him an exhibition with considerable success.

---

2 The "Exhibition of Paintings and Drawings by Contemporary Americans" was held at 43-45 West 42nd Street from March 9 to March 31, 1908. A first hand account of this almost forgotten pioneer effort has been prepared by Arnold Friedman and will appear shortly in one of the Museum's publications.—Ed.

This show marked the turning point in Hopper’s career. He stopped his commercial work and began to paint in oils again. He was invited to send paintings to Philadelphia and Chicago exhibitions; articles were written about him; he was championed by critics especially by Forbes Watson of The Arts. Within three years he was acknowledged to be of the foremost American painters.

II—Hopper’s Art

Many of us feel today that there is a great virtue in being an “American” painter as opposed to one whose work shows foreign influences. Pieter Bruegel was the best Flemish painter of his century; he was so uncouthly native that he was nicknamed “Peasant,” but a generation later Rubens, saturated with Italianism, towered above the obviously Flemish Snyders or Teniers. Grünewald and Cranach were thoroughly Germanic, but Holbein, their fellow countryman—and a confirmed internationalist—was also a great artist. Louis le Nain stayed in France and painted French farmers all his life while Poussin went to Rome where, attempting a synthesis of Titian and Raphael, he became the greatest French painter of his time—and possibly of any time.

In each country there have been painters who have turned their faces towards what was in their period the cultural center of the European world whether it happened to be Alexandria, Constantinople, Florence, Rome or Paris; and others who have stayed at home and painted homely subjects with a homely technique.

Today with a rather partisan satisfaction we place Eakins and Homer above Mary Cassatt and Whistler; yet the former pair are not greater because they preferred Philadelphia and New York to Paris and London. History suggests that a triumphant religion, a successful war, walls needing frescoes, a wealthy tyrant or a cultivated leisure class are more likely to induce art than is a spirit of aggressive nationalism. This spirit has not touched Hopper himself but it has colored some of the thinking and writing about his art.

Like Bruegel, Hopper went in his student days to the art capital of the world in which he lived. Like Bruegel he returned to his native country and showed in his mature work almost no vestige of his studies abroad.

Hopper still has in his studio paintings which he did in Paris twenty-five years ago. One of the best of these is the Pavilion of the Louvre from the Seine painted with a high-keyed impressionist palette not unlike Sisley’s but rather bolder in
stroke. A painting of the same subject done two years later during another Paris summer shows that he had abandoned his impressionist technique for broad, smoothly painted planes of sober color which suggest somewhat the work of Marquet of whom, however, Hopper had no knowledge. From this time on through the work in the current exhibition, his way of seeing and painting, though little changed in essentials, has grown keener, more vivid and confident. In some of the paintings of seven or eight years ago such as Sunday (2), Two on the Aisle (4), and The City (5) the attack is still somewhat tentative, the drawing and color gentle. In Hotel Room (21), Room in Brooklyn (24) and some other recent works the whetting of edges and intensification of color approaches harshness. But his color, cool or strident, is never uninteresting. Some of the oils such as the Williamsburg Bridge (12) and many of the watercolors are very handsome in color, though Hopper, the confirmed realist, disavows any interest in decoration.

When Hopper went to art school the swagger brushwork of such painters as Duveneck, Henri, and Chase was much admired. Perhaps as a reaction against this his own brushwork has grown more and more modest until it is scarcely noticeable. He shuns all richness of surface save where it helps him to express a particular sensation as in the sunlit wall in his latest picture (25). So wary is he of any technical display that he could scarcely be persuaded to show some of the watercolors in this exhibition. “They’re too much like Sargent’s”, he said.

There is one direction however in which Hopper is unrestrained and that is in his use of light. There is a simple sensuous pleasure in the intensity of the painted sunlight falling on brilliant white walls in his Lighthouse at Two Lights (14). But the light is never literal or photographic, it is perhaps the most powerful and personal of Hopper’s expressive technical means.

