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introduction

George Orwell in his *Homage to Catalonia* writes movingly of the generosity of the Spaniard and particularly of the Catalonian. "If you ask him for a cigarette," Orwell says, "he will force the whole packet upon you. And beyond this there is generosity in a deeper sense, a real largeness of spirit, which I have met with again and again in the most unpromising circumstances." At the same time Orwell comments upon the well-known indecisiveness, the procrastinating habits of the Spaniard. "In theory," he says, "I rather admire the Spaniards for not sharing our Northern time-neurosis; but unfortunately I share it myself."

One wonders whether an elastic time sense is not somehow related to largeness of spirit. In any case, I am reminded of these Spanish characteristics when thinking of the Catalonian, Julio Gonzalez, who did not find his real role as a sculptor until after he was fifty, but when he did find his way the spirit and grace of his conceptions became quickly manifest.

Since the Middle Ages there have been few sculptors in Spain of international importance. Even such Renaissance masters as Ber- ruguete and Diego de Siloe were heavily in debt to Italian teaching or example. But while Spanish sculpture for many centuries seldom rose above a provincial level, there is one related art in which the Spaniard has always excelled. The Moorish occupation of over eight hundred years left as one of its principal contributions a knowledge and love of decorative metal work, particularly in wrought iron. Much of the magnificence of Spain's church interiors is due to the extraordinary richness and beauty of metal grills, screens and candelabras. It is this tradition which is Gonzalez' inheritance.

Born in Barcelona in 1876, he came from a large artist-family, one principally engaged in the making of decorative metal work—lamps, lanterns, candelabras, floral ornaments in bronze, gilded and nicked, and in forged and beaten iron. The master craftsman of the workshop was the father Concordio, who was also a sculptor. His sons, Joan and Julio, and his daughters, Pilar and Dolores, all assisted him and eventually exhibited their metal work under his or their own names in the Barcelona Expositions of 1892 (when Julio is said to have won a gold medal), 1894, 1896 and 1898. In 1893 Joan and Julio also exhibited work in the World's Columbian Exposition in Chicago. In the 1896 catalog of the Barcelona Exposition Julio's entry was a "Branch of flowers of forged and beaten iron," which may be taken as typical of his early work.

At some time between 1891 and 1900, Julio is also said to have
done some work, along with many other Barcelona artists, on Antonio Gaudi's enormous and fantastic church, the Sagrada Familia, which then began building and was never completed. Whether one can claim any direct influence of Gaudi on the young metal worker it is hard to say. It may well be that the extraordinary fantasy of Gaudi's vegetable-like decorations, the perforated ornamentation of, for example, the spires and finials of the Sagrada Familia, left an impression on young Julio which bore similar fruit in the constructions in space of his maturity.*

Barcelona in the 1890s was the industrial, intellectual and artistic center of Spain. Probably in reaction to the cultural ferment of these years and also to the dominant position of father Concordio in the family workshop, Joan and Julio sought to elevate themselves from their craft status by studying in the evenings at the Barcelona School of Fine Arts. Julio was particularly attracted to painting, as was Joan. In Barcelona Joan became a friend of Picasso, who although younger than either of the Gonzalez brothers had already in the late '90s begun to make himself known as a painter. Perhaps following Picasso's example, and that of a number of young Barcelona artists, the two brothers went to Paris about 1900. There Joan renewed his acquaintance with Picasso and introduced him to Julio. The brothers also associated themselves with his friends, the poet Max Jacob, the critic Maurice Raynal, the sculptor Manolo and presumably other members of the Spanish colony, such as the sculptor Pablo Gargallo and the painter Zuloaga.

Julio was an extremely shy and retiring individual. As a consequence, he depended a great deal on the companionship of his more outgoing older brother. And when Joan died in 1908, Julio was inconsolable for many months. He gave up working for a time and abandoned all his friends, with the exception of Picasso and Brancusi, whom he had also come to know.

