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THE ART OF ASSEMBLAGE
by William C. Seitz

As a method practiced by major artists, the art of assemblage originated early in the twentieth century. During the spring of 1912, the great Spanish painter Pablo Picasso affixed a piece of oilcloth, printed to simulate chair caning, to a canvas on which he was painting a cubist still life. This was the first collage, or "pasting," made by a contemporary master. Shortly afterward, Picasso began to construct small cubist objects from fragments of cardboard and wood; Georges Braque introduced newsprint into his drawings; and the third great cubist, Juan Gris, added mirror-glass, photographs, and other extraneous materials to his oil paintings.

An "assemblage," extending the method initiated by the cubist painters, is a work of art made by fastening together cut or torn pieces of paper, clippings from newspapers, photographs, bits of cloth, fragments of wood, metal, or other such materials, shells or stones, or even objects such as knives and forks, chairs and tables, parts of dolls and mannequins, automobile fenders, steel boilers, and stuffed birds and animals.

Between 1912 and the recent upsurge of unorthodox media among younger artists, assemblage has developed erratically but rapidly. By 1915 the Italian futurists were making typographical collages, and the dadists juxtaposed lettering, photographs, and all sorts of materials to make ironic, amusing, and startling objects that symbolized their attack on traditional art. Marcel Duchamp proclaimed a bottle-drying rack to be a work of art. Kurt Schwitters assembled pictures from bus tickets, labels, buttons, and other refuse which he collected in his pockets as he walked in the street. Later Salvador Dali and the surrealists fabricated fantastic agglomerations of mannequins, lobsters, loaves of bread, etc., which dramatized their aesthetic of continued on back flap
Frontispiece, Picasso: *Bottle of Suze*. (1913). Pasted papers, newsprint, wallpaper, label from bottle of Suze-Aperitif Gentiane, 25\(\frac{3}{4}\)\times 19\(\frac{5}{8}\)". Washington University, St. Louis.
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FOREWORD AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In a press release for the retrospective exhibition of collages held at The Museum of Modern Art in 1948 Margaret Miller, director of the exhibition, wrote that "collage cannot be defined adequately as merely a technique of cutting and pasting, for its significance lies not in its technical eccentricity but in its relevance to two basic questions which have been raised by twentieth-century art: the nature of reality and the nature of painting itself. Collage has been the means through which the artist incorporates reality in the picture without imitating it." Even though the unexpected extension of the collage method that has occurred during the last few years could not have been predicted at that time, Miss Miller's comments nevertheless point out specifically its importance for contemporary art. Yet, valuable as the term "collage" remains today, the diverse works which comprise this book and exhibition call for a designation not only more embracing, but also more indicative of the mediating principles which they demonstrate.

Save for a few calculated examples, the physical characteristics that these collages, objects, and constructions have in common can be stated simply:

1. They are predominantly assembled rather than painted, drawn, modeled, or carved.
2. Entirely or in part, their constituent elements are preformed natural or manufactured materials, objects, or fragments not intended as art materials.

If it were not typographically awkward, the title of this book could have been "The Art, Non-Art, and Anti-Art of Assemblage," for, though the painterly collages of Esteban Vicente or the welded constructions of David Smith and Ettore Colla approach painting or sculpture, and though a majority of the works included are unquestionably works of art, others were fabricated expressly to dispel an aura of authority, profundity, and sanctity. Some, such as the wittily speculative objects of Man Ray, were "designed to amuse, annoy, bewilder, mystify, inspire reflection but not arouse admiration for any technical excellence usually sought or valued in objects classified as works of art." There are even some pieces here that cannot be called "art" at all in the accepted sense of that term. They are "readymade" assemblages: portions removed from the everyday environment without alteration, and presented "on a plane apparently not suited to them" for a special kind of examination.

Neither the exhibition nor the book is a detailed survey, either of the technique of collage and its expanded forms, or of the movements within which these innovations occurred. If anything has been surveyed, it is the metaphysics of assemblage rather than its history. To the degree that both the text and the exhibition are — inevitably, I feel — historical, they attempt to follow one among the many threads that lead through the labyrinth of twentieth-century styles. The walls of its compartments are not immovable; each added viewpoint changes their arrangement.

To bring together works, some of them controversial, by little-known as well as well-known and famous artists entails many evaluations, reconsiderations and anguishing exclusions. I owe a special debt of gratitude, therefore, to those who, in the United States and in Europe, gave assistance, information, and suggestions that
led to the final selection. Especially to be mentioned are: Lawrence Alloway, Irving Blum, Pieter Brattinga, Mr. and Mrs. William N. Copley, Bruce Conner, Daniel Cordier, Richard Hamilton, Walter Hopps, Dr. K. G. Hultén, Ivan Karp, Jean Larcade, Kynaston L. McShine, Dr. Dietrich Mahlow, Miss Georges Marci, Morton G. Neumann, Mrs. John Rewald, the Misses Niki and Elizabeth de Saint-Phalle, Arthur Schwarz, Eberhard Seel, Joseph R. Shapiro, Miss Odyssia Skouras, Jean Tinguely, Dr. Herta Wescher, and Mme Edith Zerlaut-Rauscher.

I wish to thank others for specific services: the critics, artists, and publishers who permitted the quotation of relevant material, in some cases unpublished; Kate Steinitz and William Cartwright for assistance in studying the Watts Towers, and, for his fine color photographs of them, Seymour Rosen; Bernard Karpel, not only for his scholarly bibliography, but also for his pioneer “research in image” for assemblage, still in progress; Irene Gordon, who criticized the manuscript in both typescript and galley proof; Alicia Legg for, along with other assistance, preparing the catalogue; Frances Pernas, for her skill and devotion in the production of the book; and Lucy Lippard for preparing the index. Extra hours given by other colleagues must also be recognized.

For special assistance, I wish to thank Paul Kantor, Leo Castelli, Mr. and Mrs. Michael Sonnabend, Eugene Thaw, and Mr. and Mrs. William H. Weintraub.

On behalf of the Trustees of The Museum of Modern Art, The Dallas Museum for Contemporary Arts, and the San Francisco Museum of Art I also extend my gratitude to the collectors, museums, dealers, and artists listed on page 153, whose loans have made the exhibition possible.

William C. Seitz, Associate Curator
Department of Painting and Sculpture Exhibitions
Picasso: *Still Life with Chair Caning.* (1911-12). Oil and pasted oil cloth simulating chair caning, oval, 10½x13¼”, with rope around edge. Owned by the artist.
INTRODUCTION

In May 1912, Picasso finished a small oval still life into which was pasted a fragment of oil cloth that simulated chair caning and around which, in lieu of a frame, he wrapped a length of hemp rope. This cubist composition seems abstract at first glance, but after a short study of the intersecting lines and translucent planes some of its elements can be identified. The letters jo u , which float ambiguously from their position in space toward the surface of the picture, are plainly remnants of the word “Journal,” and make up an abbreviated representation of a newspaper. The profiles of a sliced lemon and a glass can be recognized, and at the upper left, above the letters, the stem of a pipe seems to project forward into the actual space in front of the picture. Somewhat less clearly, a knife, and what could be a shell, can also be detected. Common objects of the café table, human in scale, they are those that the fingers manipulate idly, and often unconsciously.

Such subject matter, characteristic of cubist painting, reminds one that the arrangement of ordinary objects, from ancient times until those of the Dutch still-life painters, Chardin, Manet, Fantin-Latour, Harnett, Cézanne, and Picasso, is a form of preliminary assembled art. Moreover, the placement, juxtaposition, and removal of objects within the space immediately accessible to exploration by eye and hand is an activity with which every person’s life is filled, virtually from birth until death.

Violating the limitations of representation, the Still Life with Chair Caning, in which “Picasso juggles reality and abstraction in two media and at four different levels or ratios,” initiates the absorption of the activity of assembling objects into the method, as well as the subject matter, of painting. Everyone familiar with modern art knows that the area representing a caned surface, and seemingly painted in a trompe-l’oeil technique, is in fact an actual fragment of commercially printed oil cloth. Because of the second innovation, the rope frame, the entire composition is forced into the

Spoerri: The Pail Is Not Arman’s. 1961. Household utensils glued to wooden board, 17x4x47”. Galleria Schwarz, Milan
world of objects, like a nautical plaque or a table finished at its periphery with a spiral molding. It could even be said that this “recklessly adulterated” work, which (at least as far as the main stream of modern art is concerned) began the development of collage, also initiated three-dimensional assemblage; Picasso’s colored-paper Guitar was constructed during the same year, 1912, and the Mandolin, described by Alfred Barr in 1935 as “neither sculpture nor painting, nor architecture,” was put together two years later from scraps of discarded wood.

Every work of art is an incarnation: an investment of matter with spirit. The term “assemblage” has been singled out, with this duality in mind, to denote not only a specific technical procedure and form used in the literary and musical, as well as the plastic, arts, but also a complex of attitudes and ideas. Just as the introduction of oil painting in fifteenth-century Flanders and Italy paralleled a new desire to reproduce the appearance of the visible world, collage and related modes of construction manifest a predisposition that is characteristically modern.

The sensibility responsible for, and at the same time formed by, modern art — among its creators were Baudelaire, Guys, Manet, and Rimbaud — is often ironic, perverse, anti-rational, and even destructive. Yet, with its negative side fully recognized, this temperament is one of the beauties that has flowered in the dark soil of twentieth-century life. It is worthwhile to follow the paths and consider the modes by which the modern artist’s sensitized and irritable personality developed, for the methods and metaphysics of collage have similar origins and patterns of growth. Together, modern art and modern personality form a development that is neither a suite of isolated compartments nor a purely temporal sequence. Rather it expands in streams, interconnected pools, and eddies, like a complex river system.

In looking backward at the early masterpieces of cubism one is struck almost as sharply by their connection with the past as by their modernism. Judged not only by more recent innovations and by the pace of other events that occurred while they were being painted, but also by the nineteenth-century substratum of relationalism that preceded them, they seem conservative rather than radical by now. The compression of form and space toward two-dimensionality was already implicit in Ingres’ Odalisques, and an emphasis on juxtaposition was clearly apparent by 1865 in the paintings of Whistler and Manet. Manet’s quasi-academic and sophisticated early compositions — from the Absinthe Drinker of 1859 to the Luncheon in the Studio ten years later — hide behind their dissembling surfaces, eclecticism, and aestheticism an ironic, anti-rational relativism that does not become overtly apparent until the time of Apollinaire, Satie, and Duchamp.

In spirit if not in technique, Manet can also be seen as a precursor of the collagist. His famous portrait of Emile Zola includes a pin-up board like that found today in almost every artist’s studio. Affixed to it, a photograph of Manet’s Olympia, a print by Utamaro, and Goya’s etching after Velázquez’ Los Borrachos form an overlapping “collage” of the disparate elements that Manet’s art incorporated. On the table below, a decorated inkwell and its feathered quill, soft yellow, pink, and blue paper books, and other objects have been arranged with far more regard for color and pattern than for accessory significance. The printed signature MANET — calling to mind the use of lettering in the papiers collés of Picasso and Braque — can be read as the title of the book at the right. Indeed, if Manet’s first line of development had not
been deflected by the new trend epitomized by Monet, he might have evolved a
two-dimensional art that would have been a foretaste of Matisse in form, with a
"dada" irony (which runs like a dye through Manet's art) as a content.

The advent of impressionism postponed realization of these potentialities for,
although Monet's "series" pictures of the 1890s were composed of a multitude of
competing particles of color, the roseate mists in which these touches all but coalesce
approached homogeneity. Monet wished to translate a momentary and cohesive
perceptual response into pigment. But Cézanne, who utilized impressionism for his
profound dislocation of Poussinist solidity, irreversibly undermined accepted modes
of representation and coherence. If it was Manet who placed the banderillas in the
body of the Renaissance tradition, it was Cézanne who (with a much less subversive
intent) drove the blade toward its vitals. The hills, mountains, and rocks in his
landscapes shift as in an earthquake; the earth’s surface bends and splits from his
heroic attempt to reconcile classical art with conflicting data of feeling, perception,
and structural intuition.

The scale and profundity of this awesome disturbance of the established geology
of Western art is most accessible in his still lifes. All but disassembled, and perceptually
deformed, the fruit, bottles, and dishes attract and repel each other within a pictorial
constellation that art had never seen: each element is altered through what Roger
Fry called "a strange complicity between these objects" as if by a mutual recogni-
tion — what Whitehead termed “prehension” — of each for the other. In figure compositions arms, legs, torsos, and even heads shorten, stretch, or twist under the pressures of Cézanne’s pondered readjustments. Caught between a love of the past and a commitment to the future, he struggled with the problem of relating parts and aspects to the wholes that they compose — articulating an embracing change in realization that found its most illuminating explanation in Gestalt psychology.11

Also within this ambiance, Seurat conceived art as a harmony of “contrary and of similar elements.”12 He pioneered in establishing an abstract aesthetic of multiple confrontation — of the horizontal to the vertical, of light to dark, of rising to falling movements, and of the “simultaneous contrast” of warm and cool colors. The rules he applied were later reworked by Delaunay and the futurists, and form the basis of the philosophical and formal principles empirically evolved by Mondrian. Seurat’s pointillist systemization of impressionist technique, which resulted in a surface of beveled facets,13 began the redivision of Monet’s perceptual unity. In accord with a host of other related influences, the relativism evidenced by Cézanne and Seurat offered to the artists of what Apollinaire called “the new spirit” the syntax for a sharp break with previous modes of aesthetic coherence.
THE LIBERATION OF WORDS

It is difficult for minds nurtured by skepticism, materialism, and pragmatism to grant the existence of immaterial realities; yet it is not difficult to demonstrate that the climate of ideas out of which the technique of collage arose existed independently of the many media in which it took form. It was the poets, working with less physical and more immediately responsive materials than the painters and sculptors, who, cherubim and seraphim fluttering in celestial light, responded most rapidly and directly to the spirit of the times. Futurism and dada began as literary and political, rather than plastic, movements; surrealism originated in the automatic literary technique practiced by Apollinaire, the first spokesman for cubist painting; his poetry, that of Max Jacob, Blaise Cendrars, and Pierre Reverdy, and certain of the novels of André Gide, have been called "literary cubism."

The arrangement of words, each carrying with it "an image or an idea surrounded by a vague aura of associations," is close to the method of collage. The poet's most important tool is the metaphor — "the joining of two things which are different."

STÉPHANE MALLARMÉ

It was the poetry of Mallarmé, poised in a fragile balance at the meeting point of impressionism and symbolism with "the new spirit," that suggested the confrontation of fragments as a literary method. The White Water Lily of 1885, like the music of Debussy, is impressionistic and symbolistic; but the crucial Un Coup de dés jamais n'abolira le hasard of 1897 postulates another aesthetic not only in its radical topographical arrangement and its emphasis on the idea of chance, but also in its pattern of images and its projection toward a "pure" poetry resembling music or abstract painting. The title is a sentence cut into fragments that are distributed, as four topical headings, through the poem: "A throw of the dice — never — will abolish — chance."

Without rhyme or meter, and with individual words or word-groups arranged in patterns, the poem evolves like a cinema — "a kind of intellectual film" — in which "every page should be considered in its entirety, as though it were a picture." Roger Fry (who understood so well the relational dynamite of Cézanne's still life) points out how, in looking intently at ordinary objects, Mallarmé also anticipated cubist still life: "No one has given to the words for common objects so rich a poetical vibration — fenêtre, vitre, console, verrerie, pierrerie, lampe, plafond — and this by no forced note of admiration or willed ecstasy, but by an exact observation and deduction of their poetical implications." With Mallarmé, Fry goes on to say, the theme is "frequently as it were broken to pieces in the process of poetical analysis, and is reconstructed, not according to the relations of experience but of pure poetical necessity."

But to dub Mallarmé a "cubist" poet is to distort his historical position. He surely pushed the traditional modes of thematic development and continuity almost to their breaking point but, as Roger Shattuck has explained in a lucid paragraph, not beyond it: "Juxtaposition in modern literature began where Mallarmé stopped. He reached a point from which any advance must abandon the possibility of meaning in the classical sense."
Guillaume Apollinaire was the first of the twentieth-century figures—Marcel Duchamp and André Breton were two others—who served as accumulators of avant-garde ideas. Prophet and merchandizer as well as poet, he was both seismograph and tuning fork, simultaneously absorbing and propagating vibrations that ranged from symbolism, cubism, and futurism to dada, surrealism, and abstract art. His defense of collage and papiers collés in The Cubist Painters, published in 1913, far surpasses in boldness the cubist painting of that year: “You may paint with whatever material you please, with pipes, postage stamps, postcards or playing cards, candelabra, pieces of oil cloth, collars, painted paper, newspapers.” He cites the use of blood as a painting medium by someone during the French Revolution, and mentions an Italian artist who painted with excrement.20
In poems as early as 1908, Apollinaire had moved "toward freedom in assembling a poem out of disparate parts." During 1912, the year of the first *papiers collés*, Apollinaire spoke of a new source of inspiration: "prospectuses . . . catalogues, posters, advertisements of all sorts. Believe me, they contain the poetry of our epoch. I shall make it spring forth." Apollinaire, the spearhead of diverse cross influences—among them the simultaneïsme of Delaunay, the new medium of the film, the futurist proclamations of Marinetti, and cubist collage—along with Salmon, Max Jacob, Cendrars, and other cubist poets, decided to use as poetical material any words or word combinations, however mundane, jarring, or disassociated they might appear. In Apollinaire's simultaneist and "orphic" poems, ideograms, and "calligrams," separate parts are allowed more autonomy than are analogous elements in the cubist *papiers collés* of the time. Snatches of conversation, routine phrases, and clichés follow one after the other without transition or thematic connection:

```
Trois becs de gaz allumés
La patronne est poitrinaire
Quand tu auras fini nous jouerons une partie de jacquet
Un chef d'orchestre qui a mal à la gorge
Quand tu viendras à Tunis je te ferai fumer du Kief
Ça a l'air de rimer
```

From *Lundi Rue Christine*²⁴

Apollinaire combined the method of juxtaposition (often visually as well as poetically) with an appropriation of the verbal environment: he implicitly posed, that is to say, the principles of an art assembled of actual, rather than verbal, objects. Georges Duhamel's criticism of *Alcools* (a book of Apollinaire's poems published in 1913) makes this apparent:

Nothing could remind one more of an old junk shop than these collected poems. . . . I call it an old junk shop because a mass of heterogeneous objects has found a place there and, though some of them are of value, none of them has been made by the dealer himself. That is just the characteristic of this sort of industry: it resells, but it does not produce. Sometimes there are strange objects for sale; on its grimy shelves one may discover a rare stone hanging from a nail. All this comes from afar, but the stone is pleasant to look at. The rest is a collection of faked paintings, patched exotic garments, bicycle accessories and articles of intimate hygiene. A truculent and bewildering variety takes the place of art in this assemblage. . . .²⁵

The "calligrammatic" style, which came closer to painting than Picasso and Braque's *papiers collés* did to poetry, was defined by Apollinaire himself in his magazine *Soirées de Paris*. The principle underlying collage could not be explained more aptly:

*Psychologically* it is of no importance that this visible image be composed of fragments of spoken language, for the bond between these fragments is no longer the logic of grammar but an ideographic logic culminating in an order of spatial disposition totally opposed to discursive juxtaposition.

. . . It is the opposite of narration, narration is of all literary forms the one which most requires discursive logic.²⁶
The rebellious "new spirit" trumpeted by Apollinaire was not parochial. His ideas were as colored by futurism as they were by cubism. The initial manifesto of futurism was published in Paris. Apollinaire (born in Rome and interested in all things Italian) was acquainted with its author, the poet Filippo Tommaso Marinetti, and wrote an article on futurist painting in *Mercure de France* in 1911, almost before futurist theory had taken form in painting. Marinetti’s idea of "words at liberty," put forward in his manifesto on literature published in May 1912, is a frontal attack on syntax. It calls for the abolition of punctuation, of the adjective and adverb, and of all traditional devices that qualify and give rational continuity to word images. The verb is to be used only in the infinitive, and the noun is to be followed by another noun associated with it only intuitively, by sound, or by free association. Anticipating dada and surrealism, Marinetti denounced all scientific or "photographic" categories; prophetic of dada, also, was his willingness to accept any image whether noble or base, elegant or vulgar, eccentric or normal, that upset the despised classical hierarchy of ideas and values.