In spite of his obvious concern for the exact, vigorous representation of nature Hopper succeeds in many of his pictures in achieving compositions which are interesting from a purely formal point of view. Once a fellow painter who was walking along the street with Hopper tried to call his attention to a group of skyscrapers: “Look! What a wonderful composition those skyscrapers make, what light, what massing, look at them, Hopper!” But Hopper wouldn’t look. “Anything will make a good composition,” he said, and walked on.

Nevertheless Hopper has like Corot and Cézanne and certain Italian primitives an alert eye for the interplay of blocks and angles in buildings, as is proven by My Roof (50), Methodist Church (56), and Ash’s House (52).
In fact a few compositions, Skylights (36) for instance, approach remarkably in appearance, though not in method, certain phases of Cubism. Sometimes those of his compositions which seem most barren reveal complicated rhythmic motives. In his etching The Railroad (71) for example, the regular row of houses at the left plays against a curving perspective of telegraph poles at the right while the horizontal ranks of insulators serve as additional counterpoint. One device which Hopper has used ever since his Paris days is the bold, unbroken foreground horizontal, a sidewalk, the coping of a wall, the railing of a bridge or a railroad track. They are like the edge of a stage beyond which drama unfolds.

For in spite of his matter-of-factness, Hopper is a master of pictorial drama. But his actors are rarely human: the houses and thoroughfares of humanity are there, but they are peopled more often by fire hydrants, lamp posts, barber poles and telegraph poles than by human beings. When he does introduce figures among his buildings they often seem merely incidental. Perhaps during his long years as an illustrator he grew tired drawing obviously dramatic figures for magazines.

Hopper has painted a few pictures in which there are neither men nor houses. The pure landscapes Cape Ann Granite (9), Hills, South Truro (16), Camel's Hump (22) occupy a place apart in his work. They reveal a power which is disconcertingly hard to analyze. Cézanne and Courbet and John Crome convey sometimes a similar depth of feeling towards the earth and nature.

Hopper's use of grotesque Victorian houses has been overemphasized yet it is an important contribution to the subject matter of American painting. He says that when he came back from France he realized that he had always wanted to paint American houses. Perhaps mansard roofs and cast zinc cornices are subconsciously related to his boyhood in Nyack, but whatever his motive, formal or romantic, he has succeeded in revealing not so much the ugliness as the dignity and vigor of such buildings as those in the House by the Railroad (1), Haskell's House (33) and Lonely House (72).

At a very early age Hopper admired the etchings of Meryon who seems more than any other artist to have influenced his painting. Meryon's evocation of mystery and suspense in the blank windows of Paris streets is not unrelated to the sentiment of Williamsburg Bridge (12), Early Sunday Morning (18) and Lonely House (72).

His indifference to skyscrapers is remarkable in a painter of New York architecture. In only one of his pictures, The City (5), does a skyscraper occur but it is cut off abruptly by the top of the frame. He prefers to paint monumental light-
houses and two of his best watercolors, *The House of the Foghorn* (43) and *Cold Storage Plant* (62) pay homage to chimneys. Hopper’s sincere distaste for the conventionally picturesque is illustrated by an almost pathetic incident. A few years ago he was persuaded to visit New Mexico. For days he wandered among Indians, adobe houses, and gaudy mountains, but he could find nothing to paint. One day he came home triumphant; the spell was broken; he had done the watercolor *Locomotive*, *D. and R. G.* (37).

Hopper’s interiors of rooms and restaurants reminds one that he had among his ancestors Blauvelts and Brevoorts as well as Smiths and Garrets. The delight in the clean and precise pattern of empty sunlit rooms calls to mind Dutchmen like de Hooch and Janssens. Just as in many of Hopper’s street scenes, the figures seem minor incidents, passive as the furniture. Even in the abundant *Tables for Ladies* (15) or *The Barber Shop* (20), there is a sense of silence and detachment as if one were looking at the scene through plate glass.