Previous to Joan's death, Julio had concentrated on painting, which shows the alternating influence of Puvis de Chavannes and Degas. After 1908, when he had recovered somewhat from the loss of his brother, he seems to have painted less and returned instead to metal work, mostly portrait masks and heads, hammered in bronze and silver. But what can only be described as a profound melancholia continued to affect him for many years. "Now began for my father," his daughter Roberta has said, "those long years of study, in a solitude that always increased. These eighteen years from 1908 to 1926 were without doubt the most dramatic of his

* Gonzalez' work for Gaudi is recalled by José de Creeft, the Spanish-American sculptor, who knew Gonzalez.
life up to that time. Practical difficulties, mental anguish, above all artistic anguish, the bitter fight with himself over the search for his true personality, were certainly the most depressing things he had to overcome. It was only towards his fiftieth year that Gonzalez, at last, foresaw dimly his true path.”*

His “true path” proved to be sculpture. During all the years of indecision he appears not to have been willing to admit finally that as a painter he was not particularly gifted and that his unique strength lay in his ability to cut and shape all kinds of metal. He had now only sixteen more years to live and his last two years, from 1940 to 1942, were interrupted by the crisis of war and he was forced to leave a number of sculptures unfinished. In effect, then, his major production as an artist was accomplished in about fourteen years. While he was not prolific, the range and increasing intensity of his work, in such a short time, are remarkable.

From about 1926 to 1930 his sculpture is quite diverse in character and usually on a small scale. Some of the pieces are cubistic, in a decorative sense, for example, The Couple, page 9. More ambitious are the Harlequin of 1929, page 10, which may owe something to Lipchitz’ “transparencies” of 1926 and later, and the Don Quixote, page 10, which is reminiscent of Gargallo’s mannered cubism of this time. In the late '20s also he continued to make masks (page 12), some frankly Negro in origin, one purporting to be Japanese, others cubist, and a series of reclining heads, continuing into the '30s (pages 13-15), whose prototype is Brancusi’s Sleeping Muse of 1909-10. Side by side with these more “modern” experiments Gonzalez executed a series of small figures and masks which in their flat, curvilinear decorativeness can perhaps best be described as art nouveau moderne with a cubist accent (page 11).

About 1931 a profound change takes place. The previous few years, one feels, were halting and uncertain in their stylistic waywardness. From 1931 to about 1936 Gonzalez’ sculpture becomes increasingly linear and near-abstract, always, however, with reference to the human figure. Surely there can be only one explanation for this new direction—Picasso. Between 1930 and 1932 Gonzalez assisted Picasso in the making of a number of iron constructions. From this collaboration one can only conclude that the greatest inventor of imagery in the twentieth century transmitted a new vision to his old friend and technical advisor. Nevertheless, I think it is indisputable that certain of these Picasso constructions bear the stamp of more than the technical hand of Gonzalez. Some have

a surface finish and elegance of contour, a lyrical decorativeness, that one associates with Gonzalez. Others have a rude, amateur-blacksmith look that better expresses the primitive, fetish-like imagery of Picasso.*

When one turns to Gonzalez' own constructions of the early '30s the lightness and elegance of his drawings in space are clearly distinguishable from the baroque exuberance of Picasso's line and forms. Gonzalez has said: "To project and design in space with the help of new methods, to utilize this space, and to construct with it, as though one were dealing with a newly acquired material—that is all I attempt." (See bibl. 5) Beginning with The Dream, page 16, of 1931 (which may still owe something to Picasso), he seeks obviously by increasingly abstract means to purify and thus to clarify his spatial concepts. The Woman Combing Her Hair of 1931 (page 17), the Woman with a Basket (page 18), a large and a small Standing Figure (page 19), and Maternity (page 21) are major steps in this development, where space itself becomes a positive medium of expression. The subtle play of angle and curve is the abstract definition of concrete space. All four of these constructions have an almost classic serenity in their linear balance and poised grace. In other constructions of the early '30s, The Prayer, Dancer with Disheveled Hair, The Angel and Dancer called "à la Palette," pages 20, 22, 23, there is an added note of gaiety that reveals an important facet of Gonzalez' otherwise shy, retiring nature.

Together with these primarily linear constructions Gonzalez also made a number in welded sheet iron in which, by an interplay of angular or circular shapes, he cuts and circumscribes space in most complex ways (Head called "the Swiss Woman," page 24, and Head called "the Big Trumpet," page 25). What intricate and often humorous variations he plays upon this head theme! And in still another set of variations he explores the relationships of cylinder, cone and triangle with witty results (Face called "the Tunnel," The Lovers, and two simply titled Head, pages 26-27).

About 1934 (if the present dating of his work is approximately accurate) Gonzalez begins to extend further the spatial complexity of his sculpture by employing three-dimensional forms as a foil to the rod and thin sheet elements of the earlier constructions. The beginnings of this new approach can be seen in the Figure called "the Giraffe," page 28, where the body of the animal has been filled in with a mass of iron scraps loosely welded together, leaving open-

* See D. H. Kahnweiler: Les Sculptures de Picasso, pl. 15 and compare pls. 27, 28 with 29, 30.
nings for light to penetrate. In the Head, page 29, a similar semi-transparent circular mass of fragments is set off against a tubular, crescent-shaped form which terminates in a linear stylization of hair and teeth. In the Head with a Mirror, page 29, the neck and mirror are solid pyramidal and disk forms and the head and hair are again linear and space-defining accompaniments.

