

New horizons in American art

With an introduction by Holger Cahill

Author

Museum of Modern Art (New York, N.Y.)

Date

1936


Publisher

The Museum of Modern Art

Exhibition URL

www.moma.org/calendar/exhibitions/2942

The Museum of Modern Art's exhibition history—from our founding in 1929 to the present—is available online. It includes exhibition catalogues, primary documents, installation views, and an index of participating artists.



NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

WITH AN INTRODUCTION BY HOLGER CAHILL

NATIONAL DIRECTOR • FEDERAL ART PROJECT

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

*With an introductory essay by
HOLGER CAHILL, National
Director Federal Art Project,
Works Progress Administration*

4 52

"For the first time in American art history a direct and sound relationship has been established between the American public and the artist . . . New horizons have come into view. American artists have discovered that they have work to do in the world."

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART is the story of the great national undertaking which has introduced so dramatic and vital an element into the pattern of our cultural life. "A visual report to the public" of the Federal Art Project's first year, it is as inspiring as well as an informative document. In thirty-three forthright and enlightening pages Holger Cahill discusses the specific values—social, economic and esthetic—of a nation-wide enterprise. One hundred and two plates of murals, easel paintings, children's work and allied arts serve as a sample of what has been produced by American artists whose work, in many cases, has never before been exhibited or reproduced. Essential to everyone interested in the progress of American art, the book is equally important to everyone interested in the progress of America.

176 pages; 102 plates

Price \$2.50

Archive MMA
52

DUST JACKET FOR BOOK -

New Horizons in American Art

Exhibition No. 52.

N.B. DUST JACKET IN VERY FRAGILE CONDITION.

USE ONLY WITH GREAT CARE.

Examined by MOMA Library
March, 1980.



NEW IN AM


With an
HOLGER
Director
Works Pr

"For the first
history a di
ship has bee
American p
New horizo
American a
they have v

NEW HO
CAN ART
national un
roduced se
element int
tural life.
public" of t
first year, it
an informat
three forth
pages Holg
specific valu
esthetic—of
One hundre
rals, easel pa
and allied a
what has be
ican artists
cases, has ne
or reproduc
one interest
American a
important to
the progress

176 pages

Price \$2.



NEW
IN AM

With an
HOLG
Director
Works F

"For the
history a
ship has be
American
New hori
American
they have

NEW H
CAN AR
national u
troduced
element in
tural life.
public" of
first year,
an inform
three fort
pages Ho
specific va
esthetic—o
One hund
rals, easel
and allied
what has b
ican artist
cases, has n
or reprodu
one intere
American
important
the progres

176 page
Price \$2.

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

WITH AN INTRODUCTION BY HOLGER CAHILL

NATIONAL DIRECTOR • FEDERAL ART PROJECT

THE MUSEUM OF MODERN ART

NEW YORK • 1936

NEW
IN AN

With an
HOLG
Director
Works F

"For the
history a
ship has be
American
New hori
American
they have

NEW H
CAN AR
national u
troduced
element in
tural life.
public" of
first year,
an inform
three for
pages Ho
specific va
esthetic—c
One hund
rals, easel
and allied
what has
ican artist
cases, has n
or reprod
one intere
American
important
the progre

Copyright, September, 1936, The Museum of Modern Art, New York

176 page
Price \$2

Archive
MMA
52

A13, 910

CONTENTS

FOREWORD by Alfred H. Barr, Jr. *page* 7

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART
by Holger Cahill *page* 9

PLATE SECTION *page* 43

MURALS

EASEL PAINTINGS

GRAPHIC ARTS

SCULPTURE

ALLIED ARTS

CHILDREN'S WORK

CATALOG OF THE EXHIBITION *page* 137

INDEX OF ARTISTS *page* 169

The design for the jacket has been adapted from the cartoon of a mural,
"The Influence of Science on Textile Production" by Michael Loew of
New York.

NEW
IN AN

With an
HOLGE
Director
Works P

"For the
history a
ship has be
American
New hori
American
they have

NEW H
CAN AR
national u
troduced
element in
tural life.
public" of
first year,
an inform
three for
pages Ho
specific va
esthetic—o
One hund
rals, easel
and allied
what has l
ican artist
cases, has n
or reprod
one intere
American
important
the progre

176 page
Price \$2

TRUSTEES

A. Conger Goodyear, *President*
Nelson A. Rockefeller, *1st Vice-President*
Mrs. John S. Sheppard, *2nd Vice-President*
Samuel A. Lewisohn, *Treasurer*

Cornelius N. Bliss
Mrs. Robert Woods Bliss
Stephen C. Clark
Mrs. W. Murray Crane
The Lord Duveen of Millbank
Marshall Field
Edsel B. Ford
Philip Goodwin
Mrs. Charles S. Payson
Mrs. Stanley Resor
Mrs. John D. Rockefeller, Jr.

Beardsley Ruml
Paul J. Sachs
Edward M. M. Warburg
John Hay Whitney

HONORARY TRUSTEES

Frederic Clay Bartlett
Frank Crowninshield
Duncan Phillips
Mrs. Cornelius J. Sullivan

STAFF

Alfred H. Barr, Jr., *Director*; Thomas Dabney Mabry, Jr., *Executive Director*; Ernestine M. Fantl, *Curator of Architecture and Industrial Art*; Dorothy C. Miller, *Assistant Curator of Painting and Sculpture*; Beaumont Newhall, *Librarian*; Frances Collins, *Manager of Publications*; Elodie Courter, *Secretary of Circulating Exhibitions*; Sarah Newmeyer, *Director of Publicity*; Ione Ulrich, *Assistant to Executive Director*; Alice Mallette, *Registrar*; John Ekstrom, *Superintendent of Building*; Ernest Tremp, *Assistant at Information Desk*. MUSEUM OF MODERN ART FILM LIBRARY: John E. Abbott, *Director*; Iris Barry, *Curator*.

DIRECTOR OF THE EXHIBITION

Dorothy C. Miller, *Assistant Curator of Painting and Sculpture*.

NEW IN AN

With an
HOLGE
Director
Works F

"For the
history a
ship has be
American
New hori
American
they have

NEW H
CAN AR
national u
troduced
element in
tural life.
public" of
first year,
an inform
three for
pages Ho
specific va
esthetic—c
One hund
rals, easel
and allied
what has
ican artist
cases, has n
or reprod
one intere
American
important
the progre

176 page
Price \$2

FOREWORD

This exhibition of work done under the Federal Art Project of the Works Progress Administration is intended to serve as a visual report to the public: a documented survey of one year's activity. In certain respects it is of necessity incomplete. Murals, decorative sculpture and various other types of work produced on the Project are unsuited to museum presentation. A great deal of work worthy of inclusion could not be shown because of lack of space. Despite these limitations, the exhibition can justly be called comprehensive. The material, assembled from every section of the United States, has been selected by the director of the exhibition for its artistic value alone, no effort being made to consider it from a regional aspect. Taken as a whole, apart from its interest as an index of individual talents, it reveals certain major trends in contemporary American art.

The Museum acknowledges with thanks the invaluable assistance of Miss Constance Rourke and Mr. Holger Cahill, National Director of the Federal Art Project, in the preparation of the catalog.

ALFRED H. BARR, JR.

NEW IN AN

With an
HOLGE
Director
Works F

"For the
history a
ship has be
American
New hori
American
they have

NEW H
CAN AR
national u
troduced
element in
tural life.
public" of
first year,
an inform
three for
pages Ho
specific va
esthetic—c
One hund
rals, easel
and allied
what has
ican artist
cases, has r
or reprod
one intere
American
important
the progre

176 page
Price \$2

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

When the long view of American art is taken it becomes clear that the American artist has rarely had a full and free relationship with a public or with his own time. The cleavage has become increasingly apparent under the stress of social and economic uncertainties which have faced the American artist sharply since the middle of the nineteenth century, and which have faced him with tragic immediacy in recent years.

American art has always been extremely sensitive to cosmopolitan ideas, and throughout its history has responded to rapid waves of influence from abroad, but the breaks and changes of which one is so conscious in art have not been due simply to alterations in European styles or techniques and our response to them. Far more deeply they are the result of disturbances in the relationship between the artist and his public. Changes in art theories, in styles and techniques, draw more force from the social environment than most art historians are willing to admit. The course of social change, accelerated at certain periods, retarded at others, has always been registered in art. In the recent past there has been a great deal of talk about new forms, but the artist has always made use of whatever forms are available, modifying and altering them to meet new situations. Art is a normal social growth deeply rooted in the life of mankind and extremely sensitive to the environments created by human society.

There is a theory that art always somehow takes care of itself, as if it were a rootless plant feeding upon itself in sequestered places. Many people are willing to believe, in a time like this, when art patronage has dwindled to infinitesimal proportions, that it is not necessary for organized society to do anything in particular, because no matter what happens, a few artists starving in garrets will see to it that art does not die. It is quite obvious that this theory will not hold. Consider the case of Colonial and early Republican portrait painting. Looking at the work of men like Fiske, Copley, Earl, and Stuart, one might assume that the excellence of early American portraiture is due simply to the fortunate fact that these talented men happened to be alive. What would have become of these talents if the landed aristocracy and the rich merchants had not been equal to the

NEW IN AM

*With an
HOLG
Director
Works F*

"For the
history a
ship has be
American
New hori
American
they have

NEW H CAN AR

national u
troduced
element in
tural life.
public" of
first year,
an inform
three for
pages Ho
specific va
esthetic—c

One hund
rals, easel
and allied
what has
ican artist
cases, has r
or reprod
one inter
American
important
the progre

176 pag
Price \$2

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

event, if there had been no sound tradition of art patronage, no social interest in portraiture and no active demand for it? The answer is supplied by history.

Social and economic changes early in the nineteenth century put an end to the greatest portrait school this country has produced. When the landed aristocracy which had dominated the eighteenth century was superseded by the beneficiaries of the industrial order portrait painting declined. And as photography came into general use toward the middle of the nineteenth century—along with the general spread of the print—our great portrait tradition entered a twilight zone from which it could not be rescued, not even by the prosaic honesty of Eastman Johnson, the incorruptible integrity of Thomas Eakins, the technical skill of Duveneck, or the extraordinary virtuosity of Sargent.

During the latter half of the eighteenth century and the first decades of the nineteenth, public appreciation of art was dominated by the Colonial tradition of good taste which in its latter phases tended to make of American art no more than a tasteful resumé of earlier European practice. Yet, during this long era, native strains definitely appeared. The period between 1820 and 1870 was far more provincial—and so in a sense more genuinely American—than the eighteenth century had been. Into the cultural life of the eastern seaboard came the upsetting spirit of frontier democracy, brushing aside in many localities the earlier, more aristocratic tradition and creating a new homespun quality in American art. One might say that American art was renewing itself through new contacts with the American earth and the American people. The frontier was unfolding; population was growing rapidly under the stimulus of industrialism. It was the day of Andrew Jackson and Davy Crockett, of frontier democracy and the rise of the common man. It was also the great period of folk and popular art. The level of taste drifted toward the provincial-popular.

ART AND THE COMMON MAN

The interests of the common man began definitely to shape American art in this period, particularly through the medium of the print. Perfection of new processes of printing and engraving resulted in hundreds of publi-

cations, which in turn furnished a market for illustrators who could depict the American scene and American racial and social types. Prints could easily be circulated throughout a large country in which the means of transportation were still limited. Even the backwoodsman often possessed almanacs in which woodcuts and line engravings appeared. Colored lithographs, such as those of Currier and Ives, appeared everywhere. Prints exerted an influence not only upon the popular appreciation of art but also upon the ideas and techniques of artists.

Further, in the paintings of the popular scene, in the landscapes of the Hudson River school, and in the panoramas of the painters of spectacular landscapes, the public found an art which had a genuine use. It formulated and stimulated ideas or sentiments concerning people and places, which were of value in the social and political development of the nation. With the exception of certain Europe-trained painters and a group of sculptors who were busied with Italianate imitations, most of the artists of this period were thus in harmony with dominant interests of their age. If their taste was not always of the best, it was an honest taste, a genuine reflection of community interests and of community experience.

LOSS OF SOCIAL CONTEXT

After the Civil War the picture changed completely, and American art began to be subjected to disintegrating forces which have been active up to our own generation. The rapid expansion of industrialism made for the dominance of social groups which had no tradition of art patronage and little interest in art except as it might serve as the badge of a newly-acquired social distinction or as an object of conspicuous display. Roger Fry and other writers have observed that whenever a situation of this sort arises its associated phenomenon is usually that of extreme vulgarity in taste. After the Civil War the new generation of art patrons demanded the grandiose, the vulgar, the spectacular, the over-embellished, and the over-genteel—this last as a means of obliterating their crude beginnings. Archæology and astute dealers supplied them with art objects which had somehow been associated with grandeur in the past and which were still associated with the taste of the socially powerful in Europe. The American

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

patron turned to esthetic fragments torn from their social background but trailing clouds of aristocratic glory.

The serious result of this wasteful showiness was less the spread of vulgarity than the dislocation of art in this period from its social context. In a society with such aims there was little place for the creative artist whose concern was with the expression of human experience. Faced with social indifference and dissatisfied with the techniques and ideals of their craft as they were then practiced in this country, American artists were compelled to seek a healthier environment elsewhere, and often sought this in Europe. The question of Henry James, "Is one's only safety, then, in flight?" was answered in the affirmative by many American artists. Whistler, Mary Cassatt and a host of others fled to Europe. In America, Albert Pinkham Ryder drew about him the cloak of the solitary; Thomas Eakins suffered almost complete isolation and neglect; and Winslow Homer, one of the few major artists who found public support in his generation, in the end withdrew from it.

CHANGING CONCEPT OF NATURE

The first really serious breach between the American artist and his public had occurred. This breach gradually widened to the point where the artist virtually lost contact with his social environment, and his plight became more difficult because he was influenced by profound changes, taking place in the latter years of the century, as to concepts of nature and its relation to art. Throughout most of the eighteenth century and well into the nineteenth the concept of nature had served as a unifying element in literature as well as in the fine arts. Nature had been conceived as a principle underlying the forms and phenomena of the visual world, drawing them into a harmonious and purposive whole, benevolent and somehow friendly to man's interests and ideals. Art had been conceived as a harmony dependent upon the harmony of nature.