John Sloan whose work Hopper admires, once made an etching called *Night Windows*. One looks from a fire escape into the disorderly windows of a tenement in which figures are busy opening sashes, shouting, undressing, hanging clothes to dry; the print teems with casual humanity. In Hopper’s oil of the same subject (8) there are three windows, three brilliant rectangles, framed by the black walls as symmetrically as a triptych. In spite of one half-seen figure there grows as one studies this curious picture an impression of silent immobility. The drifting curtain in *Night Windows* and in the etching *Evening Wind* (67) are evocative to a degree far beyond their visual importance.

During the past fifteen years Hopper has produced about twenty etchings, a hundred watercolors and something over forty oils. The difficulties which confront him every time he paints a picture are admirably analyzed in his own words on another page. His deliberate and self-critical pace has been rewarding. Few living painters have produced so little in so long a time and very few have been able to give to each work such distinct and vivid individuality.

Behind this self-discipline and technical accomplishment there stands a gentle, a modest, a noble man. Americans may well be proud of Edward Hopper.

A. H. B., Jr.
EDWARD HOPPER—CLASSICIST

By Charles Burchfield

Hopper’s viewpoint is essentially classic; he presents his subjects without sentiment, or propaganda, or theatrics. He is the pure painter, interested in his material for its own sake, and in the exploitation of his idea of form, color, and space division. In spite of his restraint, however, he achieves such a complete verity that you can read into his interpretations of houses and conceptions of New York life any human implications you wish; and in his landscapes there is an old primeval Earth feeling that bespeaks a strong emotion felt, even if held in abeyance. Mr. Duncan Phillips once called attention to Hopper’s power of achieving intensity without distortion—and there is in truth a strong emotional, almost dramatic quality about his work that is not always present in the classic outlook. Some have read an ironic bias in some of his paintings; but I believe this is caused by the coincidence of his coming to the fore at a time when, in our literature, the American small towns and cities were being lampooned so viciously; so that almost any straightforward and honest presentation of the American scene was thought of necessity to be satirical. But Hopper does not insist upon what the beholder shall feel. It is this unbiased and dispassionate outlook, with its complete freedom from sentimental interest or contemporary foible, that will give his work the chance of being remembered beyond our time.

Edward Hopper is an American—nowhere but in America could such an art have come into being. But its underlying classical nature prevents its being merely local or national in its appeal. It is my conviction, anyhow, that the bridge to international appreciation is the national bias, providing, of course, it is subconscious. An artist to gain a world audience must belong to his own peculiar time and place; the self-conscious internationalists, no less than the self-conscious nationalist, generally achieve nothing but sterility. But more than being American, Hopper is—just Hopper, thoroughly and completely himself. His art seems to have had few antecedents and, like most truly individual expressions, will probably have no descendants. Search as you will, you will find in his mature art no flounderings, or deviations, no experimenting in this or that method of working. Such bold individualism in American art of the present or, at least, of the immediate past, is almost unique, and is perhaps one explanation of Hopper’s rise to fame. In him we have regained that sturdy American independence which Thomas Eakins gave us, but which for a time was lost.
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NOTES ON PAINTING

By Edward Hopper

I

My aim in painting has always been the most exact transcription possible of my most intimate impressions of nature. If this end is unattainable, so, it can be said, is perfection in any other ideal of painting or in any other of man's activities.

The trend in some of the contemporary movements in art, but by no means all, seems to deny this ideal and to me appears to lead to a purely decorative conception of painting. One must perhaps qualify this statement and say that seemingly opposite tendencies each contain some modicum of the other.

I have tried to present my sensations in what is the most congenial and impressive form possible to me. The technical obstacles of painting perhaps dictate this form. It derives also from the limitations of personality. Of such may be the simplifications that I have attempted.

I find, in working, always the disturbing intrusion of elements not a part of my most interested vision, and the inevitable obliteration and replacement of this vision by the work itself as it proceeds. The struggle to prevent this decay is, I think, the common lot of all painters to whom the invention of arbitrary forms has lesser interest.