Marinetti’s typographical "collages," such as *A Tumultuous Assembly*, go much further than either Apollinaire or the cubists in eliminating the distinction between
literary and visual arrangement. In one jump, Marinetti crossed the threshold at which, moving in the opposite direction, collagists were beginning to usurp the prerogatives of poetry. It can be said of both Marinetti and Apollinaire, however, that, more than two years before the dadas, they had sanctioned the separation of the word from punctuation, rhyme, meter, narration, and thematic continuity, stopping just short of dada accidentalism and the psychic automatism practiced by André Breton and the surrealist painters.

ANDRÉ GIDE

Before 1914, while Braque, Picasso, and Gris were assembling their first collages, André Gide was writing his “cubist” novel *Lafcadio’s Adventures (Les Caves du Vatican).* As in certain drawings of Jean Cocteau or Saul Steinberg, representation of the subject is caught up short by an intruding image of the artificer’s hand at work, but Gide’s relativism is more encompassing than that of the cubist painters. Lafcadio, a free man committed to a gratuitous, unmotivated act, moves, like Marcel Duchamp’s “Sad Young Man in a Train,” through a sociological landscape of ambiguously shifting morality and immorality, truth and falsehood, Freemasonry and Catholicism by which ethical and social opposites are confounded and neutralized.

For *The Counterfeiters (Les Faux-Monnayeurs, 1925)*, Gide worked out a method, intentionally dispersive, from his earlier attack on the structure of the novel. He replaced traditional narration by a coreless sequence of events and data strung together almost without common theme: “The difficulty arises from the fact that I must start anew with each chapter,” he tells himself while writing *The Counterfeiters:* “Never take advantage of momentum — such is the rule of my game.”28 To the extent that a “plot” can be said to exist in this novel, it is made explicit only in the loosely connected acts of the protagonists, presented piecemeal in several manners and from divergent viewpoints: through snatches of conversation, correspondence, journal entries, newspaper articles, and unresolved speculations by an author who, like his readers, is at the end left quite unsure of the denouement. In its recurrent speculation on reality and artificiality, *The Counterfeiters* is cubist: “But I thought you wanted to abandon reality,” the (fictional) author is queried. “My novelist wants to abandon it; but I shall continually bring him back to it. In fact that will be the subject; the struggle between the facts presented by reality and the ideal reality.”29 “Gide’s art,” as Wylie Sypher writes, “has the excitement of interruption, of fracture.”30 By disassociation, by refusing to resolve disparate elements, he retains an openness more typical of life than of art.

Additional examples of the aesthetic of juxtaposition are easy to find in literature, not only among the writings of cubism, dada, or surrealism, but also in the work of Eliot, Joyce, Pound, Cummings, Marianne Moore, Ionesco, and other writers whose modernism corresponds to that of contemporary painting and sculpture. Examples quite as enlightening can also be drawn from the music of composers such as von Webern, Satie, Varèse, or John Cage; and exact parallels to plastic assemblage exist in taped *musique concrète.* The assembler is especially akin to the modern poet, however, in using elements which (unlike “pure” colors, lines, planes, or musical tones) retain marks of their previous form and history. Like words, they are associationally alive.
Picasso: *Still Life with a Calling Card* (1914).
Pasted papers and crayon, $5\frac{3}{4} \times 8\frac{3}{4}''$. Collection Mrs. Gilbert W. Chapman, New York.
opposite, Braque: *The Program*. (1913). Pasted papers, charcoal, and oil on canvas, 25\(\frac{5}{8}\times 36\frac{3}{4}\). Collection Mr. and Mrs. Bernard J. Reis, New York

Braque: *Clarinet*. (1913). Pasted papers, newsprint, charcoal, chalk, and oil on canvas, 37\(\frac{1}{2}\times 47\frac{3}{8}\). Collection Nelson A. Rockefeller, New York
L’objet réel ou en trompe-l’œil est appelé sans doute à jouer un rôle de plus en plus important.
Il est le cadre intérieur du tableau et en marque les limites profondes, de même que le cadre
en marque les limites extérieures.

Guillaume Apollinaire

Art should never be valued according to the speed with which it rushes toward some
hypothetical future. The slow, stage-by-stage evolution of cubist form between 1908
and 1915, in fact, may be a measure of the greatness of its artists. Daniel-Henry
Kahnweiler described cubism as the reconciliation of a conflict between representa-
tion and structure. Cézanne had canonized the willful deformation and fragmenta-
tion of objects and human figures, and had provided the authority to supplant
“pictorial composition” by another kind of structural order. Conservative in their
choice of subject matter, the cubists nevertheless adapted it to their formal ends
willfully and even capriciously.

“Each epoch always has and always needs its oppositions of destruction and
construction,” Piet Mondrian wrote. Modern art and the modern sensibility have
developed through a rhythm of metaphoric destructions and reconstructions. It could
be said, for example, that the “analytical” phase of cubism (about 1909-1912) con-
stituted — to use a less ominous term than “destruction” — a “disassemblage” of
the world of represented objects, each work dismantling a selected aspect of the environment to provide raw material for a structured image with a metaphorlic rather than an imitative reference to the world. The cubist transformation of reality, accomplished in successive plastic statements, is surely as important a background to the method of assemblage as is the recourse to pasting: cubist collage was as much an outcome as a deviation or a cause. From conservative themes, cubist painters and sculptors constructed a new order that pointed directly toward abstract art. Their compositions of generalized fragments were compressed into a space that (compared, let us say, to the space of Poussin or Caravaggio) was gradually flattened like the closing of a bellows. Ambiguous, faceted, and shallow, it gave form to a new set of principles demonstrable in music and literature as well as in painting and sculpture.

PICASSO, BRAQUE, AND GRIS

Picasso and Braque said little, in words, of their battle with illusionism from 1909 to 1911; yet picture by picture — even passage by passage and stroke by stroke — the phases of its dialectic are stated. Perhaps unintentionally, they appropriated the tangibility of impressionist brushwork in order to pack their foregrounds with evocative, but ultimately irreducible, facets. Using a repertoire of brilliant (and in the case of Picasso, at least, ironic) innovations they played back and forth between recession behind the canvas surface and projection forward from it. Gradually, they limited the deep space by which artists had represented the world since the fifteenth century. The objects they depicted no longer diminished in size or disappeared in light and atmosphere. Immediate and tangible, their subjects were pressed forward by the advancing rear wall of the picture, so that cubism became an art of the close-up, that dealt with what was, literally as well as figuratively, “close at hand.” “... by its very subject matter,” Kahnweiler wrote, “it has made us ‘see’ and love so many simple, unassuming objects which hitherto escaped our eyes (kitchen and household utensils, musical instruments, etc.).”

Picasso, Braque, and Gris all indicated, at one time or another, a distaste for the slickness of oil paint. With the illusionistically painted nail that appears to be hammered into the surface of Braque’s Still Life with Violin and Pitcher of 1909-10, the sweeping adulteration of media we are witnessing today was already prefigured. When, in the interplay between fiction and fact, objects formerly within the picture space appeared, at one-to-one scale, on its surface, when the picture plane offered a support on which letters, numbers, and words could be stenciled without distortion, the advent of collage was all but inevitable. Soon a label could be pasted on a picture as appropriately as on a bottle (frontispiece), or a calling card left “in” a picture as naturally as on a table (page 18). “You want to know why I had to stick on a piece of mirror?” Gris later said to Michel Leiris: “Well, surfaces can be re-created and volumes interpreted in a picture, but what is one to do about a mirror whose surface is always changing and which should reflect even the spectator? There is nothing else to do but stick on a real piece.” Because Gris’ seemingly realistic images were arrived at deductively, from arrangements of geometric forms, because his art was more self-consciously philosophical, and because he explained his method, the use of collage is less problematical in his work than it is in that of Picasso and
Braque. For him, Kahnweiler asserts, it was only a means of getting real details, of abolishing "tricks of brushwork and of replacing the 'hand-painted' surface by the 'ready-made.'" Interpolations of non-art materials provided an "internal frame" — a fragment of actuality erupting within a fictional environment. They violated the separateness of the work of art, and threatened to obliterate the aesthetic distance between it and the spectator.

It would be absurd to suggest that the shift from oil painting to Marcel Duchamp's "readymades," (pages 46-47), Rauschenberg's "combine-paintings," (pages 116-117), or the untouched décroche of the afficheurs (pages 82, 108-109) could have been extrapolated on the basis of Picasso's *Still Life with Chair Caning*; yet it must be conceded that, by the introduction of a bit of oil cloth and a length of rope, the sacrosanctness of the oil medium suffered a blow that was as deadly as it was deft, and that the three-dimensional constructions by Picasso, Tatlin, and others who followed challenged the accepted criteria of sculpture even more overtly. According to Kahnweiler, Picasso wished to "debunk the idea of 'noble means,'" and thus romantically and ironically to "display the pre-eminence of the creator's personality over his creation." But at the same time, Kahnweiler saw in *papiers collés* (especially those of Gris) a deliberate
gesture toward impersonal authorship; he notes that between 1908 and 1914 Picasso and Braque usually signed works only on the reverse side, and that Gris followed that practice in 1913 and 1914.49

As a corollary to these retrospective speculations, one should not forget the importance of the cubist reassembly of the environment for the beginnings of abstract painting and sculpture. Nearly every work of assemblage, in its relational structure, approaches abstract art; but it is worth noting that — unlike Delaunay, Kupka, Malevich, Lissitzky, Kandinsky, or Mondrian — Braque and Picasso never painted

Picasso: Guitar. 1926. Sackcloth with string, pasted paper, oil paint, and cloth pierced by two-inch nails, 51\(\frac{1}{4}\times 38\frac{1}{4}\)". Owned by the artist.
pure abstractions. Both of them retained their identification with objects. In its employment of "live" materials, therefore, assembled art continues the realism of cubism as well as its relativism. Parallel with the "cubist" novels of Gide, which made a break with narration, and music that abandoned melody and accumulative themes, cubism rejected perspective, chiaroscuro, and atmospheric color. But communication with the past was never cut off; the separation, so to speak, never became a divorce, either in the willingness to discard realism entirely for abstraction, or to dispense with thematic coherence.

In cubist paintings, moreover, and often in collages as well, the ambiguously beautiful device of passage — a final attempt to soften the shock of discontinuity — tends to bridge disassociations of image. The method of assemblage, which is post-cubist, is that of juxtaposition: "setting one thing beside the other without connective."  

It is of course impossible to place the ruthlessly inventive genius of Picasso within any theoretical framework. By 1914 his assemblages (such as Still Life, page 21) anticipated those of Schwitters and Miró, and the immediacy of the rough sackcloth and the dangerously projecting nail-points in Guitar (1926), despite its cubist image, points toward an even newer aesthetic. His recent three-dimensional assemblages, which he has cast in bronze, are as youthfully iconoclastic as a work by any artist under thirty.

Although in its structure assemblage is like abstract painting and constructivist sculpture, it diverges sharply from these traditions not only because its raw elements are associationally "charged," preformed, and often precisely identifiable (nails, photographs, old letters, weathered wood, automobile parts, leaves, doll's eyes, stones, or whatever), but also because its ultimate configurations are so often less predetermined. Futurism's "beautiful Ideas that kill"  and the nihilism of dada were a necessary preparation. Like abstract art, however, the most characteristic assemblage occupies real space. Physically, its method can be as direct as filling a cupboard or setting a dinner table. Herein lies one outcome of cubism's dialectic between illusion and actuality: formerly, the space and form of painting was physically false, and that of sculpture physically real. Cubism closed the painted picture-window to make of it the painting-object: a part of the environment that projects quite naturally into three dimensions. Questioned in Paris as to why he added objects to his paintings, Robert Rauschenberg answered: "Paint itself is an object, and canvas also. In my opinion, the void which must be filled does not exist."  

**FUTURISM**

The contribution of Italian futurism to the aesthetic of assemblage is not limited to Marinetti's liberation of words. Apollinaire's prophetic proclamation on the unrestricted use of unorthodox materials was preceded by Boccioni's assertion, in the Technical Manifesto of Futurist Sculpture of April 11, 1912, that "a Futurist composition in sculpture will use metal or wood planes for an object . . . furry spherical forms for hair, semicircles of glass for a vase, wire and screen for an atmospheric plane, etc." In the next paragraph he affirms "that even twenty different materials can compete in a single work to effect plastic emotion. Let us enumerate some: glass, wood, cardboard, iron, cement, horschair, leather, cloth, mirrors, electric lights, etc., etc."
It seems more to be expected that Italy, rather than France, would have extended art media by extraneous materials, for Italy is the land of gesso painting, tooled gold halos, ex-votos, the preservation of relics, inlaid marble, intarsia, and mosaic. In 1899 the painter Mancini exhibited a canvas in Venice in which the metallic keys of a clarinet were imbedded in a painted representation of it; he also imbedded bits of glass, tin foil, and other foreign substances to obtain rich textural effects in his paint surface.46 Severini’s use of materials such as sequins began after a conversation with Apollinaire concerning the use of objects in Italian fifteenth-century paintings such as Crivelli’s *Madonna and Child with Four Saints* in the Brera Gallery in Milan, in which Saint Peter carries a solid replica of a large gold key hanging on a real cord.46

At the international exhibitions of modern art held in April 1914 in Rome and in London, the “object sculptures” by Balla, Marinetti, and Cangiullo were given much attention; Balla experimented in impermanent materials, as did Boccioni. Most of these works are lost, but examples of true assemblages by Boccioni and Marinetti have been documented in photographs. Boccioni’s *Fusion of a Head and a Window* (page 28), which included a real wooden window frame and fastener, was done in 1911 or perhaps 1912, the year of the first collages of Picasso and Braque.47

But, radical though these prefigurations were, it cannot be said that words, materials, and objects were given full freedom in futurist collage. Futurism was a movement in which social and aesthetic theory preceded the practice of painters and sculptors. Its works were the carriers of a passionately felt and explicit subject matter — nationalism, war, the speed of automobiles and airplanes, the sublimating power of light, psychological interpenetration with the environment, etc. — that exerted an even tighter control over form than did the unspectacular motifs of cubism. Although certain futurist principles such as “innate complementariness” and “simultaneity” seem to posit confrontation, they in fact relate to the ideas of Delaunay (or even of German expressionism) more than they do to those of Picasso or Braque. At least in part, futurism was an extension of urban impressionism and neoimpressionism rather than an opposition to them; and the emphasis on kinetic continuity and simultaneity led to repeated overlapping, and transparent images that interpenetrated and blended. Projecting “lines of force” were used to suggest speed, continuity, and the fusion of objects with their environment. A painter was enjoined not merely to paint the figure, but to “render the whole of its surrounding atmosphere,”48 and the materiality of masses was intentionally dissolved in light and superimposition, by cultivating a vision “giving results analogous to those of the X rays.”49 Stroboscopic multiplication of images led to blending rather than maintenance of interval. In their most sublime aspirations, the futurists proclaimed themselves “Lords of Light,” who “drink from the live founts of the sun.”50 Unlike cubists their aims could not therefore lead to a close-up examination of textures, materials, or objects; futurism’s key words are “interpenetration” and “synthesis” rather than “interval” and “juxtaposition.”

This being the case, it should not be surprising that the collages of Severini, who worked in France, most resemble those of Picasso and Braque; that the fragment of newsprint in Boccioni’s *Cavalry Charge* (page 30) serves to identify the battle which is the picture’s subject; or that, in Carrà’s warlike free-word collage *Patriotic Celebration*, typography is whirled in a centrifugal vortex that confounds a propaganda message with a dizzying spiral of inciting fragments, sounds, and colors.
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Carrà: *Patriotic Celebration*, (1914). Pasted papers and newsprint on cloth, mounted on wood, 15 3/4 x 12”. Collection Dr. Gianni Mattioli, Milan
Severini: *Still Life with Cherries*. (1913). Pasted papers, newsprint, oil, etc., 19$\frac{3}{4}$x26$\frac{1}{2}$". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Harry Lewis Winston, Birmingham, Michigan
Although the futurist movement has had far fewer followers of either its formal principles or its ideological program than has cubism, its importance for later art, in both form and spirit, has been immense. It should be recognized that, in the fury of its struggle to displace the stultifying weight of past styles, its eagerness to confront the most brutal and sublime realities of the present and the future, and its high-keyed concern for "a completely renovated sensitiveness," futurism spoke prophetically for most avant-garde movements. Periods of nihilism are, as Mondrian realized, as necessary for the continued vitality of the arts as are periods in which the past is revered and emulated. The futurists succeeded in placing the dynamic world of steel, glass, and speed at the center of art while rebelling against the tyranny of Ancient and Renaissance authority, "harmony," and "good taste." Their endeavor to break the strictures imposed by socially approved forms and attitudes, in order to enter "at any price" into life, was carried on after the decline of futurism by the dadas, who were pacifistic and internationally minded rather than nationalistic and war-like.
Malevich: *Lady at the Advertising Pillar*. 1914. Oil on canvas, with pasted papers, lace, 28x25½". Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam
DADA AND NEO-DADA

The recent wave of assemblage, which has disturbed supporters of both figurative and abstract art, has repeatedly been designated, often in disdain, as “neo-dada,” even though its manifestations are far too varied to be so categorized. Moreover “dada” — as it should no longer be necessary to reiterate — was not, like futurism, a cohesive movement. Astringent but meaningless, the term “dada” was applied to an unpremeditated international eruption of feeling that had already begun. “The more we contemplate,” Richard Huelsenbeck writes in his Dada Manifesto 1919, “the more evident it becomes that the creative principle developed in Dadaism is identical with the principle of modern art. Dadaism and modern art are one in their essential presuppositions.” On the other hand, the ideas put forward during the dada period can be found not only in assemblage and “junk culture” but also in abstract expressionism and other current work. Willem de Kooning spoke of Duchamp in 1951 as “a
Yet, the admixture of dada in assemblage must not simply be granted; it must be insisted upon, and if possible, understood.

During the period in Zurich before the movement was christened in 1916, dada was, as Huelsenbeck has repeatedly explained, a nameless antiwar and literary manifestation with an aesthetic commitment to abstract art. Sociologically, international dada was the response of bitter and spiritually injured intellectuals to a war and postwar atmosphere that was distasteful to them—the reverse of futurist jingoism. Dada's targets, however, were not too different from those of futurism: entrenched authority, cultural and social stupidity and hypocrisy, pedantry, and the utilization of past art as a dead hand with which to stifle experience. Whether in the United States, Switzerland, France, or Germany, dada propagated ideas and
attitudes abhorrent to the complacent bourgeois mind: tomfoolery, accident, irrationality, use of vulgar language, symbolic vandalism, and contempt for venerated cultural standards.

Judged by a morality that places a high value on tranquillity, certain dada activities were surely pernicious. Year by year, however, the positive and elevating contributions of the dada artists and poets to modern thought are becoming more evident. Partly by intention — but surely at first without understanding what they were about — the dadas and their marvelously pungent creations and activities raised a mirror in which the absurdities of the social world were reflected. By ridicule and inversion, dada demonstrated that the true determinants of many ritualized public acts and professed social goals are fortuitous or base.

In its contacts with the public, whether in the raucous incidents that occurred in New York, Zurich, Hanover, Cologne, Berlin, Paris, or Barcelona, or in the collages, photomontages, “readymades,” and other art, anti-art, and non-art objects that

Baader: Commemorative Leaf for Gutenberg, 1919. Pasted printed material with photograph of the artist, 13\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 19\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Collection Frau Hannah Höch, Berlin
they fabricated, the method of the dadas was shock; their immediate intent was confusion — the desire to make the spectators also dadas by inciting their indignation. They brought to a sharp focus the dilemma in which choice between alternatives was impossible. “What is beautiful? What is ugly? What is great, strong, weak?... What is ‘I?’” Georges Ribemont-Dessaignes asked, and answered “Don’t know! Don’t know, don’t know, don’t know!”54 Tristan Tzara, in his Dada Manifesto 1918, sees opposites as equivalent: “Order = disorder; ego = non-ego; affirmation = negation.”55

Of all dada’s varied contributions to practical — that is to say nonacademic or nonprofessional — philosophy, and through it to the theory and practice of the arts, the most important (for it encompasses all the others, even the celebration of individual freedom) was its attention to negative values. Not only did this apparent perverseness cast a merciless light on meretricious public morality; it discovered beauty and worth in what was commonly held to be distasteful and valueless.