Thus for a long period nature provided a satisfying relationship for the artist, giving him a sense of continuity and completion. This conception of nature as a unifying force is implicit in the painting of the Hudson River school and in the work of such men as Ryder, Homer, and Inness. But these

were the last American painters of major stature in whose work appears any measurable echo of this romantic conception. In their later years, toward the end of the nineteenth century, art in this country was swept by a movement which meant a radical alteration in basic attitudes. This was French Impressionism. Recognition of the fact that a conception of nature was no longer a unifying element in art was implicit in Impressionist methods. Nature was no longer a harmony to be studied. It became something that furnished occasions for the exercise of a technique. The new attitude was carried to much greater length by the Post-Impressionists and was explicitly stated by Paul Cézanne, who said that "art is a harmony paralleling that of nature." Art, in other words, had its own harmony, independent of nature. This idea was carried to its final term by the Cubists who declared that art need have no frame of reference in nature at all. The relationship with nature, which had given the artist a creative impetus for upward of two hundred years, thus tended to disappear.

THE ISOLATION OF ART

Since the human element had already been banished there was nowhere for the artist to turn but to art itself. In content and idea, as well as in style and technique, the source and the center, the unifying element for art was henceforth to be art. From the close of the nineteenth century to the very recent past art has been feeding on itself.

This tendency did not mean simply a perverse insistence that art should be created only for art's sake. It was a recognition by artists that they no longer had deep roots in nature or in human society. The situation was not of their own making. It was part of a general pattern of dissolving loyalties and relationships brought on by the rapid advance of industrial civilization and by the break-up of romantic conceptions under the impact of modern science.

In a fashion it was a reflection of the good sense of artists that they refused to wander in a void, brooding upon their loneliness and isolation. They had made a fresh move. By a natural transition they turned back to historical sources and explored European traditions, studying these in the light of Oriental and primitive art. In Baroque, Renaissance, Medieval,

NEW IN A

*With an
HOLG
Director
Works I*

"For the
history a
ship has b
American
New hor
American
they have

NEW H
CAN AR
national t
troduced
element i
tural life
public" o
first year,
an inform
three for
pages Ho
specific v
esthetic—
One hund
rals, easel
and allied
what has
ican artis
cases, has
or reprod
one inter
American
important
the progr

176 pag
Price \$2

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

Byzantine, Greek, Egyptian, Persian, Hindu, Chinese, Japanese, African, Polynesian, and ancient American art they discovered an extraordinary repertory of modes. Their main concern was with design, structure, organization, the architectonic and abstract bases of art. Emphasis upon abstraction carried some of them to the point where they handled natural forms as one might handle building blocks. In an endeavor to recover emotion in art, and because of dissatisfaction with contemporary society, others followed a personal exoticism which ranged through time and space in search of ideal environments.

From the opening of the World War up to the 1920's the dominant tendency in art was in the direction of the segregated, the precious, the difficult. An amazing technical equipment was developed, with a polyglot stylistic language often devoted to abstruse statement and consciously cultivated incomprehensibility. Art had become its own subject, and an understanding of its self-communicated mysteries was to be limited to a few initiates. On the credit side of this movement must be placed the really profound research which led to the recovery of a usable past, to firmer conceptions of the possibilities and limitations of the artists' media, and to truer perspectives on the Western tradition of design. On the debit side, however, one may say that this wide-ranging exploration was tending toward a point where art, to paraphrase a line of Coleridge, by its abstruse research was stealing from its own nature all that was natural. The contemporary artist, having lost both nature and man, now seemed determined to lose art itself in the theoretical mazes conjured up by his own ingenuity.

THE REDISCOVERY OF THE AMERICAN SCENE

All through the period there had, of course, been insurgent trends, since in the history of art widely differing traditions may go on side by side. In nineteenth century America there were Winslow Homer and Thomas Eakins, who responded to the inspiration of the country, and whose subject matter had a genuine relation to its experience. In the opening years of the twentieth century, seventy years after the incursion of frontier democracy into American art, a clear return to the interests of the average man was evident in the work of the group of Philadelphia artists, including

such men as John Sloan and George Luks, and, later, George Bellows and others. These artists rediscovered the American scene and brought the gusty vitality of city streets into the staid salons of the genteel tradition. It is an indication of the insecurity of American taste and of the influence of the genteel tradition upon American criticism that when these men appeared before the public in the opening decade of the twentieth century they were hailed as the "ash can school."

None the less, strong currents toward an art of native social meaning were flowing in this country. These tendencies were definite: yet it remains true that never in all its history has American art been so dependent upon Europe as in the past generation. The American collector, realizing this, and stimulated by highly intelligent sales propaganda, preferred to patronize the European article. This had also been true in the Gilded Age. Then, art had been an object of conspicuous, somewhat gaudy display. Now art became an object of subtle and sophisticated display. Aside from the patrons of a becalmed genteel tradition, the audience for American art was becoming smaller and smaller; it included only a few museums and a select group of private collectors. During the middle 1920's there was an art boom of respectable proportions associated with the stock market boom in those years, but in this the American artist shared hardly at all. He had become a stepchild in his own country. All his efforts to conform to contemporary European practice and to American admiration of this had really pleased nobody—perhaps least of all himself.

Yet toward the end of the 'twenties, for a brief year or two, it looked as if his long lane had reached a happy turning. A minor boom in American art developed, stimulated by the pioneer efforts of a number of critics, dealers, and museum directors who had worked for American art during its lean years. It was discovered that the work of American artists was worth buying—that in fact it might even have an investment value.

More than once in the past, an American art which had a definite relation to the public had enjoyed a brief prosperity. What happened to the flurry of the late 'twenties may be stated in three words. The depression came. American artists faced the prospect of want, idleness, and the inevitable loss of skill. It became clear that unless the organized community

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

stepped into the situation American art would enter a dark age from which it might not recover for generations. It was to meet this situation that the United States Government established a series of art projects.

THE FEDERAL ART PROJECT

The Federal Art Project, which is represented in this exhibition, was set up in August, 1935, by Harry L. Hopkins, Administrator of the Works Progress Administration. This Project has thus been functioning for a little more than a year. It took over a number of small state projects operating under the Federal Emergency Relief Administration and unified them under a national program. Most of these state projects had developed under the Public Works of Art Project, established by Mr. Hopkins as part of the Civil Works Administration program in December, 1933. This earlier project, known popularly as PWAP, was administered by the Treasury Department under the direction of Edward Bruce. It came to an end in June, 1934.

When the present Federal Art Project was organized, about one thousand of the original thirty-five hundred artists who had worked under PWAP were employed on various undertakings in several states. The number of artists on relief was approximately four thousand, and it was clear that something like fifteen hundred or two thousand others, not yet on relief, were living on the margin of subsistence. Today, approximately fifty-three hundred artists are working on the Federal Art Project, which is directed by a small staff in Washington. Artists, museum directors, and art teachers in public schools, colleges, and universities have been chosen to act as advisers in the field and as state or regional directors. Others from the same professional groups are acting on voluntary committees, and have been of the greatest service in stimulating local interest in the art program and in helping to maintain high standards of excellence.

ART AND GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

Because of the many activities undertaken by the Federal Art Project, the United States Government has become the greatest art patron in the world. The number of artists engaged is not large when the size of the

population and the area of this country from coast to coast are considered; and government support for art is no new phenomenon. Governments in every age and in every part of the world have employed artists. Egypt, Greece, Rome, many of the city and provincial governments of medieval and modern Europe, governments in China and other Oriental countries, as well as the ancient civilizations which flourished on the American continent, may be cited as examples. The great building program in Athens under Pericles, which left an imperishable record of Greek civilization, employed large numbers of artists, artisans, and craftsmen on government projects.

In our own time, the French government has long had a liberal policy of encouraging art and public education in art, as have Italy, Germany, Russia, and other European countries. In Sweden a finely planned art program has been established, leading to an outstanding development of the industrial arts in that country. Government support of art was undertaken in a striking fashion in the 1920's by the Republic of Mexico. A group of Mexican painters was commissioned to paint murals for public buildings under the direction of the Ministry of Education. From the work of that group came an art movement which spread through the country and far across its borders, carrying the fame of Mexico to every part of the world.

CONSERVATION OF SKILLS

Conservation of the nation's resources has in our time become a major function of government. Under the Federal Art Project the conservation of artistic skills and talents has been a primary problem. It was a vital necessity that all types of talent should find forms of expression suited to their special aptitudes and abilities. It is a mistake to suppose that all of the large body of artists working on the Project were in normal times devoted to the fine arts or that they have all been set to work indiscriminately under the Project on easel paintings, murals, or sculpture. Slightly less than one half of the total number employed are working in the fine arts. The others are craftsmen, workers in commercial and applied art, and in some cases are what might be called journeymen painters or sculptors of useful if not outstanding talent.

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

The organization of the Project has proceeded on the principle that it is not the solitary genius but a sound general movement which maintains art as a vital, functioning part of any cultural scheme. Art is not a matter of rare, occasional masterpieces. The emphasis upon masterpieces is a nineteenth century phenomenon. It is primarily a collector's idea and has little relation to an art movement. When one goes through the galleries of Europe which preserve, in spite of war, fire, flood, and other destructive forces, an amazing quantity of works from the past, one is struck by the extraordinary amount of work which was produced in the great periods. During the early part of the twentieth century it is said that some forty thousand artists were at work in Paris. It is doubtful if history will remember more than a dozen or two of these, but it is probable that if the great number of artists had not been working, very few of these two dozen would have been stimulated to creative endeavor. In a genuine art movement a great reservoir of art is created in many forms, both major and minor.

FINE ART AND ART FOR USE

European critics have always recognized the usefulness of the minor artist of excellent but not necessarily creative gifts; he has often made contributions of great importance to the art movements of his time, notably through educational or historical undertakings. The contribution of the craftsman or worker in the practical arts has also been recognized. It is clear that in the best periods of art expression the homely crafts and the fine arts have been closely integrated.

In organizing the Federal Art program the many forces which tend to build up a sound art movement have been considered. An effort has been made to view American art in perspective, both as to the past and as to the future. While the fate of the workers in the fine arts has seemed of paramount importance, it is clear that under the most favorable conditions these artists cannot prosper alone, nor can they by their solitary efforts create a fully developed art movement in America.

The importance of an integration between the fine arts and the practical arts has been recognized from the first by the Federal Art Project, as an

objective desirable in itself and as a means of drawing together major esthetic forces in this country. Our manufacturing system has produced much that may be called good from the esthetic point of view, but it has also produced a fearful clutter of unlovely things, and this in turn has resulted in a degradation of popular taste, since these objects provide the only art that many individuals know. Direction from the fine arts has been sorely needed for the manufacturer, the craftsman, and the public. It has been impossible to provide a solution for all the ensuing problems under an emergency program, but an attempt has been made by the Federal Art Project to break down the artificial barrier which exists between these forms of art expression. The young commercial artist has received direction, which has often been distinguished, from teachers or workers in the fine arts. In the printshops and workshops set up under the Project, in the making of posters, mural maps, dioramas, lantern slides for schools, of scenic models for natural history museums, young workers in the fine and the practical arts have come together to work out mutual problems. The outcome has been the accomplishment of many useful services for public institutions, and a stimulation toward higher levels in the creation of objects of common use. (Numbers 382-391.)

THE YOUNG ARTIST

As these workers under the Project have been organized, still another group has received special attention. The problem of the young artist of distinguished but still emergent gifts has been of major concern. If American art is to continue, the talents of the younger generation of artists must not only be encouraged but must be given an opportunity to develop. Under the Project arrangements have been made by which the experienced professional artist has directed the work of groups of young workers in the fine arts. Something like the master-pupil relationship of the Renaissance has developed as these groups have worked together, with the result that the young artist has benefited by the experience of the mature professional, while the professional in turn has been exposed to the constructive influence of that responsibility. Many professional artists have testified as to the interest and stimulus provided by this relationship.

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

An important gain has been the breaking down of isolation which has almost habitually surrounded the mature artist in this country, and a broadening of social relationships.

For the young artist another relationship has seemed of importance. Because of the development of local or regional creative or teaching projects, the young artist has tended for perhaps the first time within the modern period to attack the problems of art at home, in his own setting, among familiar surroundings, in the midst of a social life which he is likely to know well. This situation—part of it enforced by the depression—has meant at least a beginning toward a naturalization of art in all our communities, an outcome which must be achieved if our art is to be anything more than an effervescence along the Atlantic seaboard.

The rise and abundance of young talent throughout the country has been heartening to all those connected with the Federal Art Project. Yet a definite challenge has been heard from a few quarters as to its development. Why, it has been asked, should young artists be encouraged to continue in the field of art if over-production already exists in that field? It is true that the studios of many of our gifted, older artists are filled with unsold paintings and sculptures. But the crucial circumstance is not over-production in art; rather it is under-consumption. As has been suggested, a comparatively slight possession of art exists in this country today. Few people own art, yet the potential audience for American art is extremely wide. As new publics for art develop—as they seem bound to do—our supply of artists may actually prove to be too small. Even now there are signs of this. A far wider demand for works of art has developed on the part of schools, libraries and other public institutions than the Federal Art Project, with its large body of workers, has been able to meet. "Our schools are bare." "We have no art of any kind in this community." Appeals containing statements of this kind are constantly being received by the directors of state and regional projects. One school superintendent has declared, "The art teachers in our schools have never had an opportunity to see an original work of art." This last may seem incredible, but it is true not only that many teachers of art have been entirely lacking in such opportunities, but that courses in art are being given in teachers' training colleges without

the use of any original works of art whatever. The Federal Art Project has repeatedly been asked to help remedy this striking deficiency.

An attempt to bridge the gap between the American artist and the American public has governed the entire program of the Federal Art Project. At many points, as we have seen, the lack of a firm relationship has resulted in uncertainty and even disruption in the sequences of American art. Under the Project popular art, in the best sense, has seemed highly desirable. Critics have sometimes suggested that popularization involves vulgarization, but this is not necessarily true. Vulgarization usually occurs, as in the Gilded Age, when groups seeking to rise in the social scale use art as an object of conspicuous display. The general public of the present day is not concerned with these objectives. Experience under the Project, as this has developed throughout the country, has shown a sincere response to art, a genuine demand for it, and a widespread popular interest. The problem has been to meet this popular concern by the best use of the available talent.

EXPERIMENTAL GALLERIES

It is not too much to say that people in whole areas of this country have had little or no experience in art. The channels have not been created by which art could reach those who wish to enjoy it. Many states in the South, the West and the Middle West, for example, have been almost entirely lacking in art galleries. Under the Federal Art Project, since January, 1936, the experience and talent of artists on relief have been utilized to establish and direct nineteen experimental art galleries in the South with the purpose of breaking down these great disparities in opportunity. These galleries are located in the Carolinas, Tennessee, Alabama, Virginia, Oklahoma, and Florida. They have been visited by nearly half a million people during this short period, and many other proofs of a genuine popular response have become clear.