I believe that the great painters, with their intellect as master, have attempted to force this unwilling medium of paint and canvas into a record of their emotions. I find any digression from this large aim leads me to boredom.

II

The question of the value of nationality in art is perhaps unsolvable. In general it can be said that a nation's art is greatest when it most reflects the character of its people. French art seems to prove this.

The Romans were not an aesthetically sensitive people, nor did Greece's intellectual domination over them destroy their racial character, but who is to say that they might not have produced a more original and vital art without this domination. One might draw a not too far-fetched parallel between France and our land. The domination of France in the plastic arts has been almost complete for the last thirty years or more in this country.
If an apprenticeship to a master has been necessary, I think we have served it. Any further relation of such a character can only mean humiliation to us. After all we are not French and never can be and any attempt to be so, is to deny our inheritance and to try to impose upon ourselves a character that can be nothing but a veneer upon the surface.

III

In its most limited sense, modern art would seem to concern itself only with the technical innovations of the period. In its larger and to me irrevocable sense it is the art of all time; of definite personalities that remain forever modern by the fundamental truth that is in them. It makes Molière at his greatest as new as Ibsen, or Giotto as modern as Cézanne.

Just what technical discoveries can do to assist interpretive power is not clear. It is true that the Impressionists perhaps gave a more faithful representation of nature through their discoveries in out-of-door painting, but that they increased their stature as artists by so doing is controversial. It might here be noted that Thomas Eakins in the nineteenth century used the methods of the seventeenth, and is one of the few painters of the last generation to be accepted by contemporary thought in this country.

If the technical innovations of the Impressionists led merely to a more accurate representation of nature, it was perhaps of not much value in enlarging their powers of expression. There may come or perhaps has come a time when no further progress in truthful representation is possible. There are those who say that such a point has been reached and attempt to substitute a more and more simplified and decorative calligraphy. This direction is sterile and without hope to those who wish to give painting a richer and more human meaning and a wider scope.

No one can correctly forecast the direction that painting will take in the next few years, but to me at least there seems to be a revulsion against the invention of arbitrary and stylized design. There will be, I think, an attempt to grasp again the surprise and accidents of nature, and a more intimate and sympathetic study of its moods, together with a renewed wonder and humility on the part of such as are still capable of these basic reactions.
CHRONOLOGY

1882  Born, July 22 at Nyack, New York; educated at private schools and the Nyack High School.

1899–1900  Winter, studied illustration at a commercial art school in New York; from this time on made his home in New York, working as an illustrator until 1924.

1900–1905  Studied at the New York School of Art (the Chase School) under Robert Henri and Kenneth Hayes Miller; worked principally upon figure drawing.

1906–1907  Paris; painted city streets in the Impressionist manner; watercolor caricatures.

1908  March, exhibited in the old building of the Harmonie Club, 43–45 West 42nd Street, with Friedman, Coleman, Bellows, Kent, Péne du Bois, and other Henri pupils.

1909  Paris during the summer.

1910  To France and Spain in summer; exhibited in the large independent exhibition held in a loft building on 36th Street.

1912  Summer, painted near Gloucester.

1913  Winter, exhibited in the International Exhibition, the "Armory Show"; there for the first time sold a canvas, The Sailboat.

1914–1915  Painted in Maine during summers; practically abandoned painting in oil until 1924.

1915  First etchings, made under direction of Martin Lewis.

1919  One-man exhibition of paintings, principally of his Paris years, at the Whitney Studio Club.

1922  Exhibited Paris caricatures at Whitney Studio Club.

1923  Began to paint in watercolors, one of which was bought by the Brooklyn Museum; awarded prizes for etching in exhibitions in Chicago and Los Angeles; exhibited at National Arts Club, New York, Humorists’ Exhibition.