The next stage of this play of mass, line and space combines hollow volumes of sheet iron with plate and rod shapes. In these particular constructions, I think, Gonzalez reached the peak of his formal and spatial invention. The sinuous, melodic Reclining Figure (page 30), the small Elongated Figure (page 30), the more severely abstract variants of Seated Woman (pages 32-33), the integration of angular and curved motifs in the Woman with a Mirror (page 34) and the counterpoint of line and mass in the Woman Combing Her Hair (page 35), all remind one of Gonzalez' passion for music. Beethoven and Mozart he loved, and above all Palestrina and Vittoria. He collected many music scores and sang and accompanied himself on the mandolin. Perhaps Gonzalez' constructions in iron are related in an intimate way to the curving shapes and hollow construction of the violin, the guitar and the mandolin (abstractions themselves of the human body). Even the patina of the metal reminds one of the polished texture of stringed instruments, long handled and rubbed.

While Gonzalez continued to produce near-abstract sculpture until the end of the '30s when he did his grimly humorous Cactus Man I and Cactus Man II, both on page 37, he never gave up working in a naturalistic manner. Witness his Head of the Little Montserrat, the small silver Standing Peasant Woman, the Little Egyptian Torso and the larger Torso, page 38. All of these relatively small pieces are in a way preparations for one of Gonzalez' masterworks—the life-size figure of The Montserrat, 1936-37, page 39. Named after the holy mountain of Catalonia, this peasant woman with a sickle in her hand and a child on her arm, Egyptian in formal simplicity, Gothic in sentiment, is Gonzalez' answer, as was Picasso's Guernica, to the desperate agonies of the Spanish civil war. Both were exhibited in the Spanish Pavilion of the 1937 Paris Exposition. In The Montserrat all the prettiness of the earlier Head of the Little Montserrat is gone and in its place there is a heroic quality of feeling—violence under restraint. The Mask of the Crying Montserrat, page 40, also of 1936, is more obviously tragic in expression, reminding one a little of the head of Rude's La Marseillaise on the Arc de Triomphe. Gonzalez' mask has none of the fantastic distortions of the crying heads in Picasso's Guernica.
although they must be considered together as symbols of the pain and anguish of war. And it is actually to this same symbol that Gonzalez returns at the end of his life, during the opening years of World War II. By then, however, for want of oxygen and acetylene he could no longer weld his favorite iron and had to resort to drawings or to modeling in plaster. In this medium he left an unfinished figure of a kneeling woman, screaming in agony, only the head of which, so far as I know, has been cast (Head of the Montserrat II, page 41). The numerous drawings of these last years are either related to this final Montserrat, pages 40-41, or represent a prolific stream of ideas for metal constructions which Gonzalez was never to execute, pages 42-44.

One cannot begin to estimate the influence of his space-describing metal sculpture on younger sculptors of today, both in Europe and America. The debt of such English sculptors as Butler, Chadwick and Thornton is obvious. The Italian Lardera, the German Uhlmann, the Dane Jacobsen, to mention only a few, have all looked consciously or unconsciously to him for inspiration. And in America, the preoccupation of such sculptors as Smith, Roszak, Ferber, Hare, Lippold and Lipton with welded metal as a medium and the exploitation of space as a positive attribute of their sculpture is surely the result of Gonzalez’ pioneering art.

What a debt twentieth-century art owes to Spain: the protean imagination of Picasso; the refinement and intellectual probity of Gris; the humor and fantasy of Miro; the dignity, the largeness and the gentleness of spirit of Gonzalez.

Left: TWO PEASANT WOMEN. 1929. Iron. 9\(\frac{1}{4}\)". Collection Mme Roberta Gonzalez, Paris.

MASK OF PILAR "IN THE SUN." 1929. Iron. 7\(\frac{1}{4}\)". Collection Mme Roberta Gonzalez, Paris.


RECLINING HEAD OF A MAN. 1935. Bronze, 5\(\frac{1}{2}\) x 10\(\frac{3}{4}\)". Collection Mme Berlon, Paris.

DANCER CALLED "À LA PALETTE." 1933. Iron, 30½".
Collection Mme Roberta Gonzalez, Paris.