In arranging exhibitions for these galleries emphasis has been placed upon the work of local or regional artists; in many instances no general opportunity to see this work has existed. Painting and sculpture from other and often distant parts of the country have also been shown, including

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

works created under the Federal Art Project. Contemporary art has thus been brought into an active, popular, regional interchange in many places for the first time.

Important phases of community interest have also been studied and utilized in arranging exhibits. In Chattanooga the manufacturing background of the city has been taken into consideration. In Big Stone Gap, Virginia, the pioneer arts and crafts which form a link between the past and the present have been shown. In Mobile, interesting collections which had lain in storage for twenty years have been brought to light and have been made to form the nucleus for a permanent museum. In Greenville, South Carolina, plans are under way for the establishment of a textile museum, allied with the major industry of the district. In North Carolina and Tennessee, special thought has been given to exhibits of local crafts which will attract and hold the interest of people from the mountain sections.

All these new galleries are situated in central downtown sections where people may drop in easily, and most of them are kept open until nine o'clock in the evening to permit workers to visit them. Many persons come who would hesitate to enter large and imposing museums. Friendliness and informality mark the programs. Lectures or demonstration talks by artists or teachers on the Project, illustrating the use of the various art media, have revealed art as a form of enjoyment, not as a hard intellectual struggle for which an expensive and specialized education is required. Many individuals are learning for the first time to read the language of painting. One director has set up her own art library in the corner of a gallery, lending the books to those who care to use them, with a consequent widening of interest and knowledge.

TEACHING AND CHILDREN'S WORK

The classes in painting, modelling, carving and weaving, which have been formed in these southern galleries, are typical of an important section of the Federal Art program. No phase of its work is of greater social significance than its teaching. Hundreds of highly trained teachers of art, displaced by depression economy, are holding classes daily in boys' clubs,

girls' service leagues, in schools after hours, in churches and settlement houses. Several thousand persons have joined classes in the southern galleries. In New York City and its vicinity fifty thousand children and adults are being reached weekly through the teaching force of the Federal Art Project. A widening area of social influence has been created by the classes for under-privileged children, taking them off the street and providing fresh and natural outlets for expression. One school superintendent has stated a typical conclusion, saying that these classes have "done more to stabilize the schools in this city during a difficult period than any other single agency." Educators and social workers have also emphasized the larger public understanding of art which has developed from these classes.

The work of children in art classes under the Project has attracted a considerable amount of attention, and is included in this exhibition as an integral part of the Federal program. These children are taught through practice rather than by verbal instruction, each child being given training in the craft of the art and then allowed to develop his own personal expression. Intensity of feeling has produced instinctive simplifications in their painting and sculpture. Most of the city children know little about nature and paint it infrequently, while children in the smaller towns of the South and West turn to it spontaneously. A large proportion of these youngsters are realists, describing their impressions of speeding locomotives, of steamboats, and of El trains undaunted by detail or compositional complexity. A butcher shop, a Passover scene, "Yentas" bargaining over fish, a drugstore where ten cents will buy a banana split, are some of the subjects which they have described with a bold vividness sometimes denied to adults. (Plates 392-427.) In sculpture they have shown themselves unafraid of their materials. They do not seek beautiful surfaces or prettiness of detail but let their sense of the round and the flat, the crest and the hollow, pass directly into the material. (Plates 428-434.)

Among the settlements and community houses where this work has been accomplished are the Hudson Guild, the Boys' Welcome Home, the Gramercy Boys' Club, the East Side Jewish Settlement in New York, the Avery Memorial in Hartford, Connecticut, Friendship House and the Americanization School in Washington, D. C., and centers in Michigan,

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

Tennessee, Virginia, and other states. The general age range of the children taught under this program has been from eight to sixteen, though many younger children have been included. It has not been expected that all or even a large proportion of these children will develop into professional artists, but the high quality of much of their work has its broad suggestiveness as to the wide spread of unconscious talent; and there can be no doubt that this work tends toward the development of a greater sensitiveness to art among this coming generation. These young people will form a genuine audience for American art in the future.

INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

With these many orientations, the Federal Art program during the past year has striven for a sound accomplishment based upon a distinct consciousness of the problems of the future. An effort has also been made to consider the past. American artists and designers have always lacked the sense of continuities which well-defined traditions can bring. Even with our recent vigorous research many of these traditions have not yet been uncovered—they are not yet a firm body of reference. So far as both the past and the present are concerned, the artist has constantly met the challenging question whether there is such a thing as American art. It has been possible for many artists to say that the hunt for a usable American past is a vain one, with the implication that the artist takes off only from the immediate present, and that the only unifying principle in art today is the loyalty of the artist to his craft and to the styles and manners of expression which have been the vogue in the contemporary era. This point of view has been due mainly to recognition of the fact that, aside from its European heritage, American art lacks the bedrock of a classical past. Its history does not exhibit the continuities and progressions which give a firm impression of order to the history of Old World cultures, an order enhanced for our American perception by the sentiment of distance and the foreshortening of time.

The search for a usable American past in the arts is not a simple one because of the weight of European influence and the many breaks and changes in American art itself. However, it would be a mistake to believe

that a summing up of outside influences defines the character of American art, or that its history is, in the main, the story of a cultural lag, as so many students would have us believe. Naturally such difficult research and interpretation as are required to establish clear perspectives in this field cannot be completely carried out under an emergency program. This is the special task of critics or groups of critics. Yet it has been possible to turn the talents of many artists on the Project toward an undertaking which will, it is hoped, make a positive contribution in this direction.

The Index of American Design, now well advanced in twenty-five states, and comprising a large series of portfolios, will depict the decorative arts in America from their inception well through the nineteenth century. For at least a hundred years and in many instances for a longer period, artists and designers in Europe have recognized the importance of such compilations. They have been considered as important, not by any means as a basis for imitation but as a wellspring to which workers in all the arts might turn for a renewed sense of native traditions in design. In Europe these compilations have frequently been published by government subsidy, so great has been the value attached to them.

In this country nothing of the sort has been attempted up to this time. Excellent special studies have appeared, but these have often been limited in scope, limited in the material upon which they could draw, limited also in their study of the material considered in relation to tendencies in design in other fields. One of the results of the work of the Index will be the opportunity to trace alliances between the many forms of decorative arts that have appeared in different and sometimes widely separated parts of the country. Already striking interconnections, not always to be easily explained, have been shown, as between certain patterns in embroidery designs from New England of the seventeenth century, in the Shaker inspirations of the 1840's and 1850's, and again in some of the chest paintings and santos of the Mexican border.

American decorative arts as shown in furniture, costumes, embroideries, textiles, coverlets, ironwork, ceramics, glass, silver, pewter, toys, as revealed in architectural details, weathervanes, wood sculpture for decorative purposes such as ships' figureheads and shop signs or other related

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

crafts, local or folk arts such as scrimshaw, form the basic materials for the Index. Artists on the Project have made record drawings in color for the most part, but photography or black and white have been used when color is not important. Both public and private collections have been drawn upon for the selection of significant or beautiful examples, and every phase of this undertaking has been accompanied by adequate research, bringing to light both objects and the circumstances of their creation which have not hitherto received attention.

All this work has been done under the direction of supervisors, trained both in the history of the decorative arts and in their adequate representation. Experts outside the Project whose knowledge is unquestionable have been untiringly generous in giving their services when advice or assistance has been needed. The Index has received from them, as from many others, enthusiastic support.

Not least of the phases of interest stirred by the Index has come from the artists who are creating it. High standards have been set for their work, and they have collaborated in maintaining these. Many groups have formed classes in order to perfect their technique and to study the history of the objects which they are depicting. The artists at work on the Index are drawn from all sections of the Project, from those who have been accustomed to devote themselves to the fine arts, and those who have worked in the commercial field. Through this work many young artists have learned techniques which will prove valuable to them in later years.

There can be no question as to the indirect returns for the artists engaged in this undertaking. They are placed in a constant relationship with fine forms, with objects of great intrinsic interest or excellence in design and workmanship. They have become aware of the decorative sequences which these objects represent. These are by-products of the work of the Index, but they must be considered important by-products when the development of American art as a whole is considered. Many of these artists are frank to say that their study of these objects represents their first contact with the arts of design of earlier periods in this country.

Inevitably, in this connection, the question arises whether these rich decorative materials are genuinely American. Are they not derivative, all

of them? Is the native element strong? Ernest Fenellosa has admirably said that "alien influence is invariably at the heart of the native development." Japanese art contains an altered composite of Korean, Chinese, and other Asiatic forms. English portraiture of the 17th and 18th century stems from Dutch and Flemish masters. Yet distinct changes have always taken place as the older or alien forms have become rooted in new soil. In this country such transformations have often been strongly marked. The stripping down to a few essentials demanded by colonial life; our early limited means for manufacture; the rigorous, practical, unadorned existence of the earliest settlers had a determining effect upon their adaptation of continental forms. As the work of the Index has proceeded, fresh and distinctive groupings, definitely American in character, have been shown in a wide array of materials. The extent of these groupings will best appear in the full scope of the Index.

Certainly it is clear that the decorative arts which have developed on American soil are important for American designers, not only because they are American but also because they preserve fundamental human and cultural values. They are also important for the worker in the fine arts, since these forms represent the esthetic language popularly spoken through decades in many parts of the country. In the furniture and textiles of the Shakers, in the ceramics of the Pennsylvania Germans, in the iron work and pottery of the southern states have appeared striking phases of native esthetic development. These and others will continue to have much to say to the designer, student, and critic.

At the present time approximately thirty-five hundred plates in color have been completed. An ensuing problem is that of adequate reproduction at a moderate cost, so that art schools, public schools, libraries, colleges, and universities, may acquire sets of the portfolios. In New Mexico a portfolio of Spanish-Colonial Index plates is being reproduced by means of key block linoleum cuts, combined with hand-coloring. Reproduction of this character is, of course, necessarily limited. What is desirable, if the work of the Index is to come into active use, is a fairly inexpensive form of mechanical reproduction. At the workshops of several of the Index units experiments are now under way as to the use of the color lithography

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

process for printing the Index in sets of portfolios for museums, libraries, and schools. Plans for publication on a broad scale are now being considered. In the meantime, admirable plates are steadily accumulating in many parts of the country. These will represent a permanent body of invaluable work, which can be deposited in museums where artists and students may have access to them.

THE FINE ARTS PROJECTS

Naturally in any program of this kind the position of the creative artist has been considered as of primary importance. If the main stream of American art is to continue, he must be given a chance to develop and to assume the leadership which belongs to him in a sound general movement. An art tradition may be said to have existence only as it is created anew by each generation. No matter what the museum collections tell us about the past it is in the work of present-day artists that we must look for the living tradition.

That the tradition of American art is still vigorous is amply shown by the response of creative artists to government encouragement and support. This response has been magnificent. Their production has been large and of high quality. They have worked with intelligence, energy, and initiative.

While the marked rise of the young artist under the Project is not its only significant development, this tends to show that the Project has performed a genuine function in permitting and encouraging the growth of new talent. Of the artists on the Fine Arts Projects represented in this exhibition sixty-four are under thirty years of age. Many are in their early twenties. Recognition of the importance of this young talent has been received from many quarters. While prizes and fellowships are in themselves relatively unimportant they are evidence from outside the Project of the high quality of the work produced. In the mural field, one Project artist was awarded the gold medal of the Architectural League, generally conceded to be the highest honor in decorative painting in the United States. A painting by one artist was purchased by the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York; another was purchased by the Phillips Memorial Gallery in Washington, D. C. Three artists have won prizes at the National

Academy of Design; four at the Cleveland Museum's Annual Show. Three have won Guggenheim Foundation Fellowships, and one a fellowship at the MacDowell Colony.

A full and free expression on the part of creative artists may have come about in a measure at this time because of a release from the gruelling pressure which most of them suffered during the early part of the depression. It seems to have its origin also in a special set of circumstances determined by the Project. The new and outstanding situation is that these artists have been working with a growing sense of public demand for what they produce. For the first time in American art history a direct and sound relationship has been established between the American public and the artist. Community organizations of all kinds have asked for his work. In the discussions and interchanges between the artist and the public concerning murals, easel paintings, prints, and sculptures for public buildings, through the arrangements for allocations of art in many forms to schools and libraries, an active and often very human relationship has been created. The artist has become aware of every type of community demand for art, and has had the prospect of increasingly larger audiences, of greatly extended public interest. There has been at least the promise of a broader and socially sounder base for American art with the suggestion that the age-old cleavage between artist and public is not dictated by the very nature of our society. New horizons have come into view.

American artists have discovered that they have work to do in the world. Awareness of society's need and desire for what they can produce has given them a new sense of continuity and assurance. This awareness has served to enhance the already apparent trend toward social content in art. In some instances the search for social content has taken the form of an illustrative approach to certain aspects of the contemporary American scene—a swing back to the point of view of the *genre* painters of the nineteenth century. Evidences of social satire have also appeared. In many phases of American expression this has been no more than a reaction against the genteel tradition or a confession of helplessness. The dominant trend today, as illustrated by the Project work, is more positive. There is a development toward greater vigor, unity, and clarity of statement, a search

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

for an adequate symbolism in the expression of contemporary American experience, less dependence on the easily obvious in subject matter, and a definite relation to local and regional environments.

The fact that the Federal Art Project has made it possible for hundreds of artists to work in their home environments has led to interesting developments in many parts of the country. Heretofore certain regions have been barren of art and art interest because of a constant drift of talent toward the already overcrowded art centers in the East. The Project has helped to counteract this movement. One result has been that a great deal of latent local interest has been brought to the surface and stimulated into healthy activity. Another is that many little-known aspects of this extraordinarily varied country of ours have been brought into the current of art. Through this we are discovering that the country differs considerably from the "standardized America" which was so thoroughly advertised in the recent past. There has been no attempt under the Project to foster a "regional art," assuming that a regional art is possible in this day of easy transportation. But art that is related to the history or the local color of a region has been encouraged where this has seemed a natural expression for the artist.

What strikes one about the Project work in general is relevance to contemporary life and sound technical knowledge. In every section of the country there are honest, vigorous, and independent artists whose work has the firm discipline and intelligent craftsmanship which give assurance of solid achievement in the present and stimulate high hopes for the future. The period of experimentation and research through which artists have passed in the last twenty years or more has given them a technical equipment of great range, and has made for high standards of performance. This technique is no longer being used simply for technique's sake. It is being turned to vital and useful ends in searching out expressive forms for what is most positive and valuable in the unfolding experience of the American community.

MURALS

It is known that wall painting in one form or another has been practiced in this country since the eighteenth century, at least. Most of this work,

especially in the early period, was of the provincial-popular type. It was done probably by the itinerant limners who were a feature of American village life up to the middle of the nineteenth century, and many of whom may still be found today, especially in the South. Up to the third quarter of the nineteenth century, most of our better-known murals, like the paintings in the dome of the National Capitol, were the work of European artists.