1924  Exhibited watercolors at Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery; among first purchasers were Mrs. John O. Blanchard and John T. Spaulding; encouraged to paint in oils again. Married the painter, Josephine Verstille Nivison.
1925 Exhibited in Philadelphia at the Pennsylvania Academy, which bought an oil. Visit to Santa Fé.

1927 Exhibited watercolors and four oils at Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery.

1929 Exhibited twelve oils at Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery.


(The general exhibitions in which Mr. Hopper has participated during the past few years are not listed.)
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ANDOVER, Massachusetts, Phillips Academy, Addison Gallery of American Art: 1 oil, 1 watercolor

BOSTON, Museum of Fine Arts: etchings

CAMBRIDGE, Massachusetts, Fogg Art Museum: 3 watercolors

CHICAGO, The Art Institute: 2 watercolors

CLEVELAND, The Museum of Art: 1 oil, 1 watercolor

HARTFORD, Wadsworth Atheneum: 3 watercolors
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NEW ORLEANS, Isaac Delgado Museum of Art: etchings

NEW YORK, Brooklyn Museum: 1 watercolor, the first purchased by a public gallery, 1923

NEW YORK, The Metropolitan Museum of Art: 1 oil, etchings

NEW YORK, The Museum of Modern Art: 1 oil
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NEW YORK, Whitney Museum of American Art: 1 oil, etchings

PHILADELPHIA, The Pennsylvania Academy of The Fine Arts: 1 oil, the first purchased by a public gallery, 1923

SACRAMENTO, California State Library: etchings

WASHINGTON, Phillips Memorial Gallery: 1 oil, 1 watercolor
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*12 WILLIAMSBURG BRIDGE  
New York, 1928  
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn, New York  
Oil, 29 x 43 inches

*13 HODGKIN’S HOUSE  
Cape Ann, Mass., 1928  
Collection Mr. and Mrs. John S. Sheppard, New York  
Oil, 28 x 36 inches

*14 LIGHTHOUSE AT TWO LIGHTS  
Cape Elizabeth, Maine, 1929  
Collection Mrs. Samuel A. Tucker, New York  
Oil, 29 x 43 inches

*15 TABLES FOR LADIES  
New York, 1930  
Collection The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York  
Oil, 48 x 60 inches

16 HILLS, SOUTH TRURO  
South Truro, Mass., 1930  
Collection The Cleveland Museum of Art  
Oil, 27 x 43 inches

17 CORN HILL  
Cape Cod, 1930  
Collection Mrs. John Osgood Blanchard, New York  
Oil, 29 x 43 inches

*18 EARLY SUNDAY MORNING  
Seventh Avenue, New York, 1930  
Collection Whitney Museum of American Art, New York  
Oil, 35 x 60 inches