Unsureness and confusion, in the arena of dada opinion, became a positive value, one that had lethal effect on systems of hierarchy and classification. In the spirit of Jarry, dada postulated a “pataphysical” logic of absurdity within which Marcel Duchamp could establish a syntax (recalling Marinetti’s poetic method) based on similarity of sound rather than meaning.

For a study of the attitudes by which modern art has been activated, the terms “cubism,” “futurism,” and “dada” should denote an interrelated sequence of currents. They point to a period in which brilliant and daring, if sometimes irresponsible, minds totally fragmented or altered traditional and even advanced modes. Perhaps even more important: finally and with authority — and for the first time in Western thought — dada substituted a nonrational metaphysic of oppositions for a rationalized hierarchy of values. As a consequence it accorded to unsureness, accident, confusion, disunity, and discontinuity a share of the attention formerly reserved for what had been commonly regarded as their moral opposites, and released a constellation of physical and intellectual energies through which an artist could (and still can) operate in a way that, at least in the West, was previously impossible. By a dynamism inherent in human experience, moreover, the recognition of live reciprocity turns the mind toward an indefinable central principle as transparent and vital as the Tao in Chinese thought and art. It was the knowledge of dada, in part, which led certain modern artists, after 1945, toward Zen Buddhism.

It is hard to overemphasize the deep mark made by the ironic and anarchic temper
which, with roots in eighteenth-century skepticism, was incorporated into the culture of painting by Guys, Baudelaire, and Manet, and came to a brawling yet mystical climax in dada. The method of assemblage is inconceivable without dada’s negativism, for the precondition of juxtaposition is a state of total randomness and disassociation. Like a beachcomber, a collector, or a scavenger wandering among ruins, the assembler discovers order as well as materials by accident. At the start at least, his is an atmosphere without conditions, an alternating current in which hierarchies of great and small, order and disorder, good and bad, beautiful and ugly, are reversible or nonexistent. Physically, his raw material is the random assemblage of the modern world in which nature and man are thrown together in an often tragic and ludicrous, but fertile and dynamic, disarray: the crowded city, the split-level suburb, the “moon shot,” the picture magazine, the summit conference, the television western. Dada awakened senses and sensibilities to the immense multiple collision of values, forms, and effects among which we live, and to the dialectic of creation.
and destruction, affirmation and negation, by which life and art progress.

Quite properly, dada liquidated itself before its creations entered the museums. By now, the masterful collages and constructions of Schwitters, the enigmatic works of Duchamp, the masterpieces of Jean Arp, and miscellaneous dada innovations by poets, composers, film makers, and typographers as well as painters and sculptors have gained official sanction along with other twentieth-century tendencies. Over and over history makes it clear that (at least by a sociological definition) the appellation “work of art” is a blue ribbon awarded to certain chosen activities and artifacts that, as defined by a given climate of opinion, are felt to have enriched human existence.

Considered solely as a liberating creative method, dada offered (and still offers) a dispersive context within which untried kinds and degrees of organization could (and still can) arise from a state of randomness and disorder. In the most thoroughgoing sense its process differs from that of realism, expressionism, or even surrealism. As Tristan Tzara emphasized in 1953, dada sweepingly affirmed the principle, previously proposed by Apollinaire and the futurists, that art could be created by any elements whatsoever: “materials noble or looked down upon, verbal clichés or clichés of old magazines, bromides, publicity slogans, refuse, etc. — these incongruous elements are transformed into an unexpected, homogeneous cohesion as soon as they take place in a newly created ensemble.” The type of unity that results from juxtaposition, however, can never be entirely preordained, for an assembled work grows by testing, rejection, and acceptance. The artist must cede a measure of his control, and hence of his ego, to the materials and what transpires between them, placing himself partially in the role of discoverer or spectator as well as that of originator. Although this method can be adapted to very different attitudes, and incorporated in very different technical processes, it begins, in its essence, with elements that are dispersed and diverse. Indeed, by a paradox crucial to the understanding of modern art, dispersion and disruption can even be inseparable from cohesion and unity. Physically and metaphysically, assemblage is the ultimate outcome of the mode of juxtaposition initiated before 1900.

**SURREALISM**

The central principle of surrealism, as André Breton defined it in his manifesto of 1924, was its recourse to “pure psychic automatism.” Whether employed as a means of introversion (as it is by Dalí, Magritte, and other “photographic” surrealists) or as a technical procedure (which it became for Masson, Miró, Matta, Gorky, and, finally and most completely, for Jackson Pollock), automatism differs from the accidental method of dada, or the relationalism of abstract art. Breton found in Lautréamont, Rimbaud, and Mallarmé “a real insulation, thanks to which the mind, on finding itself ideally withdrawn from everything, can begin to occupy itself with its own life...” Automatic expression, which moves outward from the center of consciousness, is continuous, viscous, and biomorphic. Assemblage is its opposite; for it originates in unrelated fragments and, like realism or even impressionism, draws from the environment. Yet, as a corollary to introversion and automatism, surrealism retained the method of juxtaposition.

In analyzing the psychology of surrealism, Marcel Jean speaks of the “pulsation”
of conscious and unconscious, centripetal and centrifugal forces. He also demonstrates the juxtapositional orientation of the great early work of de Chirico. “From 1914-15 onwards,” Jean writes, “assemblages of objects become the centre of interest: gloves, hands from anatomical models, eye-glasses, cigar boxes, etc. . . . compositions resembling still-lives in the open air. . . .” The connection of these dreamlike confrontations to the real environment is apparent in de Chirico’s reminiscence of an urban image, from his early days in Paris: “The huge glove in painted zinc, with its terrible golden finger-nails, swinging over the shop door in the sad wind blowing on city afternoons, revealed to me, with its index finger pointing down at the flagstones of the pavement, the hidden signs of a new melancholy. . . .” With de Chirico as with Henri Rousseau, a disquieting beauty results from “an unexpected juxtaposition between objects which are in themselves banal.” De Chirico, as James Thrall Soby writes, had a “genius for poetic dislocation.”

The surrealists drew the idea of juxtaposition, dazzlingly epitomized in the now-famous “convulsive” image of the “chance encounter of a sewing machine and an umbrella on a dissecting table,” from Lautréamont as well as de Chirico. “This unexpected, arbitrary beauty, these dumbfounding juxtapositions are the very voice of Surrealism,” Georges Hugnet wrote for Alfred Barr’s Fantastic Art, Dada, Surrealism. Max Ernst derived his definition of both surrealism and collage from Lautréamont’s metaphor. Collage, as defined by Ernst, is an exploration of “the fortuitous encounter upon a non-suitable plane of two mutually distant realities.” Super-reality (in a citation of Breton by Ernst) is “a function of our will to put everything completely out of place.” Ernst explains in detail how, by juxtaposing ordinary but — reversing cubism’s thematic conformity — unrelated entities in a situa-

Exquisite Corpse (collaborative drawing). Copy after a lost original by André Breton, Greta Knutson, Valentine Hugo, Tristan Tzara (ca. 1933). Ink, 9½ x 12". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Morton G. Neumann, Chicago.
tion where neither belongs, poetic transformation will result. Here, reduced to its simplest terms, is the principle underlying surrealist collages, assembled objects, and the collaborative drawings known as exquisite corpses.

No painter's work ever embraced a wider range of inherent contradictions than that of Max Ernst. The most divergent poles of intellectual position, style, and technique, ranging from geometric abstraction to microscopic realism and from careful planning to *frottage* and automatism, stand side by side, often in the same work, with jolting arbitrariness. In one among his many manners — and it is one of his strengths that they are irreconcilable — he is the collage painter par excellence. The collages of the dada period, pasted together from fragments of technical, anatomical, and other illustrations, are assemblages in every sense, as were his objects. In later work, however, especially in the collage "novels" such as *Une Semaine de Bonté*, the physical identity and discreteness of the original segments is intentionally lost in a new synthetic representation. As in the paintings of Magritte — whose unnerving images brilliantly exemplify the surrealist principle of juxtaposition — these collages retain irrational confrontations on a representational plane but, having become homogeneous erotic or oneiric illustrations, they have relinquished the physical and structural basis of collage.

Marcel Duchamp's last, largest, and most colorful work in oil, *Tu m'*, was painted in 1918 for the library of Katherine Dreier in Milford, Connecticut. Physically, it is an assemblage only because of five extraneous elements: a bottle-washing brush, that, like an aspersillum, projects from an illusionistically painted tear in the canvas, three real safety pins that close this nonexistent opening, and a real nut and bolt that appear to fasten an overlapping sequence of color samples (that are in fact painted in oil) to the canvas surface. Although the images that make up the composition seem arbitrarily juxtaposed, they should be studied (as should all of Duchamp's works) in reference to nonpictorial ideas and associations. An explanation according to some arcane principle outside the picture is suggested by the leftward-falling shadows and the fleeing perspective.

Following an esoteric note in Duchamp's "Green Box," *Tu m'* is dominated by the shadows, carefully rendered in oil and graphite, of three readymades: a bicycle wheel, a corkscrew, and a hat rack that hung from the ceiling of Duchamp's studio. At the left, crossing the shadow of the wheel, are the "Three Standard Stoppages": curves described by a thread one meter in length when dropped from a height of one meter onto a horizontal surface. At the lower right appears a series of similar curves, but probably plotted with a compass rather than by "canned chance." From near the center of the painting a hand, rendered by a sign painter and signed "A. Klang," points to the right.
Marcel Duchamp, painter of the Nude Descending a Staircase and creator of The Bride Stripped Bare by Her Bachelors, Even, the large glass construction in the Philadelphia Museum of Art, is without question the most enigmatic personality associated with contemporary art. Except for a few works that attest to his constant involvement in the life of art, he has produced little since 1923. Earlier, as his painting evolved, Duchamp progressively obliterated from it expressive brushwork, rich pigment, seductive color, and other marks of the "unconscious hand," to arrive at a manner which has the precision of machine-made objects. In works like The Bride Stripped Bare he was able to hold in suspension such contradictory extremes as accidental and precise planning ("canned chance"), fluid movement and immobility, voluptuousness and detached thought. Duchamp made of art a self-contained, ironic, and civilized pastime: an amusing and iconoclastic game played for high stakes.

The "readymades" are among the most influential of Duchamp's works. They are ordinary objects that anyone could have purchased at a hardware store. The Bottle Dryer, which Robert Motherwell in 1949 declared to have "a more beautiful form than almost anything made, in 1914, as sculpture," was altered only by the addition of an inscription. The first readymade, however, done in 1913 by fastening a bicycle wheel to a stool, was "assisted" by Duchamp, and hence is an assemblage on the part of the discoverer as well as the original manufacturer. Because they are artifacts, the readymades differ fundamentally from leaves, weathered wood, butterfly wings, shells, or other natural "found objects." According to the only definition of art Duchamp will accept as true for all times and places, all man-made objects are works of art. The readymade, therefore, was for Duchamp "a form of denying the possibility of defining art." His notes contain several references to readymades, among them: "buy a pair of ice-tongs as a Readymade," and "limit the no. of readymades yearly (?)." He also proposed a "reciprocal" readymade: "Use a Rembrandt as an ironing-board"; and instructed himself to inscribe, on a precisely indicated day, hour, and minute, a readymade which could be looked for at any time before that moment. One readymade was created from a distance: in 1919 Suzanne Duchamp was instructed by her brother, who was in Buenos Aires, to attach a geometry book to the balcony of her Paris apartment. There, where one could let "the wind examine it, select the problems, expose them to the rain, and pull off the leaves like petals from a daisy," it was allowed to disintegrate. Images of this Unhappy Readymade survive in a photograph and in a painting by Suzanne Duchamp.


For additional data see exhibition catalogue, page 158.
In whatever form it is finally presented: by a painting, by a photograph, by an arrangement of various objects, or by one object itself slightly modified, each object is designed to amuse, amuse, bewilder, mystify, inspire reflection but not to arouse admiration for any technical excellence usually sought or valued in objects classified as works of art.

There has been a tendency in the past fifty years to extend the boundaries of legitimate art; in painting by the use of materials extraneous to canvas and pigment, in sculpture by the employment of other materials than the classic bronze or stone that identify such sculpture as a work of art. Of course, many have remained faithful to the traditional materials for fear that their authenticity as works of art might be questioned, at the same time forcing their medium into new paths and utilizing it in as unacademic a manner as possible. To attain this end, it has been necessary to resort to new sources of inspiration such as primitive art, the works of the insane and of children, the dream world, black magic, mathematics, and logically uncontrolled, or automatic impulses. However, the human interest in a basic order and logic, governed by pre-established rules remains intact. The surprises that may occur within such limits are sufficiently exciting to most men. There is also a security in this interest not to be found in the uncertainties and diversity of opinions that are involved in art appreciation. Which leads to such activities as stamp or butterfly collecting, chess playing, and sports in general of a competitive nature. These more or less scientific interests justify themselves by precise measures of value and excellence based on comparisons or numbers, just as in school our proficiency is indicated by graduated ratings. Now, we all love a mystery, but very few of us would be content with a mystery for its own sake, that is without a solution. Little does it matter that, once the solution is obtained, we go on to another mystery, or once a champion has proven himself, by however slight the margin, that he must still defend his title.

Between these two domains of art and play, another spirit exists
which seeks neither the authority of consecrated art, nor the justification of any effort in work or play of a competitive nature. It is a sort of gratuitous invention, an establishment of mystery inspired by and responding immediately to the contacts that might be ignored by specialists and professionals. Whatever form of expression this spirit may take, since it cannot easily be classified among the more recognized activities, one cannot approach it with the usual critical bias. In assembling “Objects of My Affection,” the author indulged in an activity parallel to his painting and photography, an activity which he hopes will elude criticism and evaluation. These objects are a mystery to himself as much as they might be to others, and he hopes they will always remain so. That is their justification, if any is needed.

“We all love a mystery, but must it necessarily be murder?”

Man Ray
Preface from a proposed book,
One Hundred Objects of My Affection

Man Ray: Indestructible Object. (Replica of the earlier Object to be Destroyed), 1958. Metronome with cutout photograph of eye on pendulum, 9” high. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Morton G. Neumann, Chicago

left, Man Ray: Le Cadeau. Flat iron with metal tacks, 6½” high. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Morton G. Neumann, Chicago
It now seems to me that even striving for expression in a work of art is harmful to art. Art is an archprinciple, as sublime as the godhead, as inexplicable as life, undefinable and without purpose. The work of art is created by an artistic evaluation of its elements. I know only how I do it; I know only my material, from which I derive, to what end I know not.

KURT SCHWITTERS
Translated from “Merz,” Der Ararat, 2, Munich, Goltzverlag, 1921, p. 5.

Schwitters occupies a position of special honor in the history of assemblage, for his ideas and works prefigure even the most recent developments. It was he who conceived of an embracing medium that included painting, collage, agglomerate sculpture, theater, architecture, typography, poetry, and even a form of singing. An Anna Blume (To Eve Blossom) is his most successful poem, but the most important is Die Sonate in Urlauten (Sonata in Primitive Sounds), inspired by Raoul Hausmann’s sound-poem fmsbw, which Schwitters heard in 1921. He worked on this abstract recitative refining it through repeated performances, until about 1928, and it was published in 1932 in Schwitters’ MERZ magazine.

MERZ was the name given by Schwitters to his new universal medium. The word was taken from the title of a collage in which he had incorporated a fragment from an advertisement for the Kommerz und Privatbank. There are about twenty large MERZ pictures, hundreds of smaller ones, and innumerable MERZ drawings (which are in fact small collages). Three MERZ architectural constructions were his most ambitious undertakings. The first Merzbau, built in Schwitters’ home in Hanover and called the Column, or Cathedral of Erotic Misery, was the only one completed. In its final state it became an abstract construction, but when seen by Kate Steinitz about 1924, “rising from a chaotic heap of varied materials,” it was a “three-dimensional collage of wood, cardboard, iron scraps, broken furniture and picture frames.” It had “not only formal, but also expressive significance through literary and symbolistic allusions. The Column was a depository of Schwitters’ own problems, a cathedral built not only around his erotic misery, but around all joy and misery of his life and time. There were cave-like openings hidden in the abstract structure, with secret doors of colored blocks. These secret doors were opened only to initiated friends. There was a Murderer’s Cave, with a broken plaster cast of a female nude, stained bloody with lipstick or paint; there was a caricature abode of the Nibelungen in miniature; in one of the caves a small bottle of urine was solemnly displayed.”

The Hanover Merzbau was destroyed by bombs in 1943. Later Schwitters began a second such construction in Lysaker, near Oslo, Norway, which was destroyed by fire in 1951. Portions of a third, begun in a barn in Ambleside, England, are still in existence.
Detail of Schwitters' Merzbau, ca. 1933. For additional details see Fantastic Art, Dada, Surrealism, The Museum of Modern Art, 1936, figs. 670, 671
opposite, Schwitters: Cherry Picture. 1921. Pasted papers, etc., 36\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 27\(\frac{3}{4}\)". The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Mr. and Mrs. A. Atwater Kent, Jr. Fund

Schwitters: Merz Drawing (Merzzeichnung). 1924. Pasted papers and a button, 7\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 6\(\frac{1}{8}\)". The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Katherine S. Dreier Bequest

Schwitters: Drawing 6 (Zeichnung 6). 1918. Pasted papers, etc., 7\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 5\(\frac{1}{2}\)". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Solomon Ethe, New York

Schwitters: "Yes — What?" ("Ja — Was Ist?"). 1920. Oil, pasted papers, corrugated cardboard, cloth, nail heads, wood, 38\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 27\(\frac{1}{4}\)". Collection Mr. and Mrs. James W. Alsdorf, Winnetka, Illinois

Schwitters: Untitled. (1920). Oil, pasted papers, etc., on hand mirror, 12 3/4 x 8 3/4". Collection Tristan Tzara, Paris
Mannequins shown in the International Exhibition of Surrealism, Galerie Beaux-Arts, Paris, January 1938.
Left: Salvador Dali; right: Wolfgang Paalen
I was determined to carry out and transform into reality my slogan of the "surrealist object" — the irrational object, the object with a symbolic function — which I set up against narrated dreams, automatic writing, etc. . . . And to achieve this I decided to create the fashion of surrealist objects. The surrealist object is one that is absolutely useless from the practical and rational point of view, created wholly for the purpose of materializing in a fetishistic way, with the maximum of tangible reality, ideas and fantasies having a delirious character.

Salvador Dalí
from The Secret Life of Salvador Dali, New York, Dial, 1942, p. 312
Bryen: Objet à fonctionnement: "Morphologie du désir." (1934-37). Mounted casts of ears; wood, candle, flashlight, plywood, 7 1/2" high x 14 1/2" wide x 11" deep. Owned by the artist.

Miró: *Composition Collage.* 1933. Pasted papers, sandpaper, with gouache, charcoal, pencil and ink, 42 1/4 x 28”. Collection Royal S. Marks, New York

Masson: *Caryatid.* (1939). Tempera, sand, seaweed, seashells, on wood, 13 1/4 x 7 1/8”. Galerie Louise Leiris, Paris

Jean: *Spectre of the Gardenia*, 1936. Plaster, covered with black cloth; zippers, movie film, 10½" high. Owned by the artist.

Brauner: *Wolf-Table*, 1939. Wood with parts of stuffed animal, 21¼" high x 22½" long x 11" wide. Owned by the artist.