From 1876, when John La Farge began to decorate the interior of Trinity Church in Boston, there has been a recurrent interest in the mural among American artists, with 1893, the year of the World's Columbian Exposition, standing out as a sort of minor peak of popularity. The best of this nineteenth century work is unquestionably that of John La Farge. Since his time, there has been a good deal of mural painting in this country, but not until the past decade has there been anything resembling a general movement.

In recent years a revival of public interest in mural painting has been stimulated by the work of Thomas Benton and Boardman Robinson, by the veritable renaissance of the mural art begun under the Mexican Government Projects in the 1920's and by work done in this country by the most prominent exemplars of this movement, Diego Rivera and José Clemente Orozco. Since the beginning of the 1930's, American interest in mural painting has increased to a remarkable extent. The exhibition of designs by thirty-five painters and fourteen photographers at the Museum of Modern Art in May, 1932, focussed for artists and public alike the problems and the possibilities of the mural art.

In the following year Harry L. Hopkins set up the first large government art project under the Civil Works Administration and gave American artists an opportunity to practice the mural art on a scale commensurate with their abilities and aspirations. Since that time the development has been continuous and dramatic. Private patrons, convinced by the results achieved under the government program, are turning to the mural with increasing interest since they have before their eyes the proof that American artists are using the medium with understanding and authority.

It is significant of contemporary trends in American art that so many

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

of the artists working on the Project have submitted themselves to the discipline of a severe medium. Mural painting, and especially painting in true fresco, does not permit the individual variations possible in oil or water-color. The problems of fresco painting are extremely complex. The painter must know exactly what he wants to do with the space, and have a great deal of knowledge of the chemical relationships of various materials, especially of the relationship of mortar mixtures to colors. No matter what the medium, whether fresco, secco, tempera, or oil, the mural technique has certain possibilities and limitations which the painter must respect. The mural must have definite relation to its surroundings and be an integral part of an architectural scheme. The color, the scale, and the character of the painting must harmonize with the color, scale and character of the surrounding architecture. The composition as a whole must have clarity, largeness, carrying power, and a rhythmic order that leads the eye easily through the whole space. Mural art is suited to large, simple forms, and its color schemes are much more severely limited than those of the easel painter.

Many sketches, a great deal of research, and the hardest kind of purely physical labor must precede the actual painting on the wall. During the painting the artists usually work in public places where people congregate. Mural painting is not a studio art; by its very nature it is social. In its great periods it has always been associated with the expression of social meanings, the experience, history, ideas, and beliefs of a community. There is no question that the work here presented clearly indicates an orientation in this direction. (Numbers 8, 13, 44, 47.)

Since it would be impossible to include murals which have been painted in fresco directly on the wall, and since even the transportable murals lose much of their significance apart from their setting, it is difficult to give a complete picture of this phase of the Federal Art Project work. However, even the necessarily limited selection of designs, sketches, details, models, and photographs in this exhibition offers a fairly comprehensive picture of accomplishment and promise.

It is significant that a large proportion of the murals produced under the Federal Art Project during this first year are by young artists. These artists

came to maturity at a time when mural painting, because of government support, was no longer a dream. The country was asking for murals. The many problems involved, problems of large space, architectural limitations, technical complexities, the handling of subject matter, were a challenge which the artists accepted. Courage to meet these problems was born of opportunity.

The work here exhibited gives positive indication that American artists have a mural sense and that they have gone about their work in this field with enthusiasm, independence, and directness. A variety of styles has developed, but the murals have in common a feeling for monumental construction, for design control, for rhythmic balance and inter-relation of parts. The treatment shows that many of the artists have achieved a real mastery in this art which is relatively new to them. The handling of subject matter is usually both imaginative and appropriate; the medium selected the most sympathetic to the space and the subject. Throughout the country one sees a spontaneous interest in local source material. This is true of the eastern group, and it is particularly true of the western and middle western mural painters, many of whom are unknown in New York. These artists may be called regionalists in that they turn naturally to themes linked with the life, landscape, and history of their regions. There is, however, nothing here of a false localism or of a romanticising of the past. (Numbers 24, 32, 49.)

During the first year of the Project 434 murals have been completed, 55 are in progress, and sketches are being prepared for a great many others. These murals are requested by public institutions which defray material costs. It is a most encouraging sign that, in addition to the murals already mentioned, there are hundreds of requests for others. In every section of the country there are waiting lists for Federal Art Project murals. It is not too much to say that this work, as it develops, gives promise of a truly monumental art which will express with honesty, clarity, and power the experience and ideas of American communities.

EASEL PAINTING

The largest number of creative artists engaged on the Federal Art

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

Project are working on easel pictures in oil, watercolor, tempera, and pastel. Some of this work is done in central studios where groups of artists work together, but most of it is done in the studios of the artists employed.

Evidence of a recovery of social context is clear in the work of the easel painters, but it is natural that this should be less striking than it is in the work of the muralists. The development of the easel painter's techniques, especially that of oil painting, is associated with the rise of individualism in western Europe. From their beginnings these techniques have been directed toward refinements and devices which would enable the individual artist to use the greatest freedom in expressing subtle nuances of personal statement and variations in style and expression. In the oil medium the artist is in almost complete control of his material, to an extent unknown in sculpture, for instance, where the character of the material is obviously resistant. As a result, particularly in recent years the tendency has been toward over-refinement and intricacy of personalized expression to the point of rarification.

Emphasis upon technique for its own sake may not have entirely vanished from the work produced on the Project, but a strong tendency away from it has become apparent. The modest but essential virtues of honesty and freshness have developed. Perhaps the most heartening feature of the highly varied easel painting on the Project is its comparative freedom from imitative entanglements, the absence of anything which might be called hero-worship. There is very little in this work which follows fashionable reputations at home or abroad; no residue of the point of view which in the past has tended to make American art a tasteful resumé of European practice. In view of the great influence of the van Gogh exhibition at the Museum of Modern Art last winter it is interesting to note that the work under the Project has conspicuously failed to echo either the design or the color of this master. The influence of the School of Paris is rather slight. With the decline of dependence on outside influences, preciosity and self-consciousness have tended to disappear. These artists have come to see that preciosity is related to the worship of esthetic fragments torn from their social contexts, and to the idea of art for the select few. The lack of self-consciousness may be an expression of American naiveté. But when one

considers the "fearful progress in self-consciousness" which Jacques Maritain observed in contemporary painting, this change alone is a clear gain.

An outstanding characteristic of the easel painting under the Project has been the initiative in meeting new problems, coupled with an admirable command of the several media used. These artists have been making their own free and confident assertions. Figure painting and still life have interested them very little. Few studio subjects have appeared. This new work is often close to the quick, spontaneous life which is at the artist's door—which, at least, he now perceives to be at his door.

A marked decentralization of art expression may have turned many painters toward wider perspectives and a consideration of more immediate subjects and materials. This decentralization has been inevitable as artists have been forced by the depression to remain in their home environments. Regional differences have come into play, in the Far West, in the Southwest, in New England. The skies of California, the quiet spaces of middle-western farming country, the remoteness of a fisherman's shack on the coast of Maine are a few instances of a true exploration which has little or nothing to do with personal idiosyncrasies and a great deal to do with personal expression in a profound sense. A fresh poetry of the soil has appeared, with a marked freedom from formula.

Whatever else may be said about American painting in the past, however uncertain may have been its direction, an honest literalism has been developed in nearly all periods. In some phases, as in the work of Eakins, this literalism has been pushed by a severe integrity to the point of genius. Except for the remote magnificence of Ryder, the strain of poetry has been less clear. It must be admitted that emotional values have not been strongly sustained in American art of the past. The Project artists represented here have realistic integrity, but they are not overly interested in literalism, and a good deal of their work, whether it has to do with portraiture, landscape, the social scene, or pure fantasy, indicates a reappearance of emotion in painting with very little trace of the personal exoticism which has characterized much of the romantic painting of the recent past. "Imaginative realism" may be used to describe this tendency, a realism which means a genuine recovery of emotion.

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

SCULPTURE

Compared with other sections of the Federal Art Project, with easel painting, for instance, the sculpture representation in this exhibition is small. This proportion, however, is a fairly accurate reflection of the comparative popularity of painting and sculpture in our time. The opportunities for the development of sculpture in the contemporary period have been limited. The controlling factors in this situation are lack of demand for sculpture in connection with architectural plans and the almost exclusive interest of museums and collectors in archaeology. No popular demand for sculpture has been created because the collectors' desire for rare pieces has led to the custom of limiting casts to a small number with the result that prices have been too high for the average man.

Roughly, one may divide sculpture into the architectural, the civic or commemorative monument, portraiture, and the figure. Excellent portraits and figures have been produced in this country since the days of William Rush and John Frazee, who may be considered our first sculptors. The monument has seldom offered much to the creative artist. The greatest opportunity for sculpture, it would appear, should be in the architectural field, but these two arts, so closely united in classical antiquity and in the Middle Ages, have followed divergent paths in the modern period.

Considerable demand for monumental and architectural sculpture appeared in this country after the middle of the nineteenth century. At that time our sculptors, coming out of a period of imitative neo-classicism, were developing a more romantic trend which emphasized pictorial quality, naturalistic treatment, and a lively handling of surfaces. These qualities are excellent in the portrait and even in the figure but they are not suited to the architectural and monumental.

In the past generation American sculptors have given a great deal of thought to the architectonic. But during this same period the architects, pursuing functionalist tendencies which developed in the nineteenth century, were carrying to its logical term an architectural style denuded of sculpture. A reaction against this tendency has taken place in recent years, both in America and in Europe, and architects are now trying to include sculpture in their plans. Significant attempts to relate sculpture to archi-

ecture have been made in government buildings in Washington, in various government housing units such as First Houses (Plate 254), and at Rockefeller Center in New York. There has been considerable use of relief sculpture on façades, of relief and free sculpture in pediments and interiors. It does not appear that all these efforts have been successful. The important thing is that they have been made; that there has been a sincere attempt to unite the distinct but related arts of sculpture and architecture.

It is evident that sculpture will not find its place in the life of our time until a harmonious relationship with architecture has been established and until a popular demand is developed through a wider distribution of casts at a moderate price. For these reasons the greater part of the Project sculpture has been designed to harmonize with architectural plans, and to stimulate a demand for sculpture in public buildings. Many friezes, pediments, plaques, panels, and figures have been installed in schools, colleges, libraries, government housing units, and other public buildings. A good deal of the free sculpture on the Project has been designed to fit specific locations in public parks, botanical gardens, and courtyards of buildings. (Numbers 256, 256.) Many casts have been distributed to schools and libraries. (Number 257.)

Because so much of its work is in the architectural and decorative fields very little of the Project sculpture is available for exhibition. The examples here shown, however, illustrate certain broad contemporary tendencies. The first thing that strikes one is the general high level of technical accomplishment. Due to the marked improvement in American art teaching since the turn of the century, and to the experiment and research which artists have been carrying on for the past generation, sculptors now have a technical and stylistic equipment of great range. There are many working on the Project who are thoroughly expert in all branches of their craft, in modelling or carving in relief or in the round, as well as in casting. It is a healthy sign that in spite of all this technical equipment there is very little interest in virtuosity, in the manipulation of surfaces to give an adventitious impression of liveliness, or in easy decorative effects in the handling of silhouette or drapery. Instead there is, in general, an honest and unpretentious approach, and an acceptance of the essentially sculptural idea that this

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

art involves a collaboration between the artist and his material. From the point of view of style one notices a comparative freedom from the archaeological bias, from the eclecticism of the recent past, as well as from the literalism of the nineteenth century. There is very little of the classic idealizing tendency which has been so popular in American sculpture, and which, in many ways, is a heritage of the genteel tradition with its emphasis on "seeing beautifully." There is a definite interest in the architectural foundation of sculpture, in rhythm and design, the relation of form and space, in mass, and movement. There is a new emphasis on architectural appropriateness and decorative purpose. The growing interest in human significance should serve not only to bring the sculptor into closer touch with the life of his time, but also to stimulate wider public interest. It seems reasonable to believe that, through the work of the Federal Art Project, a great many people, coming into daily contact with sculpture, will be trained more effectively in the understanding of an art which, except in its more illustrative phases, has always seemed difficult to the general public.

GRAPHIC ARTS

Prints have been both a popular and important phase of American art expression since the 1830's, when the interests of the common man began definitely to influence American cultural life. Toward the close of the nineteenth century they suffered a decline because of the cheap commercialism which followed the great success of Currier and Ives, and also because the perfection of photo-engraving made possible an entirely new pictorial world for the American public.

As the popular interest declined, the collector and museum official directed their attention to the medium of etching. Particularly since Whistler's time, and until the present decade, the etching maintained its hold in the affections of the print connoisseur, and nearly every major American artist tried his hand at this medium. Many distinguished plates were produced in this country, and great virtuosity was developed in technique. But vitality was sapped, and the creative element dwindled as false values came to be established through preciousness. The rare replaced the fine work of art. Accidents in printing, often deliberate, created "spe-

cial states;" the size of editions was reduced; and collectors as well as museums paid record prices for rarity and a display of means rather than for quality or content. Thus the audience became smaller and smaller, and with the exception of the few truly creative etchers, most print makers in the opening decades of the twentieth century turned to the freer and more democratic medium of lithography.

Graphic art history shows that each period usually has a predominant interest in one medium. Since the late 1920's lithography has been emphasized. Every general print exhibition since that time has shown lithographs. The character of the medium offers a minimum of technical problems and arbitrary limitations. The public has quickly responded to the rich variation of tone, subtle color suggestion, dramatic contrasts of light and dark, and the great variety of subject matter which have appeared in lithography. Popular interest grew very rapidly. The print was taken out of the precious portfolio and brought into the intimate environment of the home. Special editions in large quantities were produced at prices within the range of the average purse. The cause and result both contributed toward a concentration on lithography.

Today, on the Project, an extraordinary versatility has come into being. Not only lithography but every known branch of the graphic arts has its practitioners. Encouragement to technical experiment, the supply of needed materials and well-equipped workshops, have broadened the field so that in this exhibition we find a most comprehensive diversity of media, often treated with real mastery: lithography, lithotint, color lithography, etching, aquatint, wood block, linoleum cut, colored wood block, and wood engraving. Each artist has been free to select the medium most sympathetic to his vision. It is of special significance to note how inclusive is the choice of the artist, and how the interest in such comparatively difficult media as wood engraving has progressed. The variety of treatment, and the skill with which these techniques have been handled, are illustrated in the collection of prints shown. (Plates 192, 193, 203, 216, 228, 239.)