*19 SOUTH TRURO CHURCH  
South Truro, Mass., 1930  
Collection Mrs. Samuel A. Tucker, New York  
Oil, 29 x 43 inches
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Number</th>
<th>Title and Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Medium and Dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*20</td>
<td>THE BARBER SHOP</td>
<td>New York, 1931</td>
<td>Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York</td>
<td>Oil, 60 x 78 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*21</td>
<td>HOTEL ROOM</td>
<td>New York, 1931</td>
<td>Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York</td>
<td>Oil, 60 x 65 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*22</td>
<td>CAMEL'S HUMP</td>
<td>Cape Cod, 1931</td>
<td>Private Collection</td>
<td>Oil, 32 x 50 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*23</td>
<td>NEW YORK, NEW HAVEN AND HARTFORD</td>
<td>Cape Cod, 1931</td>
<td>The John Herron Art Institute, Indianapolis</td>
<td>Oil, 32 x 50 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*24</td>
<td>ROOM IN BROOKLYN</td>
<td>Brooklyn, 1932</td>
<td>Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York</td>
<td>Oil, 29 x 24 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*25</td>
<td>RYDER'S HOUSE</td>
<td>Cape Cod, 1933</td>
<td>the Artist</td>
<td>Oil, 36 x 50 inches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WATERCOLORS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Number</th>
<th>Title and Location</th>
<th>Medium and Dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*26</td>
<td>FRENCH OFFICER</td>
<td>Watercolor, 11 3/4 x 7 inches (matted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Artist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This and the following four caricatures were done in Paris either in 1906–1907 or 1909.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*27</td>
<td>LA CONCIERGE</td>
<td>Watercolor, 9 x 7 inches (matted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Artist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>PETIT PIOU-PIOU</td>
<td>Watercolor, 9 x 6 1/2 inches (matted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Artist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>PARIS COP</td>
<td>Watercolor, 11 1/4 x 7 inches (matted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Artist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>TYPE DE BELLEVILLE</td>
<td>Paris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>HOUSES OF SQUAM LIGHT</td>
<td>Cape Ann, Mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ITALIAN QUARTER</td>
<td>Gloucester, Mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>HASKELL’S HOUSE</td>
<td>Cape Ann, Mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>MANHATTAN BRIDGE ENTRANCE</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>ADOBE HOUSES</td>
<td>Santa Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>SKYLIGHTS</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>LOCOMOTIVE, D. AND R. G.</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>DECK OF BEAM TRAWLER, WIDGEON</td>
<td>Rockland, Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>BOW OF BEAM TRAWLER, OSPREY</td>
<td>Rockland, Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>MRS. ACORN’S PARLOR</td>
<td>Rockland, Maine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| *41 | ROOFS OF WASHINGTON SQUARE  
New York, 1926  
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn, New York | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| *42 | MANHATTAN BRIDGE AND LILY APARTMENTS  
New York, 1926  
Collection Frank K. M. Rehn, New York | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| *43 | HOUSE OF THE FOG HORN, I  
Cape Elizabeth, Maine, 1927  
Collection Mrs. John Osgood Blanchard, New York | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| *44 | LIBBY’S HOUSE  
Portland, Maine, 1927  
Collection Fogg Art Museum, Cambridge, Massachusetts | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| 45 | COAST GUARD COVE  
Cape Elizabeth, Maine, 1927  
Collection Mr. and Mrs. George H. Davis, New York | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| 46 | PORTLAND HEAD LIGHT  
Cape Elizabeth, Maine, 1927  
Collection John T. Spaulding, Boston | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| *47 | BOX FACTORY, GLOUCESTER  
1928  
Private Collection, New York | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| *48 | MARTY WELCH’S HOUSE  
Cape Ann, Mass., 1928  
Collection John Clancy, New York | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| 49 | ADAMS’S HOUSE  
Cape Ann, Mass., 1928  
Private Collection, New York | Watercolor, 16 x 25 inches |
| 50 | MY ROOF  
New York, 1928  
Collection Dr. and Mrs. Henry H. M. Lyle, New York | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
| *51 | COAST GUARD BOAT  
Cape Elizabeth, Maine, 1929  
Collection Robert W. Huntington, Hartford | Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches |
52 ASH'S HOUSE
    Charleston, South Carolina, 1929
    Private Collection, New York

53 HOUSE WITH VINE
    Cape Elizabeth, Maine, 1929
    Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

54 HIGHLAND LIGHT
    Cape Cod, 1930
    Collection Fogg Art Museum, Cambridge, Massachusetts

55 HEN COOP
    Cape Cod, 1930
    Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

*56 METHODIST CHURCH
    Cape Cod, 1931
    Collection Mr. and Mrs. Lesley Green Sheafer, New York

57 ROOFS OF COBB BARNs
    Cape Cod, 1931
    Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

*58 HOUSE WITH DEAD TREE
    Cape Cod, 1932
    Collection Mr. and Mrs. Lesley Green Sheafer, New York

59 HOUSE AT EASTHAM
    Cape Cod, 1932
    Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

60 RAILROAD EMBANKMENT
    Cape Cod, 1932
    Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

61 MARSHALL'S HOUSE
    Cape Cod, 1932
    Collection Wadsworth Atheneum, Hartford

*62 COLD STORAGE PLANT
    Cape Cod, 1933
    Collection Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery, New York