Tanguy: *From the other side of the bridge.* (1936). Painted wood and stuffed cloth, 5¾" high x 19" long x 8¾" wide. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Morton G. Neumann, Chicago
Ernst: Loplop Introduces. 1932. Pasted paper, watercolor, pencil, photograph, 19⅝ x 25⅝". Collection Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Bergman, Chicago
Breton: *Objet-poème*. 1941. Wood and miscellaneous objects, 183/4" high x 213/8" wide x 43/8" deep. Collection Mrs. Yves Tanguy, Woodbury, Connecticut
Joseph Cornell’s serene and exquisite boxes are journeys into an enchanted universe that also has the reality of this world. The evocative and mysterious poetry arising from this severely balanced work is that of the marvelous, of paradox and enigma, of questions without answers and answers without questions. The boxes are not collections of fetishes, but treasuries. They contain the hermetic secrets of a silent and discreet Magus, disguised as a game full of delightful humor and playful irony. They are autonomous allegories providing a discovery of the past, present and future; an endless complex of ideas and associations.

Despite an aura of renunciation and isolation, the magic of these boxes is not Faustian or “black,” but natural and filled with love. Cornell’s work stands as a crystalline refuge from a world of frustrated hopes and increasing complexity, from an impersonal world that has forgotten the magic and mystery of poetry. Lost illusions are sheltered along with pristine innocence and the pure naiveté of childhood. Within these boxes is preserved the world of soap bubbles, Hans Christian Andersen, carrousels, Queen Mab, and Ondine. They protect the penny arcades, nickelodeons, daguerrotypes, moni-

Cornell: *Space Object Box.* (1959). Wooden construction with painted wood, metal rods and ring, cork ball, cordial glass containing marble, starfish, pasted paper, 9 5/8’’ high x 15’’ wide x 3 3/4’’ deep. Ferus Gallery, Los Angeles
Cornell: Medici Slot Machine. 1942. Compartmented wooden box, with pasted illustrations and various objects, 15 1/2" high x 12" wide x 4 3/8" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Bernard J. Reis, New York
golfers, stereoscopes, dioramas, and the ideal world of beauty in the silent film. How safe seem the Medici princes and princesses, Orion, Toussaint L'Ouverture, the "Grand Hôtel Fontaine de Réputation Universelle," and Taglioni dancing for an audience of one, on a panther's skin spread over the snow beneath the stars.

Joseph Cornell is also guardian of dovecotes and aviaries, of immaculate cockatoos, of exotically plumed parrots and hummingbirds, and of brilliant butterflies.

His poetry of recollection and desire transcends eccentric nostalgia or excessive romanticism. Realizing what is present we are also aware of the infinity of what is absent. The emptiness of some boxes, some with uncoiled clock springs, perches which some rare bird has deserted, glass neatly fractured by a bullet, or the sands of the sea and of Time, becomes a grave and desperate warning. Cornell's assertion of purity and of paradox is basic and human. His art is as enduring as it is ephemeral, as sophisticated and imaginative as it is innocent and simple, as universal and real as it is mysterious and personal, as wise and serious as it is witty and ironic.

It is easy to fall under the spell of such a magician and seer.
Cornell: *Night Skies: Auriga*, (ca. 1954). Box containing painted wooden construction with pasted paper, 19¼" high x 13½" wide x 7¾" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Bergman, Chicago.

Cornell: *Apothecary*, 1950. Wooden cabinet with glass jars containing various objects, and liquid and dry materials, in glass compartments, 15¾" high x 11¾" wide x 4¾" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Jean de Menil, Houston, Texas.
THE COLLAGE ENVIRONMENT

For Simon Rodia, an immigrant tilesetter living in Watts, a slum section of Los Angeles, to have constructed his wondrously colorful towers of concrete and scrap (page 77), a knowledge of cubist papiers collés, the collages of Schwitters, or surrealist objects was, to say the least, unnecessary. No mode of creation is more direct or naturally arrived at than the accumulation and agglomeration of materials found close at hand. Indeed, some of the finest assemblages are the work of primitives and folk artists. Without evidence, therefore, one should not assume an artist to be familiar with his apparent precursors. On the other hand, one does not expect people as sophisticated as Duchamp, Miró, Cornell, Nevelson, or Rauschenberg to be innocent of history; there is truth in Robert Motherwell’s statement that “every intelligent painter carries the whole culture of modern painting in his head.”70 But art does not always derive from art. Artists draw sustenance from everywhere: from the totality — moral, intellectual, and temporal as well as physical and sensory — of their environment and experience. In the desire to “do something big,” which led Simon Rodia to thumb through the Encyclopaedia Britannica seeking out biographies of conquerors and heroes, he is surely a man of his time; and in his decision to realize this ambition by transforming the copious waste of an industrial society into
structures of soaring magnificence, he found a means as contemporaneous as his compulsion. Perhaps Rodia remembered Italian mosaics but, as far as one can tell, for him environment and need supplanted tradition.

Speaking generally, we know that if the events discussed in the earlier chapters of this book had not occurred, many recent works of assemblage would not exist. But an interpretation based on temporal sequence can be false: the collages and objects of Picasso, Man Ray, Duchamp, Schwitters, Miró, and Cornell exist in the present, not the past; like newspapers, buildings, fields, beaches, or current events they are part of an artist's environment, and it is because of a special affinity between many levels and aspects of the present that the earlier works of assemblage have unexpectedly acquired a new luster.

Although the history of collage is primarily urban, in assembled art natural materials are as common as "junk" or newer manufactured objects. Did not most of us feel our first naive delight in "found objects" on the beach or in the forest? One cannot separate even "untouched" nature from the medium of assemblage. Constructions of shells, seeds, the wings and bodies of birds and insects, human skulls, and other striking natural objects make up the bulk of primitive assemblage; displayed between pages of books, framed, arranged in cases, or placed under glass bells for scientific, educational, and aesthetic reasons, assemblages of every known species of flora, fauna, and mineral are so common as not to be noticed; the display of driftwood, its fabrication into lamps, and its incorporation into flower arrangements have become hackneyed; stridently tasteless agglomerations of sea shells, coral, and similar materials are a commonplace of tourist bazaars. Many works illustrated in the following pages employ natural components; and the interest, not only of assemblers, but of painters as well, in materials that are (or appear to be) weathered, torn, faded, broken, and otherwise enriched by deterioration and fragmentation calls attention to the interaction of man and nature as one of the richest underlying themes of modern art. Nevertheless, from cubism and futurism, Duchamp and Schwitters, to the present, the tradition of assemblage has been predominantly urban in emphasis.

The English critic Lawrence Alloway, one of the most eloquent spokesmen for composite art, has applied to it the term "junk culture":

Junk culture is city art. Its source is obsolescence, the throwaway material of cities, as it collects in drawers, cupboards, attics, dustbins, gutters, waste lots, and city dumps. Objects have a history: first they are brand new goods; then they are possessions, accessible to few, subjected, often, to intimate and repeated use; then, as waste, they are scarred by use but available again. . . . Assemblages of such material come at the spectator as bits of life, bits of the environment. The urban environment is present, then, as the source of objects, whether transfigured or left alone.71

Although Alloway's criticism sometimes has a partisan ring, one must agree that the proper backdrop for recent assemblage is the multifarious fabric of the modern city — its random patchwork of slickness and deterioration, cold planning and liberating confusion, resplendent beauty and noxious squalor. The cityscape gives striking evidence of the world-wide collision of moralities and panaceas, facts and propagandas, and sets in relief the countless images — tender, comic, tragic, or drably neutral
of contemporary life. In the past, the great determinants of the arts were nature, man, and God. For the twentieth century a fourth must be added: the artifact.

Early in the century, Apollinaire had urged poets to model their freedom on the daily newspaper “which, on a single sheet, treats the most diverse matters and ranges over distant countries,” and de Chirico saw the city as an outdoor still life. Though the various decades have seen it quite differently, the collage landscape resembles that against which Italian futurism appeared: “… those aspects of Milan that could have been duplicated in contemporary New York, London, Berlin, Moscow, Barcelona— even Vienna or Paris,” but enlarged and multiplied in the terms of a post-atomic age. On a scale of complexity so much more expanded than that of Apollinaire and Marinetti as to make comparison unnecessary, we live in a world in which a million differing realities collide, far too many for us to digest. Sheer quantity, diversity, and contradiction make a carefully partitioned impression impossible. One is forced to choose between parochialism, sweeping renunciations, or an apprehension of reality in fragments from which truth, or some semblance of it, may arise.

The city — New York above all others — has become a symbol of modern existence. The tempo of Manhattan, both as subject and conditioning milieu, has been instrumental in forming the art of our time. John Marin wrote about and painted a New York that, like a work of art, was “a thing alive.” In a foreword of five packed paragraphs written in 1912 for an exhibition catalogue, he summarized the effect that New York was to exert on both American and European artists. Mondrian’s arrival in New York was instrumental in his change from an elemental abstraction to a style that, at the time of his death, was expanding toward complication, inclusiveness, and urban dynamism.

The connection of New York with world art during and after the second World War has become history. New York’s vernacular power, its garish affront to tranquility and taste, was a major component of abstract expressionism. Willem de Kooning’s paintings of female figures were an incarnation of the city. In developing these canvases, he worked with drawings which were “cut apart, reversed, exchanged and otherwise manipulated on the painting.” When he placed a lipsticked mouth clipped from a color advertisement in the center of a sheet that was to become an oil study, de Kooning set the tone of the new collage. His heroine of the 1950s was the commercialized darling of the movies, the bar stool, and the sex magazine, the indulgent strumpet that fills the daydreams of a million wishful males. Juxtaposition — taking form in neocubist fractures and misalignments which often resulted from the use of actual collage techniques — has played a considerable part in de Kooning’s development. In his totally committed battle between pure art and the street, in his examination of hypnotizing details in tabloid photographs, and in the transfer of newprint to pigment (in such pictures as Gotham News), de Kooning recorded the impact of commercial culture on postwar art. Although he was surely not an isolated voice, he intensified the interest in “pop culture” — in the expendable art and literature that became so important as a subject for Rauschenberg, Johns, Conner, and so many subsequent, but usually less skillful, painters and assemblers. For a new generation and in another spirit, de Kooning’s adulterative gesture may have had an effect not unlike Picasso’s in 1912.
de Kooning: Study for Woman. (1950). Oil on paper with pasted mouth, 14\frac{5}{8} \times 11\frac{1}{8}''.
Private collection, New York.
To believers in the superiority of small community life, carefully balanced in the interest of an ideal existence for hypothetical family units, the uncontrolled growth of large cities has appeared, not without reason, as a frightening encroachment on nature and natural man. Planners like Mumford and Wright have decried the squalor of urban concentration and the wasteland that has all but swallowed not only untouched nature, but the rural community as well. This “rurban” environment is truly a collage landscape: an unplanned assemblage of animated gasoline displays, screaming billboards, hundred-mile-an-hour automobiles jammed bumper to bumper, graveyards of twisted and rusting scrap, lots strewn with bed springs and cracked toilet bowls. Quite justly the intellectuals and socially conscious photographers and painters of the 1930s saw the urban complex as a blight and as an offense against human dignity. Industrialism, capitalist callousness, and lack of planning were properly cited as the causes of squalor and degradation.

Yet, more than forty years after Duchamp’s first “readymades” and Schwitters’ discovery of the loveliness of refuse, by an unconscious acceptance of the dada proposition of reversibility, the caustic portrayal of the city presented by Henry Miller’s Air-Conditioned Nightmare of 1945, has become, for a new generation of artists, a fulfillment of the glittering merz environment of Schwitters’ imagination. Such an inversion, from ugliness to beauty, can transpose sociological and utilitarian reformism into complete aesthetic acceptance. The world of artifacts can be seen (as Monet sought to see nature) with a vision freed from conceptual preconditioning. The peeling décollage on abandoned billboards in the blighted neighborhoods of Chicago or Jersey City, accented by the singing colors and clean edges of emblems intended to sell cigarettes and beer, or the rubble of fallen New York tenements piled between walls patterned in flowered pinks and blues, can take on an intense beauty more poignant than that of the lacerated posters and graffiti that cover the old walls of Rome and Paris. By contrast, the hygienic uniformity of garden suburbs and the glass-curtained propriety of Park Avenue or Lake Shore Drive seem drab and monotonous: the deadening imprint of arbitrary planning on the interplay of life. It is of interest, at the very least, to see a recent Mildew Manifesto Against Rationalism in Architecture issued amid the prosperity of postwar Germany, calling for the salvation of “functional architecture from moral ruin” by pouring “a disintegrating medium over the clean glass and concrete walls, so that the mildew can establish itself there. . . .”
I have nobody to help me out. I was a poor man. Had to do a little at a time. Nobody helped me. I think if I hire a man he don't know what to do. A million times I don't know what to do myself. I never had a single helper. Some of the people say what was he doing... some of the people think I was crazy and some people said I was going to do something.

I wanted to do something in the United States because I was raised here you understand? I wanted to do something for the United States because there are nice people in this country.

— Simon Rodia

The Watts Towers were built by an Italian tilesetter, Simon Rodia, of steel rods, wire screening and concrete, broken dishes and colored glass, pieces of green Seven-Up, blue milk of magnesia, and other bottles, fragments of mirror, shells, and a variety of stones and other mineral substances. Singlehanded, and without preparatory drawings, scaffolding, or machine equipment, he labored on the Towers for thirty-three years. From the flat surroundings of dusty streets, one-story habitations, vacant lots, and railroad tracks that make up the drab neighborhood of Watts in Los Angeles, the three spires ascend in a concentric tracery as logical and weightless in appearance as the last Gothic architecture. On approaching their odd site, pointed like the prow of a ship, one's eyes slowly discover with delight that the maze of structural members, the surrounding wall, and within it
the labyrinth of loggias, fountains, benches, and other imaginative motifs that make up the complex, glow and vibrate in an extraordinary mosaic of color, line, and pattern.

To dismiss this unique creation as a quaint folly — as one more bizarre production of an eccentric folk artist — would be an error. Less capricious than many of Gaudi’s structures, Rodia’s Towers are much more than uncontrolled accretions of junk. His innate artistry is evident everywhere in masterful contrasts and analogies of sizes and textures, man-made and natural materials, organic and geometric form, monochromatic and complementary color schemes, and opacity and transparency. From the brilliant collages of broken dishes, cup handles project outward, inviting the touch, and patterned fragments are sharply set against soft flat tones; as in Byzantine mosaics or the pointillist paintings of Seurat, touches of red or orange enliven large fields of varied blues or greens. Rodia separated soft-drink bottles melted in the incinerator from those cleanly broken, to make homogeneous compositions of swirling forms. Against assembled panels, lunettes, and finials, he placed other units varying in size and color, or uncovered areas of concrete in which the design is built up by impressions from tools, cookie molds, corn cobs, and even projecting casts from boots and shoes. On a larger scale of relationship, these elements and groups are harmonized with the total structure. The patterned pavements, as beautiful in their rhythmic line, pale colors, and varied repetition as those of Spain or South America, have
Rodia: Details of The Watts Towers

designs resulting from multiplied impressions of scrap ironwork.

In 1954, stopping just short of realizing his dream, Rodia left Los Angeles permanently for a secluded life in northern California. Except for a tiny circle whose admiration finally took form in The Committee for Simon Rodia’s Towers in Watts, his life work has been ignored, condemned, or ridiculed. If it were not for the Committee’s persistent and dedicated battle against municipal callousness, in fact, the Towers would have been intentionally and completely destroyed in the name of civic improvement. Yet even in the damaged condition which has resulted from neglect and juvenile destructiveness, the Watts Towers are a unique creation of inspiring power and beauty, a masterpiece of assemblage.
Rodia: Detail of The Watts Towers
THE REALISM AND POETRY OF ASSEMBLAGE

The memory throws up high and dry
A crowd of twisted things;
A twisted branch upon the beach
Eaten smooth, and polished
As if the world gave up
The secret of its skeleton,
Stiff and white.
A broken spring in a factory yard,
Rust that clings to the form that the strength has left
Hard and curled and ready to snap.

T. S. Eliot

The catalogue of the exhibition (page 153) lists the materials incorporated in each work. It suggests the limitless diversity that relates assembled art to the world. The finished works, moreover, are usually closer to everyday life than either abstract or representational art. Such objects as Victor Brauner's Wolf-Table (page 64), Edward Kienholz' Jane Doe (page 134), or George Herms' The Poet (page 133), however arresting, strange, or poetic may be their effect, resemble furniture more than they do sculpture; they fit more naturally in a living room than they do in a museum. A similar shortening of psychological distance results from the treatment of materials: one's hand is drawn toward the frayed holes in Burri's sacks (pages 136-137) as unconsciously as toward a tear in a pair of trousers.

Intrinsic to the medium of assemblage is an entirely new relationship between work and spectator: a reconquest, but by different means, of the realism that abstract art replaced. In 1915 (for an exhibition at the Tanner Gallery in Zurich) Jean Arp spoke out "against illusion, fame, artifice, copy or plagiarism," and "for reality, the precision of the indefinable, rigorous precision." The participating artists, he said later, "were disgusted with oil painting and were searching for new materials." It was perhaps Picasso's unwillingness to take this step to complete abstractness that led him, in 1923, to assert that an artist must know how to "convince others of the truthfulness of his lies."

Even Gris, who composed "flat architecture" in entirely nonrepresentational forms, chose to overlay his abstraction with realism: to "adjust the white so that it becomes a paper and the black so that it becomes a shadow." When he interpolated illustrations, pieces of mirror, or typographical clippings, replacing a deductive image by a fragment of the environment, the metaphysis of juxtaposition was barely posed: the reality problem raised by assemblage is a new and different one that has little to do with illusionism or trompe-l'oeil devices. When the scene behind the gold frame came forward and began to crack up or fade out (as it did in Mondrian's art of 1910-1915), abstract pictorial elements became more "real" than what they represented, and the gap between painting and sculpture narrowed until, in the abstract tableaux-objets that followed, the distinction was almost obliterated. Abstract
painting and sculpture substituted a second reality, self-contained and objective, for the surrogate reality of illusionism. Not only did it narrow the gap between flat and three-dimensional media, but also that between art and everyday life. A final step, from art to the presentation of objects assembled in ordinary daily acts and without aesthetic intention, is taken in Daniel Spoerri's "snare pictures" (pages 9, 132). Often dramatic and perceptually compelling, they are "situations prepared by chance," selected, glued together, and presented vertically rather than horizontally. "Spoerri doesn't pretend to create works of art," Alain Jouffroy writes, "nor does he proclaim that his works exalt non-art or anti-art." In precisely the same spirit, Mimmo Rotella, Raymond Hains, and the other salvagers of lacerated posters (pages 108-109) rip down portions of the environment and present them as pictures. The critic Pierre Restany has dubbed this group "the new realists." "What they offer us," he writes, "is an entire aspect of the real, captured in its objective integrity, without transcription of any sort. Never, at any moment is it a question of re-creation, but on the contrary of an expressive transmutation." However one chooses to categorize them, the assemblages exhibited by these men should be compared to
those in which the arrangement is the result of “art” rather than accident and choice. The challenge that Duchamp presented to our minds when he exhibited a bottle rack and a urinal— a challenge compounded in Spoerri’s project for a combined grocery store and art gallery where each avocado pear, yam, and bottle of olives would be labeled ATTENTION: WORK OF ART—should not be side-stepped.

Just such extreme actualism—i.e., the inclusion of a Coca-Cola bottle rather than the representation of one—is intrinsic to assemblage; but it points to only one layer of meaning, and only certain works exemplify it sharply or purely. In thought-provoking ways assemblage is poetic rather than realistic, for each constituent element can be transformed. Physical materials and their auras are transmuted into a new amalgam that both transcends and includes its parts. When, as in a primitive cult object, a shell becomes a human eye because of its context, the accepted hierarchy of categories (as the surrelists delighted in pointing out) is disrupted. When the meanings of highly charged units impinge on a poetic as well as on a physical or visual level, significant expression becomes possible.