As might be expected from its history in this country, the print is extremely sensitive to the contemporary environment, and is an art rich in social content. It would almost be possible to reconstruct a social history of

NEW HORIZONS IN AMERICAN ART

our period from the prints produced on the Federal Art Project. The prints give a fresh and vital interpretation of life as it is lived in America today, and give first evidence of new directions. Every aspect of the American scene is reflected, the cities with their medley of architectural styles, skyscrapers, bridges, interiors, gasoline tanks, factories, subways, railways, airplanes, harbors, farms, cabins, wheat fields, mountains, mines, sports, politics, racial and social types, the whole kaleidoscope of American life.

ART AND SOCIETY

No complete picture of the program of the Federal Art Project can be given in a museum exhibition. Much of its work, such as murals, decorative sculpture, stage sets, and various types of visual aids for educational purposes, is not suited to museum presentation. The teaching program, which has had wide implications, can be only suggested. The character of this work has been determined by public demand for art, and it has been designed to meet specific needs and locations as part of broad educational plans.

Yet the works shown in this exhibition indicate important phases of a year's accomplishment. From the point of view of the artist and the public they have a significance far beyond that of the record, beyond even their value as individual works of art. Taken as a whole they reveal major trends and directions in contemporary expression, and a view toward new horizons. Surely art is not merely decorative, a sort of unrelated accompaniment to life. In a genuine sense it should have use; it should be interwoven with the very stuff and texture of human experience, intensifying that experience, making it more profound, rich, clear, and coherent. This can be accomplished only if the artist is functioning freely in relation to society, and if society wants what he is able to offer.

The idea which has seemed most fruitful in contemporary art—particularly as shown by the work of artists under the Project—has been that of participation. Though the measure of security provided by the government in these difficult times unquestionably has been important, a sense of an active participation in the life and thought and movement of their own time has undoubtedly been even more significant for a large number of

artists, particularly those in the younger groups. A new concept of social loyalty and responsibility, of the artist's union with his fellow men in origin and in destiny, seems to be replacing the romantic concept of nature which for so many years gave to artists and to many others a unifying approach to art. This concept is capable of great development in intellectual range and emotional power. This is what gives meaning to the social content of art in its deepest sense. An end seems to be in sight to the kind of detachment which removed the artist from common experience, and which at its worst gave rise to an art merely for the museum, or a rarified preciousness. This change does not mean any loss in the peculiarly personal expression which any artist of marked gifts will necessarily develop. Rather it means a greater scope and freedom for a more complete personal expression.

It is fortunate that, under government auspices, an opportunity for the development of significant new tendencies has been provided during these crucial times. The outcome is full of promise for the future. Certainly there is no dearth of genuine talent in this country—talent of a rich order. Under the most difficult circumstances American artists have shown themselves ready to attack new problems and to make fresh adaptations. They are growing in stature and in power. They have the technique, the discipline, and the impulse to carry American art to new heights. The question for the future is whether they may continue to maintain that sound relationship with a wide public which has been shown to be essential for a living art.

HOLGER CAHILL

NE
IN

With
HOL
Direc
Work

"For t
history
ship ha
Ameri
New h
Americ
they h

NEW
CAN
nationa
troduc
elemen
tural l
public'
first ye
an info
three
pages
specific
esthetic
One hu
rals, eas
and alli
what h
ican ar
cases, h
or repr
one int
Americ
importa
the prop

176 p

Price

PLATES

MURALS

NE
IN

With
HOL
Direc
Work

"For t
history
ship ha
Ameri
New H
Ameri
they h

NEW
CAN


nation
troduc
elemen
tural l
public
first ye
an info
three

pages
specific
esthetic

One hu
rals, ea
and all
what h
ican ar
cases, h
or repr
one in
Americ
import
the pro

176 p

Price



8 Edgar Britton, Illinois: Classroom Studies and Their Application
Three completed panels of a series of six



8 Edgar Britton, Illinois: Classroom Studies and Their Application
One completed panel of a series of six


NE
IN

With
HOL
Direc
Work


"For t
history
ship ha
Ameri
New H
Ameri
they h

NEW
CAN
nation
troduc
elemen
tural I
public
first ye
an infe
three
pages
specific
esthetic
One hu
rals, ea
and all
what h
ican at
cases, h
or rep
one in
Americ
import
the pro

176 p
Price



13 Alfred Crimi, New York: Preventive Medicine and Surgery
Completed panel



14 Wyatt Davis, New York: Mechanical Aspects of Airplane Construction
Study for photo-mural

NE
IN

With
HOL
Direc
Work

"For
histor
ship ha
Ameri
New I
Ameri
they h

NEW
CAN

nation
troduc
elemen
tural
public
first ye
an info

three
pages
specifi
estheti

One h
rals, ea
and all
what f
ican a
cases, h
or rep
one in
Americ
import
the pro

176 p

Price



23 Ralf Henricksen, Illinois: The Elements
Watercolor study for two of four panels: Earth and Water



42 Hester Miller Murray, Illinois: World of Children
One completed panel of a series of three


NE
IN

With
HOL
Direc
Work

"For
histor
ship h
Amer
New
Amer
they h

NEW
CAN
nation
troduc
elemen
tural
public
first y
an inf
three
pages
specifi
estheti
One h
rals, ea
and all
what h
ican a
cases, h
or rep
one in
Ameri
import
the pre

176 p
Price



24 Emanuel Jacobson, Illinois: Early Schoolroom
Watercolor study for one of a series of panels



32 Karl Kelpé, Illinois: Pioneer Days
One of two completed panels: Early Farmers

NE
IN

With
HOL
Direc
Wor

"For
histor
ship
Amer
New
Amer
they

NEW
CAN
nation
troduct
element
tural
public
first y
an inf
three
pages
specifi
estheti
One h
rals, ea
and al
what l
ican a
cases, l
or rep
one in
Ameri
import
the pro

176 p
Price




28 Edwin Boyd Johnson, Illinois: Medical Pioneers
One completed panel: Head of Pasteur



51 Max Spivak, New York: Puppets
One completed panel of a series of nine



44 James Michael Newell, New York: Evolution of Western Civilization
One completed panel of a series of five



44 James Michael Newell, New York: Evolution of Western Civilization
One completed panel of a series of five

NE
IN

With
HO
Dire
Wor

"For
histor
ship
Amer
New
Amer
they

NEV
CAN
nation
trodu
eleme
tural
public
first y
an inf
three
pages
specif
esthet
One h
rals, e
and al
what
ican a
cases,
or rep
one in
Ameri
import
the pr



176
Price



49 Mitchell Siporin, Illinois: Prairie Poets
Tempera study for proposed fresco




48 Anatol Shulkin, New Jersey: Historical and Social Function of the Court
Tempera study for one of four panels: The Need for the Law



35 Karl Knaths, Massachusetts: Series of panels in a school music room
Two completed panels

EASEL PAINTINGS



70 Pedro Cervantez, New Mexico: Croquet Ground



73 Joseph De Martini, New York: Moonlight


NI
IN
Wit
HO
Dire
Wor

"For
histo
ship
Ame
New
Ame
they


NEV
CAN
natio
trode
elem
tural
publi
first
an in
three
pages
speci
esthe
One
rals,
and a
what
ican
cases,
or re
one
Amer
impo
the p

176

Pric



74 Emmet Edwards, New York: Abstraction



76 Karl Fortess, New York: Winter Vista


NI
IN
Wit
HO
Dire
Wor

"For
histo
ship
Ame
New
Ame
they

NEV
CAN
natio
trode
elem
tural
publi
first
an in
three
pages
speci
esthe
One
rals, e
and a
what
ican
cases,
or re
one
Amer
impor
the p

176


Prie



77 Leon Garland, Illinois: Fry Street



84 Leon Kelly, Pennsylvania: Setting the Table



79 Louis Guglielmi, New York: Wedding in South Street




87 Lawrence Lebduska, New York: Farm Team



91 William Littlefield, Massachusetts: Fantasy of a Fire and Figure



90 Jack Levine, Massachusetts: Conference




92 Loren MacIver, New York: Dune Landscape






95 Hester Miller Murray, Illinois: Buffalo at Night






101 Gregorio Prestopino, New York: American Landscape




97 Jane Ninas, Louisiana: Negro Cemetery




103 Red Robin, Colorado: Hasjelti Dailjis, sand painting




110 Manuel Tolegian, New York: Pennsylvania Landscape




111 Eugene Trentham, Colorado: Golden, Colorado






118 Edgar Yaeger, Michigan: Still Life



115 Frede Vidar, New York: Pool



124 Cameron Booth, Minnesota: Street in Stillwater



123 Rainey Bennett, Illinois: Storm Threat




126 Raymond Breinin, Illinois: Landscape







130 Samuel J. Brown, Pennsylvania: Mrs. Simmons



133 Glenn Chamberlain, Iowa: Landscape




139 Carlos Dyer, California: Palos Verdes Landscape





137 Helen Blackmur Dickson, Massachusetts: Fisherman's Shack






144 Thomas Flavell, Pennsylvania: The Station




141 Stanford Fenelle, Minnesota: Road




150 Jack Greitzer, Ohio: Memory



147 Oronzo Gasparo, New York: Promenade




148 Isolde Therese Gilbert, Massachusetts: Millbridge Road



152 Edward Lewandowski, Wisconsin: Lobster Markers



164 Lester Schwartz, Illinois: Circus Day





155 Ann Michalov, Illinois: Approaching Storm



165 William Earl Singer, Illinois: Little Immigrant



171 John Stenvall, Illinois: Ohio River Flood




166 William Sommer, Ohio: Ordering Lunch



178 Elizabeth Terrell, New York: Red Still Life




186 Karl Zerbe, Massachusetts: Houses on the River



182 Joseph Vavak, Illinois: The Dispossessed


GRAPHIC ARTS



228 Hugh Miller, New York: Machinery




193 Jolan Gross Bettelheim, Ohio: "Unemployed" Office




203 Horatio C. Forjohn, Pennsylvania: Confusion at 40



192 F. G. Becker, New York: John Henry's Hand



239 Julius Weiss, New York: Windows



216 Eli Jacobi, New York: All Night Mission


SCULPTURE



245 Patrocino Barela, New Mexico: Holy Family




241 Patrocino Barela, New Mexico: The Coronation of the Virgin



249 Samuel Cashwan, Michigan: Reclining Nude



256 Concetta Scaravaglione, New York: Girl with Fawn




254 Hugo Robus, New York: Dog: sculpture for children's playground,
First Houses, New York City Housing Project




Children's playground with animal sculptures: First Houses, New York City Housing Project

ALLIED ARTS




Index of American Design
265 California: Spanish-Californian spur, c. 1852




Index of American Design
258 California: slipper, c. 1820



Index of American Design
340 New Mexico: Bulto, 1810-50



Index of American Design
344 New Mexico: painted pine chest



Index of American Design
311 Massachusetts: Shaker sill cupboard, c. 1810




Index of American Design
302 Massachusetts: Shaker dairy counter, 1876



Index of American Design
306 Massachusetts: Shaker candle sconce, 1800-50




Index of American Design
329 Massachusetts: Shaker loom stool, c. 1830




Index of American Design
349 New York: Iron deer weather-vane




Index of American Design
357 New York: Cornhusk doll



Index of American Design
365 Pennsylvania: painted chest, 1775




Index of American Design
366 Pennsylvania: pie plate, c. 1800




Index of American Design
356 New York: Patchwork quilt



Index of American Design
315 Massachusetts: chair-seat, 17th century



384 Katherine Milhous, Pennsylvania: Poster



385 Katherine Milhous, Pennsylvania: Poster




388 Hester Miller Murray, Illinois: Poster for zoo


CHILDREN'S WORK



420 Louis Novar, aged 14, New York: The Butcher




395 Alphonso Basile, aged 13, District of Columbia: Robinson Crusoe as a Young Man



407 Isaiah Eisen, aged 12, New York: Boiler Menders



430 Hyman Dorfman, aged 14, New York: Mother and Child




417 Joe Larkin, aged 12, Connecticut: The Nativity



434 Mike Mosco, aged 15, New York: Miner





400 Alfredo Casale, aged 10, New York: Interior

CATALOG OF THE EXHIBITION

Catalog items preceded with • are illustrated by a plate which bears the same number.

MURAL PROJECT

CHARLES ALSTON. Born 1907. New York

Mystery and Magic Contrasted with Modern Science and Medicine: *two panels in entrance lobby of Women's Wing, Harlem Hospital, New York. Oil on canvas, 250 square feet.*

- 1 Color study for one panel
- 2 Full-sized detail, *oil on canvas*

FRANCES AVERY. Born 1910. New York

Maternity or the History of Obstetrics: *series of panels on four walls of doctors' library, Lincoln Hospital, Bronx. Oil on canvas, 690 square feet.*

- 3 Detail, *watercolor*

RAINEY BENNETT. Born 1907. Illinois

Series of eight panels for Crippled Boys' Ward, Research and Educational Hospitals, University of Illinois Medical Unit, Chicago.

- 4 Watercolor study for one panel: Apple Harvest and Farm Animals
- 5 Completed panel: Birds and Quiet Animals, *oil on canvas*

LUCIENNE BLOCH. Born 1909. New York

The Cycle of a Woman's Life: *panel in recreation room, House of Detention for Women, New York. Fresco, 119 square feet.*

- 6 Photograph of finished panel

EDGAR BRITTON. Born 1901. Illinois

Classroom Studies and Their Application: *six panels in entrance hall of Bloom Township High School, Chicago Heights. Fresco.*

- 7 Detail, *egg tempera on board*
- 8 Photographs of completed panels

JAMES BROOKS. Born 1906. New York

Acquisition of Long Island: *panel in Woodside Branch Library, Long Island. Tempera on gesso, 180 square feet.*

- 9 Model showing interior with mural

MURAL PROJECT

ALFRED CRIMI. Born 1900. New York

Preventive Medicine and Surgery: *panel in Medical Board Conference Room, Harlem Hospital, New York. Fresco, 250 square feet.*

- 10 Color study
- 11 Cartoon
- 12 Full-sized detail, *fresco*
- 13 Photograph of completed panel

WYATT DAVIS. Born 1906. New York

Mechanical Aspects of Airplane Construction: *photo-mural in Administration Building, Newark Airport, New Jersey. Photo-montage, 204 square feet.*

- 14 Photograph of study

PHILIP EVERGOOD. Born 1901. New York

The Story of Richmond Hill: *three panels on one wall of reference room, Richmond Hill Branch Library, Richmond Hill, Long Island. Oil on canvas, 160 square feet.*

- 15 Color study
- 16 Model showing interior with mural
- 17 Photograph of completed mural

SEYMOUR FOGEL. Born 1911. New York

Music, Classic and Primitive: *two panels in music room of Abraham Lincoln High School, Brooklyn. Oil on canvas, 350 square feet.*

- 18 Color study of one panel
- 19 Section of cartoon

ARSHILE GORKY. Born 1904. New York

Aviation: Evolution of Forms under Aerodynamic Limitations: *ten panels in second floor foyer, Administration Building, Newark Airport, New Jersey. Oil on canvas, 1530 square feet.*

MURAL PROJECT

- 20 One completed panel, *oil on canvas*
- 21 Model showing interior with murals
- 22 Photographs of largest panels

RALF HENRICKSEN. Born 1907. Illinois

The Elements: four panels in Gordon School, Lake Forest, Illinois. Oil on canvas.