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
Watercolor, 16 x 25 inches
Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
Watercolor, 25 x 20 inches
Watercolor, 20 x 28 inches
Watercolor, 20 x 28 inches
Watercolor, 20 x 28 inches
Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
Watercolor, 20 x 25 inches
ETCHINGS

The etchings of Edward Hopper are limited to editions of one hundred, with the exception of Night Shadows. The etchings, unlike most of the paintings, are free inventions made without reference to a particular scene or model. No drypoint was used.

63 HOUSE BY A RIVER, 1919
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
7 x 8 inches

64 AMERICAN LANDSCAPE, 1920
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
7 1/2 x 12 1/2 inches

65 NIGHT IN THE PARK, 1921
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
7 x 8 1/8 inches

66 NIGHT SHADOWS, 1921
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
Steel plated and published in a folio of American etchings by The New Republic, December, 1924.
7 x 8 1/8 inches

67 EVENING WIND, 1921
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
7 x 8 1/8 inches

68 EAST SIDE INTERIOR, 1922
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
W. A. Bryan Prize, International Print Makers Exhibition, Los Angeles, 1923; Logan Prize, Chicago Society of Etchers, 1923.
8 x 10 inches

69 CAT BOAT, 1922
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
8 x 10 inches

70 LOCOMOTIVE, 1922
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
8 x 10 inches

71 RAILROAD, 1922
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
8 x 10 inches

72 LONELY HOUSE, 1922
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
8 x 10 inches

73 GIRL ON BRIDGE, 1923
Collection Mrs. Edward Hopper, New York
7 x 9 inches
THE PLATES BEAR THE SAME NUMBERS AS THE CATALOG. NOT ALL OF THE WORKS ARE ILLUSTRATED.
1 HOUSE BY THE RAILROAD, 1925

Oil, 24 x 29 inches
2 SUNDAY, 1926

Oil, 28 x 36 inches
3 THE DRUG STORE, 1927

Oil, 29 x 40 inches
5 THE CITY, 1927

Oil, 28 x 36 inches
6 LIGHTHOUSE HILL, 1927

Oil, 29 x 40 inches
7 FREIGHT CARS AT GLOUCESTER, 1928

Oil, 29 x 40 inches
8 NIGHT WINDOWS, 1923

Oil, 29 x 31 inches
14 Lighthouse at Two Lights, 1929

Oil, 29 x 43 inches
23 NEW YORK, NEW HAVEN AND HARTFORD, 1931

Oil, 32 x 50 inches
31 HOUSES OF SQUAM LIGHT, 1923

Watercolor, 11 3/4 x 18 inches
33 HASKELL'S HOUSE

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
36 SKYLIGHTS, 1925

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
38 DECK OF BEAM TRAWLER, WIDGEON, 1926

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
41 ROOFS OF WASHINGTON SQUARE, 1926

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
42 MANHATTAN BRIDGE AND LILY APARTMENTS, 1926

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
47 BOX FACTORY, GLOUCESTER, 1928

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
48 MARTY WELCH'S HOUSE, 1928

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
51 COAST GUARD BOAT, 1929

Watercolor, 14 x 20 inches
56 METHODIST CHURCH, 1931

Watercolor, 25 x 20 inches
62 COLD STORAGE PLANT, 1933

Watercolor, 20 x 25 inches
Etching, 7 3/4 x 12 3/4 inches
66 NIGHT SHADOWS, 1921
Etching, 7 x 8 3/4 inches
67 EVENING WIND, 1921

Etching, 7 x 83⁄8 inches
68 EAST SIDE INTERIOR, 1922

Etching, 8 x 10 inches
CAT BOAT, 1922
Etching, 8 x 10 inches
71 RAILROAD, 1922

Etching, 8 x 10 inches
72 LONELY HOUSE, 1922

Etching, 8 x 10 inches
MUSEUM PUBLICATIONS

The books published by the Museum of Modern Art in connection with its numerous exhibitions form a concise library of living art, painting, sculpture, and architecture. The critical and historical notes, explanations by the artists, biographies and bibliographies contain information not readily found elsewhere. There is a wealth of illustration—over 893 plates of the work of over 300 modern painters, sculptors and architects. The Museum makes no profit on these books. It sells them considerably below the cost of production, as a part of its educational service to students and the public.