The assembler, therefore, can be both a metaphysician and (because his units are loosely related rather than expository) a poet who mingles attraction and repulsion, natural and human identification, ironic or naïve responses. Because overtones and associations as well as physical materials are placed in juxtaposition, it could almost be said that a constellation of meanings can exist independently of the colors, textures, and forms which are its carriers. In fact, three levels of operation can be specified: that of tangible materials, that of vision, at which colors and other formal qualities alter each other and blend like tastes or scents, and finally that of “literary” meanings. “... many diverse images, borrowed from very different orders of things,” Bergson wrote in his Introduction to Metaphysics, “may, by the convergence of their action, direct the consciousness to the precise point where there is a certain intuition

to be seized. By choosing images as dissimilar as possible, we shall prevent any one of them from usurping the place of the intuition it is intended to call up. . . .”84

Certain works of assemblage, with an attraction like that of green-encrusted bronzes or the unnamable artifacts of a people far away or long dead, seem to emit a magical halo: an aura too ephemeral to be ascribed to sensory stimuli, but so existent as to seem measurable. Unfortunately it is on this level (that of wizardry as well as art) that the value of a work can easily be missed or misinterpreted. It is one of the limitations of civilized human beings to be more readily convinced by syllogistic discourse than by words or forms poetically related: it is easy to disbelieve what is written in a plastic language.

Figuratively, the practice of assemblage raises materials from the level of formal relations to that of associational poetry, just as numbers and words, on the contrary, tend to be formalized. Transmutation tends also to move in the opposite direction in abstract-expressionist painting, in which the subject is absorbed into the medium. But when textures and tones totally created by the artist take on a tactile or visual effect of actuality — as do the graffiti, “texturologies,” and “topographies” of Dubuffet, the “rhinoceros hide” surfaces of Jan Lebenstein, the carved wood surfaces of Lucio Muñoz, or the relief paintings of Tàpies — the two tendencies, which might be called “radiative” and “absorptive,” meet. In the assemblages of Wagemaker, Bouras, Tunmarkin, and others, assertive plastic substances provide a field into which objets trouvés are pressed.

Assemblage is a method with disconcertingly centrifugal potentialities. It is metaphysical and poetic as well as physical and realistic. When paper is soiled or lacerated, when cloth is worn, stained, or torn, when wood is split, weathered, or patterned with peeling coats of paint, when metal is bent or rusted, they gain connotations which unmarked materials lack. More specific associations are denoted when an object can be identified as the sleeve of a shirt, a dinner fork, the leg of a rococo chair, a doll’s eye or hand, an automobile bumper, or a social security card. In both situa-

tions meaning and material merge. Identities drawn from diverse contexts and levels of value are confronted not only physically, within the limits of the work they form, but metaphysically and associationally, within (and modified by) the unique sensibility of the spectator. Even taken in isolation, the possible meanings of objects and fragments are infinitely rich, whereas (except in special cases like that of John Flannagan, whose images conform to the natural contours of stones and boulders) professional art materials such as paint, plastic, stone, bronze, etc., are formless and, in the Platonic sense, are pure essences of redness, hardness, or ductility. Found materials are works already in progress; prepared for the artist by the outside world, previously formed, textured, colored, and even sometimes entirely prefabricated into accidental “works of art.”

John Chamberlain’s decision to work with sections of scrap automobile bodies and other painted metal (see page 138) solved the problem of polychromy in sculpture at one stroke. The use of non-art materials has put an unprecedented range of new formal qualities at the artist’s disposal; but unless he carefully obliterates marks of the origin and history of each element, these qualities inevitably transcend abstractness of form, texture, and color. It goes without saying that modes of fabrication and varieties of craftsmanship also relate to content. Tearing, cutting, burning, pasting, stapling, nailing, sewing, welding, and the use of heavy plastic substances can do much more than separate or join. Expressive neatness or sloppiness of craftsmanship ranges from the oversolidity of H. C. Westermann’s *About a Black Magic Maker* to the loose works of experimenters like Kaprow or Whitman whose fluttering creations of newspaper and rags, doctrinaire in their fragility, are barely joined to each other at all. The raw construction of Jean Tinguely’s demented machines provides a large part of their expressive power, and Edward Kienholz (a cabinetmaker by trade) ironically hides the thoroughness of his craftsmanship behind an appearance of sloppy workmanship. At the other pole of this spectrum one is moved by the feminine delicacy with which the fragile and transparent materials of Anne Ryan are overlaid, and the ephemeral precision of Joseph Cornell’s boxes.

The function of materials in assemblage cannot be explained in terms appropriate for orthodox media. In *papiers collés* such as *Bottle of Siuie* (frontispiece), Picasso used printed matter simply to get a gray tone, as so many other collagists have done since; where the type is larger, it provides an oscillating pattern. But Apollinaire sanctioned the use of numbers and letters as pictorial elements because, although “new in art, they are already soaked in humanity.” Whether it can be read or not, a fragment of newsprint has a wholly different meaning from the page of an old book, a crumbling and yellowed manuscript, a political poster, or a legal document. Its message may be central to the work’s theme, as is the news clipping included in Boccioni’s *Cavaliy Charge* (page 30), or, as in Schwitters’ word-fragment *MERZ*, it can carry the challenge of a manifesto.

The expansion of this idea beyond typography to include the entire range of materials and objects postulates endless possibilities. If they are to be even partially assessed, it is necessary to consider the multiplicity of meanings and implications that lie between garish newness and total disintegration, artifact and natural form, machine and hand fabrication, or between socially esteemed and tabooed objects.

The fabric of meaning woven by materials can cover the distant in time and
space, awakening a romantic response to ruins, architectural and sculptural fragments, and the evocative richness of old walls or ritual vessels. As element is set beside element, the many qualities and auras of isolated fragments are compounded, fused, or contradicted so that — by their own confronted volitions as it were — physical matter becomes poetry. Directed, intentionally or unconsciously, by an artist’s intellectual position, emotional predisposition, or any other conditioning attitude or coloration, a vast repertoire of expression — exultant, bitter, ironic, erotic, or lyrical — can be achieved by means different in kind from that of painting and sculpture, but akin to those of literature.

An artist, as Marcel Duchamp has emphasized, is never more than partially cognizant of what his work communicates. Whatever his intention may be, he cannot side-step the symbolic meaning of objects, nor can his audience. Collagists from Gris to Man Ray, Cornell, Cohen, and Baj have employed mirrors. Who can ignore the fascination of this strange object that dissolves its own surface but brings into being a counterpart of the real world? How can its presence in a work of art not carry something of the potency that it had for the primitive mind? Of the myth of Narcissus? Of the childhood delight in Alice’s liberation from everyday life? Of the incantation that begins: “Mirror, mirror, on the wall”? Of one’s discovery of infinity in “barber-shop” reflections? Of the daily mystery of confronting one’s self in reverse?

The history of assemblage, from Picasso and Man Ray on, is punctured with the sharp points of nails. Used expressively, how can they fail to elicit at least a tremor of the meaning they have in a thousand crucifixions painted between the sixth and twentieth centuries? Forks, knives, dishes, and other eating utensils, playing cards, ropes, flags, clocks, shoes, wheels — these objects contain immeasurable layers of significance. Dolls and mannequins, common in surrealist objects, used by George Cohen during the fifties, and recently by Kienholz, Conner, and many others, elicit a range of references which can be erotic, ludicrous, or horrible; separate parts of the body, as in Cohen’s Anybody’s Self-Portrait (page 126) or Arman’s Little Hands (page 127), can stir unrealized emotions frightening to contemplate. John Latham works almost exclusively with old books: revered or feared, for centuries treasured or burned, how can one imagine their full potential as expressive form and symbol? These examples are few, but they serve as a passing allusion to the enormous variety of subject matter accessible to an assembler: an unending reverberation of object-meanings that, because of their associations, reach back to the origins of human consciousness and to the depth of human personality.
ATTITUDES AND ISSUES

Assemblage is a new medium. It is to be expected, therefore, that it should be the carrier of developing viewpoints for which orthodox techniques are less appropriate. It has indeed provided an effective outlet for artists of a generation weaned on abstract expressionism but unwilling to mannerize Pollock, de Kooning, or other masters whom they admire. Because of their concern for subject matter, painting and sculpture are not their only influences. Many cultivate attitudes that could be labeled “angry,” “beat,” or “sick”; they inherit a malaise shared by authors such as Kafka, Sartre, Beckett, and Ionesco. Certain of their attitudes are comparable to those of the dada period; but why (especially considering the overtones of tired academicism which it can imply) is the prefix “neo” more applicable in 1961 than it was in 1921? Social and emotional life is scarcely more secure at present than it was during the youth of Jarry, Vaché, Schwitters, or Duchamp.

The current wave of assemblage owes at least as much to abstract expressionism (with its dada and surrealist components) as it does to dada directly, but it is nevertheless quite differently oriented: it marks a change from a subjective, fluidly abstract art toward a revised association with environment. The method of juxtaposition is an appropriate vehicle for feelings of disenchantment with the slick international idiom that loosely articulated abstraction has tended to become, and the social values that this situation reflects. The technique of collage has always been a threat to the approved media of oil painting, carving, and casting. Inherent in Kurt Schwitters' MERZ collages, objects, environments, and activities (which, in various ways, all incorporated the spectator and the life around him into the fabric and structure of the work) was an impatience with the line that separated art from life. The medium of which Schwitters must be recognized as le grand maître, still expanding after more than forty years, cannot be dismissed as the affectation of a group of incompetents. It is an established mode of communication employing words, symbols, and signs, as freely as it does pigments, materials, and objects. Wordlessly associative, it has added to abstract art the vernacular realism that both Ingres and Mondrian sought to exclude by the process of abstraction.

Assemblage has become, temporarily at least, the language for impatient, hypercritical, and anarchistic young artists. With it, or admixtures of it with painting and sculpture, they have given form to content drawn from popular culture: more recent equivalents, as the English critic Reyner Banham argues, of Boccioni’s love of “all anti-art manifestations of our epoch — café-chantant, gramaphone, cinema, electric advertising, mechanistic architecture, skyscrapers... nightlife... speed, automobiles, aeroplanes and so forth.”

For the first time since the period of the futurists, the automobile, for example, has been effectively dealt with by the plastic arts, but with an emotional tone that is not at all the same. By now, the automobile has become a mass killer, the upholstered love-boat of the adolescent, and the status symbol of the socially disenfranchised. In our period the impact of these “insolent chariots” is at least as great as previously was that of the horse — whose role as a living symbol could be said to have ended with the agonized scream of the disemboweled victim in Picasso’s Guernica. In the mag-
nificent bronze of 1951, *Baboon and Youth*, Picasso used a miniature automobile body to simulate the head of an ape.

It is no longer delight with mechanization that is represented. John Chamberlain salvages smashed, bent, and rusted car bodies, but only, he says, for their color and form. Jason Seley uses nothing but bumpers, and as a counterforce to the elegance of his compositions, he emphasizes their previous function. César takes a méléant pleasure in seeing deviscerated auto-body shells compressed into dense blocks of steel collage. James Dine, in a “happening” named *Car Crash*, and in a series of gouaches and collages, has dealt with the automobile as a symbol of love and death. Richard Hamilton, an English artist who admires Marcel Duchamp, juxtaposes the forms of female models with those of Chryslers and electric kitchen equipment. William Copley, an American surrealist painter working in France, draws attention to the comic gaudiness and erotic symbolism of the automobile. Larry Rivers paints the front ends of Buicks. In addition to plumbing and other scrap metal, Richard Stankiewicz uses cylinder blocks and tire chains to make satiric personages or carefully structured abstract assemblages.

The themes beginning to pass through the doors of art museums are (once again, as in the days of Courbet) those described by Gide as “the squalor of reality.” Or, in a more current — and perhaps therefore a more apt — phrase, Allan Kaprow speaks of “the nameless sludge and whirl of urban events.” All is not grumbling, “jazz,” and “kicks,” however: it is not hard to discern behind these vernacular

subjects a striving, embittered by disenchantment, but mystical and moral as well as irascible and sexual. It is in part an outcome of insecurity that is more than economic, and of the aesthetic individualism that, following the failure of liberal politics during the thirties and forties, provided a motive force for abstract expressionism.

The vernacular repertoire includes beat Zen and hot rods, mescalin experiences and faded flowers, photographic bumps and grinds, the poubelle (i.e., trash can), juke boxes, and hydrogen explosions. Such subjects are often approached in a mystical, aesthetic, or "arty" way, but just as often they are fearfully dark, evoking horror or nausea: the anguish of the scrap heap; the images of charred bodies that keep Hiroshima and Nagasaki before our eyes; the confrontation of democratic platitudes with the Negro's disenfranchisement; the travesty of the Chessman trial. Indeed, in the United States, a network of artists could be identified who, quite independently and with no political affiliation, incorporate or represent in their work flags, shields, eagles, and other symbols of democracy, national power, and authority, with mild amusement or irony, with unceased resentment and scatological bitterness, or simply as totally banal images.

Adjunctive to the identification with popular culture, but more whimsical, is a group of objects whose elements can be manipulated, removed, added to, or reassembled by the spectator: these elements include balls that drop, playing cards that can be moved from hook to hook, boxes and openings from which mementos can be removed and replaced by others, tablets on which notes may be written, or toy pianos that can be played. The spectator is invited to be a participant in a childlike sort of game.

Another group of works, related to the international trend toward mobile constructions in the tradition of Duchamp, Moholy-Nagy, Gabo, and Calder, combines assemblage with mechanical movement.

"Resist the anxious fear to fix the instantaneous, to kill that which is living," warns Jean Tinguely, whose most recent work, influenced by Rauschenberg and Stankiewicz, fuses the tradition of kinetic art with that of assemblage.90 On a dank evening in March 1960, Tinguely's "self-constructing and self-destroying work of art," Homage to New York (white and stately five minutes before its maiden performance, and compounded of a mélange of materials ranging from bicycle wheels and dishpans to an upright piano), vibrated and gyrated, painted pictures, played music, and magnificently but inefficiently sawed, shook, and burned itself to rubble and extinction in the sculpture garden of the Museum of Modern Art. A year or so earlier the British junk man and eccentric Gustave Metzger was making "expendable art with acid brushed onto stretched nylon: "as the acid is applied, it dissolves in patches, the edges curl and a pattern is formed."91 He has also proposed sculpture that will disintegrate more slowly, "by undergoing a continual destructive process such as the action of acid or some other erosive [sic]. This type of work could also be destroyed by the action of natural elements — rain and humid atmosphere under normal circumstances will almost certainly corrode completely a thin piece of iron or mild steel within a decade at the outside."92

Common to many of the controversial ideas, attitudes, and activities cited in the preceding paragraphs is a dissatisfaction, ranging from impatience to nihilism, with the limitations traditionally imposed by the idea of art, the sequestered atmosphere and

---
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Conner: Last Supper. (1961). Wax, rags, etc., applied to top of wooden table, 40" high x 20" square. The Alan Gallery, New York

geometric enframement of the museum, and — though the spotlight of success and fashion is constantly shifting toward allegedly avant-garde innovations — the whirl of dealers, collectors, and soaring prices. Yet, by a process of reciprocal thesis and antithesis oscillating with the speed of alternating current, a negative position needs only to be stated to be immediately countered by a return to art for its own sake within the same camp: one must surely agree with Basil Taylor that “the spirit of art — anti-art is of the greatest significance in the history of our time.”

Allen Kaprow, in a manuscript of 1960, “Paintings, Environments, and Happenings,” advocates “a quite clear-headed decision to abandon craftsmanship and permanence,” and “the use of obviously perishable media such as newspaper, string, adhesive tape, growing grass or real food,” so “no one can mistake the fact that the work will pass into dust or garbage quickly.” The medium of refuse, according to Kaprow (but over the objections of certain of his associates), can “force once again the eternal problems of what may be (or become) art and what may not. The intellectuals’ typical disdain for popular culture, for the objects and debris of mass production, is as always a clear instance of aesthetic discrimination: this is fit for art; that is not. Such high-mindedness is not at all different from the seventeenth century’s.
belief in the greater value of ‘noble’ themes over genre ones.”

Although the connection is far too dispersive to make precise, the productions known as “happenings,” presented by Kaprow, James Dine, and other New York artists at the Reuben Gallery and elsewhere, had their origin in painting and collage. Kaprow explains their expansion from “agglomerates” to three-dimensional assemblages large enough for a spectator to enter, to become an element of, and even to alter if he chooses. Finally, surrounding and including not only their authors but their audience as well, “happenings” involve movements, costumes, sounds, lights, and scents as well as forms and colors. The producers of “happenings” have no common program, but their most evident general aim seems to be the spatialization or immobilization of time, sequence, and movement. The performances avoid climax or denouement in order to imprint a series of sharp images on the minds of the audience. Separated actions, related only by their impact on each other, take place simultaneously, leading to an effect described by a reviewer of Claes Oldenburg’s Fotodeath as the “extended exposure of a picture.”

Recognizing the connection of New York “happenings” with painting, sculpture,
and assemblage, and the unquestionable success of a few performances, one must nevertheless assert that, to date, many have resembled amateur theatricals. It is interesting to note, indeed, that many works of the avant-garde film and the professional theater have an analogous antinarrational tendency which could be described, in its avoidance of a definite conclusion, by the advice André Gide gives himself in his “Journal” of The Counterfeiters: “This novel will end sharply, not through exhaustion of the subject, which must give the impression of inexhaustibility, but on the contrary through its expansion and by a sort of blurring of its outline. It must not be neatly rounded off, but rather disperse, disintegrate...”

In quite the same way, the theme of assemblage is dispersed by the consideration of “happenings” and similar activities going on in New York and other centers throughout the world — especially when they take place in museum gardens, railroad stations, rifle ranges, Venetian gondolas, or autobuses. Certain of these events differ from the routine occurrences “outside” only in the special kind of attention which they demand.

The importance of assemblage to modern art is surely beyond question. It is nevertheless always hard to avoid judging, either positively or negatively, by extrinsic criteria: by what one supposes will be the outcome of the principles a work exemplifies, or simply by a prejudice for or against the tendency or group that it seems to represent. The challenge presented to the plastic arts by the new wave of assemblage should nevertheless not be evaded, but surely pseudo innovation should never be embraced because it seems to be le dernier cri. Yet the need of certain artists to defy and obliterate accepted categories, to fabricate aggressive objects, to present subjects tabooed by accepted standards, to undermine the striving for permanency by using soiled, valueless, and fragile materials, and even to present ordinary objects for examination unaltered — these manifestations are signs of vitality. They once more demonstrate the necessity for artists to flee the current circle of approval while seeking recognition on another level, to return again from abstraction to nature, to work with the materials of life rather than art.

Those who decry such developments as dishonest or deplorable, as evidence of commercialism, capitulation to jaded fashion, moral decay, or worse — and such views are held by many who are neither stubborn conservatives nor who reject venturesome art — should surely be heard. On the opposite side — Though who can honestly declare himself to be entirely in one camp or the other? — lies an unqualified faith in the purity of the regenerative human activity of art no matter where it may lead. Somewhere between these opposed prejudices lies the realization, supported by history, that Western art is, has always been, and should continue in a state of ferment and constant redefinition. And it must be recognized with approval and pleasure that, in addition to enriching and adulterating the themes and forms of painting and sculpture, makers of assembled art have wrought a truly magical transformation: from banality and ugliness, dispersion and waste, tawdriness and commercialism, they have created challenging, meaningful, and often beautiful objects ordered by principles inseparable from this century.
In August 1953 Dubuffet made a group of small collages of butterfly wings. In November they were followed by a series of lithographs made by cutting and pasting sheets of a special paper, previously textured and spotted with lithographic ink, and transferring the resulting collages to stone for printing. The first assemblages d'empreintes were made in December by applying the same technique directly, using ordinary paper and Chinese ink. The term "assemblages" rather than "collages" was used for these works because Dubuffet felt that the term "collage" should not be applied generically to all types of pasted art, but "reserved for the collages made in the period 1910-1920 by the Dadaists, Picasso and Braque, etc." In 1954 he extended the method into three dimensions in the "little statues of precarious life... made for the most part of assemblages of fragments of natural elements": twisted pieces of wood, sponges, clinkers, papier-mâché, and various sorts of debris. Pictures made of "botanical elements" such as orange peelings or leaves, and of course the tableaux d'assemblages in oil, assembled from canvas prepared in the same way as the earlier "imprints," are also the outcome of the first collages of butterfly wings and of the assembled lithographs.
I have always loved — it is a sort of vice — to employ only the most common materials in my work, those that one does not dream of at first because they are too crude and close at hand and seem unsuitable for anything whatsoever. I like to proclaim that my art is an enterprise to rehabilitate discredited values. . . .