HEAD. 1933. Silver, 5½". Private Collection, New York.


WOMAN WITH A MIRROR. 1936.
WOMAN COMBING HER HAIR.


Right: ANXIOUS MOTHER. 1941. Pen and wash, 8½ x 4". Collection Mme Roberta Gonzalez, Paris.
STUDY FOR THE MONTSERRAT II. 1941. Ink and charcoal, 6 x 7 7/8". Private Collection, New York.

Below: HEAD OF THE MONTSERRAT II. 1942. Bronze, 12 1/2". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Charles Zadok, Milwaukee.
The age of iron began many centuries ago by producing very beautiful objects, unfortunately for a large part, arms. Today, it provides as well, bridges and railroads. It is time this metal ceased to be a murderer and the simple instrument of a super-mechanical science. Today the door is wide open for this material to be, at last, forged and hammered by the peaceful hands of an artist.

Only a cathedral spire can show us a point in the sky where our soul is suspended!

In the disquietude of the night the stars seem to show to us points of hope in the sky; this immobile spire also indicates to us an endless number of them. It is these points in the infinite which are precursors of the new art: 'To draw in space.'

The important problem to solve here is not only to wish to make a work which is harmonious and perfectly balanced—No! But to get this result by the marriage of material and space. By the union of real forms with imaginary forms, obtained and suggested by established points, or by perforation—and, according to the natural law of love, to mingle them and make them inseparable, one from another, as are the body and the spirit. See bibl. 37.

To project and design in space with the help of new methods, to utilize this space, and to construct with it, as though one were dealing with a newly acquired material—that is all I attempt.

The synthetic deformities of material forms, of color, of light; the perforations, the absence of compact planes, give the work a mysterious, fantastic, indeed diabolical aspect. The artist, in the very process of transposing the forms of nature, in breathing new life into them, collaborates at the same time with the space which ennobles them. See bibl. 5.
reply to a question on contemporary art

The masses attach themselves to that art which responds to their needs.

Those of the Middle Ages saw cathedrals, those of our day see collectivist constructions embellished with decorations of an abstract tendency.

The true artists are of their time. It cannot be otherwise since, if it is true that the age creates its artists, it is because the artists have determined the age.

Spiritually, el Greco was of his time and of his country. Did his era understand him? Why did he sink into oblivion over the centuries? And why did he reappear in France as the most modern master, of the purest plasticity, of our school of painting?

In his Ninth Symphony, Beethoven wanted to create music for all. Did he succeed? Does the public love this music more than the other symphonies? Does the public understand it better than the Fifth, which was not created for it?

Did not Beethoven himself, in the finale of his Ninth, lose some of his genius because of having tried to bend it to popular taste?

Why demand everything of the artist? Why not also demand of the spectators that each one, according to his capabilities, try to elevate himself to the work of art? If they don’t succeed at the first try, let them persist, even several times. I have often done this.

If one generation has not completely succeeded in its efforts, the following generation may succeed.

Whether the public understands or not, the artist must surrender nothing to the public. Besides, why must one understand? Does one understand nature? Nevertheless, the masses often fall in admiration before it.

The pretty in art produces the trinket.

If one makes out a program for oneself or allows a program to be imposed, the spirit is no longer kept alert. See bibl. 1.

picasso as sculptor

It gives me great pleasure to speak of Picasso as a sculptor. I have always considered him a “man of form,” because by nature he has the spirit of form. Form is in his early paintings and in his most recent.

In 1908, at the time of his first cubist paintings, Picasso gave us form not as a silhouette, not as a projection of the object, but by
putting planes, syntheses, and the cube of these in relief, as in a "construction."

With these paintings, Picasso told me, it is only necessary to cut them out—the colors are only the indications of different perspectives, of planes inclined from one side or the other—then assemble them according to the indications given by the color, in order to find oneself in the presence of a "Sculpture." The vanished painting would hardly be missed. He was so convinced of it that he executed several sculptures with perfect success.

Picasso must have felt himself to be of a true sculptor's temperament, because in recalling this period of his life to me, he said: "I have never been so content" or "I was so happy."

Later, in 1931, at the time when he was working on the sculpture —Monument to Apollinaire—, I often heard him repeat "I feel myself once more as happy as I was in 1908."

I have observed many times that there is no form which leaves him indifferent. He looks at everything, on all sides, because all forms represent something to him; and he sees everything as sculpture.