NINETEENTH CENTURY PAINTERS AND SCULPTORS

Cézanne, Gauguin, Seurat, van Gogh. The four great pioneers of modern painting. Critical and biographical studies by Alfred H. Barr, Jr. 152 pages; 97 plates; paper bound—$2.00

Homer, Ryder, Eakins. Essays by Bryson Burroughs, Frank Jewett Mather, and Lloyd Goodrich on these American “old masters.” 68 pages; 34 plates; paper bound—$2.00

Corot and Daumier. Two painters much admired by living artists. Introduction by Alfred H. Barr, Jr. 128 pages; 108 plates; paper bound—$2.00

Toulouse-Lautrec and Odilon Redon. Introduction by Jere Abbott. Notes on artists, actors, and singers of Lautrec’s circle by Daniel Catton Rich. 72 pages; 39 plates; paper bound—$2.00

The Bliss Collection. Memorial Exhibition. Out of print

American Folk Art. Most comprehensive survey so far published about American folk art, including sculpture. 28-page introduction by Holger Cahill. Bibliography of 86 books and periodicals. 131 pages; 80 plates; paper bound—$1.50; bound in boards—$3.50

TWENTIETH CENTURY PAINTERS AND SCULPTORS

Painting in Paris. Foreword and critical notes by Alfred H. Barr, Jr. A succinct introduction to the work of the most influential school of living artists. 88 pages; 50 plates; paper bound—$2.00

Paintings by 19 Living Americans. This and the following catalog are anthologies of work by the best known contemporary American artists. Biographical notes by Alfred H. Barr, Jr. 88 pages; 38 plates; paper bound—$2.00

Painting and Sculpture by Living Americans. 67 pages; 34 plates; paper bound—$2.00
German Painting and Sculpture. Work of the leading German artists, with foreword and extensive notes by Alfred H. Barr, Jr. 91 pages; 49 plates; paper bound—$2.00

Lehmbruck and Maillol. Out of print

Murals by American Painters and Photographers. Essays by Lincoln Kirstein and Julien Levy. 62 pages; 61 plates; paper bound—$1.50

American Painting and Sculpture, 1862–1932. A selection from American painting and sculpture, divided about equally between 19th and 20th century works. Introduction by Holger Cahill. 158 pages; 79 plates; paper bound—$1.50; bound in boards—$3.50

American Sources of Modern Art. Introduction on the art of ancient America and its relationship to the art of today by Holger Cahill. Bibliography of over 100 titles. 104 pages; 56 plates; paper bound—$1.50; bound in boards—$3.50

Monographs on Individual Artists

Henri Matisse. "Notes of a Painter" by Henri Matisse; the only publication in English of these important observations. Critical essay by Alfred H. Barr, Jr. 128 pages; 82 plates; paper bound—$2.00; bound in boards—$3.00

Charles Burchfield, Early Watercolors. Foreword by Alfred H. Barr, Jr. and notes by the artist. 24 pages; 10 plates; paper bound—$1.50

Paul Klee. Out of print

Diego Rivera. Out of print

Max Weber, Retrospective Exhibition. Work by one of the most important American modernists. Foreword by Alfred H. Barr, Jr., and notes by the artist. 40 pages; 16 plates; paper bound—$1.50

Maurice Sterne. Introduction by Horace Kallen and notes by the artist. Biography by Holger Cahill. 52 pages; 23 plates; bound in boards—$2.50

Architecture
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