- 23 Watercolor study for two panels: Earth and Water

EMANUEL JACOBSON. Born 1907. Illinois

Series of panels for Horace Mann School, Oak Park, Illinois. Oil on canvas.

- 24 Watercolor study for one panel: Early Schoolroom
- 25 Watercolor study for one panel: Early Living room

Transportation: panel for Elgin State Hospital, Chicago. Oil on canvas.

- 26 Watercolor study

Philo Carpenter, Chicago's First Pharmacist; panel for University of Illinois School of Pharmacy, Chicago. Oil on canvas.

- 27 Watercolor study

EDWIN BOYD JOHNSON. Born 1904. Illinois

Medical Pioneers: series of panels for main library, University of Illinois College of Medicine, Chicago. Fresco.

- 28 Photographs

KARL KELPE. Born 1898. Illinois

Pioneer Days: two panels in Hawthorne School, Oak Park, Illinois. Oil on canvas.

- 29 Watercolor study: Early Settlers
- 30 Watercolor study: Early Farmers
- 31 Photograph of completed panel: Early Settlers
- 32 Photograph of completed panel: Early Farmers

MURAL PROJECT

DMITRI KESSEL. Born 1902. New York

Symbols of Aviation: photo-mural for first floor of Administration Building, Newark Airport, New Jersey.

33 Photograph of study

KARL KNATHS. Born 1891. Massachusetts

Music: series of panels in music room of Falmouth High School, Falmouth, Massachusetts. Oil on canvas.

34 Color studies

• 35 Photographs of completed panels

BENJAMIN KNOTTS. Born 1898. New York

GUY MacCOY. Born 1905.

Decorative Map of the World: panel in fourth floor corridor of Julia Richman High School, New York. Oil on canvas, 2000 square feet.

36 Photographs of completed panel

EDWARD LANING. Born 1906. New York

Rôle of the Immigrant in the Industrial Development of America: seventeen panels in main dining room, Administration Building, Ellis Island. Tempera on canvas.

37 Pencil studies

ABRAHAM LISHINSKY. Born 1905. New York

Major Influences in Civilization: twelve panels in auditorium of Samuel Tilden High School, Brooklyn. Oil on canvas, 820 square feet.

38 Black and white studies

39 Section of cartoon

ERIC MOSE. Born 1906. New York

Power: three panels in main library of Samuel Gompers High School, Bronx. Tempera on gesso, 600 square feet.

40 Model showing interior with murals

HESTER MILLER MURRAY. Born 1903. Illinois

Three panels in Irving School, Oak Park, Illinois. Oil on canvas.

MURAL PROJECT

- 41 Tempera study for one panel: World of Children
- 42 Photograph of completed panel: World of Children
- 43 Watercolor study for one panel: Animals

JAMES MICHAEL NEWELL. Born 1900. New York

Evolution of Western Civilization: *five panels in main reading room of library, Evander Childs High School, Bronx. Fresco, 1400 square feet.*

- 44 Photographs of two completed panels
- 45 Model showing interior with murals

WILLIAM C. PALMER. Born 1906. New York

Development of Medicine: *four panels in Incoming and Outgoing Patients' Room, Queens County General Hospital, Long Island City. Oil on canvas, 300 square feet.*

- 46 Full-sized detail, *oil on canvas*
- 47 Photograph of one completed panel

ANATOL SHULKIN. Born 1899. New Jersey

Historical and Social Function of the Court: *four panels for main entrance lobby of courthouse, Morristown, New Jersey. Fresco or oil on canvas.*

- 48 Color study, *tempera*

MITCHELL SIPORIN. Born 1910. Illinois

Prairie Poets: *proposed fresco*

- 49 Study, *egg tempera*
- Children of American Literature: *proposed fresco*
- 50 Study, *egg tempera*

MAX SPIVAK. Born 1906. New York

Puppets: *nine panels in downstairs playroom of Astoria Branch Library, Long Island City. Oil on canvas, 260 square feet.*

- 51 One completed panel, *oil on canvas*
- 52 Studies in color and in ink
- 53 Model showing interior with murals

MURAL PROJECT

ELIZABETH TRACY. Born 1911. Massachusetts

The Settlement of Saugus: panel in courthouse, Saugus, Massachusetts. Oil on canvas.

54 Photograph of completed panel

JOHN WALLEY. Born 1910. Illinois

Indian Drama: stage curtain for auditorium of Lane Technical High School, Chicago.

55 Color study, gouache and charcoal

WILLIAMSBURG FEDERAL HOUSING PROJECT,
Brooklyn, New York.

Series of proposed murals by group of eleven New York artists for social rooms of each housing unit.

56 Chart showing general housing plan, location of social rooms and index of artists

57 Model showing one housing unit with murals by Stuart Davis and Paul Kelpé

ILYA BOLOTOWSKY. Born 1907.

58 Abstraction: color study for panel in oil on canvas, 7 by 17½ feet

HARRY BOWDEN. Born 1907.

59 Abstraction: color study for one of two panels in oil on canvas, 8 by 17½ and 6 by 8 feet

BYRON BROWNE. Born 1906.

60 Abstraction: color study for panel in oil on canvas, 9½ by 15 feet

FRANCIS CRISS. Born 1901.

61 Abstraction: color study for one of four panels in oil on canvas, 11 by 6 feet

STUART DAVIS. Born 1894.

62 Abstraction: color study for panel in oil on canvas, 14½ feet long

MURAL PROJECT

BALCOMB GREENE. Born 1904.

63 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 7½ by 11¾ feet*

PAUL KELPE. Born 1902.

64 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 9½ by 11¾ feet*

WILLIAM DE KOONING. Born 1904.

65 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 14½ by 9½ feet*

JAN MATULKA. Born 1892.

66 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 8¼ by 7½ feet*

GEORGE McNEIL. Born 1909.

67 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 11 by 9¾ feet*

ALBERT SWINDEN. Born 1901.

68 Abstraction: *color study for panel in oil on canvas, 8¼ by 11½ feet*

Models showing interiors with murals in place have been constructed under the Model Division of the Federal Art Project.

EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

AARON BOHROD. Born 1907.

Illinois

69 Landscape in Winter, *oil on composition board*

PEDRO CERVANTEZ. Born 1915.

New Mexico

70 Croquet Ground, *oil on composition board*

ALLAN ROHAN CRITE. Born 1910.

Massachusetts

71 School's Out, *oil on canvas*

EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

- | | |
|--|---------------|
| STUART DAVIS. Born 1894. | New York |
| 72 Waterfront, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| JOSEPH DE MARTINI. Born 1896. | New York |
| • 73 Moonlight, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| EMMET EDWARDS. Born 1906. | New York |
| • 74 Abstraction, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| DONALD FORBES. Born 1905. | New York |
| 75 Millstone, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| KARL FORTESS. Born 1907. | New York |
| • 76 Winter Vista, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| LEON GARLAND. Born 1896. | Illinois |
| • 77 Fry Street, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| HOWARD GIBBS. Born 1904. | Massachusetts |
| 78 Spring Landscape, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| LOUIS GUGLIELMI. Born 1906. | New York |
| • 79 Wedding in South Street, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| 80 Hague Street, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| JAMES GUY. Born 1909. | New York |
| 81 Sheriff's Sale, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| MARSDEN HARTLEY. Born 1877. | New York |
| 82 Tropic Fantasy, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| HILAIRE HILER. Born 1898. | California |
| 83 San Francisco Street, <i>oil on board</i> | |
| LEON KELLY. Born 1901. | Pennsylvania |
| • 84 Setting the Table, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| GEORGINA KLITGAARD. Born 1893. | New York |
| 85 Oyster Boat, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |

EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

- | | |
|---|---------------|
| KARL KNATHS. Born 1891. | Massachusetts |
| 86 Duck Decoy, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| LAWRENCE LEBDUSKA. Born 1894. | New York |
| • 87 Farm Team, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| JULIAN LEVI. Born 1900. | New York |
| 88 Demolished Lighthouse, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| JACK LEVINE. Born 1914. | Massachusetts |
| 89 Card Game, <i>oil on composition board</i> | |
| • 90 Conference, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| WILLIAM LITTLEFIELD. Born 1902. | Massachusetts |
| • 91 Fantasy of a Fire and Figure, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| LOREN MACIVER. Born 1909. | New York |
| • 92 Dune Landscape, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| AUSTIN MECKLEM. Born 1894. | New York |
| • 93 Skiers, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| ROLAND MOUSSEAU. Born 1889. | New York |
| • 94 Landscape, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| HESTER MILLER MURRAY. Born 1903. | Illinois |
| • 95 Buffalo at Night, <i>egg tempera</i> | |
| JOHN NICHOLS. Born 1899. | New York |
| 96 Buzz Saw, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| JANE NINAS. Born 1913. | Louisiana |
| • 97 Negro Cemetery, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| HENRY ALLEN NORD. Born 1904. | California |
| 98 Our Daily Interests, <i>oil on gesso panel</i> | |
| JOSEPH PANDOLFINI. Born 1908. | New York |
| 99 Vegetable Still Life, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |

EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| IGOR PANTUHOFF. Born 1911. | New York |
| 100 Ventilator, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| GREGORIO PRESTOPINO. | New York |
| • 101 American Landscape, <i>oil on gesso board</i> | |
| 102 Green Mountain Village, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| RED ROBIN. Born 1910. | Colorado |
| • 103 Hasjelti Dailjis, <i>sand painting</i> | |
| MISHA REZNIKOFF. Born 1905. | New York |
| 104 New York, <i>oil on composition board</i> | |
| WILLIAM SCHWARTZ. Born 1896. | Illinois |
| 105 Village Square, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| CHARLES SEBREE. Born 1912. | Illinois |
| 106 Two Boats, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| CLAIRE SILBER. | Louisiana |
| 107 Napoleon Docks, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| JOSEPH STELLA. Born 1880. | New York |
| 108 Bridge, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| ELIZABETH TERRELL. Born 1908. | New York |
| 109 Still Life on a Footstool, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| MANUEL TOLEGIAN. Born 1912. | New York |
| • 110 Pennsylvania Landscape, <i>tempera with oil glaze on gesso board</i> | |
| EUGENE TRENTHAM. Born 1912. | Colorado |
| • 111 Golden, Colorado, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| BUMPEI USUI. Born 1898. | New York |
| 112 Coal Barges, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |
| DOROTHY VARIAN. Born 1895. | New York |
| 113 Portrait of Eugénice, <i>oil on canvas</i> | |

EASEL PROJECT: OIL PAINTINGS

- JOSEPH VAVAK. Born 1891. Illinois
 114 The Flood, *oil on canvas*
- FREDE VIDAR. Born 1911. New York
 • 115 Pool, *oil on canvas*
- ARNOLD WILTZ. Born 1889. New York
 • 116 Bridge and Dam, *oil on canvas*
- ROBERT WOOLSEY. Born 1913. California
 117 Early Morning, *oil on canvas*
- EDGAR YAEGER. Born 1900. Michigan
 • 118 Still Life, *oil on canvas*
- KARL ZERBE. Born 1903. Massachusetts
 119 Beacon Hill, *oil on canvas*

EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

- CHARLES BARROWS. Born 1903. New Mexico
 120 Snow on the Mountains, *watercolor*
 121 Sunlight on the Rio Grande, *watercolor*
- RAINEY BENNETT. Born 1907. Illinois
 122 Garden Entrance, *watercolor*
 • 123 Storm Threat, *watercolor*
- CAMERON BOOTH. Born 1892. Minnesota
 • 124 Street in Stillwater, *gouache*
 125 The Bridge, *gouache*
- RAYMOND BREININ. Born 1909. Illinois
 • 126 Landscape, *gouache*
 127 Lonesome Farm, *gouache*
- LESTER BRIDAHAM. Born 1899. Massachusetts
 128 Men Digging in a Hill, *watercolor*

EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

BOB BROWN. Born 1895. Minnesota

- 129 Ashes, *watercolor*

SAMUEL J. BROWN. Born 1907. Pennsylvania

- 130 Mrs. Simmons, *watercolor*
- 131 Child Prodigy, *watercolor*
- 132 The Writing Lesson, *watercolor*

GLENN CHAMBERLAIN. Born 1914. Iowa

- 133 Landscape, *watercolor*

JOSEPH DE MERS. Born 1910. California

- 134 Post No Bills, *watercolor*
- 135 House across the Street, *watercolor*
- 136 Suburb, *watercolor*

HELEN BLACKMUR DICKSON. Born 1906. Massachusetts

- 137 Fisherman's Shack, *watercolor*
- 138 Landscape, *watercolor*

CARLOS DYER. Born 1906. California

- 139 Palos Verdes Landscape, *watercolor*

STUART EDIE. Born 1908. New York

- 140 Red Table, *tempera*

STANFORD FENELLE. Born 1909. Minnesota

- 141 Road, *gouache*
- 142 Homing Pigeons in a Storm, *gouache*
- 143 Farm with Pines, *gouache*

THOMAS FLAVELL. Born 1906. Pennsylvania

- 144 The Station, *pastel*
- 145 Pennsylvania Farmhouse, *pastel*
- 146 Factory by the River, *pastel*

EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

- | | |
|---|----------------------|
| <p>ORONZO GASPARO. Born 1903.
• 147 Promenade, <i>gouache</i></p> | <p>New York</p> |
| <p>ISOLDE THERESE GILBERT. Born 1907.
• 148 Millbridge Road, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>Massachusetts</p> |
| <p>ALBERT GOLD. Born 1906.
149 Head, <i>oil on paper</i></p> | <p>Rhode Island</p> |
| <p>JACK GREITZER. Born 1910.
• 150 Memory, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>Ohio</p> |
| <p>JULIAN LEVI. Born 1900.
151 Jersey Shore, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>New York</p> |
| <p>EDWARD LEWANDOWSKI. Born 1914.
• 152 Lobster Markers, <i>watercolor</i>
153 River Tug, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>Wisconsin</p> |
| <p>RICHARD MERRICK. Born 1903.
154 Barroom, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>Florida</p> |
| <p>ANN MICHALOV. Born 1904.
• 155 Approaching Storm, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>Illinois</p> |
| <p>HESTER MILLER MURRAY. Born 1903.
156 Pipestone Lake, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>Illinois</p> |
| <p>LOUIS NISANOFF. Born 1907.
157 Filling Station, <i>oil on paper</i></p> | <p>New York</p> |
| <p>GLENN PEARCE. Born 1912.
158 Winter Idyll, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>Pennsylvania</p> |
| <p>ALBERT PEARSON. Born 1911.
159 Cow Barn, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>Illinois</p> |
| <p>GEORGE POST. Born 1906.
160 Aquatic Park, <i>watercolor</i></p> | <p>California</p> |

EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

- | | |
|--|------------|
| ARNOLD PYLE. Born 1908. | Iowa |
| 161 The Derelict, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| ANDRÉE REXROTH. Born 1902. | California |
| • 162 San Francisco Bay, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| 163 Night Sky, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| LESTER SCHWARTZ. Born 1912. | Illinois |
| • 164 Circus Day, <i>gouache</i> | |
| WILLIAM EARL SINGER. Born 1909. | Illinois |
| • 165 Little Immigrant, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| WILLIAM SOMMER. Born 1867. | Ohio |
| • 166 Ordering Lunch, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| 167 The Round Table, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| 168 Peaches in Glass, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| 169 Arrangement III, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| 170 Arrangement IV, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| JOHN STENVALL. Born 1907. | Illinois |
| • 171 Ohio River Flood, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| 172 Street, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| ELINOR STONE. Born 1912. | California |
| 173 In Hooverville, <i>pastel</i> | |
| FRANCES STRAIN. Born 1898. | Illinois |
| 174 Winter Landscape, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| RICHARD SUSSMAN. Born 1908. | New York |
| 175 Farm Scene, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| RUFINO TAMAYO. Born 1901. | New York |
| 176 Waiting Woman, <i>watercolor</i> | |
| 177 Monday, <i>watercolor</i> | |

EASEL PROJECT: WATERCOLORS, GOUACHES, PASTELS

ELIZABETH TERRELL. Born 1908. New York

• 178 Red Still Life, *tempera*

179 Fruit, *tempera*

DAVID VAN RAALTE. Born 1909. New York

180 Coal Yard, *watercolor*

JOSEPH VAVAK. Born 1891. Illinois

181 Dust Storm, *watercolor*

• 182 The Dispossessed: Contemporary History,
watercolor

183 Winter Scene, *watercolor*

FREDE VIDAR. Born 1911. New York

184 Washington Square, *gouache*

JOHN WALLEY. Born 1910. Illinois

185 Start of the Wild Horse Race, *watercolor*

KARL ZERBE. Born 1903. Massachusetts

• 186 Houses on the River, *gouache*

187 Winter Morning on the Square, *gouache*

GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

MAXINE ALBRO. Born 1900. California

188 American Indian Pottery, *colored lithograph*

GIUSEPPE AMATO. Born 1863. Illinois

189 From My Window, *pencil drawing*

RALPH AUSTIN. Born 1912. California

190 Barbary Coast, *lithograph*

CHARLES BARROWS. Born 1903. New Mexico

191 Chimayo Church, *oil smudge*

F. G. BECKER. Born 1913. New York

• 192 John Henry's Hand, *wood engraving*

GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

- JOLAN GROSS BETTELHEIM. Born 1902. Ohio
• 193 "Unemployed" Office, *lithograph*
194 Factory Houses, *lithograph*
- ARNOLD BLANCH. Born 1896. New York
195 The Cornfield, *lithograph*
- JULIUS BLOCH. Born 1888. Pennsylvania
196 Dead Soldier, *lithograph*
197 "Ole Man", *charcoal drawing*
- REDMOND BYRON. Born 1890. California
198 Union Square, San Francisco, *lithograph*
- GEORGE CONSTANT. Born 1892. New York
199 George Washington Bridge, *drypoint*
- HUBERT DAVIS. Born 1902. New York
200 Trees at Night, *lithograph*
201 Desplaines River, *lithograph*
- MABEL DWIGHT. Born 1876. New York
202 Museum Guard, *lithograph*
- HORATIO C. FORJOHN. Born 1911. Pennsylvania
• 203 Confusion at 40, *air brush*
204 Traffic Control, *air brush*
205 Stratosphere Flight, *air brush*
206 Idle Governor, *air brush*
- EMIL GANSO. Born 1895. New York
207 Still Life, *wood engraving*
- CHARLES R. GARDNER. Born 1901. Pennsylvania
208 Mixer, Paper Mill, *wood engraving*
209 Paper Making, *wood engraving*

GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

- | | |
|--|---------------|
| HARRY GOTTLIEB. Born 1895. | New York |
| 210 Three-lane Traffic, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| BLANCHE GRAMBS. Born 1916. | New York |
| 211 Dock Scene, East River, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| JOHN W. GREGORY. Born 1903. | Massachusetts |
| 212 Night in Provincetown, <i>wood engraving</i> | |
| NILS GREN. Born 1893. | California |
| 213 Silent Men, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| JOHN P. HEINS. Born 1896. | New York |
| 214 Flowers, <i>linoleum cut</i> | |
| RICHARD HOOD. Born 1910. | Pennsylvania |
| 215 Gossip, <i>etching</i> | |
| ELI JACOBI. Born 1898. | New York |
| 216 All Night Mission, <i>linoleum cut</i> | |
| 217 Bar and Grill, <i>linoleum cut</i> | |
| GENE KLOSS. Born 1903. | New Mexico |
| 218 Rio Grande Pueblo, <i>etching</i> | |
| YASUO KUNIYOSHI. Born 1893. | New York |
| 219 Landscape, <i>pencil drawing</i> | |
| LAWRENCE KUPFERMAN. Born 1909. | Massachusetts |
| 220 Beacon Hill Mansion, <i>etching</i> | |
| LUCIEN LABAUDT. Born 1880. | California |
| 221 False Dimension, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| BLANCHE LAZZELL. Born 1878. | Massachusetts |
| 222 My Wharf Studio, <i>color woodcut</i> | |
| CHARLES LOCKE. Born 1899. | New York |
| 223 In the Park, <i>etching and engraving</i> | |

GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

- | | |
|--|------------|
| NAN LURIE. Born 1910. | New York |
| 224 Women's House of Detention, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| KYRA MARKHAM. Born 1891. | New York |
| 225 The Flies at Minsky's, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| JAMES MARSHALL. Born 1906. | Utah |
| 226 Evil Eye, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| HUGH MILLER. Born 1911. | New York |
| 227 Head, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| 228 Machinery, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| ARTHUR MURPHY. Born 1906. | California |
| 229 Horses, California, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| M. LOIS MURPHY. Born 1901. | New York |
| 230 Fish Day, <i>woodcut</i> | |
| CHARLES E. PONT. Born 1898. | New York |
| 231 Burning of the Oquendo, 1898, <i>wood engraving</i> | |
| ANTON REFREGIER. Born 1905. | New York |
| 232 Mine Accident, <i>linoleum cut</i> | |
| DOROTHY RUTKA. Born 1907. | Ohio |
| 233 Conference, <i>aquatint</i> | |
| RAYMOND SKOLFIELD. Born 1909. | New York |
| 234 New York Harbor, <i>lithograph</i> | |
| RAPHAEL SOYER. Born 1899. | New York |
| 235 Back Stage, <i>etching</i> | |
| HARRY STERNBERG. Born 1904. | New York |
| 236 Night Flight, <i>aquatint</i> | |
| WILLIAM H. TRAHER. Born 1911. | Colorado |
| 237 Early Irrigation Methods, Colorado, <i>charcoal study for color lithograph</i> | |

GRAPHIC ARTS PROJECT

JOSEPH VOGEL. Born 1911. New York

238 Pier, *lithograph*

JULIUS WEISS. Born 1912. New York

• 239 Windows, *scratchboard*

240 Scene in the Park, *scratchboard*

SCULPTURE PROJECT

PATROCINO BARELA. Born 1908. New Mexico

Wood carvings, native pine:

• 241 The Coronation of the Virgin

242 The Ten Commandments

243 God the Father

244 The Twelve Apostles

• 245 Holy Family

246 Santo Nino

247 Hope or the Four Stages of Man

248 Heavy Thinker

SAMUEL CASHWAN. Born 1900. Michigan

• 249 Reclining Nude, *stone*

EUGENIE GERSHOY. Born 1902. New York

250 Puppet: *figure for downstairs playroom of Astoria Branch Library, Long Island City. Painted plaster*

AARON GOODELMAN. Born 1890. New York

251 Homeless, *plaster*

JOSE RUIZ DE RIVERA. Born 1904. New York

252 Bird Form: *carved metal model for monument for Newark Airport, New Jersey*

253 Abstraction: *plaster model for stone sculpture for Williamsburg Federal Housing Project, Brooklyn*

SCULPTURE PROJECT

HUGO ROBUS. Born 1885. New York

- 254 Dog: *plaster model for cast cement sculpture for children's playground at First Houses, New York City Housing Project*

FINGAL ROSENQUIST. Born 1901. Pennsylvania

- 255 Mother and Child, *cast stone*

CONCETTA SCARAVAGLIONE. Born 1900. New York

- 256 Girl with Fawn, *plaster*
- 257 Girl Reading, *terra cotta. Designed for Evander Childs High School, Bronx*

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

CALIFORNIA / Drawings by Ann Buckley

- 258 Embroidered velvet dancing shoe, c. 1840
- 259 Embroidery, c. 1845

Drawings by Gordena Jackson

- 260 Iron hitching post, c. 1869
- 261 Wool floral wreath, c. 1876

Drawings by Bertha Semple

- 262 Brass powder flask, c. 1855
- 263-264 Gold-mounted hair jewelry, c. 1840

Drawings by Gerald Transpota

- 265 Spanish-Californian spur, c. 1852
- 266 Spanish-Californian spur, c. 1875
- 267 Leather cover for baptismal font, San Buenaventura Mission, c. 1820

Drawing by Lyman Young

- 268 Embroidered leather scabbard, c. 1831

COLORADO Drawings by Maude Fiorentino-Vallé

- 269-271 Three Spanish-Colonial santos retablos, tempera on gesso panels

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

CONNECTICUT

Drawings by Lawrence Flynn

272 Guilford oak chest, c. 1750

273-274 Hitchcock chair, c. 1835, and design on back

Drawing by Jerome Hoxie

275 Pottery butter crock, c. 1842

Drawing by John Matulis

276 Pottery drinking cup, c. 1850

Drawing by Martin Partyka

277 Hadley oak chest, c. 1682

Drawing by Fred Weiss

278 Stoneware vase, c. 1791

DELAWARE

Drawing by James M. Lawson

279 Silver bird sewing-holder, c. 1850

Drawing by Ernest Towers, Jr.

280 Mahogany grandfather's clock, c. 1755

Drawings by Gould White

281-282 Two quilted appliqué coverlets, c. 1850

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Drawing by Mabel Ritter

283 Beaded purse, early 19th century

Drawings by E. Josephine Sterling

284 Painted bellows, c. 1815

285 Needlepoint suspenders, c. 1850

286 Sampler, Alexandria, Virginia, c. 1733

ILLINOIS

Drawing by Harry G. Aberdeen

287 Gold and steel watch case, c. 1870

Drawings by Wellington Blewitt

288 Walnut chair from Virginia plantation, 1750-75

289 Inlaid panel from Pullman car, c. 1865

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

290 Lancaster glass flask, c. 1860

Drawing by Roberta Elvis

291 Sandwich glass candlestick, c. 1840

Drawing by James Vail

292 Iron rooster, c. 1850

LOUISIANA

Drawings by Ray Price

293-295 Three examples of wrought and cast iron, 19th century

Drawing by L. A. Verbeke

296 Wrought and cast iron balcony rail, c. 1838

MARYLAND

Drawings by Lillian Causey

297 Quilted satin petticoat, early 19th century

298 Man's silk waistcoat, c. 1790

MASSACHUSETTS

Drawing by Lucille Chabat

299 Shaker textile, c. 1850

Drawing by Suzanne Chapman

300 Crewel-embroidered chair-seat, 17th century

Drawing by George Constantine

301 Shaker textile, early 19th century

Drawings by Larry Foster

• 302-305 Four examples of Shaker furniture, 1810-76

Drawings by Anne Ger

• 306-• 311 Six examples of Shaker furniture, 1790-1850

Drawing by Lucille Gilchrist

312 Shaker textile, 1825-50

Drawing by Helen Gilman

313 Part of crewel-work valance, 17th century

Drawing by Joseph Goldberg

314 Shaker textile, early 19th century

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

Drawings by Elizabeth Moutal

- 315 Crewel-embroidered chair-seat, 17th century
- 316 Crewel embroidery, 18th century
- 317-321 Five Shaker textiles, 1800-75
- 322 Shaker glove

Drawing by Lawrence Peterson

- 323 Crewel-embroidered valance, 18th century

Drawing by I. Selmer-Larsen

- 324 Shaker textile

Drawings by Alfred H. Smith

- 325-328 Four examples of Shaker furniture, 1790-1880

Drawings by Irving I. Smith

- 329-330 Two examples of Shaker furniture, 1840-60

MICHIGAN

Drawing by Beverly Chichester

- 331 Mold-blown glass bottle, 1820-60

Drawing by Eugene Croe

- 332 Cigar store figure of soldier, late 19th century

Drawing by Vincent McPharlin

- 333 Mold-blown glass flask, Philadelphia, 1800-30

NEW JERSEY

Drawing by Francis Law Durand

- 334 Toy wagon, c. 1840

Drawings by Thomas Halloway

- 335-338 Lady's dressing case, c. 1850, and three detail views

NEW MEXICO

Drawings by E. Boyd

- 339 Bulto, Taos, 1810-50
- 340 Bulto, near Santa Fe, 1810-50
- 341 Painted wooden figure of Christ in sepulchre carried in Holy Week processions

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

- 342 Lunette of reredos showing creation of world, from church at Chimayo
- 343 Church wall hanging of buffalo hide painted with figure of San Juan Nepomucene. Before 1800
- 344 Painted pine chest
- 345-346 Two painted pine chests, Rio Grande Valley, 1810-20

NEW YORK

Drawing by Louis Annino

- 347 Queen Anne mahogany lowboy, c. 1730

Drawing by Jessie Bengé

- 348 Man's velvet court suit, 18th century

Drawing by R. Campbell

- 349 Iron deer weather-vane

Drawing by Margaret Concha

- 350 Child's kilt suit, c. 1860

Drawing by Nicholas Gorid

- 351 Mahogany banjo clock, c. 1800

Drawings by Melita Hoffman

- 352-353 Two costumes, c. 1800 and c. 1842

Drawing by Dorothy Lacey

- 354 Woven wool coverlet, 1846

Drawing by Yolande de Lasser

- 355 Stoneware flask, c. 1800

Drawing by J. Z. Lefevre

- 356 Patchwork crib quilt

Drawing by Nina Lowry

- 357 Cornhusk doll

Drawing by Marie Mitchell

- 358 Brocade wedding gown, c. 1754

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: INDEX OF AMERICAN DESIGN

Drawing by William P. Shearwood

- 359 Gold and black enamel bracelets, 19th century

Drawing by J. Staloff

- 360 Brass warming pan, 18th century

Drawing by J. Tarantino

- 361 Hand-blown glass pitcher, New Hampshire, c. 1860

Photographs by Noel Vicentini

- 362 Photographs taken at Shaker communities of New Lebanon, N. Y., and Hancock, Mass.