Again, recently, having gathered some sticks of white wood in his studio, he carved the beautiful sculptures published here with his little pen-knife (retaining the planes and dimensions of each piece, each one of them suggesting a different figure to him), which will undoubtedly arouse a great deal of interest.

To my mind, the mysterious side, the nerve center, so to speak, of the work of Picasso, is in his formal power. It is this power which has caused so much talk of his work, which has gained so much glory for him. See bibl. 3.
1876  Born September 21 in Barcelona. Father a goldsmith and sculptor, from whom Gonzalez learns the craft of metal work.


1900  About this date moves with his artist brother Joan to Paris where he meets Picasso, who becomes his lifelong friend. Devotes himself chiefly to painting.

1908  Death of his brother Joan. His loss deeply felt; Gonzalez isolates himself from most of his friends, except Picasso and Brancusi. Unable to work for a long period.

1910  Resumes work and makes a tentative return to sculpture. For many years hereafter he suffers from self-doubt, uncertain whether to continue as a painter or as a sculptor.

1926  About this year his decision made to concentrate on sculpture.

1930–32  Gives Picasso technical assistance on welded iron constructions. At this time his own maturity as a sculptor is reached. Much of his sculpture takes on a near-abstract quality. Becomes a member of constructivist group Cercle et Carré (Circle and Square), organized in 1930 by the Uruguayan painter Juan Torres-Garcia, who had gone to Barcelona at 17 and later settled in Paris.

1931  Exhibits Galerie “le Centaure,” Brussels.


1937  The Montserrat exhibited Spanish Pavilion, Paris Exposition.

1940  Forced to abandon welded metal sculpture because of war-induced shortage of oxygen and acetylene. Turns instead to drawings and modeling in plaster.

1942  Begins a second Montserrat in plaster, representing a kneeling, screaming woman, a second response to the agonies of war. This sculpture left unfinished, the head only having been cast in bronze. Dies March 27 at 8 rue R.S. Barbouz, Arcueil, a suburb of Paris, where he lived and had his studio.
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In dimensions height precedes width.

5 Don Quixote. 1929. Iron, 17". Ill. p. 11
8 Mask of Pilar “in the Sun.” 1929. Iron, 7 1/2". Ill. p. 11
9 Two Peasant Women. 1929. Iron, 9 3/4". Ill. p. 11
14 The Dream. 1931. Iron, 26 3/8". Ill. p. 16
15 Woman Combing Her Hair. 1931. Iron, 48". Ill. p. 17
16 Woman with a Basket. c.1931. Iron, 71". Ill. p. 18
17 Standing Figure. 1932. Iron, 50 1/2". Ill. p. 19
19 Dancer with Disheveled Hair. c.1932. Iron, 20". Ill. p. 20
20 Standing Figure. 1932. Silver, 8 1/4". Philadelphia Museum of Art, A. E. Gallatin Collection. Ill. p. 19

24 Face called “the Tunnel.” 1933. Iron, 18 3/4". Ill. p. 26
26 Head. 1933. Silver, 5 1/2". Private collection, New York. Ill. p. 27
27 Head. 1933. Silver, 6 1/2". Ill. p. 27
28 Maturity. 1933. Iron, 52 3/4". Ill. p. 21
30 Dancer called “à la Paletot.” 1933. Iron, 30 1/2". Ill. p. 23
31 Figure called “the Giraffe.” 1934. Iron, 37 1/2". Ill. p. 28
34 Standing Peasant Woman. 1934. Silver, 6 3/4". Ill. p. 38 ( Exhibited in New York only.)
35 Little Egyptian Torso. 1934. Iron, 10". Ill. p. 38
36 Little Silver Mask. 1934. Silver, 2 3/8 x 3". Ill. p. 13
37 Head called “the Cagoulard.” 1934. Bronze, 9". Ill. p. 14
38 Reclining Figure. 1934. Iron, 17 x 37". Collection Nelson A. Rockefeller, New York. Ill. p. 1 and 30
39 Elongated Figure. 1935. Iron, 9 1/2". Ill. p. 30
41 Seated Woman. 1935. Iron, 46 3/4". Ill. p. 32
42 Reclining Head of a Man. 1935. Bronze, 5 1/2 x 10 3/4". Collection Mme Berton, Paris. Ill. p. 15
44 Little Dancer. 1935. Iron, 6 3/4". Ill. p. 31
45 Little Venus. 1935. Iron, 8 3/8". Ill. p. 31
46 Woman with a Mirror. 1936. Iron, 82 3/4". Ill. p. 34
48 Figure called “the Big Sickle.” 1936. Bronze, 18".
50 Mask of the Crying Montserrat. 1936. Bronze, 12". Ill. p. 40
53 Dancer called “à la Marguerite.” 1938. Iron, 18 3/4". Ill. p. 36