Jean Dubuffet

Translated from the catalogue of the Dubuffet retrospective exhibition, Musée des Arts Décoratifs, 1961, p. 48
Robert Motherwell has worked in collage, or combinations of collage and painting, for more than fifteen years. Among the painters who brought about the resurgence of abstract art in the United States after 1945, it is he who must be regarded as the leading exponent of the papier collé. The following comment by Motherwell, written in 1945, bears as much on his collages of the sixties (though they are freer and more painterly) as it did on those of the forties:

The sensation of physically operating on the world is very strong in the medium of the papier collé or collage, in which various kinds of paper are pasted to the canvas. One cuts and chooses and shifts; and pastes, and sometimes tears off and begins again. In any case, shaping and arranging such a relational structure obliterates the need, and often the awareness of representation. Without reference to likenesses, it possesses feeling because all the decisions in regard to it are ultimately made on the grounds of feeling. 

Motherwell: Pyrénées Collage. (1961). Oil and pasted papers, 23x30”. Owned by the artist

opposite, Motherwell: In Grey with Parasol. (1947). Oil, pasted papers, etc., on board, 48x36”. The New Gallery, New York

opposite, Fine: *Sudden Encounter.* (1961). Pasted papers, watercolor, charcoal, $21\frac{1}{4} \times 27\frac{3}{4}$". Graham Gallery, New York.
Marca-Relli: *The Snare*. (1956). Oil on cut-up canvas and cloth, 49\(\frac{3}{8}\) x 52\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Arnold H. Maremont, Chicago.
Vicente: Collage No. 10. (1957). Pasted papers with charcoal, on board, 30x24". André Emmerich Gallery, New York
Cooper: *Tal-lee*, 1948. Torn and pasted papers; ink, wax, 14\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 29\". Gimpel Fils, London
Irwin: *Collage No. IX.* (1959). Pasted papers on board, 47x34". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Arnold H. Maremont, Chicago

opposite, Rudowicz: *Number 31.* 1960. Pasted papers on cardboard, 14x183/4". Felix Landau Gallery, Los Angeles
Baj: *Shouting General*. (1960). Oil on canvas, with brocade, clock dials, medals, cartridge belt, water canteen, etc., 57½x45". Galleria Schwarz, Milan.
Ossorio: *Excelsior.* (1966). Illustrations and miscellaneous objects and materials, on wooden board, 56x12". Betty Parsons Gallery, New York
Denny: Collage. 1957. Oil, torn paper, cloth, etc., 18x21". Owned by the artist

opposite, Getman: Maria. (1958). Torn paper posters on masonite, 47¼x35¼". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Eddie Albert, Pacific Palisades, California
Rotella: Before or After. 1961. Torn and pasted paper posters, mounted on canvas, 59\(\frac{3}{4}\)\(\times\)32\(\frac{3}{8}\)". Owned by the artist.
opposite, Verlon: *No Escape* (1958). Pasted colored photoengravings on paper, with gouache, 16x11". Willy Verkauf, Vienna

below, Jess: *Nadine*. 1955. Cut and pasted illustrations, window-shade pull, etc., on board, 16\(\frac{3}{4}\)x24\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Dilexi Gallery, San Francisco
Cohen: *Anybody’s Self Portrait* (1953). Framed mirror mounted on masonite, 95/8" diameter, with other objects. Richard Feigen Gallery, Chicago

Richards: The Variable Costerwoman. 1938. Wood, metal, pearl buttons, etc., 30\frac{1}{4} \times 29\frac{3}{4}'''. Owned by the artist

below, Dominguez: Happy New Year. (1954). Sheet brass and sardine can keys, 9\frac{3}{4} \times 13\frac{3}{4}''', mounted over paper on plywood, 15\frac{1}{4} \times 19\frac{3}{4}'''. Galleria Schwarz, Milan
Nesch: *The Snorer*. (1942-43). Wood, corks, etc., on plywood, 30½ x 41½". Owned by the artist.
Painting relates to both art and life. Neither can be made. (I try to act in that gap between the two.)

I am trying to check my habits of seeing, to counter them for the sake of greater freshness. I am trying to be unfamiliar with what I'm doing.

If you do not change your mind about something when you confront a picture you have not seen before, you are either a stubborn fool or the painting is not very good.

ROBERT RAUSCHENBERG

There is no more subject in a combine than there is in a page from a newspaper. Each thing that is there is a subject. It is a situation involving multiplicity. (It is no reflection on the weather that such a government sent a note to another.)

Would we have preferred a pig with an apple in its mouth? That too, on occasion, is a message and requires a blessing. These are the feelings Rauschenberg gives us: love, wonder, laughter, heroism (I accept), fear, sorrow, anger, disgust, tranquillity.

Perhaps after all there is no message. In that case one is saved the trouble of having to reply. As the lady said, “Well, if it isn’t art, then I like it.” Some (a) were made to hang on a wall, others (b) to be in a room, still others (a + b).

JOHN CAGE

All above quotations from John Cage, "On Rauschenberg, Artist, and His Work," Metro, vol. 1, no. 2, 1961, pp. 36-50

Rauschenberg: Talisman. (1958). "Combine-painting" of oil paint, paper, wood, etc., 42x28". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Arnold H. Maremont, Chicago

opposite, Rauschenberg: Canyon. 1959. "Combine-painting" of oil on canvas, with wood, printed matter, stuffed eagle, pillow tied with cord, etc., 6'1" high x 5'6" wide x 24 3/4" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Michael Sonnabend, New York
Louise Nevelson is an inveterate collector of found objects. In the living quarters of her many-chambered atelier on the lower east side of New York, odd and fascinating discoveries lie casually on shelves and tables. Clustered on the walls, to be admired, are finely polished and beautifully worn old tools and utensils. But she does not incorporate such objects, already complete and expressive, in sculpture. Neatly categorized and stacked in the storerooms and work spaces on the lower floors, which Nevelson commands like a modern Rubens, are her materials: boxes of many shapes and sizes, newel posts, the arms, seats, splats and backs of chairs, legs from many kinds of tables, wooden disks and cylinders, balusters and finials, chunks of natural wood and, from the lumber yard, lengths of patterned molding, acanthus scrolls, and clean boards. Uninteresting in themselves yet fragments with a form and history, these are the elements of her sculpture.

"Assemblage," is "the fitting together of parts and pieces." What an instinctive, even compulsive, assembler Louise Nevelson is! And her sculptural and poetic judgment is unerring. Each gilt-sprayed compartment of Royal Tide I is complete, and absolute in its clarity, yet each functions as a unit in a poem of eighteen stanzas. Those qualities that Schwitters loved — traces of human use, weather, and forgotten craftsmanship — still exert their magic here, but their color and dispersiveness is formalized by the gilding. Depth is flattened, but the reflecting surfaces delineate each block, sphere or volute, dowel hole, slot or recess, with scholastic thoroughness and precision.

This authoritative work resembles a reredos, an altar; but its dedication is not to a spiritualized divinity. The immediacy, clarity, and tangibility of its form and surface muffle and control, though they do not obliterate, an atmosphere of mysticism and romanticism. The gold is as much that of Versailles as of Burgos.

Bouras: *The D’s Testament*. 1961. Wood, steel, plumbing fixtures, etc., 36x48”. Collection Mr. and Mrs. James M. Alter, Chicago

it is perfectly legitimate to use numbers and printed letters as pictorial elements; new in art, they are already soaked with humanity.

GUILLAUME APOLLINAIRE
Wagemaker: *Metallic Grey*. 1960. Oil, aluminum egg slicer and other hardware, etc., on board 24x193/4". Owned by the artist

de Saint-Phalle: *Tu et moi*. 1960. Steel gear, toy pistol, rope, and other objects, on painted plywood, 313/4x233/8". Private collection, New York
One of the images that Mallarmé used to define books was a butterfly (pages as wings, spine as body). John Latham’s books, cut, torn, and burned, resemble moths in their symmetry, in the crisp, soft furry pages, and in their ashen, somewhat lunar, complexion. . . . Latham’s libraries (my word for his work-in-progress), on the other hand, render mass-produced objects unique. Duchamp in his Unhappy Readymade exposed a geometry book to the weather. Latham does something of the sort, except that he keeps the process of transformation in his own hands. He destroys the function of books as records of verbal information. This is a loaded gesture in a culture like ours in which libraries are repositories of ‘the wisdom of the ages’ and in which books are used by the heaviest readers as bricks to build walls against the barbarians of visual mass culture. But Latham’s destructive gesture turns into an act of creation, as non-verbal art appears out of the wreckage of the printed word. The effacement of the known code is related to the emergence of a previously unknown object. The versatility of objects when subjected to disinterested acts of esthetic decision, allows Latham to play with both the original status and the transformation of his books.

**Lawrence Alloway**

*From an exhibition catalogue, Institute of Contemporary Arts, London, 1960*

---

Latham: *Shem*. 1958. Hessian-covered door, with books and other objects, 8'4"x4'. Owned by the artist
below, Schloss: *Down Road*, 1958. Box of weathered wood, with torn printed page and wallpaper, lace, glass bottle, etc., 13" high x 9" wide x 4½" deep. Owned by artist

Hirscher: *Straits of Magellan*, 1960. Driftwood, metal, crushed tin can, fabric, paper, etc., 25½"x15". Owned by the artist
Arman: *Little Hands (Ainsi font, font ...)* 1960. Dolls' hands glued in wooden drawer, 14⅜" high x 17½" wide x 2⅜" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Robert C. Scull, Great Neck, New York

Goeritz: Message No. 14. "And thy heaven that is over thy head shall be brass, and the earth that is under thee shall be iron." Deuteronomy 28:23. 1959. Metal and paint on painted wood, 28 3/4 x 22". Carstairs Gallery, New York

opposite, Conner: Deadly Nightshade. 1959. Wooden window frame with glass, containing miscellaneous materials and objects, 43 3/4 x 33 3/4". Collection Dr. Arthur J. Neumann, Chicago
Smith: *Paul's Wood Bob.* (1956). Welded steel rings on steel plate, 10\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 12". Everett Ellin Gallery, Los Angeles

opposite, Coetzee: *Butterfly Lighting in a Diamond.* (1960). Oil on canvas, bicycle parts, etc., 6\(\frac{3}{4}\) x 53". Collection Philip C. Johnson, New Canaan, Connecticut
Daniel Spoerri is not a painter; however, his snare pictures make one think of the still lifes they could inspire. Spoerri doesn't pretend to create works of art, nor does he proclaim that his works exalt non-art or anti-art. The emotion that they release is not of the same order as that aroused by painting or sculpture; on the other hand, this emotion resembles in no way that brought about by the same objects before Spoerri has glued them and placed them vertically. His snare pictures are situated at the intersection of art and life, at the point where contradictions cancel one another out.

... Spoerri condemns us to contemplate the remains of a breakfast, just as a director forces us, by means of the close-up, to become conscious of the evocative power of objects. But perhaps the most frightening thing about these snare pictures is their permanence, their stability. "Why should my snare pictures produce a malaise?" writes Spoerri. "Because I detest immobility, I detest everything that is settled. The contradiction that exists in the fact that I affix objects, cut them off from the possibility of continual movement and transformation, though I love movement and change, pleases me. I love contradictions because they create tension." Indeed, that Spoerri snare these accidental situations, retires them from their uncertain destiny in the course of daily life, and ejects them, so to speak, into the timeless world of art, is what gives rise to the feeling of exasperation with which one looks at a snare picture.

Alain Jouffroy
From an exhibition catalogue, Galleria Schwarz, Milan, March, 1961
But out of a wound beauty is born. At any rate in the case of Burri, For Burri transmutes rubbish into a metaphor for human, bleeding flesh. He vitalizes the dead materials in which he works, makes them live and bleed; then sews up the wounds evocatively and as sensuously as he made them.

What would have remained with the cubists a partial intensification of a painted composition — with the dadaists, a protest — with the surrealists, an illustrational fantasy — with Kurt Schwitters, a Merzbild — becomes with Burri a living organism: flesh and blood. The representation of the human form in keeping with the trend of our times may not appear in his pictures; but a suggestion of what gave that form life still remains — a suggestion of flesh and blood; and, more important still, a deep sense of order which relates the human form to a higher level of things, the spiritual. From Burri’s art only the superficial resemblance to living creatures is absent.

Collage with Burri has taken on another dimension. It is no longer a primarily compositional activity, a jeu d’esprit or a gesture; he has given it a living quality, a sensuous character. Within the limits of his medium he taps the sources of enjoyment Rembrandt drank from so deeply in his Flayed Beef, Rubens in the flesh of his nudes, Géricault in his corpses. At the same time his work shows a sensibility and delicacy of expression in its most successful examples which gives it for our age and in crudest of materials some of the qualities of a Redon pastel or a Renoir rose.

James Johnson Sweeney
From Burri, Rome, Obelisco Galleria d’Arte, 1955, pp. 5-6
Burri: Sack Number 5. (1953). Vinavil and tempera on burlap, 51 x 50”. Owned by the artist.
Chamberlain: Essex. (1960). Painted metal, 9' high x 7'6" wide. Leo Castelli, New York
Mallary: Jouster, 1960. Wood, steel, paper, etc. 8'3/4" high x 49 1/2" wide. The Allan Stone Gallery, New York

Beynon: Object-Painting Number 37. 1960. Wood, metal, nylon, sand, plastic, etc., 5' x 8'4". Galerie Stadler, Paris
The sculpture of Richard Stankiewicz is welded together from junk: scrap iron, pieces of discarded machinery, and broken castings. It is to sculpture what the collages of Schwitters, glued together from transfers, tickets, wrappings, and pieces of advertisements are to painting. As Wallace Stevens in The Man on the Dump associates nouns and adjectives one would not naturally associate, so Stankiewicz associates a spring, a weight, and the casting from the top of a gas cooking stove to make a non-machine frozen into immobility by its own rust. “Where was it one first heard of the truth?” Stankiewicz’ creativeness is childish and barbaric. He uses things for purposes that were not intended, or only partly so, as the early Christians used pieces of temples for their basilicas, or as a child makes wheels for his cart out of crayons. The original material still shows. Respect for the material is common enough in art; it is part of the organic theory. But his material has already been used once and it retains the quality of some previous construction, which was mechanical and functional. . . .

His sculpture, using junk, is a creation of life out of death, the new life being of a quite different nature than the old one that was decaying on the junk pile, on the sidewalk, in the used-car lot. In its decay there is already a new beginning before Stankiewicz gets hold of it. At his best he makes one aware of a vitality that is extra-artistic. His respect for the material is not a machinist’s respect, but the respect of someone who can take a machine or leave it, who respects even the life of things, which is more than mechanical.

Fairfield Porter
From School of New York, ed. B. H. Friedman, New York, Grove Press, 1959, pp. 72 and 76

César peering through a stack of compressed scrap automobile bodies.
In a factory for the salvaging of metals in the suburbs of Paris I saw César in front of one of the latest American compressors, supervising the movements of the cranes, proportioning the heterogeneous loads, eagerly awaiting the result of each operation. Together we admired these calibrated bales weighing nearly a ton which are the product of the compression of a small lorry, a pile of bicycles or of a gigantic set of kitchen stoves.

César sees in the result of this mechanical compression a new stage of metal, one subjected, so to speak, to a quintessential reduction.

For beyond the American neo-dadaist provocations his oeuvre opens one of the roads to the new realism; it is high time that the public recognized that this realism is the essential achievement of this second half-century.

Pierre Restany
From an exhibition catalogue, Hanover Gallery, London, 1960
above, Jacobsen: *Head with Keys.* (1957). Iron, 31" high. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Arnold H. Maremont, Chicago

above right, Tajiri: *Samurai.* (1960). Welded metal parts, nuts and bolts, 33½" high. Owned by the artist

Colla... confines himself to used, worn, or old parts, so that his totemic figures and marked reliefs never emerge from a single stock of material (as Gonzalez’s or Smith’s do) but bind together forms of widely-separated origins. The difference in Colla’s attitude towards materials arises from his attachment to the object with a history (and this is no less true of his work in wood). Here Colla has contact with the Dadaists who discovered the plastic vitality of banal objects and, as a result, brought into aesthetics a world of common artifacts. Removed from its familiar utilitarian context and put into an aesthetic situation the found object prospered. Colla subjects the found object to a rigorous processing, very different from the polemical work of Duchamp and Picabia. Colla is separated from other iron sculptors by his exclusive use of found objects and he is separated from Dada by the formality of his work, the result of meditation, not of ambushing habit (as with the early Dadaists).

Lawrence Alloway
From Ettore Colla: Iron Sculpture, Rome, Grafica, 1960 [p. 11]

Colla: Agreste. (1952). Welded parts of farm implements, 7'4\(\frac{3}{4}\)" high x 3'\(\frac{3}{4}\)" wide. Owned by the artist

opposite, Colla: Continuity. (1951). Welded construction of wheels, 7'11\(\frac{3}{4}\)" high x 53" wide. Owned by the artist
NOTES

1 See page 48.
2 Max Ernst, Beyond Painting, New York, Wittenborn, Schultz, 1948, p. 22.
4 Loc. cit. Picasso's Notre avenir est dans Vair (Zervos vol. 2, pt. 2, p. 321) is very close to the Still Life with Chair Coming in form.
5 The French word collage, after the verb coller, means "pasting, sticking, or gluing," as in the application of wallpaper. As a method of picture-making used by modern artists, its "invention" is credited to Picasso, and that of papier collé, or pasted paper, to Braque in his Comptoir et verre, September 1912. (See Douglas Cooper, G. Braque (exhibition catalogue), Arts Council of Great Britain, 1956, p. 33.)
6 John Golding, Cubism: A History and an Analysis, 1907-1914, New York, Wittenborn, 1959, pp. 102 ff., gives a detailed account of the beginnings of modern collage and the addition of such extraneous materials as oilcloth, mirrors, postage stamps, etc., to paintings and drawings. Golding notes (p. 104) that collage was first discussed in print by Maurice Raynal in the Section d'Or, a periodical that appeared (for one issue) in connection with the cubist exhibition of 1912.
7 Little can be said to distinguish the terms collage and papier collé except that the latter is narrower both technically and historically, referring only to paper and (in the usage I prefer, at least) to paper collages of the cubist movement. (See entries in the Encyclopaedia of the Arts, New York, Philosophical Library, 1946, and the Dictionary of Modern Painting, New York, Tudor, 1955.)
8 It is, of course, an error to say that the cubists invented pasting as a method of picture-making. Dates for its origin can be pushed backward endlessly. Alfred Barr has called my attention to a drawing by Picasso of 1908 (Zervos, Picasso, vol. 2, pt. 1, p. 54) which includes a fragment of pasted paper, probably to make a correction. Penrose notes that Picasso's father used cutouts on his canvases (as de Kooning did for his "Women") to try out new ideas (Picasso: His Life and Work, London, Victor Gollancz, and New York, Harper, 1958, p. 171). Valentines, postcards, and folk art of various kinds incorporating pasted elements, as well as pictures and objects made of butterfly wings, feathers, shells, etc., were common much earlier. Indeed, variously stamped letters, passports, and official documents can be looked at as a form of unintentional collage. Herta Wescher (Art Aujourd'hui, vol. 5, no. I, Feb. 1954, p. 3) illustrates a Japanese papier collé, used as a background for calligraphy, of the tenth century.
9 As Jean Dubuffet realized in 1953, the term collage is not broad enough to cover the diversity of modern composite art. "Il m'a semblé," he wrote to me in a letter of April 21, 1951, "que le mot 'collages' ne devait pas être considéré comme un terme générique désignant n'importe quel ouvrage ou interviolant de la colle, mais comme un terme historique réservé aux collages faits dans la période 1910/1920 par les dadaïstes, Picasso et Braque, etc. Ces travaux participaient d'une certaine 'humeur' qui me semble liée au mot, tout aussi bien que le mot 'symboliste' est lié à un certain climat d'époque et provoquerait des malentendus si on le réemployait pour des poèmes faits dans un autre temps quand bien même ceux-ci ferment usage de symboles."