Drawings by R. W. Woiceske

- 363 Pewter lamp, c. 1850

- 364 Glass candlestick, c. 1850

PENNSYLVANIA

Drawing by Elmer Anderson

- 365 Pennsylvania German painted wood chest, 1775

Drawings by William Antrim

- 366-367 Two Pennsylvania German pottery plates, c. 1800

Photographs by Louis Dibert

- 368-369 Two Pennsylvania German iron utensils, late 19th century

Drawings by Albert Levone

- 370-372 Three examples of Pennsylvania German pottery, 19th century

RHODE ISLAND

Drawing by Gold and Donovan

- 373 Walnut sofa, c. 1815

Drawing by McCarthy and Donovan

- 374 Pine armchair, c. 1795

Drawing by Albert Gold

- 375 Mahogany hall clock

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: PHOTOGRAPHY

- BERENICE ABBOTT. Born 1898. New York
376-381 Changing New York: *six photographs from a series*

ALLIED ARTS PROJECT: POSTERS

- HERMANN KESSLER AND ANTHONY VELONIS. New York
382 Macbeth: *poster for W.P.A. Federal Theatre Negro Unit*

- ALFRED KOEHN. Born 1874. Illinois
383 Safety Campaign poster

- KATHERINE MILHOUS. Born 1894. Pennsylvania
• 384 Ephrata: *tempera design for poster*
• 385 Rural Pennsylvania: *tempera design for poster*
386 Visit Pennsylvania: *tempera design for poster*
387 Pennsylvania: *tempera design for poster*

- HESTER MILLER MURRAY. Born 1903. Illinois
• 388 Lioness and Cubs: *watercolor design for poster for Brookfield Zoo*

- EREL OSBORN. Born 1897. Illinois
389 Broken Dishes: *poster for Federal Theatre Production*
390 Colored Concert Orchestra: *poster for Federal Music Project*

- THEODORE WEST. Born 1897. Illinois
391 Concert Band: *poster for Federal Music Project*

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S PAINTINGS

- MICK ARSENA: Federation Settlement, New York. Aged 16
• 392 Politics under the "El", *gouache*

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S PAINTINGS

- NAOMI BAKER: Bronx House, New York. Aged 8
 393 Hockey, *gouache*
- VERA BAKER: Bronx House, New York. Aged 8
 394 Yentas, *gouache*
- ALPHONSO BASILE: Friendship House, Washington, D. C. Aged 13
 395 Robinson Crusoe as a Young Man, *oil on paper*
- BERIO BENEYENTO: Y.M.H.A., 110th St., New York. Aged 10
 396 Circus Parade, *watercolor*
- THOMAS BOLLELA: Catholic Boys' Club, Bronx, New York. Aged 12
 397 Ten Nights in a Barroom, *watercolor*
- A. BORROSCO: Grace Chapel, New York. Aged 12
 398 Fruit, *gouache*
- KEITH BURTON: Kalamazoo Art Institute, Kalamazoo, Michigan. Aged 10
 399 Speed, *gouache*
- ALFREDO CASALE: Jefferson Park Boys' Club, New York. Aged 10
 400 Interior, *gouache*
 401 Waterfront, *gouache*
- GEORGE COONEY: West Side Boys' Club, New York. Aged 15
 402 Shoppers, *gouache*
- VERNON COFFIN: Avery Memorial, Hartford, Connecticut. Aged 12
 403 Still Life, *watercolor*
- ALFRED CROWLEY: Gramercy Boys' Club, New York. Aged 11
 404 D. S. C., *oil on paper*
- JAMES DOYLE: Boys' Welcome Home, New York. Aged 12
 405 Motor Boat Race, *gouache*
- P. DUBLINSKY: Educational Alliance, New York. Aged 10
 406 A Bowl of Fruit, *gouache*

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S PAINTINGS

- ISAIAH EISEN: Council House, New York. Aged 12
 • 407 Boiler Menders, *gouache*
 408 Second Avenue "El", *gouache*
- REBECCA FELDMAN: Bronx House, New York. Aged 10
 409 Homework, *gouache*
- YON FOOK: School of Americanization, Washington, D. C. Aged 13
 410 Sea and Rocks, *watercolor*
- JOE GANELLO: Jefferson Park Boys' Club, New York. Aged 14
 411 The Dark, *gouache*
- CLARENCE GREY: Abyssinian Baptist Church, New York. Aged 12
 412 Raw Vegetables, *gouache*
- DOROTHY HARDIN: Norwegian Children's Home, New York. Aged 10
 413 Our Finny Friends, *gouache*
- MARIE KLEPPE: Norwegian Children's Home, New York. Aged 11
 414 Play, *watercolor*
- JOAN KNOBE: Sunnyside Jewish Center, New York. Aged 15
 415 Jewish Wedding, *gouache*
- SEBASTIAN LANOTTE: Hudson Guild, New York. Aged 9
 416 Deep Sea Diver, *gouache*
- JOE LARKIN: Avery Memorial, Hartford, Connecticut. Aged 12
 • 417 The Nativity, *pencil drawing*
- DONALD LIGUORE: Boys' Welcome Home, New York. Aged 10
 418 Going to Town, *watercolor*
- DOLORES MARTINEZ: Y.M.H.A., 110th St., New York. Aged 10
 419 A Fairy Story, *gouache*
- LOUIS NOVAR: Greenwich House, New York. Aged 14
 • 420 The Butcher, *oil on paper*

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S PAINTINGS

RHODA RICH: East Side Jewish Settlement, New York. Aged 12

421 Dressmakers, *gouache*

F. RICK: East Midwood Jewish Center, New York. Aged 10

• 422 Passover Feast, *gouache*

HELEN RIMLAND: Bronx House, New York. Aged 11

423 Household Duties, *gouache*

LENA SAFER: East Side Jewish Settlement, New York. Aged 12

424 Flying Trapeze, *gouache*

ROBERT SHUBERT: Gramercy Boys' Club, New York. Aged 11

425 Our Street, *watercolor*

FREDERICK SMITH: Boys' Welcome Home, New York. Aged 10

426 Banana Split, *gouache*

DOLORES WRIGHT: Utopia Children's Home, New York.

427 In the Street, *gouache* Aged 6

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S SCULPTURE

SAM BONAMICO: Henry Street Settlement, New York. Aged 11

428 Circus Elephant, *wood carving*

ANTONY BUA: Gramercy Boys' Club, New York. Aged 13

429 Clown, *plaster*

HYMAN DORFMAN: Henry Street Settlement, New York.

• 430 Mother and Child, *wood carving* Aged 14

ANTONY DE PAOLO: Gramercy Boys' Club, New York. Aged 11

431 Buffalo, *stone carving*

SPERO KOULTUKIS: Hamilton House, New York. Aged 11

432 Man and Wife, *plaster*

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: CHILDREN'S SCULPTURE

TONY MADONIA: Henry Street Settlement, New York. Aged 13

433 Chinaman, *wood carving*

MIKE MOSCO: University Settlement, New York. Aged 15

434 Miner, *plaster*

EDUCATIONAL PROJECT: THE DESIGN LABORATORY

435 Photographs of workshop activity

N

I

W

H

D

W

"F

his

shi

An

Ne

An

the

NE

CA

nat

tro

eler

tur

pub

first

an i

thre

pag

spe

esth

One

rals,

and

wha

ican

case

or

one

Am

imp

the

176

Pri

INDEX TO ARTISTS IN THE EXHIBITION:

MURAL, EASEL, GRAPHIC ARTS & SCULPTURE PROJECTS

	PAGE		PAGE
Albro, Maxine	152	De Mers, Joseph	149
Alston, Charles	138	Dickson, Helen Blackmur	149
Amato, Giuseppe	152	Dwight, Mabel	153
Austin, Ralph	152	Dyer, Carlos	149
Avery, Frances	138	Edie, Stuart	149
Barela, Patrocino	156	Edwards, Emmet	145
Barrows, Charles	148, 152	Evergood, Philip	139
Becker, F. G.	152	Fenelle, Stanford	149
Bennett, Rainey	138, 148	Flavell, Thomas	150
Bettelheim, Jolan Gross	153	Fogel, Seymour	139
Blanch, Arnold	153	Forbes, Donald	145
Bloch, Julius	153	Forjohn, Horatio	153
Bloch, Lucienne	138	Fortess, Karl	145
Bohrod, Aaron	144	Ganso, Emil	153
Bolotowsky, Ilya	143	Gardner, Charles R.	153
Booth, Cameron	148	Garland, Leon	145
Bowden, Harry	143	Gasparo, Oronzo	150
Breinin, Raymond	148	Gershoy, Eugenie	156
Bridaham, Lester	148	Gibbs, Howard	145
Britton, Edgar	138	Gilbert, Isolde Therese	150
Brooks, James	138	Gold, Albert	150
Brown, Bob	149	Goodelman, Aaron	156
Brown, Samuel J.	149	Gorky, Arshile	139-40
Browne, Byron	143	Gottlieb, Harry	154
Byron, Redmond	153	Grambs, Blanche	154
Cashwan, Samuel	156	Greene, Balcomb	144
Cervantez, Pedro	144	Gregory, John	154
Chamberlain, Glenn	149	Greitzer, Jack	150
Constant, George	153	Gren, Nils	154
Crimi, Alfred	139	Guglielmi, Louis	145
Criss, Francis	143	Guy, James	145
Crite, Allan Rohan	144	Hartley, Marsden	145
Davis, Hubert	153	Heins, John P.	154
Davis, Stuart	143, 145	Henricksen, Ralf	140
Davis, Wyatt	139	Hiler, Hilaire	145
De Martini, Joseph	145	Hood, Richard	154

INDEX TO ARTISTS IN THE EXHIBITION: MURAL, EASEL, GRAPHIC ARTS & SCULPTURE PROJECTS

	PAGE		PAGE
Jacobi, Eli	154	Mousseau, Roland	146
Jacobson, Emanuel	140	Murphy, Arthur	155
Johnson, Edwin Boyd	140	Murphy, M. Lois	155
Kelly, Leon	145	Murray, Hester Miller	
Kelpe, Karl	140	141-42, 146, 150	
Kelpe, Paul	144	Newell, James Michael	142
Kessel, Dmitri	141	Nichols, John	146
Klitgaard, Georgina	145	Ninas, Jane	146
Kloss, Gene	154	Nisanoff, Louis	150
Knaths, Karl	141, 146	Nord, Henry Allen	146
Kooning, William de	144	Palmer, William C.	142
Knotts, Benjamin	141	Pandolfini, Joseph	146
Kuniyoshi, Yasuo	154	Pantuhoff, Igor	147
Kupferman, Lawrence	154	Pearce, Glenn	150
Labaudt, Lucien	154	Pearson, Albert	150
Laning, Edward	141	Pont, Charles E.	155
Lazzell, Blanche	154	Post, George	150
Lebduska, Lawrence	146	Prestopino, Gregorio	147
Levi, Julian	146, 150	Pyle, Arnold	151
Levine, Jack	146	Red Robin	147
Lewandowski, Edward	150	Refregier, Anton	155
Lishinsky, Abraham	141	Rexroth, Andrée	151
Littlefield, William	146	Reznikoff, Misha	147
Locke, Charles	154	Rivera, José Ruiz de	156
Lurie, Nan	155	Robus, Hugo	157
MacCoy, Guy	141	Rosenquist, Fingal	157
MacIver, Loren	146	Rutka, Dorothy	155
McNeil, George	144	Scaravaglione, Concetta	157
Markham, Kyra	155	Schwartz, Lester	151
Marshall, James	155	Schwartz, William	147
Matulka, Jan	144	Sebree, Charles	147
Mecklem, Austin	146	Shulkin, Anatol	142
Merrick, Richard	150	Silber, Claire	147
Michalov, Ann	150	Singer, William Earl	151
Miller, Hugh	155	Siporin, Mitchell	142
Mose, Eric	141	Skolfield, Raymond	155


INDEX TO ARTISTS IN THE EXHIBITION:
MURAL, EASEL, GRAPHIC ARTS & SCULPTURE PROJECTS

	PAGE		PAGE
Sommer, William	151	Traher, William H.	155
Soyer, Raphael	155	Trentham, Eugene	147
Spivak, Max	142	Usui, Bumpei	147
Stella, Joseph	147	Van Raalte, David	152
Stenvall, John	151	Varian, Dorothy	147
Sternberg, Harry	155	Vavak, Joseph	148, 152
Stone, Elinor	151	Vidar, Frede	148, 152
Strain, Frances	151	Vogel, Joseph	156
Sussman, Richard	151	Walley, John	143, 152
Swinden, Albert	144	Weiss, Julius	156
Tamayo, Rufino	151	Wiltz, Arnold	148
Terrell, Elizabeth	147, 152	Woolsey, Robert	148
Tolegian, Manuel	147	Yaeger, Edgar	148
Tracy, Elizabeth	143	Zerbe, Karl	148, 152

THREE THOUSAND COPIES OF THIS CATALOG WERE

PRINTED FOR THE TRUSTEES OF THE MUSEUM OF


MODERN ART BY THE SPIRAL PRESS · NEW YORK



N
I
W
H
D
W

"F
his
ship
An
Ne
An
the

NE
CA
nat
tro
ele
tura
pub
first
an i
thro
pag
spe
esth
One
rals,
and
wha
ican
case
or
one
Am
imp
the



N

I

W

H

D

W

"F

his

shi

An

Ne

An

the

NE

CA

nat

tro

ele

tur

pub

first

an i

thro

pag

spe

esth

One

rals

and

wha

ican

case

or

one

Am

imp

the

170

Pri

The Museum of Modern Art



300061904