46
54 Cactus Man I. 1939-40. Iron, 26". Ill. p. 37
55 Cactus Man II. 1939-40. Iron, 31 x 34". Ill. p. 37
56 Abstract Figure. 1942. Bronze, 13". Collection Mme Berton, Paris. Ill. p. 36
57 Head of the Montserrat II. 1942. Bronze, 12 x 4". Collection Mr. and Mrs. Charles Zadok, Milwaukee. Ill. p. 41

Paintings, Drawings, Enamels.
58 Woman, Child, the Sea. c.1900-05. Oil on cardboard, 21 x 15 1/2". Ill. p. 8
59 The Foot Bath. c.1900-05. Oil on cardboard, 16 1/2 x 12 1/4". Ill. p. 6
60 Self Portrait. 1941. Oil on plywood, 10 1/4 x 6 1/4". Collection Mme Gonzalez-Roux, Paris.
61 Woman on her Knees. c.1905-08. Crayon and pastel, 11 x 14 1/2".
62 Woman Washing her Arms. c.1906-08. Watercolor and crayon, 5 1/4 x 11".
63 Face of a Little Girl. c.1912-14. Pastel, 7 1/4 x 7 1/4".
64 Head of a Man with a Beard. 1936. Pen and crayon, 9 1/4 x 6 1/4".
65 Head of a Woman. 1936. Pen and watercolor, 8 1/4 x 7 1/4".
66 Inelided Woman's Head. 1936. Pen and crayon, 8 1/4 x 6 1/4".
67 Elongated Head. 1936. Pen and watercolor, 10 1/2 x 6".
68 Abstract Figure with a Triangular Spot. 1936. Wash and crayon, 7 3/4 x 6".
69 Figure with a Horn. 1937. Pen and crayon, 11 3/4 x 7 1/2".
70 Figures—Daisies. 1938. Pen and crayon, 12 1/4 x 7 3/4".
71 Cactus Man. 1939. Pen and crayon, 10 1/4 x 7".
72 Two Peasant Women. 1940. Pen and wash, 9 1/2 x 6 1/4".
73 Crying Head. 1940. Pen and wash, 12 1/4 x 9 1/4". Ill. p. 40
74 Severe Mask. 1940. Pen and wash, 11 3/4 x 9 1/4".
75 Figure with a Cup. 1941. Crayon and wash, 8 1/4 x 5 7/8". Ill. p. 42
76 Two Figures with White Linen. 1941. Charcoal, wash and crayon, 9 1/2 x 6 1/4".
77 Anxious Mother. 1941. Pen and wash, 8 1/2 x 4". Ill. p. 40
78 Anxious Mother. 1941. Pen, watercolor and pencil, 7 1/4 x 5 3/4".
79 L'Élan. 1941. Pen and wash, 14 1/2 x 11". Ill. p. 43
80 The Appeal. 1941. Pen and wash, 9 1/2 x 12 1/4".
81 Figure of Terror J. 1941. Pen and wash, 10 1/2 x 7".
82 Figure of Terror II. 1941. Pen and wash, 12 1/2 x 9 1/2". Ill. p. 44
83 Abstract Figure. 1941. Watercolor and crayon, 10 1/4 x 7". Ill. p. 43
84 Figure in Magnificence. 1941. Crayon, pen and wash, 9 1/2 x 6 1/4".
85 Figure, or "the Offering." 1941. Pen and crayon, 12 3/4 x 9 1/2".
86 Figure with a Grand Air. 1941. Watercolor and pen, 10 1/4 x 7 3/4".
87 Abstract. 1941. Watercolor and pen, 9 1/2 x 6 1/4".
88 Study for the Montserrat II. 1941. Ink and charcoal, 6 x 7 3/4". Private collection, New York. Ill. p. 41
89 Standing Man. 1942. Crayon, 9 1/4 x 8 1/4".
90 The Dream. 1936. Enamel on copper, 5 1/2 x 3 1/2".
91 Face. 1936. Enamel on copper, 4 3/4 x 3 3/4".
92 Abstraction. 1936. Enamel on copper, 6 x 4".
93 Picasso Portrait of Julio Gonzalez. c.1900-04. Watercolor, 12 1/8 x 9 7/8". Ill. p. 3
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