The term "assemblage," used by Dubuffet (see page 93), was adopted for this book and exhibition out of necessity, as a generic concept that would include all forms of composite art and modes of juxtaposition. In both French and English "assemblage" denotes "the fitting together of parts and pieces," and can apply to both flat and three-dimensional forms. Both as verb and noun, moreover, this word repeatedly occurs in the literature of modern art. Certain of the two-dimensional modes and methods it denotes follow:

Decollage is the opposite of collage: "ungluing," "unsticking," or "taking off." It refers to works made by removing materials already pasted, as in the decollages of Austin Cooper or Gwyther Irwin, and the affiches lacerees, or torn posters, of the Parisian "new realists.

"Photomontage" (assemblages of photographs made by pasting or other means) has been practiced, both for practical reasons and as "trick photography" since at least the middle of the nineteenth century. The term gained its present meaning through its use by the German dadas for collages of photographs and other illustrative material, beginning before 1920. With an ironic intent, they appropriated the German verb montieren, a synonym of our verb "to assemble," and applied, as in one of our usages, to the mounting and erection of machinery. The dadas' expansion of this meaning survives not only in the common term "photomontage," but also in the application of the term "montage" to the film. (For a lucid discussion of montage see Sergei Eisenstein, The Film Sense, New York, Harcourt, Brace, 1942. For the dada use of photomontage, John Heartfield, Photomontagen zur Zeitgeschichte, Zurich, Kultur und Vol, 1945.)

10 Barr, op. cit., p. 87.
11 See note no. 5.
13 See George Heard Hamilton, Manet and his Critics, New Haven, Yale University Press, 1954, p. 115.
15 The term Gestalt (literally "form," "shape," or "figure"), used in Germany by Charles von Ehrenfels ca. 1890, was the basis for a school of psychology, of which Koffka and Kohler became the leading spokesmen, which asserts that a whole is greater than the sum of its parts, and that each element in a given pattern is altered by its participation in a relational unity.
18 Roger Fry, tr., The Poems of Mallarmé, New York, New Directions, 1951, p. 290.
20 Charles Mauron, in Fry, Mallarmé, p. 284.
17 Ibid., p. 300.
18 Ibid., p. 301.
21 Shattuck, op. cit., p. 245.
22 Ibid., p. 215.
23 As Eisenstein and others have pointed out, montage is essential to film technique. It is interesting to note Eisenstein regarded over-reliance on montage as a sort of left-wing deviation. These "leftists" of montage, he felt, were naïvely wrapped up in the fact that (as he writes in italics on page 4 of The Film Sense) "two film pieces of any kind, placed together, inevitably combine into a new concept, a new quality, arising out of that juxtaposition... This is not in the least a circumstance peculiar to the cinema," he goes on to say in the next paragraph, "but is a phenomenon invariably met with in all cases where we have to deal with juxtaposition of two facts, two phenomena, two objects." The aims of "socialist realism" inhibited a full liberation of images, but Eisenstein's analysis of montage and juxtaposition (according to Gestalt principles) nevertheless retains interest in the present context.
Three lit gas jets
The proprietress has lung trouble
When you've finished we'll have a game of backgammon
An orchestra conductor who has a sore throat
When you come to Tunis I'll have you smoke some kif
This seems to rhyme
26 Shattuck, op. cit., p. 238.
27 See Les Mots en Liberté Futuristes, Milan, Poesia, 1919.
29 Ibid., p. 174.
34 Kahnweiler, Juan Gris: His Life and Work, New York, Valentin, 1947, p. 117.
35 Ibid., p. 98, and fn.
37 Kahnweiler, Juan Gris, pp. 87-88. He speaks of The Dressing Table (Le Lavabo). See also Golding, op. cit., p. 102. Gris uses a mirror also in The Marble Console (1914) in the Maremont Collection, Chicago.
38 Ibid., p. 86.
39 Ibid., p. 88.
40 Ibid., p. 86.
41 Shattuck, op. cit., p. 256.
43 Tr. from an interview in Arts (Paris), May 10, 1961, p. 18.
44 See Taylor, op. cit., p. 132.
45 See exhibition catalogue, Salute to Italy: One Hundred Years of Italian Art, Hartford, Wadsworth Atheneum, 1961.
46 See Taylor, op. cit., fn. 92, p. 120; and Gino Severini, Tutta la Vita, p. 175.
47 Carrieri dates this work 1911; Taylor, ibid., p. 93, 1912. See also notes 43, 45, 48, 49, p. 120; and "Chronology," p. 122.
48 Ibid., p. 126.
49 Ibid., p. 127.
50 Ibid., p. 129.
51 Written for The Dada Painters and Poets, Robert Motherwell, ed., New York, Wittenborn, Schultz, 1951, but printed as a separate sheet because of a disagreement between Huelsenbeck and Tzara.
54 Motherwell, op. cit., p. 78.
56 Jean, op. cit., p. 124.
57 Ibid., p. 39.
58 Ibid., p. 47.
59 Ibid., p. 41.
60 Ibid., p. 40.
61 Ibid., p. 40.
63 "... beau... comme la rencontre fortuite sur une table de dissection d'une machine à coudre et d'un parapluie!" Le Comte de Lautréamont (Isadore Ducasse), Les Chants de Maldoror, Paris, Brussels, n. publ., 1874, pp. 289-290.
66 Loc. cit.
69 Kate Steinitz, The Merzbau of Kurt Schwitters, unpublished ms., 1960, pi. IX.
72 Shattuck, op. cit., p. 228.
74 Foreword for the catalogue of a Marin exhibition at Stieglitz' "291" Gallery, New York 1912. See Mackinley Helm, John Marin, Boston, Pellegrini & Cudahy, [1946], p. 28.
76 By Friedrich (Fritz) Hundertwasser. See Arts, vol. 33, no. 5, Feb. 1959, p. 36.
78 Jean, op. cit., p. 66.
79 Barr, Picasso, p. 123.
80 Kahnweiler, Juan Gris, p. 138.
81 From a catalogue of a Spoerri exhibition, Milan, Galleria Schwarz, 1961. See also p. 132.
82 Loc. cit.
84 Quoted by Shattuck, op. cit., p. 264.
85 Apollinaire, op. cit., p. 21.
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ANONYMOUS

1 Gargoyle. French, 15th or 16th century. Lead water pipe in the form of an animal, 11 1/2” high. Collection Mr. and Mrs. John Rewald, New York.

ANONYMOUS


ANONYMOUS

ANONYMOUS


AGAR, Eileen. British, born Buenos Aires, 1901; lives in London


ARMAN (Fernandez). French, born Nice, 1929; lives in Paris


ARP, Jean (Hans). French, born Strasbourg, 1887; lives in Meudon, near Paris, and Basel and Solduno, Switzerland


BAADER, Johannes. German, active in Berlin dada movement, 1918-20


BAARGELD, J. T. (Alfred Grünwald). German. Active in Cologne dada movement, 1918-20; died in avalanche, 1927


RAJ, Enrico. Italian, born Milan, 1924; lives in Milan

*13 Mirror. 1959. Broken mirror glass on brocade fabric, 33½x23½". Galleria Schwarz, Milan. Ill. p. 113

*14 Showing General. (1960). Oil on canvas, with brocade, hemp, clock dials, medallia, leather cartridge belt, rope, pin cushion, embroidered epaulet, water canteen, 57½x45¾". Galleria Schwarz, Milan. Ill. p. 104

BALDACCIINI, see César

BAXTER, John. American, born San Francisco, California, 1912; lives in San Francisco

*15 Instruments at the Silence Refinery. (1960). Driftwood, shells, stones, steel, iron, brass, bronze, ceramic instruments and hardware, copper screening, 16½' high x 24½' long. David Cole Gallery, Sausalito, California. Ill. p. 141

BEASLY, Bruce. American, born Los Angeles, California, 1939; lives in Berkeley, California


*17 Object-Painting Number 37. 1960. Wood, iron, steel, enamel, wire, chair caning, nylon, sand, plastic, 5'x8'x4'. Galerie Stadler, Paris. Ill. p. 142

BONTECOU, Lee. American, born Providence, Rhode Island, 1931; lives in New York


BOURAS, Harry. American, born Rochester, New York, 1931; lives in Chicago

*19 The D's Testament. 1961. Painted and stenciled wood, steel, plumbing fixtures, bicycle parts, 36' high x 48' wide x 5' deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. James M. Alter, Chicago, Ill. p. 121

BRAQUE, Georges. French, born Argenteuil, 1882; lives in Varengeville (Seine-Inferieure) and Paris

*20 The Program. (1913). Pasted playbill, colored paper, facsimile woodgrain, with charcoal and oil on canvas, 25½x36¾". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Bernard J. Reis, New York. Ill. p. 18


BRAUNER, Victor. Romanian, born Piatra-Neamtz, 1903; lives in Paris

*22 Wolf-Table. 1939. Wood with parts of stuffed animal, 21½' high x 22½' long x 11' wide. Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 64

23 Roy — les contraires trouvent l'homme = lire — melancolie du bien. 1947. Wax figure, with burr, seeds, pebbles, hair, huckshot, on painted wood, 12½x6½". Collection Mrs. Katharine Kuh, New York. (NY)

BRECHT, George. American, born New York City, 1926; lives in Metuchen, New Jersey

*24 Repository. (1961). Wall cabinet containing pocket watch, tennis ball, thermometer, plastic and rubber handballs, baseball, plastic Per-simmon, "Liberty" statuette, wooden puzzle, tooth brushes, bottle caps, house number, pencils, preserved worm, pocket mirror, light bulbs, keys, hardware, coins, photographs, playing cards, post card, dollar bill, page from thesaurus, 40½' high x 10½' wide x 3' deep. Owned by the artist. Ill. opposite.

BRETON, André. French, born Tinchebray (Orne), 1896; lives in Paris

*25 Objet-poême. 1941. Carved wood bust of a man, with keyhole for mouth; wood and metal oil lantern with glass; photograph in metal frame; toy boxing gloves; all mounted on wooden drawing board and black drawing paper, with inscriptions painted in gouache and oil, 18½' high x 21½' wide x 4½' deep. Collection Mrs. Yves Tanguy, Woodbury, Connecticut. Ill. p. 67

BRYEN, Camille. French, born Nantes, 1907; lives in Paris


BURRI, Alberto. Italian, born Città di Castello, 1915; lives near Rome

*27 Sack Number 5. (1938). Vinavil and tempera on burlap and cloth, 5⅓x50". Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 137
**28 All black.** 1956. Vinavil, tempera, rags, on canvas, 59"x8'2½". Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 196

**CARRÁ, Carlo.** Italian, born Quargnento, 1881; lives in Milan

**29 Angle pénétrant de Joffre sur la Marne contre deux cubes allemands.** 1914. Pasted papers, newsprint, postage stamp, pencil, conte crayon, ink, ink wash, 10x13½". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Harry Lewis Winston, Birmingham, Michigan

**CÉSAR (Baldaccini).** French, born Marseille, 1921; lives in Paris


**CARRA, Carlo.** Italian, born Quargnento, 1881; lives in Milan

**31 The Yellow Buick (compression).** (1961). Collection Mr. and Mrs. John Rewald, New York

**CHAMBERLAIN, John.** American, born Rochester, Indiana, 1927; lives in New City, New York

**32 Zoo.** (1959). Painted automobile parts and other metal, 44½" high x 78" long. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Robert C. Scull, Great Neck, New York. (D, SF)

**33 Essex.** (1960). Painted automobile parts and other metal, 9' high x 7½" wide x 43" deep. Leo Castelli, New York (NY). Ill. p. 138

**CHÉRMAEFF, Ivan.** American, born London, 1932; lives in New York

**34 Type Collage with Newspaper.** 1957. Torn and pasted 19th-century newspaper and poster, on cardboard, 5x8½". Owned by the artist.

**COETZEE, Christo.** South African, born Johannesburg, 1930; lives in Paris

**35 Butterfly Lighting in a Diamond.** (1960). Oil on canvas, bicycle parts, candlesticks, ping-pong balls, sand, wire, wood, 6'4½"x5¾". Collection Philip C. Johnson, New Canaan, Connecticut. Ill. p. 131

**COHEN, George.** American, born Chicago, 1919; lives in Emerson, Illinois

**36 Anybody's Self Portrait.** (1953). Framed mirror mounted on painted masonite, 9½" diameter, with mirrors, plastic doll's torso, legs and arms, painted doll's eyes with fiber lashes in tin anchovy can, small metal hand, nail heads, screw eyes, hooks, string, cloth. Richard Feigen Gallery, Chicago. Ill. p. 112

**37 Game Preserve.** (1957). Mirrors, plastic doll's arms, torso, and eyes; metal, with oil paint, mounted on painted wood boards, 12½x 34½". Richard Feigen Gallery, Chicago

**COLLA, Ettore.** Italian, born Parma, 1899; lives in Rome

**38 Continuity.** (1951). Welded iron wheels from farm machines and pushcarts, 7'11½" high x 53½" wide. Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 148

**39 Agreste.** (1952). Welded iron and steel farm implements, 7'4½" high x 31½" wide. Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 149

**COLLINS, see Jess

**CONNER, Bruce.** American, born McPherson, Kansas, 1933; lived until recently in San Francisco

**40 Deadly Nightshade.** 1959. Wooden window frame with glass; cloth, paper, photograph, cloth belt, cellulose tape, string, tobacco sack, plaster ornament, light pull, wax, paint, and other materials, 43½x33½". Collection Dr. Arthur J. Neumann, Chicago, Ill. p. 128
41 Last Supper. (1961). Wax, rags, silk stocking, hair, electrical wire, tennis balls, nails; applied to top of a wooden table, 39 1/4" high x 20" square. The Alan Gallery, New York. Ill. p. 89

COOPER, Austin. British, born Manitoba, Canada, 1890; lives in London

*42 Tal-lee. 1948. Torn and pasted oil and watercolor papers; ink, wax, 14 3/4" x 22". Gimpel Fils, London. Ill. p. 102

COPPEL, Jeanne. French, born Galati, Romania, 1896; lives in Paris


CORNELL, Joseph. American, born New York City, 1903; lives in Flushing, New York. (Boxes are glass-covered unless otherwise noted.)

44 Taglioni's Jewel Casket. 1940. Hinged, unglazed wooden box containing glass ice cubes, jewelry, etc., 4 1/4" high x 11 3/8" wide x 8 1/4" deep. The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Gift of James Thrall Soby

*45 Apothecary. 1950. Wooden cabinet with glass jars containing various objects, and liquid and dry materials, in glass compartments, 15 3/8" high x 11 3/8" wide x 4 3/4" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Jean de Menil, Houston, Texas. Ill. p. 71

51 Apotheke. 1950. Wooden cabinet with glass jars containing various objects, and liquid and dry materials, in glass compartments, 15 3/8" high x 11 3/8" wide x 4 3/4" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Jean de Menil, Houston, Texas. Ill. p. 71

52 Dower. (1952). Painted wooden construction with wooden balls, 17 1/4" x 17 1/4". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Arnold H. Maremont, Chicago. (NY)

53 Hotel Bon Port: Aun in Memory. 1954. Painted wooden construction with pasted paper, stamps, steel spring, 12 1/2" high x 10 1/2" wide x 3 3/4" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Bergman, Chicago

*54 Night Skies: Auriga. (ca. 1954). Box containing painted wooden construction with pasted paper, 19 1/4" high x 13 3/4" wide x 7 1/4" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Bergman, Chicago. Ill. p. 71

49 La Favorite. (ca. 1948). Glass-shelved cabinet covered with sheet music; velvet-covered box; bisque figurine; 16" high x 8 1/2" wide x 4 3/4" deep. Collection Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Bergman, Chicago


56
55 Mond-Oberfläche. (1955). Wooden box containing map, clay pipes, seashell, cordial glass, cork floats on cord, etc., 15\(\frac{3}{4}\)x17\(\frac{1}{4}\)". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Arnold H. Maremont, Chicago. (NY)

*56 Bleriot. (1956). Box containing painted wooden trapeze supported by rusted steel spring, 18\(\frac{3}{4}\)" high x 11\(\frac{3}{4}\)" wide x 4\(\frac{3}{4}\)" deep. Collection Mrs. Eleanor Ward, New York. Ill. p. 70

*57 Space Object Box. (1959). Wooden construction with painted wood, metal rods and ring, cork ball, cordial glass containing marble, starfish, pasted paper, 9\(\frac{3}{4}\)" high x 15" wide x 3\(\frac{3}{4}\)" deep. Ferus Gallery, Los Angeles. Ill. p. 68

CORSI, Carlo. Italian, born Nice, France, 1879; lives in Bologna

*58 Skyscraper. (1948). Torn and pasted papers, book page, and corrugated cardboard, 15\(\frac{3}{4}\)x21". Owned by the artist. Ill. opposite

CRIPPA, Roberto. Italian, born Milan, 1921; lives in Milan

59 Personage. 1959. Oil with pasted newspaper and tree bark on wood, 27\(\frac{1}{2}\)x19\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Collection Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Bergman, Chicago

CROTTI, Jean. French, born Bulle, Switzerland, 1878; died Paris, 1958

*60 Clown. 1916. Pasted papers, doll’s eyes with black silk lashes, silver wire, on glass, 14\(\frac{3}{4}\)x9\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Collection Mme Crotti-Duchamp, Neuilly-sur-Seine. Ill. opposite.

DENNY, Robyn. British, born Surrey, 1930; lives in London

*61 Collage. 1957. Oil, torn paper, cloth, canvas, cardboard, 18x21". Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 106

DIENES, Sari. American, born Debrecen, Hungary, 1899; to U.S.A. 1939; lives in New York

*62 Construction No. 11. 1961. Glass bottles, mirror glass, painted wood, cork, aluminum foil, 25\(\frac{3}{4}\)" high x 14" wide x 4\(\frac{3}{4}\)" deep. Owned by the artist. Ill. right

DOMINGUEZ, Oscar. Spanish, born 1905; lives in Paris

*63 Happy New Year. (1954). Sheet brass with cut-outs and sardine can keys, 9\(\frac{3}{4}\)x13\(\frac{3}{4}\)" mounted over paper on plywood, 13\(\frac{3}{4}\)x19\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Galleria Schwarz, Milan. Ill. p. 114


55 Portrait of Alfred Stieglitz. (1925). Camera lens, photographic plate, clock and watch springs, and steel wool, on cardboard, 15\(\frac{3}{4}\)x12\(\frac{3}{4}\)". The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Edward M. M. Warburg Fund. (NY)

*66 The Critic. 1925. Cut and pasted newspaper clippings, magazine advertisements, cardboard hat, wool cord, velvet, on cardboard, 19x12\(\frac{3}{4}\)". The Downtown Gallery, New York. Ill. p. 42

DUBUFFET, Jean. French, born Le Havre, 1901; lives in Paris

67 The Commander. 1954. Clinker, 13\(\frac{3}{8}\)" high. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Michael Sonnabend, New York. (NY)


69 The Horseman (Le Reitre). 1954. Clinker, 14" high. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Michael Sonnabend, New York. (NY)
DUCHAMP, Marcel. American, born Blainville (Seine-Maritime), France, 1889; lives in New York


*80 *Tu m’! 1918. Oil and graphite on canvas, with bottle-washing brush, safety pins, nut and bolt, 27½"x16½". Yale University Art Gallery, New Haven, Collection Société Anonyme. (NY). Ill. p. 45


*85 *The Bride Stripped Bare by Her Bachelors, Even (“The Green Box”), 1914. 1" high x 13½" long x 11½" wide. One of 300 numbered and signed copies of a collection reproducing 93 manuscript notes, drawings, and paintings (1911-1915). Paris, Editions Rose Selavy. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Morton G. Neumann, Chicago. Ill. p. 47


DUCHAMP, Suzanne. French, born Blainville (Seine-Maritime), France, 1889; lives in Neuilly-sur-Seine, France

87 *Un et Une menacés, 1916. Inks and gouache on paper, gears, pl mb bob, silver wire, copper discs, cord, mounted on canvas, 25½x19¼". Owned by the artist

DUFRENE, Francois. French, born Paris, 1930; lives in Paris


DURKEE, Stephan. American, born New York City, 1937; lives in New York

89 Sale. (1960). Weathered wood box with door; paper, photograph, electric light bulb, wire, hardware, 8½" high x 6½" wide x 3" deep. The Allan Stone Gallery, New York

DŽAMONJA, Đorđan. Yugoslav, born Strumica, 1928; lives in Zagreb


*93 *Lupin Introduces, 1932. Pasted paper, watercolor, pencil, photograph, 19¼x25¼". Collection Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Bergman, Chicago. Ill. p. 66

ESCOBAR, see Marisol

EXQUISITE CORPSES (collaborative drawings)

94 *Cadavre Exquis: Figure, by André Breton, Max Morise, Jeannette, Pierre Naville, Benjamin Peret, Yves Tanguy, Max Ernst (?), (1928). Collage of pasted papers, 7⅜x5¼". The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Purchase

*95 *Cadavre Exquis, by André Breton, Greta Knutson, Valentine Hugo, Tristan Tzara. Copy after a lost original of ca. 1933. Ink, 9⅝x12¾". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Morton G. Neumann, Chicago. Ill. p. 40

FERNANDEZ, see Arman

FIÈVRE, Yolande. French, born Paris, 1915; lives in Paris

96 *The Captives. 1960. Sponge, driftwood, abrasives, sand, silk, 23½x28½". Galerie Daniel Cordier, Paris

*97 *The Guardians. 1961. Wood compartments, with driftwood, bark,
FINE, Perle. American, born Boston, Massachusetts, 1915; lives in Springs, New York and New York City


GARCIA, Mario. American; born New York City, 1927; lives in Southold, New York


GOERITZ, Mathias. German, born Danzig, 1915; lives in Mexico City

HALSTEAD, Whitney. American, born Van Wert, Iowa, 1926; lives in Chicago

HAUSMANN, Raoul. Austrian, born Vienna, 1886; lives in Limoges, France

HERMS, George. American, born Woodland, California, 1935; lives in Larkspur, California

HIRSCHER, Heinz E. German, born Stuttgart, 1927; lives in Stuttgart

HOCH, Hannah. German, born Gottha, 1899; lives in Berlin

INDIANA, Robert. American, born New Castle, Indiana, 1928; lives in New York


JACOBS, David T. American, born Niagara Falls, 1932; lives in Columbus, Ohio

JACOBS, David T. American, born Niagara Falls, 1932; lives in Columbus, Ohio

JACOBSEN, Robert. Danish, born Copenhagen, 1912; lives in Montfermiel, near Paris

JEAN, Marcel. French, born La Charité-sur-Loire, France, 1900; lives in Paris

JESS (Collins). American, born Long Beach, California, 1923; lives in San Francisco

JOHNS, Jasper. American, born Allendale, South Carolina, 1930; lives in New York

JOHNSON, David. American, born Long Beach, California, 1923; lives in San Francisco


JUDY, James. American, born New York City, 1925; lives in New York

JURD, John. American, born New York City, 1926; lives in New York

KESSLER, Christ. German, born Berlin, 1929; lives in New York


KLINE, Mark. American, born New York City, 1930; lives in New York

KROLL, George. American, born New York City, 1928; lives in New York

KROM, Hilde. American, born Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 1923; lives in New York

KUBIN, John. American, born New York City, 1926; lives in New York

HALSTEAD, Whitney. American, born Van Wert, Iowa, 1926; lives in Chicago

HANSELMANN, Raoul. Austrian, born Vienna, 1886; lives in Limoges, France

HERMS, George. American, born Woodland, California, 1935; lives in Larkspur, California

HIRSCHER, Heinz E. German, born Stuttgart, 1927; lives in Stuttgart

HOCH, Hannah. German, born Gottha, 1899; lives in Berlin

INDIANA, Robert. American, born New Castle, Indiana, 1928; lives in New York


JACOBS, David T. American, born Niagara Falls, 1932; lives in Columbus, Ohio

JACOBS, David T. American, born Niagara Falls, 1932; lives in Columbus, Ohio

JACOBSEN, Robert. Danish, born Copenhagen, 1912; lives in Montfermiel, near Paris

JEAN, Marcel. French, born La Charité-sur-Loire, France, 1900; lives in Paris

JESS (Collins). American, born Long Beach, California, 1923; lives in San Francisco

JOHNS, Jasper. American, born Allendale, South Carolina, 1930; lives in New York

JOHNSON, David. American, born Long Beach, California, 1923; lives in San Francisco


JURD, John. American, born New York City, 1926; lives in New York


KLINE, Mark. American, born New York City, 1930; lives in New York

KROLL, George. American, born New York City, 1928; lives in New York

KUBIN, John. American, born New York City, 1926; lives in New York

HALSTEAD, Whitney. American, born Van Wert, Iowa, 1926; lives in Chicago

HANSELMANN, Raoul. Austrian, born Vienna, 1886; lives in Limoges, France

HERMS, George. American, born Woodland, California, 1935; lives in Larkspur, California

HIRSCHER, Heinz E. German, born Stuttgart, 1927; lives in Stuttgart

HOCH, Hannah. German, born Gottha, 1899; lives in Berlin

INDIANA, Robert. American, born New Castle, Indiana, 1928; lives in New York


JACOBS, David T. American, born Niagara Falls, 1932; lives in Columbus, Ohio

JACOBS, David T. American, born Niagara Falls, 1932; lives in Columbus, Ohio

JACOBSEN, Robert. Danish, born Copenhagen, 1912; lives in Montfermiel, near Paris

JEAN, Marcel. French, born La Charité-sur-Loire, France, 1900; lives in Paris

JESS (Collins). American, born Long Beach, California, 1923; lives in San Francisco

JOHNS, Jasper. American, born Allendale, South Carolina, 1930; lives in New York
I LINOWSKI, Horst-Egon. German, born Düsseldorf, 1924; lives in Paris
121 May Night, 1961. Collage, gouache, velvet, metal thread, 12½ x 22”. Galerie Daniel Cordier, Paris

KIENBUSCH, William. American, born New York City, 1914; lives in New York

KIENHOLZ, Edward. American, born Fairfield, Washington, 1927; lives in Los Angeles
*123 John Doe, (1959). Two halves of armless male mannequin in child’s perambulator, oil paint, wood, metal, plaster, 41” high x 19” wide x 34” deep. Ferus Gallery, Los Angeles. Ill. p. 134
*124 Jane Doe, (1959). Wooden sewing chest with fur-rimmed drawers containing painted wooden objects, rubber dolls, and sandpaper; side cabinets, one velvet-lined, head and neck of female man- nequin, skirt of white bridal dress, oil paint, 42” high x 27” wide x 16” deep. Collection Miss Laura Lee Stearns, Los Angeles. Ill. p. 134

KIERZKOWSKI, Bronislaw. Polish, born Lodz, 1924; lives in Warsaw

de KONING, Willem. American, born Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 1904; to U.S.A. 1926; lives in New York

LABLAIS, Michel. French, born Paris 1925; lives in Paris
128 Negro, 1958. Torn and pasted photographs, 16½ x 8½”. Galerie Daniel Cordier, Paris

LAMALIS, Bernard. American, born Thomaston, Maine, 1922; lives in New York

LATHAM, John. British, born Africa, 1921; lives in London
*130 Shem, 1958. Hessian-covered door, with books, envelopes, stainless steel, copper, and other industrial metals; plaster of Paris, poly-vinyl cement; sprayed paint, oil, metallic and fluorescent paint; polyvinyl acetate; 8’4” x 4’. Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 123

*131 Seated Woman, (1918). Cut and pasted cardboard, with charcoal, 39 x 26½”. Collection Mr. and Mrs. G. David Thompson, Pittsburgh. Ill. p. 23

LEWITIN, Landes. American, born Cairo, Egypt, of Romanian parentage, 1892; lives in New York
133 Shrine, (1941). Collage of colored photoengravings, 17½ x 8½”. Rose Fried Gallery, New York

LOCKES, Seymour. American, born Chicago, Illinois, 1919; lives in San Francisco
134 Glue, (1959). Wood, copper foil, nails, bottle caps, jewelry, marbles, shells, fishing floats, laminating resin, clear lacquer, 17½” high x 35½” long. David Cole Gallery, Sausalito, California

LOVE, Jim. American, born Amarillo, Texas, 1927; lives in Houston
133 Figure, (1959). Soldered iron rods with brush, 16” high. Staempfli Gallery, New York

Lewitin: Innocence in a Labyrinth.
Cat. No. 132
McCAFFREY, Katherine Hynes. American. 136 House by the Railroad. (late 19th century). Colored wool yarn, executed on finely perforated cardboard with "scrap pictures" (colored and black and white lithographic reproductions) attached, 20½ x 27½". Schenectady Museum Association, New York


MAGNIELLI, Alberto. Italian, born Florence, 1888; lives in Meudon, near Paris 138 Collage. 1949. Cardboard, wrapping paper, newsprint, burlap, with conte crayon, 16¼ x 23¼". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Harry Lewis Winston, Birmingham, Michigan

MAGRITTE, René. Belgian, born Lessines, 1898; lives in Brussels 139 Painted bottle with carved wood stopper, 12" high. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Joseph R. Shapiro, Oak Park, Illinois. 111. p. 60

MALEVICH, Kasimir. Russian, born Kiev, 1878; died Leningrad, 1935 140 Lady at the Advertising Pillar. 1914. Oil on canvas, with pasted printed paper, tissue paper, cotton lace, 28½ x 23½". Stedelijk Museum, Amsterdam. Ill. p. 31


MARCA-RELLI, Corrado. American, born Boston, Massachusetts, 1913; lives in New York 143 The Snares. (1956). Oil on cut-up canvas and cloth, 49¼ x 58¾". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Arnold H. Maremont, Chicago. Ill. p. 100


Moskowitz: Untitled. Cat. No. 154

*162 Pousette-Dart, Richard. "The Mirror." 1948. Oil on pressed stone, with various metals, spoon, bottle caps, safety pin, sardine tin, seashell, buttons, wood, etc., 33x21\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Betty Parsons Gallery, New York

PRAMPOLINI, Enrico. Italian, born Modena, 1894; died Rome, 1956

165 Polimaterico automatismo. C. (1940). Oil on cardboard, with rubber tubing, clock works, mica, sponge, bone, 13x16". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Harry Lewis Winston, Birmingham, Michigan. (NY)

RAUSCHENBERG, Robert. American, born Port Arthur, Texas, 1925; lives in New York


RAY, Man. American, born Philadelphia, 1890; lives in Paris

*172 Thou. 1916. Pasted paper, crayon and varnish, on newspaper, mounted on board, 18x24". Galerie Rive Droite, Paris. Ill. p. 48

RAYSSE, Martial. French, born Nice, 1936; lives in Nice

178 Smoking Device. 1959. Plastic tubing, marbles, on pipe rack, 7\(\frac{1}{4}\)" high x 8\(\frac{3}{4}\)" wide. Collection Man Ray, Paris

RAYSE, Martial. French, born Nice, 1915; lives in Nice

RUDOWICZ, Teresa. Polish, born Torun, 1928; lives in Cracow
*183 Number 51. 1960. Pasted papers on cardboard, 14x18\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Felix Landau Gallery, Los Angeles Ill. p. 102

185 Collage. 1953. Pasted paper and cloth, 19x24". Collection Miss Elizabeth McFadden, New York
186 Collage. (ca. 1953). Pasted paper and cloth, 12x10\(\frac{1}{4}\)". Collection Miss Elizabeth McFadden, New York

SAGE, Kay. American, born Albany, New York, 1898; lives in Woodbury, Connecticut
187 The Great Impossible. 1961. Painted paper (watercolor and ink), cut and pasted on paper, with ground-glass lens and cellulose contact lenses, 12\(\frac{5}{8}\)x9\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Catharine Viviano Gallery, New York

de SAINT-PHALLE, Niki. American, born Paris, 1930; lives in Paris
*188 Tu est moi. 1960. Steel gear, toy pistol, hunting knife, steel file, hammer, cooking fork, nail scissors, razor blade, rope, in plaster, on painted plywood, 31\(\frac{1}{2}\)x29\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Private collection, New York. Ill. p. 122

SAMARAS, Lucas. American, born Kastoria, Greece, 1936; lives in West New York, New Jersey
189 Untitled. (1960-61). Plaster over feathers, nails, screws and nuts, pins and other hardware, flashlight bulbs, buttons, bullets, mirror-glass, toys, aluminum foil, Duco cement, on wood, 23x18\(\frac{1}{2}\)". Green Gallery, New York

SCHLOSS, Edith. American, born Offenbach, Germany, 1919; lives in New York
*190 Dow Road. 1958. Box of weathered wood, with torn printed page and wallpaper, lace, glass bottle, sprig of dried cranberry in flower, moth, insect, barnacle, 13" high x 9" wide x 4\(\frac{1}{2}\)" deep. Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 125

SCHWITTERS, Kurt. German, born Hanower, 1887; died Ambleside, England, 1948
The materials used in Schwitters’ collages are too diverse to itemize in detail for each work. In general they include tickets, stamps, wrappers, labels, newsprint; colored, printed, and plain papers, photographs, cardboard, weathered wood and metal, cloth, buttons, wire, etc.
191 Drawing R 2: Hansi-Schokolade (Zeichnung R 2: Hansi). 1918. 7\(\frac{3}{4}\)x5\(\frac{3}{4}\)". The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Purchase (NY)
*192 Drawing 6 (Zeichnung 6). 1918. 7\(\frac{5}{8}\)x5\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Solomon Etche, New York. Ill. p. 52
193 Picture with Light Center (Bild mit Heller Mitte). 1919. 33\(\frac{3}{4}\)x25\(\frac{3}{4}\)". The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Purchase (NY)
194 Metz 22. 1920. 65\(\frac{3}{4}\)x3\(\frac{3}{4}\)". The Museum of Modern Art, New York. Katherine S. Dreier Bequest

Raysse: Necropole, luxe, et parfum. Cat. No. 179

*235 *Samurai.* (1960). Welded cast iron and forged iron machine parts, ax, nuts and bolts, 33¼" high. Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 146


*236 From the other side of the bridge.* (1936). Painted wood and stuffed cloth, 5½" high x 18¼" long x 8½" wide. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Morton G. Neumann, Chicago. Ill. p. 65

TINGUELY, Jean. Swiss, born Basel, 1925; lives in Paris


TORRES-GARCIA, Joaquin. (1874-1949). Uruguayan, born and died in Montevideo


TUMARKIN, Yigael. Israeli, born Dresden, Germany, 1933; lives in Tel Aviv and Paris

240 *Rendez-vous in 22984.* 1951. Scrap metal, hardware, printer's type, oil and polyester on canvas, 23½x18¼x3¼". Collection Samuel Dubiner, Tel Aviv

VAIL, Laurence. American, born Paris, 1891; lives in Megève (Haute-Savoie) and Paris

*241 Bottle.* 1947. Painted bottle, with pasted papers; stopper of cork with glassless spectacles, brush and cloth, 16½" high. Galerie Iris Clert, Paris. Ill. p. 60

*242 Bottle.* (ca. 1947). Painted bottle and stopper, encrusted with plaster, cork, cellophane, plastic, rubber and tin toys, paper, glass, sponge, feathers, 16½" high. Collection Mr. and Mrs. Bernard J. Reis, New York. Ill. p. 60

243 *Composition.* 1951. Container, encrusted with plaster, wood, wire, fishbones, shells, glass, small-shells, porcelain, chess pieces, nuts, shells, dice, pipe bowl, 30½" high. Galerie Iris Clert, Paris

VERLON, André. No citizenship; born at sea (The Mediterranean), 1927; lives in Paris

*244 *No Escape?* (1958). Pasted colored photoengravings on paper, with gouache, 16¼x11”. Willy Verkauf, Vienna. Ill. p. 110

VICENTE, Esteban. American, born Segovia, Spain, 1906; to U.S.A. 1939; lives in New York

*245 Collage No. 10.* (1957). Pasted papers, with charcoal, on board, 30x24½”. André Emmerich Gallery, New York. Ill. p. 101

246 *Black and Blue.* (1961). Torn and pasted papers, cotton duck, charcoal on canvas, 24x27½”. André Emmerich Gallery, New York

von WIEGAND, Charmion. American, born Chicago; lives in New York

*252 *Dark Journey.* 1958. Oil on pasted papers, Japanese braided cord, with leather, on cardboard, 19¼x13½”. Owned by the artist. Ill. below

von Wiegand: *Dark Journey,* Cat. No. 252

WARZECZA, Marian. Polish, born Cracow, 1930; lives in Cracow

249 *Number 10.* 1960. Pasted letter papers, with handwriting in ink, on cardboard, with sections embossed; 14x24¼”. Felix Landau Gallery, Los Angeles

WATTS, Robert. American, born Burlington, Iowa, 1923; lives in Lebanon, New Jersey

250 *Collage.* 1958. Metal foil, cellophane, paper, plastic sheeting, nails, cotton fabric, gauze, on board, 40x28½”. Collection Mr. and Mrs. George Brecht, Metuchen, New Jersey

WESTERMANN, H. C. American, born Los Angeles, California, 1922; lives in Chicago

*251 About a Black Magic Maker.* (1959-60). Slot machine covered with wood-grained linoleum containing miscellaneous objects of plaster, aluminum, fur, rubber, plastic, brass, glass, etc., 8½” high x 26½” wide x 42” deep. Allan Frumkin Gallery, New York. Ill. p. 85

von Wiegand: *Dark Journey,* Cat. No. 252

WAGEMAKER, Jaap. Dutch, born Haarlem, 1906; lives in Amsterdam

*243 Metallic Grey.* 1960. Oil, aluminum egg-slicer and other hardware, wire, plastic, iron, tin, on board, 24x19½”. Owned by the artist. Ill. p. 122
ASSEMBLAGE: A WORKING BIBLIOGRAPHY

These references make no pretense at providing a comprehensive review of all artists, here and abroad, who may be linked to the concept of assemblage, both yesterday and today. Citations are limited to texts accessible in the Library. Between the polar limitations of time for investigation and space for publication, this bibliography reports selected titles of general interest (bibl. 1-40), followed by articles and reviews (bibl. 41-108) and some relevant catalogues of galleries and museums (bibl. 109-133). A group of miscellaneous citations on individual artists (bibl. 134-226) indicates particular attention to Cornell, Duchamp, Man Ray, and Schwitters. Important bibliographies in special fields are listed (bibl. 227-232). The preparatory research for visual material relevant to assemblage is also listed (bibl. 32). This investigation of widely scattered textual and pictorial resources will be transferred to continuous microfilm and positive paper prints. To this research for image, Lucy Lippard and K. L. McShine have lent their trained academic talents and earned the deep appreciation of this bibliographer. It is expected that educational color slides of many objects in the show will be provided by Sandak, Inc., the Museum's authorized agency, and black-and-white slides by Taurgo of New York.

Bernard Karpel
Librarian of the Museum

GENERAL REFERENCES


cataologue : New Forms — New Media, Oct. 1960 (bibl. 130), also in "It Is", no. 4, 1939. Photostat on deposit in Museum of Modern Art Library (40 p.). Note bibl. 76.

22 Levy, Julien. Surrealism. New York, Black Sun Press, 1936. With references to and illustrations by Man Ray and Cornell, including the latter's "Monseur Phot."


28 Moholy-Nagy, Laszlo. Vision in Motion. Chicago, Theobald, 1947. See index (p. 364) on collage, etc.


33 Pollack, Peter. The Picture History of Photography. New York, Abrams, 1958. "From the earliest beginnings to the present day."


"The importance of collage," p. 82-87, from the Saturday Review.

ARTICLES AND REVIEWS


"1959 Art News Annual XXVIII."


48 Breton, André. "C’est à vous de parler, jeune voyant des choses...


50 BRETON, ANDRE. "Numero special — Intervention surréaliste."

51 BRETON, ANDRE. "Rêve objet." Cahiers d'Art 10 no. 5-6 : 125 ill. 1935.

52 Buffet-Picabia, Gabrielle. Matières plastiques. XXe Siecle no. 2 : 31-35 ill. May 1, 1938.


92 [Reviews]. Art Index v. 6, Oct. 1944 — current.
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